



Acquisition Research Program:
Creating Synergy for Informed Change

COTS Impact to RM&S from an ISEA Perspective


Robert Howard

Land Attack System Engineering, Test & Evaluation Division
Supportability Manager, Code L20

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE MAY 2008		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2008 to 00-00-2008	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE COTS Impact to RM&S from an ISEA Perspective				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Sea Systems Comd Port Hueneme, Land Attack Department, Code L20, Port Hueneme, CA, 93041				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 5th Annual Acquisition Research Symposium: Creating Synergy for Informed Change, May 14-15, 2008 in Monterey, CA					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 10	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Introduction



NSWC Port Hueneme Background



Homeport for Navy's Test Ship
Deep Water Port
44 Years of Fleet Support

1912 Civilians
21 Officers, 90 Enlisted
940 Industry Support

Port Hueneme

- *Combat, Weapon, UNREP Systems
- *In-Service Engineering
- *Test, Evaluation and Certification
- *Integrated Logistics

San Diego

- *Systems Engineering
- *Systems Integration

White Sands

- *Missile Systems
- *HE Lasers

Washington D.C.

- *Headquarters

Virginia Beach

- *Radars

Louisville

- *Guns
- *Corrosion Control



Mission: Integrate, Test, Evaluate and Provide Life-Cycle Engineering and Logistics for Today's and Tomorrow's Warfare Systems




Acquisition Research Program: Creating Synergy for Informed Change

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA


NSWC Port Hueneme Background



- AEGIS Combat System
- Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD)
- Close In Weapon System
- Cooperative Engagement Capability (CEC)
- Battle Force Interoperability
- Evolved NATO Seasparrow Missile (ESSM)
- Guided Missile Launching Systems
- Gun Weapon Systems (Major/Minor Caliber)
- HARPOON Weapon System
- HE Laser
- Integrated Auto Detect & Tracking System
- MK 34 Gun Weapon System
- MK 86 Gun Fire Control System



- MK 92 Fire Control System
- NATO Seasparrow Missile System
- Rapid Anti-Ship Missile Integrated Defense System
- Rolling Airframe Missile System
- Search Radars
- Ship Self Defense System (SSDS)
- Standard Missile
- Tactical TOMAHAWK
- Target Acquisition System
- TOMAHAWK Weapon Control System (All Variants) and TOMAHAWK All Up Round
- Underway Replenishment
- Vertical Launching System



Acquisition Research Program: Creating Synergy for Informed Change

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA

Architecture



Issue
Sustainment challenges as we move to Open Architecture

Impact

Increased “system” reliability issues	Increased maintenance complexity	Increased configuration tracking requirements
---------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---


Interim Solution

Fleet operating procedures	System level maintenance aids	SUB LRU tracking process
----------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------


Long Term Solution

Design inherent capabilities to provide FD/FI
Reduce conflicts in architecture issues
Allow architecture to change as issues are found

CP
MW
HW
Mil Example



COTS Example



LAN System Manual



Design Support Analysis



Issue

Current MILSTD only addresses hardware issues.

Impact

Unknown reliability issues

Inadequate maintenance aids

Inaccurate root cause identification

*"...was upgraded to ..., since then we have experienced constant **system problems** ... experienced issues with technical documentation, parts support, equipment training, system reliability and computer program performance"*

Interim Solution

Update and develop R&M analysis products

Conduct analysis of fleet failure

Long Term Solution

Conduct Gap analysis on current supportability guidance
Leverage from commercial efforts



Data

Issue

Inaccurate data obtained from manufacturer

Impact

Architecture is over and under designed

Optimal maintenance strategy may not be reflected

Inaccurate modeling for lifetime “buy” requirements

Interim Solution

Adjust maintenance and supply support posture on actual reliability data

Plan for reassessment of “O”, “I”, and “D” levels

Buy to confidence level and use fleet inventory shore assets for risk fallback

Long Term Solution




Develop weighting mechanism for adjusting predicted failure rates
Have a iterative process for improving confidence and failure rate
Adjust acquisition or ILS milestones based on confidence of data



Actual

~63% outside 1 Lambda

~34% insufficient data



Feedback



Issue

RMS Data is incomplete and inaccurate for analysis beyond LRU replacement

Impact

Reliability issues are masked

Maintainability issues are not identified

System support issues are correlated to hardware, not the system

Interim Solution

Use comparative analysis


Utilize direct observations and qualitative feedback mechanisms

Modify engineering analysis process to identify correlating factors


Long Term Solution

Invest in architecture to allow automated data structures for transmission of required data


Develop shorebase analysis tools as part of knowledge management



2k – Use of optional fields is decreasing and majority ordered parts (95% in FY05)



Next Generation Roadmap



Summary



ISEA recognizes the changing architecture requires changes how we provide in-service to the fleet.

