



POWER AND ENERGY



Michigan Chapter
NDIA
National Defense Industrial Association

IMPACT OF FRICTION REDUCTION TECHNOLOGIES ON FUEL ECONOMY FOR GROUND VEHICLES

G. R. Fenske, R. A. Erck, O. O. Ajayi, A. Masoner,
and A. S. Comfort

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 08 AUG 2009		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Impact of Friction Reduction Technologies on Fuel Economy for Ground Vehicles				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) G.R. Fenske; R.A. Erck; O.O. Ajayi; A. Masoner' A.S. Confort				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) US Army RDECOM-TARDEC 6501 E 11 Mile Rd Warren, MI 48397-5000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 20144 RC	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) TACOM/TARDEC	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 20144 RC	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at NDIAs Ground Vehicle Systems Engineering and Technology Symposium (GVSETS), 17 22 August 2009,Troy, Michigan, USA, The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 21	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Outline of Presentation

POWER AND ENERGY



- Overview of Petroleum Consumption - How Much Do We Actually Use
- How Does Tribology (Friction and Wear) Fit Into the Equation
 - If we get rid of all friction, how big of an impact will a 'frictionless' engine have on petroleum consumption
 - If We reduce friction by x%, how much petroleum can we save?
- What's the Difference Between Commercial and Military Applications
 - Driving/Operational Driving Schedules
- What's Being Done (Research) to Reduce Petroleum Consumption, or, Improve Energy Security?



World Energy Production & Consumption

1 QUAD/yr ~ 0.5 MBBL/day

POWER AND ENERGY



- World Energy **Production** (All Sources) 460 Quads (2005)
- US Energy **Production** (All Sources) 72 Quads (2007)
 - 16% of World Production
- World Energy **Consumption** (all sectors) 463 Quads (2005)
- US Energy **Consumption** (all sectors) 101 Quads (2007)
 - 22% of World Consumption
- World Petroleum **Production** 81 MBBL/day (2007)
- US Petroleum **Production** 6.9 MBBL/day (2007)
 - <9% of World Production
- World Petroleum **Consumption** (all uses) 85 MBBL/day (2006)
- US Petroleum **Consumption** 21 MBBL/day (2006)
 - 25% of World Consumption


<http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/aer/pdf/aer.pdf>

UNCLAS: Dist A. Approved for public release

GVSETS

US Energy Flow (2007) 102 QUADs

POWER AND ENERGY




http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/aer/pdf/pages/sec1_3.pdf

- QUADrillion (10^{15}) BTUs per year
- 1 QUAD equivalent to 0.47 million bbl crude oil/day
 - 39.82 QUAD Petroleum - 18 million bbl/day

Majority of Oil Consumed by ON-ROAD
Vehicles - 10 to 11 MBBL/Day for Cars, Light
Trucks, and Heavy Trucks

POWER AND
ENERGY





Federal Government is Largest Single Consumer of Petroleum

POWER AND ENERGY




- In FY 2004; federal agencies accounted for 1.9 % of US petroleum consumption
 - DoD - 93% of US Government Consumption
 - DoD - equivalent to 360,000 bbl/day
- Cost of Petroleum
 - Commercial/civilian (large volume/low price)
 - \$50 to \$ 150/bbl
 - \$2.00-\$4.50/gal at pump
 - Military (lower volume / high price)
 - \$50 to \$150/bbl
 - \$20-\$30/gal delivered (aircraft)
 - \$100-\$600/gal delivered in field (ground vehicles)

How Much of the 10-11 MBBL/day of Petroleum Used for On-Road Vehicles is Lost to Friction? - More Energy is Lost to Friction Than is Delivered to the Wheels

POWER AND ENERGY

- Energy Map- Passenger Vehicle EPA Cycle



- Roughly 10% of energy input consumed by friction
- ≈ 1 million barrels/day lost to friction in transportation



Detailed Studies of Heavy-Truck Friction Losses Based on Mechanistic Models of Boundary and Hydrodynamic Friction Predicted Impact of Lowering Boundary Friction and Lubricant Viscosity on Fuel Economy

POWER AND ENERGY

- FMEP calculated at 8 different modes and weighted to predict effect on fuel consumption for a HD driving cycle



* interface considered in current study


$$\text{FCSF} = \frac{\text{IMEP} + \Delta \text{FMEP}}{\text{IMEP}}$$

(Fuel Consumption Scaling Factor)

Role of Boundary and Hydrodynamic Lubrication Regimes - Tribological System

POWER AND ENERGY



- Different regimes of lubrication depending on the degree of contact between sliding surfaces
- Boundary lubrication characterized by solid-solid contact – asperities of mating surfaces in contact with one another
- Contrast boundary lubrication with full-film lubrication in which mating surfaces are separated by a film.
- In between, mixed lubrication occurs.



Boundary and Hydrodynamic Friction: Model Impact on FMEP and Wear Severity

POWER AND
ENERGY

- Total FMEP is the sum of the Asperity friction and the hydrodynamic friction
 - Boundary FMEP decreases with increasing lubricant viscosity – shifting from BL to ML regime
 - Hydrodynamic FMEP increases with increasing viscosity




Modeling the Impact of Friction on Fuel Efficiency and Identifying Critical Components - HD Diesel


POWER AND ENERGY

Savings

- Systematic studies on the effect of boundary friction and oil viscosity on fuel efficiency
- Up to 1.3 % fuel economy improvement** by low friction additives and/or coatings
- 3-4% fuel economy improvement** by reducing boundary friction and reducing oil viscosity
- Additional 2-4% fuel economy gains in transmission and differential/axle




Predicted Change in Fuel Consumption



'HOWEVER, ... Your mileage may vary...'

POWER AND ENERGY

- Standard disclaimer '**Your mileage may vary**' on EPA mileage estimates is used for a very valid reason, and this is especially true for estimating the impact of friction on the fuel efficiency of military vehicles
 - Parasitic frictional losses depend strongly on engine conditions (load and speed). Highest losses (percentage of IMEP) occur at low speed, low load conditions



- There are significant differences between civilian on-road driving cycles and military driving cycles
 - High percentage of time spent near idle
 - Off-road (high load) and low speed conditions

Military Driving Cycle Significantly Different from On-Road Civilian Cycle


POWER AND ENERGY



- Wheeled Ground Vehicle (80-85 % idle; 25 mph avg.)

Patterns of Use				M998 Baseline			M998 w Armor; w A/C		
	Time (hr)	Distance (mi)	<speed> mph	Burn Rate (gal/hr)	mpg	Consumed (gal)	Burn Rate (gal/hr)	mpg	Consumed (gal)
Total Mission	24	100	25/0	1.4	6.8	32.6	1.9	5.3	46.0
Primary Rds	0.6	20	33	3.3	10.6	1.9	4.2	8.4	2.4
Secondary Rds	1.7	50	30	3.4	8.8	5.7	4.2	7.2	7.0
Trails	0.8	20	25	4.8	5.2	3.9	6.5	3.8	5.2
Cross-Country	1	10	10	3.2	3.1	3.2	4.2	2.4	4.2
Idle	20	-	-	0.9	-	18.0	1.4	-	27.2

- On-Road FTP
 - Low idle
 - High speed
 - On-road (low load)





MITRE Corporation, Report No. JSR-06-135

Impact of Driving Cycle on the Effectiveness of Low-Friction Technologies to Improve Fuel Efficiency

POWER AND ENERGY


- Low friction technologies at high Idle, low speed, high load conditions (lower right) have greater impact on fuel consumption



Friction Reduction Technologies Have Greater Impact on Fuel Economy at High Idle Conditions

POWER AND ENERGY

- Impact of Idle on the fuel consumption for a 90 % reduction in boundary friction






- 13-14 Mbbl/day consumed for transportation
- US military consumes 2% - approx 360,000 bbl/day
 - Cost of fuel delivered to theatre is high (\$100-\$600/gal)
- Rule-of-Thumb
 - Approx 10% of fuel consumed (on-road) is lost to engine friction
 - Another 5% lost to driveline friction
- Driving cycle significantly impacts the efficacy of low-friction technologies to improve fuel economy
 - High idle modes are impacted more by low-friction technologies

Additional Comments – Viscosity & Durability

POWER AND ENERGY



- Use of low-viscosity lubricants, while effective in reducing fuel consumption, will increase contact severity
 - Need for improved wear-resistant materials, coatings and anti-wear additives



Additional Comment – Low Friction Technologies – Can We Achieve 30, 60, or 90 % Reduction in Friction?

POWER AND ENERGY


- Multiple approaches to reduce friction – materials, coatings, additives – requires lab, component, and system testing.



Reliability Under Severe Tribological Environments Critical to Accomplishing Military Missions

POWER AND ENERGY



- Application of advanced lab techniques to characterize scuffing phenomena and investigate the impact of additives on delaying the onset of scuffing.



Impact of Additives on Scuffing

POWER AND ENERGY

- Impact of additives and additive concentration on the scuffing load of formulated mil-spec 15W/40 oil, and unformulated basefluid





Conclusions

POWER AND ENERGY



- Parasitic friction mechanisms (oil shearing and metal-to-metal asperity friction) consume approximately 10% of fuel used in transportation. Another 5% is consumed by drivetrain friction.
- The losses can be significantly greater for vehicle operating cycles that involve long periods of idle, where power is required for hotel power.
- Application of low-friction boundary-film technologies will lower fuel consumption by 1% for an on-highway commercial truck. Greater fuel savings (up to 2%) can be realized for high-idle driving cycles that involve off-road conditions.
- The application of low-friction technologies that lower friction in the boundary-lubrication regime (Stribeck curve) enables the use of low-viscosity fluids resulting in potential fuel savings up to 3-4% for commercial driving cycles – provided suitable low-friction technologies are available.
- While low-viscosity lubricants are beneficial in reducing parasitic friction losses, caution must be exercised to offset the increased contact severity and potential durability/reliability issues associated with increased contact loads that occur with low-viscosity fluids.
- Potential solutions to improve fuel economy, such as lubricant additives and low-friction materials/coatings, have been identified in lab studies, and need further effort to implement them industrially.
- The use of advanced additives in formulated mil-spec lubricants has been observed to increase the scuffing resistance in lab-based tests and may represent a potential solution to enhancing the survivability of ground vehicles under extreme tribological environments.
- **High idle drive cycles incur the greatest amount of parasitic friction losses and are influenced more by low-friction technologies**