

Regional P-Coda for Stable Estimates of Body Wave Magnitude: Application to Novaya Zemlya and Nevada Test Site Events


Kevin Mayeda

**Weston Geophysical Corporation
181 Bedford Street, Suite 1
Lexington, MA 02420**

Final Report

15 April 2008

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.



**AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
Space Vehicles Directorate
29 Randolph Road
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010**

NOTICE AND SIGNATURE PAGE


Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This report was cleared for public release and is available to the general public, including foreign nationals. Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (<http://www.dtic.mil>). All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

AFRL-RV-HA-TR-2008-1044 HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT.



ROBERT RAISTRICK
Contract Manager



PAUL TRACY, Acting Chief
Battlespace Surveillance Innovation Center

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange, and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE [example]				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 15 April 2008		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 30 Mar 2006 to 30 Mar 2008	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Regional P-Coda for Stable Estimates of Body Wave Magnitude: Application to Novaya Zemlya and Nevada Test Site Events				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER FA8718-06-C-0027	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 62601F	
6. AUTHOR(S) Kevin Mayeda				5d. PROJECT NUMBER 1010	
				5e. TASK NUMBER SM	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER A1	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Weston Geophysical Corporation 181 Bedford Street, Suite 1 Lexington, MA 02420				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory 29 Randolph Rd. Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFRL/RVBYE	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-RV-HA-TR-2008-1044	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Regional seismic explosion monitoring requires the discrimination of small clandestine nuclear explosions from background earthquakes. most successful teleseismic discriminant, the so-called <i>Ms:mb</i> , discriminant, compares the long-period surface waves magnitude (<i>Ms</i>) with the period <i>P</i> -based body wave magnitude (<i>mb</i>). There are many studies underway to try and extend surface wave magnitude (<i>Ms</i>) estimation to regional distances and smaller magnitudes. Another problem that is encountered is how to estimate <i>mb</i> so that the <i>Ms:mb</i> discriminant is meaningful and consistent with teleseismic measures. For small-to-moderate sized events, the teleseismic body wave magnitude, <i>mb(P)</i> , cannot be effectively measured due to low signal-to-noise ratio. We develop a stable regional alternative based on the <i>P</i> -coda that scales 1-to-1 with the teleseismic <i>mb(P)</i> , but with the advantage of lower variance. Though <i>mb(Lg)</i> and <i>mb(Lg-coda)</i> can be tied to <i>mb(P)</i> for explosions, they overpredict earthquake magnitudes by ~0.5-1 magnitude units and degrade the performance of the <i>Ms:mb</i> discriminant. In contrast, <i>mb(P-coda)</i> does not exhibit this problem and can be used to extend <i>Ms:mb</i> to smaller regional events.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS P-coda, Body wave magnitude					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Robert J. Raistrick
a. REPORT UNC	b. ABSTRACT UNC	c. THIS PAGE UNC			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 781-377-3726

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary	1
2. Manuscript	3
References	11
Appendix 1	13
Appendix 2	15

1. Executive Summary

This report consists of a manuscript that describe the application of a regional P coda wave methodology to the earthquakes and explosions to Novaya Zemlya and Nevada test site events.

REGIONAL P -CODA FOR STABLE ESTIMATES OF BODY WAVE MAGNITUDE: APPLICATION TO NOVAYA ZEMLYA AND NEVADA TEST SITE EVENTS

Kevin Mayeda

Weston Geophysical Corporation, Lexington, MA

Abstract

Regional seismic explosion monitoring requires the discrimination of small clandestine nuclear explosions from background earthquakes. The most successful teleseismic discriminant, the so-called $M_s:m_b$, discriminant, compares the long-period surface waves magnitude (M_s) with the short-period P -based body wave magnitude (m_b). There are many studies underway to try and extend surface wave magnitude (M_s) estimation to regional distances and smaller magnitudes. Another problem that is encountered is how to estimate m_b so that the $M_s:m_b$ discriminant is meaningful and consistent with teleseismic measures. For small-to-moderate sized events, the teleseismic body wave magnitude, $m_b(P)$, cannot be effectively measured due to low signal-to-noise ratio. We develop a stable regional alternative based on the P -coda that scales 1-to-1 with the teleseismic $m_b(P)$, but with the advantage of lower variance. Though $m_b(L_g)$ and $m_b(L_g\text{-coda})$ can be tied to $m_b(P)$ for explosions, they overpredict earthquake magnitudes by ~ 0.5 -1 magnitude units and degrade the performance of the $M_s:m_b$ discriminant. In contrast, $m_b(P\text{-coda})$ does not exhibit this bias, and can be used to extend $M_s:m_b$ to smaller regional events.

Introduction

For sparse local and regional seismic networks, a stable method of determining magnitude is necessary for the development of discriminants, yield estimation, and detection threshold curves. Over the past several years, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) laboratories have developed a regional shear-wave coda wave methodology to obtain the lowest variance estimate of the seismic source spectrum [e.g., Mayeda *et al.*, 2003; Phillips *et al.*, 2003; Mayeda *et al.*, 2007]. Unlike traditional magnitudes such as local magnitude (M_L) and teleseismic body wave magnitude (m_b), which are relative, narrowband measurements that often have regional

biases, the coda methodology provides stable, absolute source spectra that are corrected for S-to-coda transfer function, scattering, inelastic attenuation, and site effects. The spectra have been used to calculate stable moment estimates (M_w), short-period magnitudes (m_b , M_L), explosion yields, and radiated seismic energy, E_R [Mayeda and Walter, 1996; Mayeda *et al.*, 2003; Murphy *et al.*, 2008] from as few as one station. The coda-derived spectra are calibrated for the particular region of interest and are in turn used as input into the Magnitude and Distance Amplitude Correction (MDAC) discrimination procedure outlined by Walter and Taylor [2002].

In addition to MDAC's regional high frequency discriminants, the traditional teleseismic discriminant, $M_S:m_b$, is currently being extended to smaller events at regional distances. For example, detailed global group velocity measurements are being used to develop models for Rayleigh waves [Pasyanos *et al.*, 2003; Stevens *et al.*, 2001; Ritzwoller *et al.*, 2002; Levshin *et al.*, 2002] that aid in the development of phase-match filters. These models are now being extended to periods as short as 7 seconds. New surface wave magnitude formulas [Russell, 2006] and measurement techniques [Bonner *et al.*, 2006] are being developed that allow estimates at these shorter periods that are unbiased with respect to teleseismic M_S estimates. The problem that we are experiencing at the lower magnitudes ($m_b < 4$) is the lack of unbiased body wave magnitudes for discrimination purposes.

We could use L_g and S_n coda-derived m_b estimates; however, this may actually hinder the $M_S:m_b$ discrimination performance. Though m_b derived from regional L_g [*e.g.*, Nuttli, 1973; Patton, 2001] and L_g coda [*e.g.*, Mayeda, 1993] have been calibrated for certain regions, both are S-based measures, and thus will be biased with respect to earthquakes (Figure 1). For example, the 1992 Little Skull Mountain earthquake at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) had an M_w of 5.5, but would have an $m_b(L_g)$ of ~ 6.5 , whereas the NEIC and ISC m_b 's for this event are 5.3. Likewise, if we calibrate $m_b(L_g)$ to teleseismic estimates of m_b for earthquakes, we will underestimate the m_b 's for explosions. The use of S-based m_b 's in the traditional $M_S:m_b$ discriminant significantly degrades the discriminant's performance, since it tends to move the explosion and earthquake populations closer together.




Figure 1. $m_b(L_g\text{-coda})$ at station BKS using the method of *Mayeda et al.* [2003] for selected NTS explosions are calibrated against the NEIC telseismic m_b (left) and correlate very well. However, the same path and site corrections applied to NTS earthquakes results in a bias of $\sim 0.5\text{-}1$ magnitude units (right).

Regional m_b 's have been calculated based on the direct P -based phases such as P_n [e.g., *Denny et al.*, 1987] and P_g [e.g., *Mayeda*, unpublished manuscript for the Korean Peninsula; *Tibuleac et al.*, 2001]. However, *Mayeda* [1993] has shown that these regional measures have significant scatter associated with them, and thus significant numbers of recordings would be required to reduce the variance. The limitation that *Bonner et al.* [2006] faced for small event analysis using their $M_s(\text{VMAX})$ technique was finding an unbiased m_b magnitude. The objective of the current study is to find a more stable estimate of m_b that will use regional and near-telseismic P -wave data.

Characteristics of Novaya Zemlya P -coda

The following describes preliminary results using far-regional and telseismic P -coda waveforms from NORSAR, ARCESS, and AWE Blacknest stations. We specifically wanted to determine whether P -coda magnitudes would scale with the telseismic m_b for both earthquakes and explosions. Second, we wanted to ascertain if these P -coda magnitudes exhibited less variance than their direct wave counterparts. Figure 2a shows array-averaged P -coda envelopes (2-3-Hz) for three Novaya Zemlya (NZ) explosions ($m_b \sim 5.8$) recorded at NORSAR, roughly 2200 km epicentral distance. (note: pre-event noise level differences reflect seasonal variations.)

We measured relative P -coda envelope amplitudes using the $m_b(P)$ 5.9 August 18, 1983 NZ explosion as a reference event, though any event could have been used (see Table 1 in Appendix 1). By scaling narrowband envelopes between our reference event and the other explosions and earthquakes, we were able to tabulate relative amplitude estimates and hence, m_b estimates. Figure 2b shows P -coda-derived m_b estimates (y-axis) relative to the maximum likelihood magnitude $m_b(\text{ML})$ for explosions (red squares) and earthquakes (blue triangles) [Lilwall and Marshall, 1986; Marshall *et al.*, 1989; Bowers, 2002]. This regression was done using roughly 120 seconds of P -coda in the 2-3 Hz band (Figure 2a). These preliminary results are very promising in that earthquake m_b 's are also in good agreement with $m_b(\text{ML})$ (Figure 2b).




Figure 2. a) Stacked P -coda envelopes (2-3 Hz) for selected NZ explosions at NORSAR and ARCESS and amplitudes were made relative to the August 18, 1983 explosion. b) The relative m_b derived from the P -coda are shown for both explosions and earthquakes.

Paths from NZ to NORSAR are still at regional distance, and one might expect the P -wave and its coda to be comprised of waves that sample the crust and upper mantle over a range of take-off angles from the source. At teleseismic distances however, we might expect that the averaging nature observed for local and regional coda waves to breakdown. At these distances, first arriving P -waves are likely emanating from a limited range of take-off angles near the bottom of the focal sphere. To investigate this, we processed roughly 30 NZ explosions recorded at the U.K. arrays, Eskdalmuir in Scotland (EKA) and Yellowknife in Canada (YKA) located at ~ 30 and 44 degrees from NZ, respectively.

Figure 3 shows *P*-coda envelopes at EKA for 4 NZ explosions with roughly the same magnitude that were located within a few kilometers of each other. We see an immediate discrepancy for the September 24, 1979 event. Though it has the largest $m_b(\text{ML})$ it is roughly a factor of 3 smaller in amplitude (0.5 in $\log_{10}$) at EKA relative to the other three events. The direct *P*-wave, coda, and *PcP* phase (not shown) are all small. In fact, the EKA station magnitude for this event is also low relative to the global $m_b(\text{ML})$ estimate. The closest event is the September 27, 1978 event but this does not appear to be anomalous. Careful inspection of the raw data shows nothing unusual for the September 24th event. (note: the pre-event noise is lower for the October 11, 1982 event because of improvements to the electronics in late 1979). We note that this event at NORSAR is in good agreement with the $m_b(\text{ML})$ as well as at YKA. Assuming this is real, then this suggests a near-source process such as focusing directly beneath this event. Moreover, the scale-length must be small since a nearby event is not affected. This supports the notion that teleseismic *P*-codas will not have the same averaging properties that local and regional codas exhibit.




Figure 3. Teleseismic *P*-coda envelopes at EKA for 4 NZ explosions with roughly the same magnitude that were located within a few kilometers of each other. We see an immediate discrepancy for the September 24, 1979 event (blue) suggesting a break-down in the coda's ability to average over the source and path effects.

Our preliminary findings suggest that at regional distances the *P*-coda can be used as a surrogate for teleseismic m_b for both earthquakes and explosions based on the findings at

NORSAR for NZ events (*e.g.*, Figure 2b). At teleseismic distances however, the P -coda appears to share the same radiation pattern as the direct P -wave and does not appear to average over the focal sphere as is observed for local and regional shear waves. Nonetheless, the derived body wave magnitude $m_b(P\text{-coda})$ at EKA and YKA for NZ explosions (not shown) are in good agreement with the globally averaged results using direct teleseismic P , though no improvement in scatter is expected.

Characteristics of Nevada Test Site (NTS) P -coda

We next focus on near-regional P -coda from earthquakes and nuclear tests at the NTS recorded by selected regional broadband stations. Using a single station at roughly 550 km (BKS) we derived $m_b(P_g\text{-coda})$ relations for narrow band envelopes ranging between 1 and 3 Hz. At this distance, we had roughly 60 seconds of P -coda before the direct L_g arrival. As with the NZ study, we made relative P -coda envelope amplitude measurements for selected earthquakes and explosions which all had independent teleseismic estimates of m_b from the USGS NEIC catalog. Figure 4 shows magnitude results from station BKS operated by the Berkeley Seismological Laboratory. As found with NZ, the near-regional P -coda magnitudes do not show a bias, in sharp contrast to $m_b(L_g)$ and $m_b(L_g\text{-coda})$ (*e.g.*, Figure 1).




Figure 4. Single station estimates of $m_b(P\text{-coda})$ for both NTS earthquakes and explosions plotted against the NEIC teleseismic m_b .

Finally, we compare interstation amplitude measurements to test the extent to which regional P -coda can reduce scatter compared to the direct P -wave. Figure 5 shows narrowband amplitudes at stations ELK and KNB, roughly 400 and 240 km distance, respectively.




Figure 5. a) Interstation scatter direct P_g is roughly two times larger than P -coda for the same NTS events and regional stations, ELK and KNB.

We found that the narrowband regional P -coda amplitudes are roughly two times smaller in data standard deviation than their direct wave counterparts. In contrast, for shear wave coda we typically observe a factor of 3-to-4 improvement [Mayeda *et al.*, 2003]. This difference could be due to P -coda being more forward scattered and not spatially averaging to the extent of shear-wave codas. This is supported by array analysis from regional waveforms by Wagner [1997].

Conclusions

For small-to-moderate sized events, an unbiased, P -based regional magnitude is necessary to seamlessly tie to teleseismic estimates of m_b for seismic discrimination and explosion yield studies. Currently there is a debate within the explosion monitoring community as to whether the explosion and earthquake populations in the $M_s:m_b$ discriminant merge or stay separated at smaller magnitudes ($< m_b \sim 3.5$). However, due to limited numbers of stations for regional explosion monitoring, direct phase magnitudes such as $m_b(P_n)$ and $m_b(P_g)$ exhibit high variance due to strong lateral complexity and source radiation pattern. Though regional shear

wave magnitudes [*e.g.*, $m_b(L_g)$ and $m_b(L_g\text{-coda})$] can be tied to either explosion or earthquake m_b 's, the fact that these are shear wave measurements introduces a significant bias [*e.g.*, Figure 1] and will degrade the performance of the $M_s:m_b$ discriminant. We have found a regional equivalent to the teleseismic m_b using P -coda envelopes which are roughly two times less scattered than their direct wave counterparts and scales 1-to-1 with teleseismic estimates (*e.g.*, Figure 5b). However, at teleseismic distances, we find evidence that the averaging properties of coda appears to break down perhaps due to sampling only a narrow portion of the bottom of the focal sphere (*e.g.*, Figure 3). Our next step will be to apply the new P -coda methodology to other test sites and assess the performance of the $M_s:m_b$ discriminant for smaller magnitude events. In addition to discrimination, the stable estimation of explosion yield for small tamped events may benefit from the use of the regional P -coda envelope and studies are currently underway.

Acknowledgements

K. Mayeda was supported under Weston Geophysical subcontract No. GC19762NGD and AFRL contract No. FA8718-06-C-0027. Special thanks to Drs. David Bowers, Peter Marshall and Neil Selby at AWE Blacknest for their help in the early stages of this study.

References

- Bonner, J. L., D. Russell, D. Harkrider, D. Reiter, and R. Herrmann (2006). Development of a time-domain, variable-period surface wave magnitude measurement procedure for application at regional and teleseismic distances, part II: Application and M_s - m_b performance, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **96**, 678 - 696.
- Bowers, D. (2002), Was the 16 August 1997 seismic disturbance near Novaya Zemlya an Earthquake?, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **92**, 2400-2406.
- Denny, M.D., S. R. Taylor, and E.S. Vergino (1987). Investigation of m_b and M_s formulas for the western United States and their impact on the M_s/m_b discriminant, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **77**, 987-995.
- Levshin, A., J. Stevens, M. Ritzwoller, and D. Adams (2002). Short-Period (7s to 15s) Group Velocity Measurements and Maps in Central Asia, in *Proceedings of the 24th Seismic Research Review - Nuclear Explosion Monitoring: Innovation and Integration*, LA-UR-02-5048, Vol.: 1, pp. 97 - 106.
- Liwall, R.C. and P.D. Marshall (1986), Body wave magnitudes and locations of Soviet underground explosions at the Novaya Zemlya test site, *AWRE Report No. 0 17/86*, HMSO, London.
- Marshall, P.D., R.C. Stewart, and R.C. Liwall (1989), The seismic disturbance on 1986 August 1 near Novaya Zemlya: a source of concern?, *Geophys. J.* **98**, 565-573.
- Mayeda, K. (1993). $m_b(LgCoda)$: A stable single station estimator of magnitude, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **83**, 851-861.
- Mayeda, K. M. and W. R. Walter, (1996). Moment, energy, stress drop and source spectra of Western U.S. earthquakes from regional coda envelopes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 11,195-11,208.
- Mayeda, K., Hofstetter A., O'Boyle J. L., Walter, W. R. (2003), Stable and transportable regional magnitudes based on coda-derived moment-rate spectra. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **93**, 224-239.
- Mayeda, K., L. Malagnini, W.R. Walter, A new spectral ratio method using narrow band coda envelopes: Evidence for non-self-similarity in the Hector Mine sequence, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1029/2007GL030041, 2007.
- Murphy, K.R., K. Mayeda, W.R. Walter, Coda spectral peaking for Nevada nuclear test site explosions, in press *Seism. Res. Lett.*, 2008.
- Nuttli, O. W. (1973). Seismic wave attenuation and magnitude relations for eastern North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **78**, 879-885.

- Pasyanos, M., W. Walter, and M. Flanagan (2003). Geophysical models for nuclear explosion monitoring, *Proceedings of the 25th Annual Seismic Research Review on Nuclear Monitoring Technologies*, Tucson AZ.
- Patton, H.J. (2001). Regional magnitude scaling, transportability and $M_s:m_b$ discrimination at small magnitudes, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, **158**, 1951-2015.
- Phillips, W., H. Patton, C. Aprea, H. Hartse, G. Randall, and S. Taylor (2003). Automated broad area calibration for coda based magnitude and yield, in *Proceedings of the 25th Annual Seismic Research Review on Nuclear Monitoring Technologies*, Tucson AZ.
- Ritzwoller, M.H., N. M. Shapiro, M. P. Barmin, and A. L. Levshin (2002). Global surface wave diffraction tomography, *J. Geophys Res.*, **107**, B12, 2335. doi:10.1029/2002JB001777.
- Russell, D. (2006). Development of a Time-Domain, Variable-Period Surface Wave Magnitude Measurement Procedure for Application at Regional and Teleseismic Distances, Part I: Theory, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, **96**, 655-677.
- Stevens, J.L., D.A. Adams, and E. Baker (2001). Surface wave detection and measurement using a one-degree global dispersion grid, *SAIC Final Report SAIC-01/1085*.
- Tibuleac, I.M., J.L. Bonner, E.T. Herrin, and D.G. Harkrider (2002). Calibration of the $M_s:m_b$ discriminant at NVAR, in *Proceedings of the 24th Seismic Research Review on Nuclear Explosion Monitoring: Innovation and Integration*.
- Vergino, E.S. and R.W. Mensing, (1989). Yield estimation using regional $m_b(Pn)$, *Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report UCID-101600*.
- Wagner, G.S. (1997). Regional wave propagation in southern California and Nevada: observations from a three-component seismic array, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 8285-8311.
- Walter, W.R. and S.R. Taylor, (2002). A revised Magnitude and Distance Amplitude Correction (MDAC2) procedure for regional seismic discriminants, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, UCRL-ID-146882.

Appendix 1:

Table 1

Explosions:										p1.5	s1.5	p2.0	s2.0
101200	SEP	29	(273),	1976	02:59:57.700	73.36	54.88	5.83	14	0.1	0.2	0.05	0.1
399183	OCT	20	(294),	1976	07:59:58.070	73.398	54.85	4.98	15	-0.62	-0.4	-0.7	-0.45
106976	OCT	09	(282),	1977	10:59:58.120	73.409	54.936	4.36	17*	-1.0	-0.6	-1.05	-0.75
399184	SEP	01	(244),	1977	02:59:57.970	73.327	54.628	5.66	16	0.16	0.2	0.05	0.1
112446	AUG	10	(222),	1978	07:59:57.930	73.298	54.823	6.00	18	0.35	0.22	0.3	0.25
120096	SEP	24	(267),	1979	03:29:58.750	73.343	54.681	5.77	20	0.17	0.22	0.15	0.2
120603	OCT	18	(291),	1979	07:09:58.750	73.316	54.825	5.79	21	0.27	0.21	0.2	0.2
127832	OCT	11	(285),	1980	07:09:57.470	73.305	54.815	5.76	22	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.1
134401	OCT	01	(274),	1981	12:14:57.230	73.304	54.827	5.97	23	0.3	0.28	0.25	0.25
141948	OCT	11	(284),	1982	07:14:58.630	73.339	54.617	5.58	24	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.05
150212	AUG	18	(230),	1983	16:09:58.900	73.358	54.945	5.91	25	0.17	0.17	0.07	0.07
151191	SEP	25	(268),	1983	13:09:58.220	73.32	54.577	5.77	26	-0.07	-0.04	-0.15	0.00
399187	AUG	26	(239),	1984	03:30:00.000	73.326	54.763	3.8	??	-1.6	-1.4	-1.75	-1.6
(Mikhailov, 1999 list of nukes) (Norsar report lowered mb from 4.2 to 3.8)													
161897	OCT	25	(299),	1984	06:29:58.120	73.355	54.999	5.82	n25	0.2	0.2	0.00	0.00
196389	AUG	02	(214),	1987	02:00:00.200	73.323	54.607	5.82	n26	0.21	0.21	0.00	0.1
205735	MAY	07	(128),	1988	22:49:58.340	73.315	54.56		5.58	n26	0.0	0.11	-0.05 0.0
213077	DEC	04	(339),	1988	05:19:53.300	73.366	55.01		5.89	n25	0.31	0.25	0.1 0.1
15069	OCT	24	(297),	1990	14:57:58.450	73.317	54.805	??	n12	NA	NA	NA	NA
399186	NOV	15	(319),	1978	08:30:00.000	73.4	55.0	3.6	??	-1.72	??	-1.8	??
(NORSAR CD, chemical?)													
Earthquakes:													
185081	AUG	01	(213),	1986	13:56:37.800	73.031	56.726		4.26	??	-1.0	-0.75	-1.05 -0.75
(Marshall et al., 1989)													
399156	JUN	13	(164),	1995	19:22:37.900	75.2	56.7	3.5	??	??	??	-2.1	??(Ringdal, 1997)
399161	JAN	13	(013),	1996	17:17:23.000	75.2	56.7	2.4	??	??	??	??	??(Ringdal, 1998)
361144	AUG	16	(228),	1997	02:10:59.910	72.648	57.352		3.3	??	-2.2	??	-2.25 ??
(Bowers, 2002) 3.5 (Ringdal, 1998) LLNL envelope screwed up!													

Appendix 2:

Over the past several years, the DOE labs have developed a regional coda wave methodology to obtain the lowest variance estimate of the seismic source spectrum. The coda is the scattered wave train that arrives after the direct arrivals, presumably the result of scattering from heterogeneity in the Earth. Thus, regional M_w and m_b estimates derived from Sn and Lg coda are very stable, even when only a single station is used. However, these m_b 's are inherently biased for earthquakes because they are an S -based measurement, and explosions are relatively depleted in S -waves. Previous research projects have used region-specific m_b scales based on direct measurements of Pn and Pg to improve the $M_s:m_b$ discrimination, even though the m_b estimates often had a large variance.

Figure 1 shows results for Nevada Test Site (NTS) explosions recorded at regional distances. Here we compare the inter-station performance between $m_b(Lg)$, $m_b(Pn)$ and $m_b(Lgcoda)$ from Mayeda (1993). We see that the coda-based m_b 's have the lowest standard deviation by roughly a factor of 4-to-5. This property makes it ideal for monitoring situations where station coverage is sparse.




Figure 1. (from Mayeda, 1993)

The next obvious step to be implemented in the coda wave methodology is the use of P coda for m_b estimates. The following figures and text describes the results of a two week visit to AWE Blacknest where far-regional and teleseismic P -coda were investigated. We specifically wanted to know whether P -coda magnitudes would scale with the teleseismic m_b for both earthquakes and explosions. Second, we wanted to know if these P -coda magnitudes exhibited less variance than their direct wave counterparts.

Figure 2 below shows array averaged envelopes (2-3-Hz) for two Novaya Zemlya (NZ) explosions ($m_b \sim 5.8$) recorded at NORSAR, roughly 2200 Km distance. Notice that both P and S codas are very similar in character. (note: pre-event noise level differences reflect seasonal variations.)




Figure 2.

We measured relative *P*-coda envelope amplitudes using the October, 24, 1990 NZ explosion as a reference event (Table 1). By scaling narrowband envelopes between our reference event and the other explosions and earthquakes, we were able to tabulate relative coda amplitudes. Figure 3 below shows coda envelope amplitude residuals (y-axis) relative to the maximum likelihood magnitude $m_b(\text{ML})$ for explosions (red squares) and earthquakes (blue triangles) (Lilwall and Marshall, 1986; Marshall et al., 1989; Bowers, 2002). This regression was done using roughly 100 seconds of *P*-coda in the 2-3-Hz band. These preliminary results are very promising in that earthquake m_b 's are also in good agreement with $m_b(\text{ML})$. This is in sharp contrast to results from regional $m_b(Lg)$ and $m_b(Lgcoda)$ (e.g., Patton, 1988; Mayeda 1993). In those studies, m_b was tied to explosions at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) (see Figure 1), however applying the same formulas to earthquakes results in an overestimation of ~ 1 magnitude unit. For example the 1992 $M_w 5.5$ Little Skull mountain earthquake at NTS would have an $m_b(Lg)$ of ~ 6.6 .

Error!




Figure 3.

Paths from NZ to NORSAR are still at regional distance and one might expect the *P*-wave and its coda to be comprised of waves that sample the crust and upper mantle over a range of take-off angles from the source. At teleseismic distances however, we might expect that the averaging nature observed for local and regional coda waves to breakdown. At these distances, first arriving *P*-waves are likely emanating from a limited range of take-off angles near the bottom of the focal sphere. To investigate this, we processed roughly 30 NZ explosions recorded at the U.K. arrays, Eskdalmuir in Scotland (EKA) and Yellowknife in Canada (YKA) located at ~ 30 and 44 degrees from NZ, respectively.