



Soldier/Hardware-in-the-loop Simulation-based Combat Vehicle Duty Cycle Measurement: *Duty Cycle Experiment 2*

Mark Brudnak, Ph.D.
*U.S. Army RDECOM-TARDEC
TARDEC Simulation Lab (TSL)*

UNCLASSIFIED



Report Documentation Page			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 25 MAR 2007		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Soldier/Hardware-in-the-loop Simulation-based Combat Vehicle Duty Cycle Measurement: Duty Cycle Experiment2				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Mark Brudnak				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army RDECOM-TARDEC 6501 E 11 Mile Rd Warren, MI 48397-5000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 17007 RC	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) TACOM/TARDEC	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 17007 RC	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization 2007 SIW Conference, Norfolk, VA, March 25-30, 2007, The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 39	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Prior Work

- Prior experiment DCE1, Nov 2005
- 06S-SIW-080

Human-in-the-loop Simulation-based Combat Vehicle Duty Cycle Measurement: Duty Cycle Experiment 1

Mark Brudnak, Ph.D.
Patrick Nuñez
Victor Paul
Syed Mohammad
Mike Pozolo
U.S. Army RDECOM-TARDEC
6501 E. 11 Mile Road
Warren, MI 48397-5000
586-574-(7355, 7816, 7156, 5266, 6133)
{brudnakm, nunezp, paulv, mohammas, pozolom}
@tacom.army.mil

H. Sam Perera, Ph.D.
Realtime Technologies, Inc.
1517 N. Main Street
Royal Oak, MI 48067
248-548-4876
hsperera@simcreator.com

Todd Mortzfeld
Andrey Shvartsman
DCS Corporation
6501 E. 11 Mile Road
Warren, MI 48397-5000
248-840-9840, 248-569-9395
{tmortzfeld, ashvartsman}@dcscorp.com

Wilford Smith
Science Application International Corporation
4901 Olde Towne Parkway, Suite 200
Marietta, GA 30068
770-579-4417
wilford.smith@saic.com

Keywords:

Hybrid electric power train, duty cycle, motion base, human-in-the-loop

ABSTRACT: *This paper describes the use of human-in-the-loop motion-based simulation to measure the duty cycle of a hybrid-electric combat vehicle. The project is part of TARDEC's Power & Energy program and it is motivated by the need to accurately understand the duty cycle of a hybrid-electric combat vehicle in order to properly design and size its individual components. The project seeks to assess the duty cycle both in terms of mobility-type loads as well as combat-type loads to include pulse-power systems. After introducing the project, the paper describes the simulation environment which was assembled to measure such a duty cycle. It describes the factors which drove the choice of particular components and how those components contribute to the simulation as a whole. It describes the experiment design to include the choice of scenario, terrain, and operator tasks. It discusses the test results and briefly discusses on-going and future work.*

1. Introduction

The Army has been developing hybrid-electric propulsion technology because of its many advantages, some of which are better fuel efficiency and the ability to maintain "silent" operations. Since, many alternative implementations are available for such a system, the Army has developed a Power and Energy System Integration Laboratory (PE-SIL) to combine components into a series hybrid-electric power system. It is currently being used to investigate hybrid-electric propulsion for a tracked 24-ton Future Combat Systems (FCS) manned ground vehicle.

Since the hybrid-electric power system is the sole source of energy for mobility, survivability and lethality on an


FCS vehicle, both the supply and demand of energy must be managed. In order to effectively design a power management system and properly size the components, accurate estimates of power loads throughout the complete range of operations are required. Little data exists on power flows for vehicles involved in future military operations. What is known of FCS operations to date has been determined from inventive thinking, combining concepts of operations and extrapolation of the current state of technology. Simulations intended to measure the power demand of a vehicle system in tactical operations are called *duty cycle experiments* (DCEs); these duty cycle experiments combine engineering-level power supply models with performance-level models of power-consuming devices in a warfighter simulation. The intent of these DCEs is to establish standard vehicle



- Series Hybrid-electric power system
- Laboratory based evaluation of design alternatives
- Driven by automated controller
- Requires a-priori duty cycle



Engine/Generator



Traction Motors

Power Distribution


Battery

Duty Cycle: Definition

- A military vehicle's *duty cycle* is specific to the mission and platform type but is a design- and configuration-independent representation of events and circumstances which affect power consumption.
- Such events and circumstances encompass (1) vehicle operation along the course such as speed, grade, turning, turret/gun activity, and gun firing plus (2) external scenario components that affect power consumption like incoming rounds, ambient temperature, and soil conditions.
- The event inputs can be distance based when the vehicle is moving or time based when the vehicle is stationary, or even triggered with some other state condition.

Ride Motion Simulator

- Man-rated motion base simulator
- Integrated immersive simulation environment
- Real-time vehicle model
- Integrated CAT Crewstation
- Ideal facility for capturing soldier behavior (i.e. duty cycles)



Duty Cycle Experiment 2 (DCE2)


- Measure the “duty cycle” of FCS-MCS-like vehicle
- Use a relevant combat scenario.
- Implement the driver and gunner stations of the vehicle
- Seamlessly operate the CHPS SIL in the loop
- Experienced soldiers as participants
- Measure mobility loads.
- Measure non-mobility loads.
 - Gun/Turret motion
 - Defensive system activity
 - Weapon activity




SIL/RMS Integration Concept



Power Train (SIL)




Combat
Vehicle



Driver/Gunner (TSL)




Geography of Assets: *2,450* miles apart*




* As reported by MapQuest®


Interconnections



Vehicle Dynamics and Terrain




- Implemented in SimCreator®
- Receives Torque
- Outputs
 - Speed
 - Motion
- Integrates its own states



Power Train



- Implemented in Hardware
- Receives
 - Driver Commands
 - Speed
- Outputs Torques
- Dynamometers serve as vehicle proxy
- Hardware contains implicit dynamics



Communication Channel

Modem (56k bps)

- Analog/Digital
 - Dedicated channel
 - Connection-based
 - Reliable??
 - No firewall
 - Noise-based corruption
 - ~350 ms round trip
 - 1.4% loss rate
- 

Internet

- Digital
 - No dedicated channel
 - Packet-based
 - Moderately Reliable
 - Firewall configuration required
 - Dropped packets
 - ~94 ms round trip
 - 0.1% loss rate
- 


Protocol Choice

TCP $\Delta t \gg \underline{D}$ 

- (Virtual) Connection
- Layered on IP
- Stream
- Reliable

UDP $\Delta t \ll \underline{D}$ 

- Connectionless
- Layered on IP
- Packet
- Unreliable



UDP Performance


- Round trip times
 - 33 ms to 188 ms
 - Most at 94 ms
 - Limit 26 ms
- 209 packets dropped
- Vehicle dynamics ~2 ms
- SIL ~10 ms

- Problems

- Substantial delay
- Delay jitter
- Data loss


➡ System Instability

$\underline{D}$ is a random variable




Simple Approach

- Delay > Dynamics response
- Delay > SIL response
- Simulator response
 - Driver → Motion
 - Increased by 2D
- Safety risk to driver
- Damage risk to SIL
- Experimental quality degraded
- Potential instabilities



Parallel Simulation Approach



Note: The SIL always lags the TSL by $\underline{D}$.



Parallel – Evaluation

Pros


- SIL will receive proper commands delayed by D
- Immediate response
- The GVSL and SIL are not coupled

Cons


- The power train model does not exactly match the SIL
- The GVSL and the SIL will tend to drift apart over time.





$\neq$




Observer-Based Approach




Power train dynamics




Power Train Observer (skewed)




Power Train Observer (un-skewed)



Vehicle dynamics




$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_k^v = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_L \\ \tau_R \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1}^v = \mathbf{x}_k^v + \mathbf{f}^v(\mathbf{x}_k^v, \boldsymbol{\tau}_k^v)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_k^v = \mathbf{h}^v(\mathbf{x}_k^v)$$




$$\mathbf{y}_k^v =$$


$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ h \\ v \\ \omega_L \\ \omega_R \end{bmatrix}$$

Vehicle Observer – Direct

- Imposes an artificial force on the vehicle
- Used to track
 - Lateral position
 - Heading
 - Sprocket speed




Vehicle Observer – Indirect



- Used to track longitudinal position


Scenario

- 4 km x 10 km area
- 13 km route
- Grades greater than 30%
- 5 RPG teams encountered on route black
- BMPs encountered after RP
- T80s encountered after BMP engagement.




MCS Platoon as implemented


- Played lead vehicle in the platoon
- Vehicles 1, 3, 4 modeled in OTB in “follow simulator” mode.
- Blue vehicles had little to no impact on behavior of vehicle 2.
- Other blue elements were notional



Engagements



Engagement	Scenario A	Scenario B
#1	A-1	B-1
#2	A-2	B-2
#3	A-3	B-3
#4	A-4	B-4
#5	A-5	B-5
#6	A-6	B-6
#7	A-7	B-7



UNCLASSIFIED

Experiment Design

- Each soldier was assigned a subject number S01 – S12
- Soldiers were paired up and allowed to both drive and gun.
- Each configuration was assigned a team number T01 – T12
- Two scenarios used to maintain element of surprise

	Team	Driver	Gunner	Scenario
Week 1 Jun 19-22	T01	S01	S02	A
	T02	S02	S01	B
	T03	S03	S04	A
	T04	S04	S03	B
Week 2 Jun 26-29	T05	S05	S06	A
	T06	S06	S05	B
	T07	S07	S08	A
	T08	S08	S07	B
Week 3 Jul 10-13	T09	S09	S10	A
	T10	S10	S09	B
	T11	S11	S12	A
	T12	S12	S11	B




Experiment Participants

- 11th ACR
- MOS19K
- E7 Proxy Commander
- 12 Participants
 - 1-E4, 6-E5, 5-E6
 - Age [20-34], mean 26.8
 - Avg. time in MOS 6.3 y




Path and grade

- Shown are the overlaid traces for all 12 runs.
- Variation at the end is due to tactical maneuver.





Results: Long & Lat




Long Haul Performance

- Typical delay ~ 800 ms
- Experienced outage of ~7 sec.
- Recovered from outage.
- Vehicles tracked well.




SIL Performance




Conclusions & Future Work

- Successfully integrated RMS, vehicle dynamics and CHPS SIL over distance of 2,450 miles
- Implementation based on observers.
- Shown to be robust in the presence of outages.
- A follow-on experiment is planned.

Backup Slides



DCE 2.1: TMBS



Data Acquisition

- 293 channels at 50 Hz
 - 21 Power on/off
 - 21 Events
 - 157 Long Haul Channels
 - 28 power system states
 - 42 vehicle states
 - External Events
 - Fire events
 - Detonation
 - Entity State PDUs
 - Video of experiment
- P&E HWIL SIL logged information
 - Demographics

