





“Validating the Performance of Networks Used to Model Decisions Involving the UAV”

Gerald Kobylski
Greg Graves
Hise Gibson
Brian Souhan
Randal Hickman
Randy Boucher

Dennis Buede
Michael Cassidy



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 01 JUN 2008		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Validating the Performance of Networks Used to Model Decisions Involving the UAV				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) IDI Innovative Decisions, Inc.				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM202527. Military Operations Research Society Symposium (76th) Held in New London, Connecticut on June 10-12, 2008, The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 18	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Agenda



- Research effort
- What is a Dynamic Decision Network (DDN)?
- Validation of the DDNs



The Sponsors of the Research Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)

- Three year seedling study
 - Year 1 – exploratory study
 - Years 2 and 3 – customer focused
- The general problem addressed



What is a DDN?

- A Dynamic Decision Network (DDN) is a computer based decision engine that recommends optimal (or near optimal) alternatives for **recurring dynamic** decisions
 - particularly when it is important to consider uncertainty and multiple competing objectives.
- Examples include:
 - shoot or don't shoot
 - divert a sensor platform or keep it where it is



Two Approaches To Optimizing Dynamic Decisions

- The hard way (dynamic programming)
 - Solve everything at once by building a model that includes all time periods **AND** all possibilities
 - Problem: the possibilities multiply exponentially
 - “the curse of dimensionality”
- The somewhat easier way (leapfrog) – the DDN approach
 - Make our best decision now




Dynamic Decision Networks (DDNs)



- DDNs integrate Bayesian networks (BNs) and influence diagrams (IDs) into the same application in order to optimize the synergy between these techniques.
- We are developing software around a current software package (Netica) to perform the necessary computations.
- Short examples of BNs and IDs will be first given.



Bayesian Network Example (in Netica)



- Structure
 - Nodes and arcs
 - Marginal and conditional distributions
- Purpose




Influence Diagram Example (in Netica)


Legend:



Decision Node




Chance node



- Structure
 - Similar to Bayesian Networks with respect to nodes and arcs
 - Value nodes and decision nodes
- Computes the expected utility of the alternatives across all objectives (Accomplish Mission and Sufficient Ammunition)



Full Representation of a DDN





Computation Time Comparisons (sec)

- The DDNs proved to be exponentially faster than DP as shown in this sample of cases.

Case	slices	alternatives	objectives	targets	reports	DP	DDN
38	3	2	1	1	2	.21	0.09
39	3	3	1	2	1	1.101	0.09
40	3	3	1	2	2	59.766	0.06
41	3	4	1	3	1	51.353	0.09
42	3	4	1	3	2	29875.479	0.12
43	3	2	2	1	1	.24	0.093
44	3	2	2	1	2	.811	0.09
45	3	3	2	2	1	8.242	0.09
46	3	3	2	2	2	517.214	0.09
47	3	4	2	3	1	441.465	0.09
48	3	4	2	3	2	*	0.15

- Computer used - Hewlett Packard Compaq NX7000 with a 1600 MHZ Intel Pentium (R) M processor and 1GB of RAM



FCS System-of-Systems (SoS)

Manned Ground Vehicles (MGV)

Infantry Carrier Vehicle (ICV)



Command and Control Vehicle (C2V)



Mounted Combat System (MCS)



Medium Range Munitions

Reconnaissance And Surveillance Vehicle (RSV)



APS

Common Chassis



Non-Line of Sight Cannon (NLOS-C)



Non-Line of Sight Mortar (NLOS-M)



Medical Vehicle Treatment (MV-T)



FCS Recovery and Maintenance Vehicle (FRMV)



Medical Vehicle Evacuation (MV-E)



National Centralized Controller

Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS)

Class I UAV



Class IV UAV



Unattended Ground Systems (UGS)

T-UGS



U-UGS



Tactical and Urban Unattended Ground Sensors



Non-Line of Sight Launch System (NLOS-LS)

Unmanned Ground Vehicles (UGV)

MULE-C



MULE-T



Multifunction Utility/Logistics and Equipment Countermine and Transport

Armed Robotic Vehicle – Assault (Light) (ARV-A-L)




Small UGV (SUGV)





Continue T1 / Divert T2





Validating the Performance of the DDN

- Can a DDN (decision support tool) adequately replace human decision making on complex, dynamic, repetitive decision tasks that are not mission critical?
- Approach: Perform an experiment with human subjects to determine the adequacy of DDNs as compared to humans.
 - The operator's responsibility is limited to a single UAV.
 - Subjects will be presented a scenario and asked whether UAV should be diverted or should maintain current task
- A panel of experts will determine “correct” decisions for a series of scenarios.
- Analysis will compare subjects' performance against the results from the experts and the DDN against the “correct” decisions



Morphological Box for Scenario Design of Experiment

Factor	Levels			
<i>Fuel</i>	Sufficient to complete current task only.	Sufficient to complete current and new task.	Sufficient to complete current or new task.	
<i>Weather</i>	Clear	Obstructed		
<i>Terrain</i>	Mountainous	Wooded	Desert	Urban
<i>Operator's perception of importance of new task.</i>	Very unimportant	Not important	Important	Very important
<i>cost</i>	High	Medium	Low	



Goal: Performance Measures and Data Collection for N Scenarios



Scenario Fuel Weather Terrain Perceived Importance Cost	Congruence of Operator Decision with DDN Decision [Yes or No]	Congruenc e of DDN Decision with “Preferred Decision” [Yes or No]	Confidence of Decision Maker [1 to 7 scale]	Time to Make the Decision [seconds]
1 Sufficient fuel to complete current task only. Obstructed Wooded Important High	Yes	Yes	4	20
2 Sufficient fuel to complete current and new task. Clear Urban Very important Low	No	Yes	2	60 15



Participants and Scenarios



- Participants:
 - Representative of real decision makers
 - Number: at least 25 participants based on a confidence level of 95%

- Scenarios:
 - Minimum number¹
= # Levels – # Factors + 1
 - Additional scenarios will improve reliability of sample

Factor	Levels			
<i>Fuel</i>	Sufficient to complete current task only.	Sufficient to complete current and new task.	Sufficient to complete current or new task.	
<i>Weather</i>	Clear	Obstructed		
<i>Terrain</i>	Mountainous	Wooded	Desert	Urban
<i>Operator's perception of importance of new task.</i>	Very unimportant	Not important	Important	Very important
<i>cost</i>	High	Medium	Low	

¹ Hair, Anderson, Tatham, and Black (1998). *Multivariate data analysis*.



Analysis Approach



- Goal: Compare performance of experts, DDNs, and untrained participants.
- Method: Given ordinal-level data, tentatively use a Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA.



Questions / Comments

