



GOVERNMENT DISCLOSURE FORM

712B

MORS P#: (if known)

DEADLINE: 2 MAY 08
Fax to: 703-933-9066

PART I

Author Request - The following author(s) request authority to disclose the following presentation at the next MORS Symposium with subsequent publication in the MORSS Final Report, for inclusion on the MORSS CD and/or posting on the MORS web site.

Principal Author:

Mr. Alan R. Cunningham

Other Author(s):

Mr. Drew Cherry, Ms. Amy McGrath

Principal Author's Organization:

US Army TRADOC Analysis Center - Fort Lee

Complete mailing address:

401 FIRST STREET, SUITE 401
FORT LEE, VA 23801-1511☒Principal Author's Signature: 

Date: 18 Apr 08

Phone: (804) 765-1830

FAX: (804) 765-1456

Email: alan.cunningham@us.army.mil

Title of Presentation:

Army Reserve Educational Assistance Study

This presentation is believed to be: ☐ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☒ UNCLASSIFIED and will be presented in:☐ Special Session ☐ Tutorial ☐ Demo ☐ CG: A-B-C-D-E-F (Circle one) ☒ List all WG(s) #: 20, 21, 27

PART II

Government Releasing Official Endorsement and DoD Directive 5230.24 - Required Applicable Distribution Statement

The Releasing Official, with the understanding that MORS Symposia are supervised by the OCNO N81, that all attendees have current security clearances of at least SECRET and that no foreign nationals will be present confirms that the overall classification of the presentation is:

☐ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☒ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ OTHER: _____ and authorizes disclosure at the meeting.

Classified by:

Declassified by:

Downgrade to:


On:

The applicable distribution statement below must be checked and stated to complete this form.☒ **Distribution statement A:**

This presentation/paper is unclassified, approved for public release, distribution unlimited, and is exempt from U.S. export licensing and other export approvals under the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR 120 et seq.)

☐ **Other distribution statement:** (List here or attach separate sheet)

Releasing Official's title: Chief, Functional Operations

☒ 

Printed name: Jeannette I. Blumenthal

Releasing Official's Signature: 

Organization: US Army TRADOC Analysis Center - Fort Lee

Date: 29 APR 08

Complete mailing address:

TRAC-LEE
401 FIRST STREET, SUITE 401
FORT LEE, VA 23801-1511

Phone: (804) 765-1822

FAX: (804) 765-1456

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 01 JUN 2008		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Army Reserve (AR) Educational Assistance (EA) Study				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army TRADOC Analysis Center Ft. Lee, VA				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM202527. Military Operations Research Society Symposium (76th) Held in New London, Connecticut on June 10-12, 2008, The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 20	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Army Reserve (AR) Educational Assistance (EA) Study



**Briefing to the 76th Military Operations Research
Society Symposium**

United States Coast Guard Academy

10-12 June 2008

Outline

- **Purpose.**
- **Problem Statement.**
- **Study Issues.**
- **Constraints, Limitations, and Assumptions.**
- **Populations of Interest.**
- **AR EA Program Matrix Summary (working draft).**
- **Global Analysis Approach.**
 - AR EA Trend and Forecasting Methodology.
 - Streamlining of AR-EA Processes (SOAP) Methodology.
 - Manpower Requirements Determination Methodology.
 - AR EA Cost Estimation Methodology.
- **Tentative Emerging Insights.**

Purpose

To set out issues, approach, and emerging insights for the Army Reserve Educational Assistance Study.

Problem Statement

- **This study will investigate current trends and results of Army Reserve (AR) educational assistance (EA) program allocation, administration, execution, and cost on AR recruitment, retention, and attrition of Troop Program Unit (TPU) enlisted and officer personnel.**
- **Specifically, this study will examine and provide recommendations on:**
 - **Changes needed in AR EA allocation, administration, execution, and cost to increase the recruitment and retention of TPU enlisted and officer personnel in 2011.**
 - **The impact of expanding the job description of the Education Services Specialist (ESS) to include a more complete range of education related assistance to AR TPU Soldiers and family members (e.g., integration of MGIB programs).**
 - **The number of ESS and Contract Personnel Services (CPS) required to effectively administer and execute the AR EA programs to AR TPU Soldiers and their dependents.**
 - **Consequential impacts of any recommended changes regarding the administration and execution of the AR EA programs that may have negative impacts on AR recruiting and retention goals.**

Study Issues (1 of 2)

1.1 What is the current awareness, eligibility, and utilization of each AR EA program among AR TPU enlisted and officer personnel?

1.2 To what extent was each AR EA program the primary motivator among AR TPU enlisted and officer personnel to join, stay, and leave the AR?

1.3 To what extent has each of the AR EA programs enhanced the recruitment, retention, and attrition of TPU enlisted and officer personnel, and what was the cost of each AR EA program compared to the resulting recruitment, retention, and attrition realities?

1.4 What are the current administrative and execution processes and procedures of AR EA programs and how accessible (i.e., easy to know about, apply for, and use) are they to AR TPU enlisted and officer personnel?

Study Issues (2 of 2)

1.5 What changes are needed to better align and allocate AR EA programs with recruitment and retention policies and objectives to achieve better program effectiveness and make programs more accessible to TPU personnel in 2011?

1.6 What are the cost differences between the current and recommended AR EA programs in the following areas: (1) program allocation; (2) accessibility; and (3) administration and execution processes?

1.7 How many ESS and CPS are required to effectively administer and execute the AR EA Programs and what is the impact of expanding the job description to include a fuller range of education related assistance to TPU Soldiers and their dependents?

Constraints, Limitations, and Assumptions

- **Constraints:**
 - OCAR requires the draft analysis results by 30 Sep 08.
 - Due to the completion timeline, access to non-prior service prospects (civilians) is not possible.
 - Base year for this analysis is 2011.
- **Limitations:**
 - Cost projections are limited to inflation adjustments and known AR EA entitlement and benefit changes anticipated between now and 2011.
 - Opinion sampling will be limited to the number of surveys completed and returned to the study agency for analysis.
 - Due to resource limitations, the manpower determination component of this study will be an abbreviated analysis, comprising partial sampling and using previously conducted manpower analyses.
- **Assumptions:**
 - The majority of the 2011 AR recruits and officers are currently civilian personnel.
 - The propensity of ROTC Cadets and DEP applicants to join and stay in the AR because of EA will adequately replicate the propensity of the actual population of potential civilians available for AR EA related recruitment in 2011.

Populations of Interest

Target Populations	
Troop Program Units (TPU)	The AR is authorized to have more than 185,000 Soldiers in more than 6,000 TPU. These men and women typically train at least one weekend per month and perform two weeks of annual training.
Delayed Entry Program (DEP) Applicants	Civilian applicants with “soft” contracts to join the Army as enlisted Soldiers in one of the Army components.
ROTC Cadets	College students with “soft” contracts to join the Army as officers in one of the Army components.

Survey design completed; survey to be administered to DEP and ROTC Cadets via AKO (currently have approximately 40K AKO addresses); survey for TPU members administered via USARC-G1 survey capability; survey approval process ongoing.

AR EA Program Matrix Summary


(Working Draft)

Target
AR EA
Programs


EA Programs	Eligibility Criteria	Applicable		Use Period		Total Cost	Comments
		OFF	EM	In Svc	Out Svc		
MGIB-AD (Chapter 1607)	Served on active duty for at least 2 years, then join Selected Reserves under Title 38.	X	X	X	X	\$650 to \$800 monthly for a full-time Student	Benefit is based on type of training, length of service, and category.
MGIB-SR (Chapter 1606)	HS Dip or GED; > 50 on ASVAB; complete IADT; 6-Yr contract.	X	X	X		\$10,152 or \$22,000	Part of college paid for; can join ROTC & become an officer.
MGIB-SRK (Chapter 1606)	MOS/AOC specific, plus see above (MGIB-SR).	X	X	X		\$100, \$200 or \$350 Monthly	MGIB-SR augment package.
Student Loan Repayment Pgm (SLRP)	Prior student loan in good standing; remain qualified in MOS during initial enlistment.	X	X	X		\$10,000 or \$20,000	15% of loan or 1.5K per year, up to 10K; 3K per year, up to 20K for some job skills.
Army Tuition Assistance	Make request. Must be in AR.	X	X	X		\$4,500 per year	Apply to classroom, Internet, and correspondence Crs.
REAP (Chapter 1607)	Served on active duty on or after 9/11 2001 for 90 consecutive days under Title 10.	X	X		X	Up to \$25,312.32 for five years	Benefit is a percent of MGIB based on tour length in Iraq, Afghanistan, etc.

REAP: Reserve Education Assistance Program; MGIB-SR: Montgomery GI Bill – Selected Reserve; SRK: Selected Reserve Kicker; OFF: Officer; EM: Enlisted Member; Svc: Service; and Crs: Course.


Global Analysis Approach




AR EA Trend & Forecasting Methodology




Streamlining of AR-EA Processes (SOAP) Methodology



Manpower Requirements Methodology



AR EA Cost Estimation Methodology



Tentative Emerging Insights

(Based on Literature Review)

- **The impact of and relationship between VA administered EA programs (e.g., MGIB) on AR recruiting and retention should be examined.**
- **TPU Soldiers appear to have few resources for determining eligibility for VA administered programs and for acquiring local assistance to satisfy the administrative and execution aspects of these entitlements (e.g., eligibility, application, and payments).**
- **Because the details of the AR EA programs change over time, deliberate and recurring training on AR EA programs may be required for recruiters, unit administrators, ESS, and CPS to ensure they maintain current knowledge about the details of these programs.**
- **Current TA invoice practices with supporting colleges appear to consume a significant amount of time and may benefit from deliberate automation where possible.**
- **Within WEBS, it may be useful to add a feature that helps a Soldier isolate on the AR EA programs (to include the VA administered MGIB) for which he/she is eligible, without having to investigate the entire suite of AR EA programs and negotiate the entire suite of automated information and process sources.**

AR EA Study Point of Contact

Drew Cherry, TRAC-LEE

(804) 765-1812, DSN: 539

email: Drew.Cherry@us.army.mil

Backup Slides

Progress to Date

- **Completed core plans and documents: Study Plan, Data Collection Management Plan (DCMP), data request, and one-stop AR EA Fact Sheets.**
- **Developed master question set for the three opinion surveys that will be administered and analyzed in support of this study (i.e., TPU, ROTC Cadets, and DEP Applicants).**
- **Received a portion of the data needed to conduct trend analysis of AR EA programs to enable forecasting.**
- **Developed draft ESS/CPS interview questions and disseminated introductory email and briefing presentation to initial POC set.**
- **Developed detailed methodologies for major components of study.**
- **Coordinated with U.S. Army Accessions Command for recruiting data.**
- **Completed successful assistance visit with a representative from USARC-G1, conducting a robust information exchange and orientation on WEBS and associated networked programs and databases, and continue to coordinate for remaining data.**

Road Ahead

- **Acquire the remaining data needed to conduct analyses.**
- **Complete coordination with US Army Accessions Command, CADET Command and Military Entrance Processing Command.**
- **Complete trend analysis of AR EA Programs (FY04-FY08).**
- **Administer surveys to TPU Soldiers, ROTC Cadets, and DEP Applicants, and conduct site visit interviews with selected ESS, CPS, and Unit Administrators.**
- **Develop and administer ESS and CPS survey; conduct process and streamlining analyses; and manpower requirements determination.**
- **Conduct abbreviated ESS site visits to: (a) observe administrative processes; (b) determine process and information networks; (c) identify interfaces with automated capabilities; (d) identify workload sources; and (e) quantify workload.**
- **Conduct survey, regression, and SOAP analyses and synthesis.**
- **Conduct cost analysis at AR EA program level (current and future).**
- **Answer study questions and develop emerging results briefing.**

Note: For estimated progress timeline see slide # 10 of this presentation.