



# Potential Impact of Open Architecture on AEGIS

## Using KVA and Real Options Analysis

14 May 2008

Dr. Thomas Housel and Dr. Jonathan Mun  
Naval Postgraduate School



| Report Documentation Page                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                    |                                     |                                                           | Form Approved<br>OMB No. 0704-0188                  |                                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. |                                    |                                     |                                                           |                                                     |                                 |
| 1. REPORT DATE<br><b>14 MAY 2008</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                    | 2. REPORT TYPE                      |                                                           | 3. DATES COVERED<br><b>00-00-2008 to 00-00-2008</b> |                                 |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br><b>Potential Impact of Open Architecture on AEGIS Using KVA and Real Options Analysis</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER                                 |                                 |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 5b. GRANT NUMBER                                    |                                 |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER                          |                                 |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER                                  |                                 |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 5e. TASK NUMBER                                     |                                 |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER                                |                                 |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br><b>Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, 93943</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER            |                                 |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)                    |                                 |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                    |                                     |                                                           | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)              |                                 |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT<br><b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                    |                                     |                                                           |                                                     |                                 |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES<br><b>5th Annual Acquisition Research Symposium: Creating Synergy for Informed Change, May 14-15, 2008 in Monterey, CA</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                    |                                     |                                                           |                                                     |                                 |
| 14. ABSTRACT                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                    |                                     |                                                           |                                                     |                                 |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                    |                                     |                                                           |                                                     |                                 |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                    |                                     | 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT<br><b>Same as Report (SAR)</b> | 18. NUMBER OF PAGES<br><b>20</b>                    | 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON |
| a. REPORT<br><b>unclassified</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | b. ABSTRACT<br><b>unclassified</b> | c. THIS PAGE<br><b>unclassified</b> |                                                           |                                                     |                                 |

# Overview

---

- Introduction
- Knowledge Value Added (KVA) and Real Options Analysis
- KVA + RO Valuation Framework
- Case Study Methodology
- The Challenge
- AEGIS Software Maintenance and Upgrade Process
- AEGIS On-Ship and Off-Ship Processes
- AEGIS Off-Ship Sub-Processes
- KVA Methodology Process Steps
- Case Study Results: Potential Impact of OA
- Case Study Results: Revised Processes
- Case Study Results: Cost, ROI and Revenues
- Real Options Analysis
- Real Options Results: Strategies A-D
- Research Implications



# Introduction

---

- NPS conducted research in a proof-of-concept case study to quantify the potential benefits of Open Architecture on AEGIS.
- Knowledge-value Added/Real-options (KVA+RO) framework was applied to sustaining engineering in AEGIS software maintenance and upgrade process.
- KVA+RO framework provides decision-makers with systematic approach for analyzing benefits and assessing risks of potential IT acquisitions.
- Research conducted from acquirer and system-developer perspective to provide comprehensive view of entire system development lifecycle; prior research conducted from war-fighter perspective.




# Knowledge Value Added and Real Options Analysis

---

- Measures value and cost of human and IT assets.
- Uses a “market comparables” valuation technique, to establish revenue surrogates for discounted cash flow estimates.
- Allows for use of powerful financial metrics in forecasting value of strategic options for replacing systems.
- Estimates value and risk of strategic options using real options analysis (Hammer, 2007 measures drivers of value and risk).



# KVA+RO Valuation Framework



# Case Study Methodology

---


- Analysis first conducted for one ship and then scaled up to include entire 84 AEGIS fleet of ships.
- Data used in analysis derived from interviews with Subject-matter Experts (SME's), surveys and secondary research.
- KVA methodology was first applied in Phase 1 of research under two scenarios: As-is and To-be.
- Real-options analysis was conducted in Phase 2 on several three scenarios to assess risks associated with potential strategies for AEGIS software maintenance and upgrade process.



# The Challenge

- Software is an increasingly important functionality in Naval systems.
- For example, the size of the DDG 1000 combat system is expected to increase 35% to almost 1.8 MSLOC—larger than the AEGIS Baseline 7.1R

Size of Typical Naval Combat System



Source: Horvitz, E., Katz, D.J., Rumpf, R.L., Shrobe, H., Smith, T.B., Webber, G.E., Williamson, W.E., Winston, P.H., Wolbarsht, James L., (2006, July). "Software Intensive Systems," United States Naval Research Advisory Committee (NRAC) Panel on SOFTWARE INTENSIVE SYSTEMS. [www.onr.navy.mil/nrac/docs/2006\_rpt\_software\_intensive\_systems.pdf] Retrieved July 15, 2007.



# AEGIS Software Maintenance and Upgrade Process


---


- AEGIS software maintenance and upgrade process very complex involving large number of processes in four main phases (requirements definition, design, test and implementation/installation).
- Software maintenance and upgrade process involves many sub-processes in each one of its main processes.
- Entire AEGIS software upgrade lifecycle is intended to take 18 months; typically takes closer to 24 months due to problems found during testing phase or certification failures.



# AEGIS Software Maintenance and Update Process

## On-Ship and Off-Ship





# KVA Methodology Process Steps

---

1. Identify core processes and sub-processes.
2. Establish common units and level of complexity to measure learning time.
3. Calculate learning time (i.e., knowledge surrogate) to execute each sub-process.
4. Designate sampling time period long enough to capture representative sample of the core processes' final product or services output.
5. Multiply learning time for each sub-process by number of times sub-process executes during sample period.
6. Calculate cost to execute knowledge (learning time and process instructions) to determine process costs.
7. Calculate ROK (ROK= Revenue/Cost) and ROI (ROI= Revenue-Cost/Cost).




# Case Study Results: Potential Impact of OA

---

## Current Processes

- Software Anomaly Detected
- Cause of Anomaly Determined
- Software Bug Report Submitted
- New Software Version Fielded to Units




## *Revised Processes*

- Remote Diagnostics Detect/Fix Anomaly
- Remote Diagnostics Submit Software Bug Report for Anomaly



# Case Study Results: Revised Processes



# Case Study Results: Cost, ROI and Revenues

---

- Costs for one ship decrease \$365,105; costs for all ships decrease by \$26,543,825 per year.
- Return on investment for one ship increases from 69% to 789%; ROI for all ships increases from 320% to 72,287%.
- Revenues (benefits) for one ship increase \$2,488,179 to \$3,837,931; revenues for all ships increase \$209,007,032 to \$322,386,181.



# Case Study Results: Costs Savings

## Costs for ONE Ship

| Process/Revised Process                                                                           | As-is            | To-be            | Potential Savings |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Software Anomaly Detected<br><i>New Release Fielded (Push to Ship via Distance Support)</i>       | \$14,301         | \$7,150          |                   |
| Cause of Anomaly Determined<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Detect/Fix Anomaly</i>                       | \$251,597        | \$50,319         |                   |
| Software Bug Report Submitted<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Submit Software Bug Report for Anomaly</i> | \$1,430          | \$1,430          |                   |
| Anomaly Verified                                                                                  | \$17,021         | \$17,021         |                   |
| Anomaly Appended to Working List of Known Issues                                                  | \$1,307          | \$1,307          |                   |
| Workaround Developed                                                                              | \$17,021         | \$17,021         |                   |
| New Software Version Developed                                                                    | \$236,750        | \$236,750        |                   |
| Known Anomalies are Resolved                                                                      | \$100,639        | \$100,639        |                   |
| New Software Version Fielded to Units                                                             | \$156,840        | \$163            |                   |
| <b>Totals</b>                                                                                     | <b>\$796,907</b> | <b>\$431,802</b> | <b>\$365,105</b>  |

## Costs for ALL Ships

| Process/Revised Process                                                                           | As-is               | To-be            | Potential Savings   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Software Anomaly Detected<br><i>New Release Fielded (Push to Ship via Distance Support)</i>       | \$14,301            | \$7,150          |                     |
| Cause of Anomaly Determined<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Detect/Fix Anomaly</i>                       | \$251,597           | \$50,319         |                     |
| Software Bug Report Submitted<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Submit Software Bug Report for Anomaly</i> | \$1,430             | \$1,430          |                     |
| Anomaly Verified                                                                                  | \$17,021            | \$17,021         |                     |
| Anomaly Appended to Working List of Known Issues                                                  | \$1,307             | \$1,307          |                     |
| Workaround Developed                                                                              | \$17,021            | \$17,021         |                     |
| New Software Version Developed                                                                    | \$236,750           | \$236,750        |                     |
| Known Anomalies are Resolved                                                                      | \$100,639           | \$100,639        |                     |
| New Software Version Fielded to Units                                                             | 26,349,120          | \$13,723         |                     |
| <b>Totals</b>                                                                                     | <b>\$26,989,187</b> | <b>\$445,362</b> | <b>\$26,543,825</b> |



# Case Study Results: ROI

## ROI For ONE Ship

| Process/Revised Process                                                                           | As-is      | To-be       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Software Anomaly Detected<br><i>New Release Fielded (Push to Ship via Distance Support)</i>       | 297%       | 3874%       |
| Cause of Anomaly Determined<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Detect/Fix Anomaly</i>                       | 236%       | 4605%       |
| Software Bug Report Submitted<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Submit Software Bug Report for Anomaly</i> | 2108%      | 39636%      |
| Anomaly Verified                                                                                  | 234%       | 234%        |
| Anomaly Appended to Working List of Known Issues                                                  | 1188%      | 1188%       |
| Workaround Developed                                                                              | 78%        | 78%         |
| New Software Version Developed                                                                    | -36%       | -36%        |
| Known Anomalies are Resolved                                                                      | -41%       | -41%        |
| New Software Version Fielded to Units                                                             | -36%       | 185408%     |
| <b>Totals</b>                                                                                     | <b>69%</b> | <b>789%</b> |

## ROI For ALL Ships

| Process/Revised Process                                                                           | As-is       | To-be         |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Software Anomaly Detected<br><i>New Release Fielded (Push to Ship via Distance Support)</i>       | 33278%      | 333681%       |
| Cause of Anomaly Determined<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Detect/Fix Anomaly</i>                       | 28133%      | 395159%       |
| Software Bug Report Submitted<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Submit Software Bug Report for Anomaly</i> | 185334%     | 3337713%      |
| Anomaly Verified                                                                                  | 27943%      | 27943%        |
| Anomaly Appended to Working List of Known Issues                                                  | 108113%     | 108113%       |
| Workaround Developed                                                                              | 14856%      | 14856%        |
| New Software Version Developed                                                                    | 5277%       | 5277%         |
| Known Anomalies are Resolved                                                                      | 4841%       | 4841%         |
| New Software Version Fielded to Units                                                             | -68%        | 185408%       |
| <b>Totals</b>                                                                                     | <b>320%</b> | <b>72287%</b> |



# Case Study KVA Results: Revenues

## Revenues For One Ship


| Process/Revised Process                                                                           | As-is              | To-be              | Difference (As-is, To-be) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Software Anomaly Detected<br><i>New Release Fielded (Push to Ship via Distance Support)</i>       | \$56,826           | \$284,131          |                           |
| Cause of Anomaly Determined<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Detect/Fix Anomaly</i>                       | \$845,629          | \$2,367,761        |                           |
| Software Bug Report Submitted<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Submit Software Bug Report for Anomaly</i> | \$31,570           | \$568,262          |                           |
| Anomaly Verified                                                                                  | \$56,826           | \$56,826           |                           |
| Anomaly Appended to Working List of Known Issues                                                  | \$16,837           | \$16,837           |                           |
| Workaround Developed                                                                              | \$30,307           | \$30,307           |                           |
| New Software Version Developed                                                                    | \$151,537          | \$151,537          |                           |
| Known Anomalies are Resolved                                                                      | \$59,194           | \$59,194           |                           |
| New Software Version Fielded to Units                                                             | \$101,024          | \$303,073          |                           |
| <b>Totals</b>                                                                                     | <b>\$1,349,752</b> | <b>\$3,837,931</b> | <b>\$2,488,179</b>        |

## Revenues For ALL Ships

| Process/Revised Process                                                                           | As-is        | To-be         | Difference (As-is, To-be) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Software Anomaly Detected<br><i>New Release Fielded (Push to Ship via Distance Support)</i>       | \$4,773,407  | \$23,867,035  |                           |
| Cause of Anomaly Determined<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Detect/Fix Anomaly</i>                       | \$71,032,841 | \$198,891,956 |                           |
| Software Bug Report Submitted<br><i>Remote Diagnostics Submit Software Bug Report for Anomaly</i> | \$2,651,893  | \$47,734,069  |                           |
| Anomaly Verified                                                                                  | \$4,773,407  | \$4,773,407   |                           |
| Anomaly Appended to Working List of Known Issues                                                  | \$1,414,343  | \$1,414,343   |                           |
| Workaround Developed                                                                              | \$2,545,817  | \$2,545,817   |                           |
| New Software Version Developed                                                                    | \$12,729,085 | \$12,729,085  |                           |
| Known Anomalies are Resolved                                                                      | \$4,972,299  | \$4,972,299   |                           |
| New Software Version Fielded to Units                                                             | \$8,486,057  | \$25,458,170  | <b>\$209,007,032</b>      |



# Real-options Analysis



# Real-Options Valuation Results: Strategies A-D

|                              | Strategy A           | Strategy B                                                        | Strategy C                     | Strategy D                       |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>STRATEGIC OPTION</b>      | <b>AS-IS<br/>(1)</b> | <b>TO-BE<br/>(W/out<br/>Changes in<br/>Fielding to<br/>Units)</b> | <b>TO-BE<br/>(1,3,4,5,6,7)</b> | <b>TO-BE<br/>(1,2,3,4,5,6,7)</b> |
| <b>Total Strategic Value</b> | \$196M               | \$995M                                                            | \$1.24B                        | 1.48B                            |
| <b>Volatility</b>            | 10%                  | 30%                                                               | 60%                            | 50%                              |
| <b>Total Cost</b>            | \$208M               | \$96M                                                             | \$30M                          | \$80M                            |

Key:

1. As-is
2. Implement remote diagnostics/prognostics through ORTSTARS/Distance support, plus the ability of the crew to inject a trouble report through ORTSTARS/Distance support.
3. Number 2 plus providing software updates to the ship on media, then having the crew install with technician DS (remote) help. (Could also postulate a "sense and respond" sort of thing, in which a "local" tech is scheduled to the ship based on its availability and the update's arrival. Would count on local assets, not travel from Dahlgren...)
4. Number 3 plus notification of the ship that the update is available for download. Ship initiates download and installs with DS help.
5. Number 4, except that the ship is notified that updates are available. The on-ship operators tell DS they're ready, and the remote tech takes control and installs the update.
6. Number 4 except that the update is pushed to the ship, then cached until operators are ready to install. Ship installs with DS assistance if needed.
7. Final state in which the update is pushed to the ship and installs during slack time, notifying the ship and allowing operators to say "not now."



# Research Implications

---

- Results from our research indicate that implementing OA could result in substantial cost savings, optimal return on investment and increased benefits.
- Software updates could be available via push or pull method with OA. In the pull method, user downloads and installs updates. With the push method, software is pushed to the network node remotely, thereby reducing onsite personnel while speeding up the upgrade process.
- New software updates could be fielded to the ship through in either method, resulting in reduced cycle-time fielding new software to its shipboard configuration.
- Remote diagnostics could also be performed to further reduce cycle-time.

