



 10-11-12 JUNE 2008	 CONTRACTOR DISCLOSURE FORM	712A MORS P#: (if known) DEADLINE: 2 MAY 08 Fax to: 703-933-9066
PART I Author Request - The following author(s) request authority to disclose the following presentation at the next MORS Symposium with subsequent publication in the MORSS Final Report, for inclusion on the MORSS CD and/or posting on the MORS web site.		
Principal Author: Bret Kelliher		Other Author(s): <i>N/A</i>
Principal Author's Organization and complete mailing address: DCS Corporation 1330 Braddock Place Alexandria, VA 22314		Principal Author's Signature: <i>[Signature]</i> Date: 16/April/2008 Phone: 571-227-6284 FAX: 571-227-6001 Email: bkelliher@dcscorp.com
Title of Presentation: A Modeling and Simulation Approach to Analysis of Stressors on Non-Line of Sight Launch System (NLOS-LS) Control Cell Crew		MORSS Agenda Manager ID#:
This presentation is believed to be: ☐ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☒ UNCLASSIFIED and will be presented in: ☐ Special Session ☐ Tutorial ☐ Demo ☐ Poster ☐ CG: A-B-C-D-E-F (Circle one) ☒ List all WG(s) #: 25, 248, 32 This work was performed in connection with a government contract. ☒ YES (Complete Parts I, II, & III) This presentation is based on material developed by the author as part of company-approved research e.g. IR&D and was NOT done under a government contract. ☒ YES (Complete Parts I & II) This presentation was NOT done under a government contract, contains no government information, is my own work and is approved for public release. ☐ YES (Complete Part I only)		
PART II Contractor Security Officer Endorsement - The Contractor Security Officer concurs in the assigned classification and consents to the disclosure. A copy of the presentation, as made, will be provided to the Contracting Officer for approval.		
This work was performed in connection with Contract #: <i>DAAD14-01-C00065</i> let by (Activity): <i>Army Research Laboratory</i> Dated:		
Contractor Security Officers Title: <i>Director of Security</i>		Organization: <i>DCS Corporation</i>
Printed name: <i>TERA. WOODSON</i>		Complete mailing address: <i>DCS Corporation 1330 Braddock Place, Suite 700 Alexandria, VA 22314</i>
☒ <i>Tera Woodson</i> Date: 4/22/08		
Contractor Security Officer's Signature: <i>[Signature]</i> Email: <i>woodson@dcscorp.com</i> Phone: 571-227-6275 FAX: 571-227-6001		
PART III Releasing Official/GOV'T Contracting Officer OR Study Sponsor and DoD Directive 5230.24 - Required Applicable Distribution Statement		
The Releasing Official/Government Contracting Officer or Study Sponsor, with the understanding that MORS Symposia are supervised by the OCNO N81, that all attendees have current security clearances of at least SECRET and that no foreign nationals will be present confirms that the overall classification of the presentation is: ☐ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ OTHER: _____ and authorizes disclosure at the meeting.		
Classified by: _____ Declassified by: _____ Downgrade to: _____ On: _____		
☐ Distribution statement A: This presentation/paper is unclassified, approved for public release, distribution unlimited, and is exempt from U.S. export licensing and other export approvals under the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR 120 et seq.)		
☐ Other distribution statement: (List here or attach separate sheet)		
Releasing Official/GOV'T Contracting Officer or Study Sponsor's: Title: Name: ☒ Date: Signature: <i>[Signature]</i> Email: _____ Phone: _____ FAX: _____		Organization: Complete mailing address:

Government Signed
Copy was submitted
to MORS office

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 01 JUN 2008		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Modeling and Simulation Approach to Analysis of Stressors on Non-Line of Sight Launch System (NLOS-LS) Control Cell Crew				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) DCS Corporation Alexandria, VA				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM202527. Military Operations Research Society Symposium (76th) Held in New London, Connecticut on June 10-12, 2008, The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 32	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

A Modeling and Simulation Approach to Analysis of Stressors on Non-Line of Sight Launch System (NLOS-LS) Control Cell Crew

***Bret Kellihan
DCS Corporation
10-12 June 2008***




Human Centric Network Enabled Battle Command



- Part of the RDECOM MATREX Program
- Focused on HPM/HBM of warfighters
- Command Control and Communications Human Performance Model (C3HPM)
 - Based on IMPRINT
 - Ability to alter human performance based on stress conditions
- Historical Models
 - J-CAS
 - TRADOC FireSupport Threads
- FCS SO1 NLOS-LS Modeling and Analysis

- Modeling Architecture for Technology Research and Experimentation
- MATREX provides a unifying M&S architecture, tools, and infrastructure that ease the integration and use of multi-resolution live, virtual, constructive applications







Command Control and Communications Human Performance Model (C3HPM)



- Tool for Human Behavior and Human Performance Modeling
- Based on IMPRINT (ARL tool)
- Task Level Analysis
 - Human Timelines
 - Workload
 - Stressors
- Models stored in an Ontology to provide simulation independent representation of behavior








Operator workload is driven by operational scenario, not random numbers

- COP management
- External commo
- Scenario events

System of Systems Simulation




Q: Why didn't the MCS engage the Draega when it should have been in full view and lose initiative in the engagement?



How did human performance impact platform effectiveness based on operator workload?



C3HPM Simulation Execution IMPRINT Task Characterization





Application of C3HPM Human Performance Modeling to FCS SO1 NLOS-LS



Provides a human-fidelity modeling capability that can support force analysis and organizational design

- Explore effective Tactics Techniques and Procedures (TTP's) to deploy, operate and maintain NLOS-LS technology with constructive models in a dynamic environment
 - Analysis of Alternatives from the human performance perspective
 - Alternative task breakdowns, flow, manning, timings and constraints
 - Measure effectiveness in environmental extremes that can not be easily field tested, such as performance degradation due to MOPP gear
- Effects of alternative information flows
 - Where decisions should be made
 - How decisions should be made
 - Levels of Situation Awareness given human constraints
(cognitive, physical, visual and auditory)

Supports MANPRINT Analysis

- Man-machine task allocation
- How many soldiers?
- Of what type?
- Trained how?
- Used safely under what conditions?

Provides cost-effective capability to exercise live and virtual simulations within MATREX in a constructive manner to support experimentation


- Constructive models of operators of virtual simulations
- Constructive models of operators of live applications



C3HPM Mission Thread Based Modeling Process



- ABCS Software Block Intra Army Interoperability Certification Mission Thread Products
 - Used by CTSF to test interoperability of current force battle command systems
 - Provides description of command and control behaviors
 - Can be readily transformed into behavior models with some SME input





Mission Thread Example



Microsoft Excel - SWBB2 FS 1a Narrative 27 Jun 05-annotated (2)

File Edit View Insert Format Tools Data Window Help

Y21 what triggers observer to do this? How would that be explicitly defined?

Post-Conditions:
1. Desired effects achieved on target; ASAS receives MFR

Notes:
1. To testers and reviewers: Mission is from observers thru a FIST to cannons; includes CFF establish on-call 1.8, fire prev tgt 1.2, pass/emt targets topic, FFE, MTO 1.6, Chk Fire All, EOM, MFR.
2. The FIST may be a Stryker, A3 Bradley or Other and is using the FOS. Observer is either the FOS, PFED, FBCB2 equipped soldier.
3. Howitzer or HOW is the 155mm Paladin with an AFCS or PDFCS computer, the LW 155 with a DFCS computer or a towed or self-propelled howitzer with a GDU or GDU-R. The LW 155 uses an ASIP r
4. PASS is non-critical for ASAS. ASAS only reads C241.
5. Removed


Issues:

Step	Activity	Information	INFO Format Type	Message Number	COMMS	C2 or SA	Echelon	Unit Type	CP Type	Role	FROM Sending System	TO Echelon	Unit Type	CP Type	Role
1	Observer establishes an on-call target and sends it to the CO FIST	VMF-Call for Fire	VMF 6017	K02.04	SINCGARS	C2	PLT, Platform	MVR, AVN	N/A	OBS	M1A2 SEP, M2A3, Stryker, FBCB2, FOS, PFED AFATDS,	CO	MVR	TOC	FIS
1a	CO FIST receives on-call tgt request from the Observer, does a quick analysis to check for duplicate targeting, friendly locations, FSCM and sends on-call tgt to the Bn FECC	VMF-Call for Fire	VMF 6017	K02.04	SINCGARS	C2	CO	MVR	TOC	FIST	A3-BFIST, Stryker, FOS	BN	MVR	Main	FEC
1b	Bn FECC processes on-call tgt where it is checked for duplication, compared to FSCMs and subjected to commander's criteria: assigns tgt number, stores target in on-call list, sends CO FIST Message to Observer (MTO)	VMF-MTO	VMF 6017	K02.14	SINCGARS	C2	BN	MVR	Main	FECC	AFATDS	CO	MVR	TOC	FIS
1c	CO FIST receives MTO which provides tgt number, stores the tgt and sends the on-call tgt MTO to the Observer	VMF-MTO	VMF 6017	K02.14	SINCGARS	C2	CO	MVR	TOC	FIST	A3-BFIST, Stryker, FOS	PLT, Platform	MVR, AVN	N/A	OBS
2	Time passes. Observer detects enemy activity in vicinity of on-call tgt and transmits a quick fire (CFF) requesting fires on the tgt to the CO FIST	VMF-Call for Fire	VMF 6017	K02.04	SINCGARS	C2	PLT, Platform	MVR, AVN	N/A	OBS	M1A2 SEP, M2A3, Stryker, FBCB2, FOS, PFED AFATDS.	CO	MVR	TOC	FIS

FS-1a/


Ready NUM

TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.






Behavior Model Representation in OWL-S



TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.



NLOS-LS Proposed Current Force C2 Integration



TECHNOLOGY DRIVEN. WARFIGHTER FOCUSED.




Understanding of the Problem

NLOS-LS Task Organization



- The FCS Spin Out 1 NLOS Launcher System (LS) Section will consist of a two man section HQ, a three man NLOS-LS Control Cell (CC), and three two man teams that operate the six Container/Launch Units (CLU).
- The AFATDS operator in the CC will perform all the tactical and technical fire control for NLOS-LS for the entire BCT AO
 - Reliance on automation in AFATDS to handle much of the control, and coordination
 - Reliance on higher echelon (Bde FSC, Fires Bn FDC) to perform coordination, and deconfliction of missions before being sent to the NLOS-LS CC
- Experimental excursions to study the limits of one CC was performed
 - Physics based limits of equipment can be calculated
 - Limits of human operator(s) are uncertain
- Experimental excursions to study the effect of two CCs in the NLOS-LS section is also desired
 - Possible benefit of extending the communication range
 - Possible benefit of supporting independent movement and operations
 - Eliminating the single point of failure

FCS Spin Out 1 NLOS-LS Control Cell Modeling






FCS Spin Out 1 NLOS-LS Model Development





- Observers collected data at the Fires Battle Lab at Ft. Sill during the pilot test (July 2007)
- Modeling is focused on the Control Cell Chief and AFATDS Operator
- Developed Stub models to stimulate and respond to the operators modeled in the C3HPM
 - Precision Attack Munition
 - AFATDS
 - Fire Mission Generator





Fire Mission Green Sequence






Qualitative Analyses


- Observation of B-L times varying across nearly 2 orders of magnitude (range $\simeq 150 - 20,000+$ sec) indicated a need for transformation prior to hypothesis testing
 - ANOVA models assessed logarithmically transformed B-L times (units of $\ln[\text{sec}]$)
 - Later hypothesis tests validated this transformation by:
 - revealing significant effects that were masked by variance heterogeneity
 - attenuating magnitude of effects that were exaggerated by difference due to scale
- Graphical assessment of relationship between workload and B-L times indicated potential value in treating this variable as continuous rather than categorical
 - later hypothesis tests validated pooling degrees of freedom in this manner as valuable for estimation of the relationship between workload and performance
 - similar assessments on other independent variables did not lead to similar conclusions (i.e. treating MOPP levels as continuous reduced ability to detect differences in performance)

Formal Hypothesis Tests


- n-way ANOVAs were conducted using MATLAB 7.0
- backward selection was used to determine main and interaction effects to be included in the final model
 - All main effects and interactions up to the third order were initially examined
 - Non-significant terms were removed and the model was re-run
 - When interactions were observed, associated main effects remained in the model regardless of level of univariate significance (i.e. MOPP and Movement exposure were included despite lacking significance as main effects)
- The final statistical model:
 - Independent variables:
 - Continuous variable
 - » Workload (# missions/hour)
 - Categorical variables
 - » MOPP (protective gear) level (0,3,4)
 - » Noise (50-60, 70-80 decibels)
 - » Movement exposure (none, 1-2, 2-3, 3-4, 4-5 Hours)
 - » Environmental category (Cold/windy, nominal, Hot/humid)
 - Interaction terms
 - » Workload × MOPP
 - » Noise × Movement Exposure as interactions
 - Dependent variable: Log-transformed B-L time




- Significant main effect for environmental category ($F_{2,242} = 16.57$, $p < 0.001$);
 - Performance was more variables in hot/humid conditions than either of the other two categories
- Qualitative inspection of results indicated a potential heat-humidity interaction, however, because of how the simulation conditions were run, it was not possible to formally test this interaction within the same statistical model as other variables
 - As ambient temperature increased beyond 40° C, performance appeared to diminish at lower levels of relative humidity (illustrated by solid red data points)
 - When ambient temperature was set to 40-44°C, worst performance was observed when RH was in the range of 61-70%;
 - When ambient temperature was 45° C or greater, considerable performance effects were seen at any RH above 51%



- Significant main effect for workload ($F_{1,242} = 15.28$, $p < 0.001$), which was represented as a regression effect (continuous variable)
- Solid red circles indicate conditions with a high heat index
 - Despite the qualitatively different values for the high heat index conditions, the same linear trend was observed for all data as a function of workload



- Significant main effect for noise ($F_{1, 242} = 6.2, p < 0.02$)
 - It seemed that performance was slightly degraded as ambient noise was at a level that would be perceived as at least twice as loud as normal conversation
- Significant interaction between noise and movement exposure ($F_{4, 242} = 2.76, p < 0.03$)
 - It appears as if there may be no effect of movement exposure at normal conversational noise levels (excluding the nonlinear influence of high heat index conditions)
 - However, when noise is at a level that would be perceived as double the volume, there seems to be a slight linear reduction in performance with increased duration of movement exposure



Significant interaction between MOPP and Workload ($F_{2, 242} = 3.89, p < 0.03$)

- The relative increase in B-L times as a function of workload appeared greater in conditions simulating MOPP level 4 and, in particular, as workload increased to 20 missions per hour and beyond
- When simulating MOPP level 3, there seemed to be a similar increase in B-L times as workload increased beyond 30 missions per hour
- When MOPP level was 0, there did not appear to be a consistent influence of workload



Results Summary



- The two most dramatic effects on B-L time were environmental category and number of missions per hour.
- The effect of environmental category appeared almost entirely due to a particular combination of heat/humidity conditions involving the greatest heat index; for temperatures in the range of 40-44 °C, performance declines were observed at relative humidity above 61% and for temperatures 45°C and above, performance declines appeared around 51% relative humidity.
- Evidence indicates that MOPP level may also be a minor contributor to the influence of workload – in particular, it seems that 20+ missions per hour while wearing MOPP levels 3 or 4 will be associated with increased variability in performance.
- Finally, there seemed also to be a small effect of ambient noise, where noise levels that are perceived as at least double normal conversational levels will be associated with a small but consistent increase in B-L time and this effect may vary somewhat with duration of exposure to movement.

- NLOS-LS SO1
 - Model Test Model Paradigm
 - Awaiting Data collected from SO1 FDT/E
 - Long duration (multi-day) simulations
 - 2 vs. 1 control cell comparison
- JIEDDO
 - Simulation of TTPs of warfighters using defeat devices
 - Simulation of insurgents using IEDs
- JPEO CBD
 - Develop models of warfighters using proposed Chem/Bio defeat devices to assess effectiveness

Questions?