

10 May 2006

DEFENCE



DÉFENSE

# Technology Maturity Levels Overview of Proposed System

**Brent Hobson, NETE**

**Presented by David Hazen**

**Defence Research & Development Canada - Atlantic**



Defence R&D  
Canada

R et D pour la défense  
Canada

Canada

# Report Documentation Page

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE <b>10 MAY 2006</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2006 to 00-00-2006</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Technology Maturity Levels Overview of Proposed System</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Defence R&amp;D Canada -Atlantic,PO Box 1012,Dartmouth, Nova Scotia B2Y 3Z7,</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>See also ADM002184. Presented at the Air Force Research Laboratory Seminar/Workshop on Multi-Dimensional Assessment of Technology Maturity in Fairborn, OH on 9-11 May 2006.</b>					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>16</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			



# Outline

- Background
- Maturity Measurement Concepts
- Technical Maturity Measurement System
- Applying the TML to Canadian Forces Projects
- Questions



## Caveat

- The Technology Maturity Measurement System described in this brief is a proposal to DND sponsored by Maritime Technology Insertion Working Group. As such it does not represent approved government policy or processes.



# Background

- The current Canadian Forces (CF) system/equipment acquisition process is known as the Defence Management System (DMS) and it involves a series of linear steps where information related to systems/ equipments being developed or considered for purchase is systematically passed for action between the operational, engineering and procurement groups.
- The system has five phases with go/no-go decision points as shown:
  - Identification (end of phase review and decision to proceed)
  - Options Analysis (selection of best options and decision to continue)
  - Definition (decision to purchase and to implement)
  - Procurement
  - Close-out



# CF Defence Management System



IDENTIFICATION	OPTIONS ANALYSIS	DEFINITION	IMPLEMENTATION	CLOSE-OUT
<p>Identify Capability Deficiency</p> <p>Capability-based Planning Validation</p>	<p>Formulate options Discard invalid options</p> <p>Assess benefits of remaining options</p> <p>Examine risk Decide which option should be pursued</p> <p>Definition Planning</p>	<p>Detailed review, risk assessment and costing of selected option.</p> <p>Implementation planning</p>	<p>Management/Monitoring, i.e. Initial/Full Operational Capability Milestones</p> <p>Procurement/Realty Strategies</p> <p>Reports on status of implementation</p>	<p>Full Operational Capability</p> <p>Operational Handover</p> <p>Completion Report Lessons Learned</p>
<p>Decision SS(ID)</p>		<p>Decision SS(PPA)</p>	<p>Decision SS(EPA)</p>	



## Background

- Currently there is no common system that allows all of these organizations to have the same clear understanding of the technical maturity level of any system/equipment at any point in the process.
- This makes assessment of technological maturity (or inversely the risk to productize such systems/equipments) very difficult and related project team discussions and decisions become equally difficult.



# Maturity Measurement Concepts

- There has been a wide body of work completed in this area and a number of different systems have been developed to measure the technological maturity of military equipment/systems such as:
  - Technical Readiness Levels - ratings based on demonstrated system performance ie: laboratory proven, field proven;
  - Interface Maturity Levels – rates an equipment/system on the degree to which it is able to interact with the other equipment/systems in the field;
  - System Readiness Levels - measures the degree documentation, training, life cycle support requirements have been completed;
  - Design Maturity Levels – establishes a series of design review targets over the life of a project; and
  - Manufacturing Readiness Levels – measures issues of concern for getting the equipment/system into commercial production.



# Maturity Measurement Concepts

- Of these systems, the TRL system has found the greatest degree of acceptance and implementation in other navies. DRDC is in the process of implementing this system for use with their Technical Demonstration Program
- However, as noted by Mr. William Nolte (US Airforce Research Laboratory, Wright Patterson Air Force Base),

“The TRL scale measures maturity along a single axis, the axis of technology capability demonstration. A full measure of technology maturity, or in the commercial world product maturity, would be a multi-dimensional metric. It’s not uncommon to find references to 12 or more dimensions of product or technology maturity. One writer speaks of 16 different dimensions of maturity. The TRL measures only one of the 16”<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nolte, William L. Supportability & Systems Engineer, AFRL Sensors Directorate, Wright Patterson AFB, [TRL Calculator, MRL Background](#)



# Technical Maturity Level System

- In an attempt to broaden the scope of technical maturity measurement for the CF, the Technical Maturity Level (TML) system has been developed at DRDC Atlantic as part of the Maritime Technology Insertion Working Group study.
- This proposed system utilizes the NATO TRL system as a baseline, but it expands each TRL level to incorporate the measurement criteria for the other systems at the equivalency levels shown in the following Table.



# Table 1 - Cross System Equivalency Levels

Tech Maturity Level (TML)	Tech Readiness Levels (TRL)	Interface Maturity Levels (IML)	Design Maturity Levels (DML)	System Readiness Levels (SRL)	Manufacturing Readiness Levels (MRL)
0	0	1			
1	1	2	1		
2	2	3,4	2		
3	3	5	3	1	3
4	4	6	4	2,3	4
5	5	7	5	4,5	5
6	6	8	6	6	6
7	7	9	7	7	7
8	8		8	8	8
9	9		9	9	9
TML	T-TRL	P-PROGRAMMATICS			M - MANUFACTURING



# Technical Maturity Level System

- In developing the TML scale, it was decided that each each level would be comprised of criteria from three sub-areas:
  - Technical Readiness.
  - Programmatic – this sub-area combines the measurement criteria for Interface, Design, and System issues
  - Manufacturing Readiness.
- The criteria in each of the sub-areas must be met before making a TM Level determination for any system/equipment
- An extract of the resulting Technology Maturity Level (TML) scale is shown in Table 2 and the following slide shows the use of the TML system in the CF Defence Management process.




## Table 2 – TML Scale Extract

<b>TM Level</b>	<b>Description</b> <b>T–Technical Readiness, P – Programmatics, M – Manufacturing Readiness</b>
<b>4</b>	<p><b>T - <u>Component &amp; / or “Breadboard” Validation in Laboratory/Field Environment</u> - Basic technology components are integrated. This is relatively “low fidelity” compared to the eventual system. Examples of R&amp;D results include integration and testing of “ad hoc” hardware in a laboratory/field setting. Often the last stage for R&amp;D (funded) activity.</b></p> <p><b>P – Interfaces partially demonstrated - system/sub-system level in a high fidelity synthetic environment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Critical design review completed for critical sub-systems</li> <li>- Preliminary Safety/Environmental assessments complete</li> <li>- Supportability work breakdown structure completed</li> <li>- Sub-system R&amp;M case developed for sub-systems.</li> <li>- Initial Human Machine Interface design completed</li> <li>- Key Sub-System schematics completed.</li> <li>- All Sub-System Specifications defined</li> <li>- Engineering and operational communities negotiated a formal commitment to use the results of the research.</li> </ul> <p><b>M – Laboratory Manufacturing Process demonstrated</b></p>



# DMS Phases Showing TML Match-up





## Conclusions

- The CF currently has no system to measure and report technology maturity levels between the various NDHQ groups who collectively progress the development, procurement and acquisition of systems and equipment.
- Canada's major defence allies (US, UK) are developing and implementing a number of different systems to provide this common traceability for their acquisitions.
- A combined system such as the TML would be a good starting point for the CF to add such a capability into the development and acquisition aspects of systems being progressed through the DMS.



## Selected References

- OSD Technology Readiness Assessment (TRA) Deskbook.  
[http://www.defenselink.mil/ddre/doc/tra\\_deskbook.pdf](http://www.defenselink.mil/ddre/doc/tra_deskbook.pdf)
- NATO TRLs <http://www.saclantc.nato.int/trl.html>
- UK MOD Acquisition Management System  
<http://www.ams.mod.uk/ams/default.htm>
- UK Production Maturity Levels:  
<http://www.ams.mod.uk/ams/content/docs/prodmaty.htm>
- CA DND Defence Planning and Management  
[http://www.vcds.forces.gc.ca/dgsp/pubs/dp\\_m/intro\\_e.asp](http://www.vcds.forces.gc.ca/dgsp/pubs/dp_m/intro_e.asp)
- Brent Hobson, “A Technology Maturity Measurement System for the Department of National Defence”. DRDC Atlantic CR 2005-279. (available soon)

DEFENCE



DÉFENSE

Questions?



Defence R&D  
Canada

R et D pour la défense  
Canada

Canada