

**DAHLGREN DIVISION
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER**

Dahlgren, Virginia 22448-5100



NSWCDD/TR-08/136

**TARGET LOCATION AND ID FROM A
PASSIVE MULTISTATIC SENSOR NETWORK
USING TIME DIFFERENCES OF ARRIVAL (TDOAs)
AND THE HOUGH TRANSFORM**

BY ARMIDO DIDONATO

WARFARE SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT

NOVEMBER 2008

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, search existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE November 2008	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Target Location and ID From a Passive Multistatic Sensor Network Using Time Differences of Arrival (TDOAs) and the Hough Transform			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(s) Armido DiDonato				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren Division (Code W30) Warfare Systems Development Division 19008 Wayside Drive, Suite 335 Dahlgren, VA 22448-5162			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER NSWCDD/TR-08/136	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Given a passive multistatic sensor network consisting of a set of commercial FM or acoustic transmitters, receivers, and a data processing center with their coordinate locations, scattered radiation responses can be used to identify fixed targets made up of straight lines and circular and elliptical arcs by employing the Hough Transform (HT) and its extensions. The HT is defined and examples of its use are given. In order to apply the HT, a set of input points (x, y) is needed that is generated from transmitter responses, from a target to receivers, using time differences of arrival (TDOAs). Two methods are given to find these coordinates, primarily a straightforward approach dealing directly with the nonlinearity of the problem, and a particular application of Chan's method. Fortran 95 software has been developed to utilize the procedures noted above.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS target location; target ID; passive multistatic sensor network; fixed targets; Hough transform; HT; time differences of arrival; TDOAs			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 50	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORTS UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

BLANK PAGE

FOREWORD

The analyses described in this report were carried out following discussions with William Ormsby of W12 and Jack Carr of Orca Computer Inc. ([1], [2]) addressing the need for software to support the passive multistatic system that Jack has under development. The study of the passive multistatic system was suggested by William Ormsby and was supported by the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense, Acquisition Technology and Logistics (USD AT&L)/Coalition Initiative (CI).

The author is indebted to Jack Carr for helpful discussions and some of the references, as well as proposing use of the Hough Transformation. William Ormsby reviewed the report and helped clarify the presentation.

Dr. John Crigler (Q21) supplied the external distribution list.

This document was reviewed by Emmanuel Skamangas (W30), Head, Warfare Systems Development Division.

Approved by:

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'D. Burnett', with a stylized, sweeping flourish at the end.

DONALD L. BURNETT, Head
Warfare Systems Department

BLANK PAGE

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	HOUGH TRANSFORM	2
III	TARGET ID USING THE HOUGH TRANSFORM	6
IV	EXTENSION OF THE HOUGH TRANSFORM TO CIRCULAR ARCS ...	10
V	EXTENSION OF THE HOUGH TRANSFORM TO ELLIPTICAL ARCS .	16
VI	FINDING COORDINATES OF A TARGET FROM TDOAs	18
VII	REFERENCES	24
<u>Appendix</u>		
A	LIST OF FORTRAN 95 SUBPROGRAMS	A-1
B	A RESULT USED IN SUBROUTINE LCAL1 CALLED BY SUBROUTINE LE	B-1
	DISTRIBUTION	(1)

ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Normal Form of the Equation for a Straight Line (normal.m) ¹	2
2	Given Mns, (x_n, y_n) , $n = 1, \dots, 6$, in xyp (my6.m)	3
3	Transforms of Mns in Figure 2 to $\theta\rho$ (hough.m)	3
4	Given Mns, (x_n, y_n) , $n = 1, \dots, 16$, in xyp (my16.m)	7
5	Transforms of the Mns in Figure 4 to $\theta\rho$ (h16a.m)	7
6	A Plot of 30 Mns and a Subset of 350 Random Points (h350.m)	9
7	A Plot of the Identical Data of Figure 6 with the 30 Mns Darkened (h350.m)	9
8	A Plot of Random Data and Some Points Forming a Straight Line with an Attached Circular Arc (hlcd.m)	13
9	Same Plot as in Figure 8 with the Straight Line and Circular Arc Points Enhanced (hlcd.m)	13
10	A Plot of Random Data and Points Forming Three Circular Arcs (xycir.m) ...	15
11	Same Plot as in Figure 10 with Circular Arc Points Enhanced (xycir.m)	15
12	Plot of E with Five Specified Points in xyp (ellp5.m)	17
13	Multistatic Network with One Transmitter and Three Sensors	18

TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Listing of Mns of Figure 2	4
2	Listing Output Two L-lines	4
3	Listing of Mns of Figure 4	6
4	Listing Output Eleven L-lines From Table 3 Input	8
5	Listing Output from Input Given by (19) and (20)	10
6	Listing Output Using HSORT1 and HSORT2	14
7	Listing Output Using CIRSOR	16

¹MATLAB .m files to generate plots.

GLOSSARY

The numeral at the end of each item is the page number where the item is first used.

- $\theta\rho\rho$ – $\theta\rho$ -plane, 3
- A,a,B,b,D– Five parameters specifying an ellipse, 16
- a,b,r– Parameters describing a circle, 10
- AO,BO,RO– Parameters that describe a circle, 14
- atan2– Fortran subroutine for the four quadrant arctangent, 4
- Chan– Chan’s method, [4] of the main text, 1
- CIR1– Subroutine that generates a, b, r from three given points, 11
- CIRSOR– Subroutine extracting Mns on an L–arc , 16
- D– Determinant of linear system (27-28), 11
- GUVN– Subroutine that generates the matrices $\{\rho_{ij}\}$, $\{\theta_{ij}\}$, 6
- HSORT1– Subroutine that generates the output Mns on L–lines, 6
- HSORT2– Subroutine that extracts Mns on a circular arc with one point given, 14
- HT– Hough Transform, 3
- L–arc– Circular arc. Generated by HSORT2, 10
- L–lines– Straight lines in xyp containing more than two Mns, 4
- L– Straight line in the xy-plane, 2
- Lk– the kth L–line, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, 4
- M– An input set of N (x,y) points, 3
- MCARR.FOR– File containing HSORT1, 6
- MCARR1.FOR– File containing HSORT1, 6
- Mn– A output point belonging to an L–line or an L–arc , 3
- N– A straight line normal to L and passing through the origin, 2
- N– Number of (x,y) points, 1
- NC– Number of input points on a circular arc, 11
- Nk– Number of Mns in Lk with $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots, N_K \geq 3$, 4
- NL– Number of input points on a straight line, 11
- NR– Number of input random points, 11
- randn– Matlab subroutine which generates normal random numbers, 8
- RNOR– Subroutine generates normal random numbers, 12
- T– Denotes $B^2 - 4A$; A, B elliptic parameters, 17
- TDOA– Time difference of arrival, 1
- xyp– xy-plane, 2

BLANK PAGE

I. INTRODUCTION

The need for the analysis presented in this report is perhaps best described by Bill Ormsby (W11) and Jack Carr (ORCA Computer Inc.): “An acoustic, passive multistatic network (A-PMSN) for situation awareness in support of military and homeland security operations, such as harbor defense, is needed. The A-PMSN is a prototype sound source location system initially focused on the detection of small boats and the integration of those detections into existing track management procedures and operational picture displays. A-PMSN is designed to operate within a service-oriented architecture for early recognition of significant acoustic detections obtained from sensors located at known endpoints of a baseline using time differences of arrivals (TDOAs) of signals. A TDOA is defined to be the difference of times of arrival of a signal at two separated receivers (sensors).

Using sensors on more than one independent baseline or on one baseline with a track constraint allows the estimation of the positions of the sources of sounds being detected. These positions may include those for targets of interest and those for sources of sound not of interest. The TDOAs will be produced at regular time intervals and converted to estimated positions. These positions will be used as detections and processed by an associated cross correlation algorithm to produce tracks. The tracks are the result of the targets moving from fixed point to fixed point over the span of the data collection. In particular, targets whose positions fall close to a line and/or close to the arc of a circle are of particular interest and form the basis for the associated algorithm using the Hough Transform for preliminary estimates of target positions.”

With a passive multistatic sensor network consisting of a set of commercial FM or acoustic transmitters, receivers (sensors), and a data processing center with their coordinate locations, one is interested in locating targets moving in straight lines and/or in circular or possibly elliptical arcs within a given surveillance area. An appropriate tool to aid in detecting preliminary estimates of such movements is the Hough Transform. In order to apply the Hough Transform (HT), N input points (x, y) are needed that are generated by transmitter responses from a target to receivers (sensors) using TDOAs [3].

Also, two methods are described to determine the (x, y) input points. First, a straightforward approach dealing directly with the nonlinearity of the problem is given, followed by a particular application of Ho-Chan’s method [4].

In Section II, the HT is defined and discussed. In Section III the HT is used to identify targets made up of straight lines in the plane. Sections IV and V describe how extensions of the HT can be used to identify targets made up of circular and elliptical arcs, respectively. As noted above, the required input is a set of possible target Cartesian coordinates. Numerical examples are given.

Section VI addresses two procedures for finding the possible target coordinates, required by the HT and its extensions, using TDOAs. Three numerical examples are discussed.

Fortran 95 software has been developed to utilize the procedures noted above.

II. HOUGH TRANSFORM

Consider a straight line L in the xy -plane (xyp) with slope m , say

$$y = m(x - x_o) + y_o, \quad m = \tan \phi, \quad (\text{See Figure 1}), \quad (1)$$

where (x_o, y_o) refers to a point on L . Let N denote the straight line that passes through the origin and is perpendicular to L at a unique (x_o, y_o) as shown in Figure 1. Equation (1), can be written in terms of the parameters that describe N , namely θ and ρ . The result is

$$y \sin \theta + x \cos \theta = \rho, \quad -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad (2)$$

where θ denotes the angle N makes with the positive x -axis, and ρ specifies the distance along N from $(0, 0)$ to (x_o, y_o) . If in (1), $(x_o, y_o) = (0, 0)$, then $\rho = 0$.




Figure 1. Normal Form of the Equation for a Straight Line

Equation (2) is referred to as the “normal equation for L .” It is obtained from (1) by observing that

$$\phi = \theta \pm \pi/2 \rightarrow m = \tan \phi = -\cos \theta / \sin \theta. \quad (3)$$

Hence (1) becomes

$$y = -(\cos \theta / \sin \theta)(x - x_o) + y_o \quad (4)$$

$$y \sin \theta + x \cos \theta = x_o \cos \theta + y_o \sin \theta, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\rho = x_o \cos \theta + y_o \sin \theta = \pm \sqrt{x_o^2 + y_o^2}, \quad (\text{See Figure 1}). \quad (6)$$

It may happen in evaluating ρ from (2) that $\rho < 0$, in which case π is added to θ if $\theta < 0$, or π is subtracted from θ if $\theta > 0$, thus reversing the sign of ρ . If $\rho = 0$ then π is added to θ if $\theta < 0$. Hence, it is assumed that ρ is always nonnegative. Note, (2) has the advantage over (1) since no problems are encountered at ϕ in the vicinity of $\pm\pi/2$.

Consider an input set of N *numerically* different points in xyp : $M = \{M_n\} = \{(x_n, y_n); n = 1, \dots, N\}$. Hereafter, elements of M will be designated as *Mn points*. Let an L -line denote a straight line in xyp containing at least three M_n points. The HT transforms L to a point (θ, ρ) in the $\theta\rho$ -plane ($\theta\rho p$), where the point is taken from the normal form of the equation for L as described above. At each M_n , a spectrum of straight lines can be drawn by varying θ in (2). So, each such M_n generates a curve in $\theta\rho p$. If this is done for each of the N points, the intersection points of the resulting curves in $\theta\rho p$ designate which M_n s belong to which L -lines, thus isolating the straight lines that can be generated from the N given points. Of course, one would look for three or more intersecting curves at a point in $\theta\rho p$. Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the result for six given M_n s. Figure 3 exhibits $\rho < 0$ and applicable $\rho \geq 0$.




Figure 2. Given M_n s, (x_n, y_n) , $n = 1, \dots, 6$, in xyp




Figure 3. Transforms of M_n s in Figure 2 to $\theta\rho p$

Thus, the HT can be used to isolate sequences of points that lie on straight lines embedded in a set M of discrete points (x_n, y_n) , $n = 1, \dots, N$.

The graphical approach, of using the curve intersections in $\theta\rho p$ to find the L -lines, i.e., lines in the xyp containing more than two Mns, has the problem, if N is large, that the curve intersections in $\theta\rho p$ are obscure; hence, difficult to read. In such cases, if one wanted the L -lines containing a large number of Mns, it could be difficult to separate them graphically. In addition, keep in mind that every three Mns generate an intersection of two curves in the $\theta\rho p$.

Our approach, which uses no graphing, is to begin by finding and itemizing the Mns belonging to each L -line, starting with the line(s) with the largest number of Mns and listing the remaining lines in decreasing order of their number of Mns. More precisely, let L_k denote the k th L -line obtained from the HT with $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, and let N_k denote the total number of Mns contained in L_k . Moreover, the K L ks are ordered in decreasing order of N_k , such that $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots, N_K \geq 3$.

In Figure 2, the Mns are indicated by small numbered circles. Table 1 lists the coordinates of these points.

Table 1. Listing of Mns of Figure 2

$[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]$	$=$	$[1, 5, 6, 3, 0, 7]$
$[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6]$	$=$	$[1, 7, 1, 4, 7, 10]$

Thus, the third Mn would be $M_3 = (x_3, y_3) = (6, 1)$.

Using Figures 2 and 3 as an example, there will clearly be two L_k lines, $K = 2$. So, the output would be as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Listing Output Two L -lines

$L_1 \rightarrow$	$N_1 = 4,$	Mns on $L_1 \rightarrow$	M_1, M_2, M_4, M_6
$L_2 \rightarrow$	$N_2 = 3,$	Mns on $L_2 \rightarrow$	M_3, M_4, M_5

The actual mechanics for generating software to determine the L -lines, L_k , with their Mns, is explained below using the example. Every two Mns determine a straight line with an associated unique θ and ρ that satisfy (2); then a θ and ρ are determined systematically for all possible pairs of Mns. Those pairs (at least two) that have the same θ and ρ determine an L -line passing through those Mns (as discussed with the intersections of curves in $\theta\rho p$ where a θ and ρ are associated with each intersection). Beginning the process, Mns one and two would generate $\theta_{1,2}$ and $\rho_{1,2}$, and Mns i and j would generate $\theta_{i,j}$ and $\rho_{i,j}$ with $i < j$. In matrix notation, let $(\theta_{i,j})$ and $(\rho_{i,j})$ denote upper triangular matrices. We use a four-quadrant inverse tangent routine, $\text{atan2}(y, x)$, such that $-\pi < \text{atan2}(y, x) \leq \pi$. Throughout, the subscripts i, j are constrained to

$$i < j, i = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1), j = 2, 3, \dots, N. \quad (7)$$

With ϵ discussed at the end of this section, the matrix elements are generated accordingly:

If $|x_i - x_j| < \epsilon \max(|x_i|, |x_j|, 1)$ and $|y_i - y_j| \geq \epsilon \max(|y_i|, |y_j|, 1)$, then

$$\rho_{i,j} = |x_i| \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_i \geq 0, \\ \pi, & \text{if } x_i < 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Else, if $|y_i - y_j| < \epsilon \max(|y_i|, |y_j|, 1)$ and $|x_i - x_j| \geq \epsilon \max(|x_i|, |x_j|, 1)$, then

$$\rho_{i,j} = |y_i| \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \pi/2, & \text{if } y_i \geq 0, \\ -\pi/2, & \text{if } y_i < 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Else, if $(|x_i - x_j| \geq \epsilon \max(|x_i|, |x_j|, 1) \text{ and } |y_i - y_j| \geq \epsilon \max(|y_i|, |y_j|, 1))$, then

$$\bar{\theta}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \tan^{-1}[(x_i - x_j)/(y_j - y_i)], & i < j, \\ 0, & i \geq j, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{\rho}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} x_i \cos \bar{\theta}_{i,j} + y_i \sin \bar{\theta}_{i,j}, & i < j, \\ 0, & i \geq j. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

If $\bar{\rho}_{i,j} > 0$, then

$$\rho_{i,j} = \bar{\rho}_{i,j}, \quad \theta_{i,j} = \bar{\theta}_{i,j}, \quad (12)$$

else, if $\bar{\rho}_{i,j} = 0$, then

$$\rho_{i,j} = \bar{\rho}_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \bar{\theta}_{i,j}, & \text{if } \bar{\theta}_{i,j} \geq 0, \\ \bar{\theta}_{i,j} + \pi, & \text{if } \bar{\theta}_{i,j} < 0, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

else, if $\bar{\rho}_{i,j} < 0$, then

$$\rho_{i,j} = -\bar{\rho}_{i,j} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \bar{\theta}_{i,j} + \pi, & \text{if } \bar{\theta}_{i,j} \leq 0, \\ \bar{\theta}_{i,j} - \pi, & \text{if } \bar{\theta}_{i,j} > 0. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Referring to the example involving Figures 2 and 3, we obtain

$$\{\theta_{i,j}\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -.5880 & 1.5708 & -.5880 & .1651 & -.5880 \\ 0 & 0 & .1651 & -.5880 & 1.5708 & -.5880 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & .7854 & .7854 & -.1107 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & .7854 & -.5880 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.9757 \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

$$\{\rho_{i,j}\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & .2774 & 1 & .2774 & 1.1508 & .2774 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.0828 & .2774 & 7 & .2774 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.9497 & 4.9497 & 5.8527 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.9497 & .2774 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6.4340 \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Note that $\bar{\theta}_{5,6} = \tan^{-1}[(0 - 7)/(10 - 7)] = -1.165904$ with $\bar{\rho}_{5,6} = y_5 \sin(-1.165904) = -6.43402$. Thus, (14) holds and to insist on $\rho_{5,6} > 0$, $\rho_{5,6} = -\bar{\rho}_{5,6}$ and π is added to $\bar{\theta}_{5,6}$, so that $\theta_{5,6} = \bar{\theta}_{5,6} + \pi = 1.9757$, as noted in (15). The software which generates the matrices in (15) and (16) is the subroutine GUVIM.

The software designed to determine the Lk lines and their associated Mns is Fortran subroutine HSORT1 contained in file H:\CARR\MCARR.FOR or H:\CARR\MCARR1.FOR. The reasoning behind the software is explained using (8 – 16). Starting with $\theta_{1,2}$, one looks along the first row for $\theta_{1,j} = \theta_{1,2}$ and equality in the corresponding $\rho_{1,j} = \rho_{1,2}$. If such are found for $j \geq 3$, then one need look no further; an Lk has been found. From (12 – 13), we have $\theta_{1,2} = \theta_{1,4} = \theta_{1,6} = -.5880$ and $\rho_{1,2} = \rho_{1,4} = \rho_{1,6} = .2774$. All the subscripts indicate the Mns as shown in Table 2. There is no need to examine subsequent rows, for if another row element occurs with the above equalities, its Mn will already have appeared in the subscripts obtained from the original row, in this case row one. For example $\theta_{2,4} = \theta_{2,6} = -.5880$ and $\rho_{2,4} = \rho_{2,6} = .2774$. We continue with searches in the first row of (15), but no more matches are found. In the third row we find two matches: $\theta_{3,4} = \theta_{3,5} = .7854$ and $\rho_{3,4} = \rho_{3,5} = 4.9497$ that yield the Mns given in second row of Table 2. The two Lks are ordered as noted above and listed in Table 2 as L1, L2.²

In HSORT1, numerical equalities for the elements $\theta_{i,j}$ and $\rho_{i,k}$ are defined in terms of an input epsilon, $\epsilon > 0$ (as also used in (8-11)), as

$$|\theta_{i,j} - \theta_{i,k}| \leq \max(1, |\theta_{i,j}|, |\theta_{i,k}|) \epsilon; \quad (17)$$

similarly for the elements $\rho_{i,j}$ and $\rho_{i,k}$, numerical equality occurs if

$$|\rho_{i,j} - \rho_{i,k}| \leq \max(1, \rho_{i,j}, \rho_{i,k}) \epsilon. \quad (18)$$

Two references with an extensive discussion of HT are [6], [7].

III. TARGET ID USING THE HOUGH TRANSFORM

Two more complicated applications of HT are discussed here. In the first case, we consider 16 Mn points, as shown in Figure 4, and obtain L-lines using HSORT1. The input points, Mns, are also given in Table 3.

Table 3. Listing of Mns of Figure 4

$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{16}]$	=	[1 5 6 3 0 7 3 3 0 5 3 7 5 0 2 5]
$[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{16}]$	=	[1 7 1 4 7 10 1 7 0 2 3 7 5 10 0 0]

²Speed of computation was necessary for HSORT1; a faster intricate program, written by Russ Gnoffo, can be used instead of HSORT1 if needed.




Figure 4. Given Mns, (x_n, y_n) , $n = 1, \dots, 16$, in xyp

The corresponding curves in $\theta\rho\rho$ for the Mns are shown in Figure 5. Note the relative difficulty in reading the intersection points in $\theta\rho\rho$.




Figure 5. Transforms of the Mns in Figure 4 to $\theta\rho\rho$

The Lk lines determined from the Mns given in Table 3, using HSORT1, are given in Table 4.

Table 4. Listing Output Eleven L-lines From Table 3 Input

L1 →	N1 = 5,	Mns on L1 →	1, 9, 11, 12, 13
L2 →	N2 = 4,	Mns on L2 →	1, 2, 4, 6
L3 →	N3 = 4,	Mns on L3 →	4, 7, 8, 11
L4 →	N4 = 4,	Mns on L4 →	3, 4, 5, 10
L5 →	N5 = 4,	Mns on L5 →	2, 10, 13, 16
L6 →	N6 = 4,	Mns on L6 →	2, 5, 8, 12
L7 →	N7 = 3,	Mns on L7 →	1, 3, 7
L8 →	N8 = 3,	Mns on L8 →	4, 14, 16
L9 →	N9 = 3,	Mns on L9 →	8, 13, 14
L10 →	N10 = 3,	Mns on L10 →	5, 9, 14
L11 →	N11 = 3,	Mns on L11 →	9, 15, 16

In order to simplify the notation, we have dropped the M prefix on the Mn points, so that, for example, M1, M9, M11, M12, M13 for L1 appear as noted in Table 4.

The second application of this section involves extracting the outline of a house, composed of straight lines, emersed in a maze of NR = 350 random points. The house outline is made up of thirty Mns that determine five L-lines. The points are given by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x = 1.0, & y = 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8 \\ y = x + 1, & x = 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0 \\ y = 5 - x, & x = 2.2, 2.4, 2.6, 2.8 \\ x = 3.0, & y = 2.0, 1.8, 1.6, 1.4, 1.2 \\ y = 1.0, & x = 3.0, 2.8, 2.6, 2.4, 2.2, 2.0, 1.8, 1.6, 1.4, 1.2 \end{array} \right. \quad (19)$$

The NR random points are taken from a Matlab routine based on normal distributions. Therefore, using Matlab code:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} mn = 350; \\ \text{randn}('seed', 1); \\ x = .55 + 2.35 * \text{abs}(\text{randn}(1, mn)); \\ \text{randn}('seed', 2); \\ y = .50 + 1.75 * \text{abs}(\text{randn}(1, mn)); \end{array} \right. \quad (20)$$

Figure 6 shows a plot of the 30 Mns and those random points in the interval $0.5 < x \leq 3.5$. It is certainly difficult to identify the house outline (30 Mns) in Figure 6. Figure 7 shows the same points with the Mn points darkened.




Figure 6. A Plot of 30 Mns and a Subset of 350 Random Points




Figure 7. A Plot of the Identical Data of Figure 6 with the 30 Mns Darkened

The input array (x_i, y_i) , $i = 1, \dots, 380$ was arranged with the 30 Mns making up the first 30 points of the array, followed by the NR random points, but the results, of course, are independent of the order in which the input points are given. This is so because every possible pair of points in the input array is treated.

Calling on the Fortran subroutine HSORT1 to find all L-lines containing four or more Mns, using as input the (x, y) of (19) and (20), the output is given in Table 5.

Table 5. Listing Output from Input Given by (19) and (20)

L1 →	N1=11,	Mns on L1 →	1, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30
L2 →	N2=6,	Mns on L2 →	11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
L3 →	N3=6,	Mns on L3 →	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11
L4 →	N4=6,	Mns on L4 →	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
L5 →	N5=6,	Mns on L5 →	16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21

IV. EXTENSION OF THE HOUGH TRANSFORM TO CIRCULAR ARCS

In the previous section, using HT, it was shown that Mns to determine L-lines could be extracted from a set M of points in xyp. The principle involved is simply that any straight line in xyp can be defined by two parameters (θ, ρ in the HT case), so that examining every pair of points in M and finding those pairs with the same θ, ρ establishes Mns that determine an L-line.

In the case of circular arcs, we use the fact that a circle in the plane is uniquely defined by three parameters. Therefore, to determine an L-arc, i.e., an arc of a circle (corresponding to a straight line in HT case), one would look at all possible combinations of three points from M and search for those particular three-point combinations that have the same values for each of the three parameters. The Fortran software that accomplishes this is contained in file DH.FOR. Subprogram DGXY generates M-sets for testing.

We use for the three parameters defining a circle, the center of the circle, (a, b), and its radius r. Thus, the equation for a circle in xyp is

$$(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 = r^2. \quad (21)$$

The objective is to evaluate a, b, and r using three distinct points from M. The fact that a and b occur nonlinearly presents no problem. Denote the three points by (x1, y1), (x2, y2), (x3, y3). Substitute these quantities into (21) to obtain

$$(x1 - a)^2 + (y1 - b)^2 = r^2, \quad (22)$$

$$(x2 - a)^2 + (y2 - b)^2 = r^2, \quad (23)$$

$$(x3 - a)^2 + (y3 - b)^2 = r^2. \quad (24)$$

Then subtracting (22) from (23) and (22) from (24), one obtains, after cancelations:

$$2(x2 - x1)a + 2(y2 - y1)b = x2^2 - x1^2 + y2^2 - y1^2, \quad (25)$$

$$2(x3 - x1)a + 2(y3 - y1)b = x3^2 - x1^2 + y3^2 - y1^2. \quad (26)$$

Solving the last two equations for a and b gives

$$2a = [(x_2^2 + y_2^2)(y_3 - y_1) - (x_1^2 + y_1^2)(y_3 - y_2) - (x_3^2 + y_3^2)(y_2 - y_1)] / D, \quad (27)$$

$$2b = [(x_2^2 + y_2^2)(x_1 - x_3) + (x_1^2 + y_1^2)(x_3 - x_2) + (x_3^2 + y_3^2)(x_2 - x_1)] / D, \quad (28)$$

where different algebraic expressions for D are given by:

$$D = \begin{cases} (x_2 - x_1)(y_3 - y_1) - (x_3 - x_1)(y_2 - y_1) \neq 0, \\ x_1(y_2 - y_3) + x_2(y_3 - y_1) + x_3(y_1 - y_2) \neq 0, \\ y_1(x_3 - x_2) + y_2(x_1 - x_3) + y_3(x_2 - x_1) \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

If $D = 0$, then either the three input points lie on a straight line, or at least two of the points are the same. For either of these inputs, no circle is determined.

The remaining unknown r is obtained from any one of (22)-(24), using a and b obtained from (27) and (28).

The software that reflects this analysis is the subroutine CIR1($x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x_3, y_3, a, b, r, D$).

Hence, to extract the circular arcs from M , the subroutine CIRSOR generates three arrays $U(i, j, k)$, $V(i, j, k)$, and $R(i, j, k)$ that are functions of *triplets* of all possible combinations of three points from M , without repetition (of which there are $N!/[3!(N-3)!]$). So that for a fixed i, j, k the element of each array is constructed by CIRSOR as:

$$\begin{aligned} U(i, j, k) &= a, & i = 1, \dots, N-2, & \quad j = i+1, \dots, N-1, & \quad k = j+1, \dots, N, \\ V(i, j, k) &= b, & i = 1, \dots, N-2, & \quad j = i+1, \dots, N-1, & \quad k = j+1, \dots, N, \\ R(i, j, k) &= r^2, & i = 1, \dots, N-2, & \quad j = i+1, \dots, N-1, & \quad k = j+1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where CIRSOR calls CIR1 to find the elements a, b, r^2 of U, V, R , respectively, corresponding to each triplet of input. If $D = 0$, r is set to zero.

The similarity to (10) and (11) should be clear.

As a special case, file PTCIR.FOR contains Fortran software that finds L -lines each with an attached L -arc at an endpoint. As an example, we consider M made up of a set of $NR=400$ random points from normal distributions, a horizontal line L with $NL=19$ points, and a circular arc, L -arc, with $NC=10$ points, that begins at the right end-point of L , ($y = 3, 1 \leq x \leq 3$) and forms an arc of the circle: $(x - 1)^2 + (y - 4)^2 = 5$. Hence M contains a total of $N=429$ points in xyp, where the Fortran 95 code that follows indicates the generation of the $NR=400$ random points, followed by the $NL=19$ straight line points, and ends by generating the ten L -arc points. The code that generates these points is carried out by the subroutine GXYC, which follows.

```

DIMENSION X1(429),Y1(429)
NR = 400
NL = 19
NC = 10
IR = 1 !Seed for random number generator.
IS = 2
CALL RNOR(IR,X1,NR,IER) !Subroutine RNOR taken from [5].
CALL RNOR(IS,Y1,NR,IES) !Random coordinates.

DO 5 I = 1,NR
    X1(I) = 3.5*ABS(X1(I))
5    Y1(I) = 4. + Y1(I)

AO = 1.
BO1 = 3.
DT = (BO1 - AO)/NL
NR1 = NR + 1
X1(NR1) = AO + DT
Y1(NR1) = BO1
DO 10 I = NR1,NR+NL - 1
    X1(I+1) = X1(I) + DT
10    Y1(I+1) = Y1(I)
PI = 3.14159265359
NRC = NR + NL + 1
NCI = (NC - 1)*2
PIN = PI/NCI
BO = 4.
RO =  $\sqrt{5}$ 
THETA = ATAN2((BO1-BO),(BO1-AO))

DO 15 I = NRC,N
    THETA = THETA + PIN
    X1(I) = AO + RO*COS(THETA)
15    Y1(I) = BO + RO*SIN(THETA)

```

Figure 8 shows some of the random points and the points belonging to the straight line, but the points belonging to the arc, although included, are not evident. Figure 9 has the same points as Figure 8 with the straight line and circular arc points enhanced.




Figure 8. A Plot of Random Data and Some Points Forming a Straight Line with an Attached Circular Arc




Figure 9. Same Plot as in Figure 8 with the Straight Line and Circular Arc Points Enhanced

The object of this simulation is now to extract the Mn points belonging to the L-line using subroutine HSORT1 and the attached L-arc using subroutine HSORT2. The results are given in Table 6.

Table 6. Listing Output Using HSORT1 and HSORT2

```

***DOUBLE PRECISION PTCIRC.FOR *** JM= 0, MZ= 4
NR = 400, NL = 19, NC = 10, N = 429, AO=1, BO=4, RO=2.23607
1 19 (The N points of M are input for HSORT1.)
401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419
*****
IX 419
1 10 (Only the N points of M and attached point 419 are input for HSORT2.)
420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429
2 6
123 154 189 239 291 298
3 6
53 180 190 233 306 329

```

In the first line of the table, JM is not relevant; MZ=4 indicates that only output with at least four Mn's will be given. The second line specifies the input for generating the test data. The third line notes the first line of output, L1, using HSORT1, and indicates 19 points. The fourth line lists the 19 points. The sixth line indicates the point at which the L-line and L-arc are attached. The seventh line gives the first line of output, with 10 points, using HSORT2, referring to the L-arc. The eighth line specifically gives the 10 points. The last four lines refer to L-arcs contained in the distribution of random points and attached to point 419.

Note, if (xe,ye) denotes the last point of L, that all triplets of M chosen include (xe,ye). Hence, only every pair of points of M, without repetition, make up the input together with (xe,ye), rather than an independent triplet of (x,y) points. The software returns results more quickly under these conditions.

In the final example of this section, it is required to find points belonging to three circular arcs, three L-arcs, embedded in a set M also containing random points. This differs from the previous example where the L-arc was attached to the L-line. Thus, here the three points required to establish an L-arc are independent so that the size of the problem increases significantly from an N-squared problem, as in the previous example, to an N-cubed problem. We need to consider all possible combinations of the points of M taking three points at a time without repetitions. The total number of such combinations is $NT = N(N-1)(N-2)/6$ rather than $N(N-1)/2$ as in the previous example where all possible *pairs* were considered.

File DH.FOR contains subroutine DGXY that constructs the simulation by specifying the three L-arcs; L - ai, a = ao, b = bo, r = ro, i = 1, 2, 3. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L - a1 : } x &= \text{ao1} + \text{ro1} * \cos \theta, \quad \text{ao1} = 1, \text{bo1} = 4, \text{ro1} = 4 \\ y &= \text{bo1} + \text{ro1} * \sin \theta, \quad 30 \leq \theta \leq 100 \text{ (degrees)}, \text{ 11 plotted points.} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L - a2 : } x &= \text{ao2} + \text{ro2} * \cos \theta, \quad \text{ao2} = 3, \text{bo2} = 4, \text{ro2} = 3 \\ y &= \text{bo2} + \text{ro2} * \sin \theta, \quad 30 \leq \theta \leq 150 \text{ (degrees)}, \text{ 21 plotted points.} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L - a3 : } x &= \text{ao3} + \text{ro3} * \cos \theta, \quad \text{ao3} = -1, \text{bo3} = 4, \text{ro3} = 3.5 \\ y &= \text{bo3} + \text{ro3} * \sin \theta, \quad -60 \leq \theta \leq 60 \text{ (degrees)}, \text{ 16 plotted points.} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Figures 10 and 11 show the points of M within the x and y intervals of the graphs where $N = 160$ and $NT = N(N-1)(N-2)/6 = 669920$.




Figure 10. A Plot of Random Data and Points Forming Three Circular Arcs




Figure 11. Same Plot as in Figure 10 with Circular Arc Points Enhanced

The extraction of the plotted points of the three L -arcs is carried out by the subroutine CIRSOR in file DH.FOR. In more detail, the generation of the simulated data using DOXY was done in the following order: First N ($=160$) random (x,y) points were generated and stored in array AB; then alternating starting with location AB(5), a point from each of the L -arcs specified in (31)-(33) was inserted into the AB overwriting those locations; so that $L - a1$ is stored in AB(5), AB(8), $\dots$, AB(35); $L - a2$ is stored in AB(6), AB(9), $\dots$, AB(66); $L - a3$ is stored in AB(7), AB(10), $\dots$, AB(52).

The output form CIRSOR is shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Listing Output Using CIRSOR

NR = 112, NC = 48, N = 160. See (31)-(33) for L -arc points.

TIME 29,

$L - a1 \rightarrow$ ICN(1) = 11, IC = 5 8 11 14 17 20 23 26 29 32 35

$L - a2 \rightarrow$ ICN(2) = 21, IC = 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30 33 36 39 42 45 48 51 54 57 60 63 66

$L - a3 \rightarrow$ ICN(3) = 16, IC = 7 10 13 16 19 22 25 28 31 34 37 40 43 46 49 52

V. EXTENSION OF THE HOUGH TRANSFORM TO ELLIPTICAL ARCS

We consider the equation for the ellipse E in the xy -plane given by

$$(x - a)^2 + A(y - b)^2 + Bxy + D = 0, \quad T \equiv B^2 - 4A < 0. \quad (34)$$

There are five unknowns to determine: a, b, A, B, D . We use five (x, y) points on E to evaluate the unknowns. Or, we can state the problem as: Given five points in the plane, what are the values of the unknowns above such that the five points are on E ? Note that if the inequality in (34) is not satisfied, then other geometrical objects will be determined such as a hyperbola, parabola, or straight line. However, our emphasis will be with ellipses.

Let the five points be denoted by (x_k, y_k) , $k = 1, \dots, 5$. Then four linear equations can be generated for a, b, A, B after a^2 and b^2 are eliminated. Namely

$$2a(x_k - x_1) + A(y_1^2 - y_k^2) + C(y_k - y_1) + B(x_1 y_1 - x_k y_k) = x_k^2 - x_1^2, \quad k = 2, \dots, 5, \quad (35)$$

where

$$b = C/(2A). \quad (36)$$

By using the results of solving the linear system (35) with (36), D is evaluated from (34) with, say, $x = x_1, y = y_1$.

Example (calculations carried out in double precision):

Specify E by:

$$(x - 5)^2 + \frac{50}{18}(y - 5)^2 + 2.5xy - 94\frac{4}{9} = 0, \quad T \equiv B^2 - 4A = 6.25 - 100/9 < 0. \quad (37)$$

Thus,

$$a = 5, \quad b = 5, \quad A = 50/18, \quad B = 2.5, \quad D = -94\frac{4}{9}. \quad (38)$$

The objective is to get good numerical approximations to these quantities by assigning five points on E . Hence, using the five points on E , $(0, 0)$, $(2, 8.85078940)$, $(4, 7.54511220)$, $(6, 6.03229152)$, $(8, 4.17848880)$, we obtain from (35) the linear system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2.00000000 & -78.3364731 & 8.85078940 & -17.7015788 \\ 4.00000000 & -56.9287181 & 7.54511220 & -30.1804488 \\ 6.00000000 & -36.3885410 & 6.03229152 & -36.1937491 \\ 8.00000000 & -17.4597686 & 4.17848880 & -33.4279104 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2a \\ A \\ C \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 16 \\ 36 \\ 64 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (39)$$

The solution of (39) with (36), as obtained by subroutine ELLP in ELLP5.FOR, agrees with the values of those quantities as given in (38) to within eight significant digits. Finally, using those results in (34), with $x_1 = 0$, $y_1 = 0$, gives D with comparable accuracy. The center point coordinates are given by $(x_c, y_c) = (2A[Bb - 2a]/T, 2[a - 2Ab]/T) = (-20/7, 44/7)$. A plot of E is shown in Figure 12 with its center and with the five specified points.




Figure 12. Plot of E with Five Specified Points in xyp

VI. FINDING COORDINATES OF A TARGET FROM TDOAs

The TDOAs are used to determine the coordinates (T_x, T_y) of a target point T, by using a pulse emitted by a transmitter.

Given a transmitter T1, located at $(T1x, T1y)$ and three sensors, S1k, $k=1,2,3$ with locations $(S1kx, S1ky)$. See Figure 13.




Figure 13. Multistatic Network with One Transmitter and Three Sensors

A pulse P1 is sent from T1 to T and received from T at S11, S12, S13. Let the time taken for each of these paths be denoted by $T1T$, $TS11$, $TS12$, and $TS13$, respectively. Then we can define times of arrival TOA11 and TOA12 by

$$TOA11 \equiv T1T + TS11, \quad (40)$$

$$TOA12 \equiv T1T + TS12. \quad (41)$$

Subtracting (40) from (41) defines TDOA1,

$$TDOA1 \equiv TOA12 - TOA11 = TS12 - TS11. \quad (42)$$

Also,

$$TOA13 \equiv T1T + TS13. \quad (43)$$

Then, subtracting (40) from (43) defines TDOA2 accordingly

$$TDOA2 \equiv TOA13 - TOA11 = TS13 - TS11. \quad (44)$$

We are now in position to achieve the objective of determining the target point coordinates (T_x, T_y) . Let c denote the speed of sound in meters/second,³ and note that

$$c * TS1k = \sqrt{(T_x - S1kx)^2 + (T_y - S1ky)^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (45)$$

³ $c = 331.3 * \sqrt{1 + X/273.15}$, $X = 5/9 * (F - 32)$, $F \equiv \text{Degrees Fahrenheit}$.

Then from (42) and (44) we have two nonlinear equations to solve for (T_x, T_y) , namely

$$c * TDOA1 = \sqrt{(T_x - S12x)^2 + (T_y - S12y)^2} - \sqrt{(T_x - S11x)^2 + (T_y - S11y)^2}, \quad (46)$$

$$c * TDOA2 = \sqrt{(T_x - S13x)^2 + (T_y - S13y)^2} - \sqrt{(T_x - S11x)^2 + (T_y - S11y)^2}. \quad (47)$$

Note that these equations are independent of the location of T1.

In Fortran file EXLAST2.FOR, the subroutine HBRD [5] is used to solve (46) and (47) for T_x and T_y . A numerical example, assuming acoustical instruments, with distances in meters and velocity in meters/seconds, follows. Input is:

TEMP = 70°F, $c = 343.8644$,

S11x = 3D3, S11y = 0, S12x = 5.71D3, S12y = 3.525D3, S13x = 1D3, S13y = 4D3,⁴

TDOA1 = 1D - 1, TDOA2 = 1.52D - 1.

With an initial guess of $T_x = T_y = 1D3$, one obtains, as output, the final target coordinates: $T_x = 3.2466935D3$, $T_y = 2.5890217D3$.

A second numerical example is based on Jack Carr's experimental setup, where a constraint is imposed, i.e.,

$$T_y = a * T_x + b, \quad (a, b \text{ given}). \quad (48)$$

In this case only two sensors are required resulting in one TDOA.

TEMP = 70°F, $c = 343.8644$, $a = b = 1$,

S11x = 3D3, S11y = 0, S12x = 5.71D3, S12y = 3.525D3,

TDOA1 = 8D - 2.

Using Fortran subroutine HBRD in EXCONST.FOR, with an initial guess of $T_x = 1D3$, one obtains the final target coordinates:

$T_x = 2.87598D3$, $T_y = 2.876.98D3$.

In the third and final example, RF signals are transmitted that travel at the speed of light, namely $c = 2.9979248D5$ km/sec; the analysis would hold for acoustic signals as well. Three sensors and one transmitter make up the network to locate a target in 3-space with a constraint. The Earth is assumed to be spherical with radius $R_E = 6378.137$ km.

The method of solution to determine the target coordinates (x, y, z) ⁵ is different than the one used in the previous examples. It is described in the Ho-Chan paper [4].

Specifically, our objective is to develop Fortran 95 software that is based on one of the results of the Ho-Chan paper, [4]. The software will output the coordinates of a target from the use of three sensors, whose three-dimensional position coordinates are known, with the constraint that the normal distance from the center of the Earth to the target, r , is also

⁴Numerical values are specified in Fortran notation. For example, $2.3D - 3 \equiv 2.3 * 10^{-3} = .0023$. All computations are carried out in double precision.

⁵Hereafter the notation of [4] is used, including bold upper case letters to denote matrices and bold lower case letters to denote vectors.

known. As noted in [4], such a constraint has practical application as, for example, a target sitting on the Earth's surface. The constraint allows the location of the target with only two measurements of TDOAs.

The location of the target is denoted by $\mathbf{u} = [x, y, z]^T$ and the locations of the three sensors are specified by $\mathbf{s}_k = [x_k, y_k, z_k]^T$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. Thus, the distance between target and sensor k is:

$$r_k = |\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{u}| = \sqrt{(x_k - x)^2 + (y_k - y)^2 + (z_k - z)^2}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (49)$$

To clarify the meaning of TDOA here, consider an Earth station from which a signal, at arbitrary time=0, moving at the speed of light, c , travels to the target and the reflected signal then travels to each of the three sensors (It is assumed that not any three of $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{s}_3\}$ lie on a straight line; the Earth's center is at $\mathbf{0}$). Let TOA_k denote the time of arrival of the signal at sensor k . Then let

$d_{k,1} = \text{TDOA}_{k,1} = \text{TOA}_k - \text{TOA}_1$, $k = 2, 3$, so that

$$r_{k,1} = cd_{k,1} = r_k - r_1, \quad k = 2, 3. \quad (50)$$

Note that the constraint can also be written as

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u} = (R_E + D)^2 = r^2, \quad (51)$$

where $R_E \equiv$ spherical Earth's radius, $D \equiv$ distance above the Earth along $\mathbf{u}$.

From this point, we proceed mathematically, following [4], toward the objective of finding $\mathbf{u}$, given: $\mathbf{s}_k$, $\text{TDOA}_{2,1}$, $\text{TDOA}_{3,1}$, and r . Rewriting (50) as $r_{k,1} + r_1 = r_k$ and squaring both sides of this relation gives

$$r_{k,1}^2 + 2r_{k,1}r_1 + r_1^2 = r_k^2 = (\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{u})^T(\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{u}) = r^2 + \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k - 2\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{u}, \quad k = 2, 3, \quad (52)$$

where r_1 from (49) gives

$$r_1^2 = r^2 + \mathbf{s}_1^T \mathbf{s}_1 - 2\mathbf{s}_1^T \mathbf{u}. \quad (53)$$

Replacing r_1^2 on the left side of (52) by (53) gives

$$r_{k,1}^2 + 2r_{k,1}r_1 = \mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{s}_1^T \mathbf{s}_1 - 2(\mathbf{s}_k - \mathbf{s}_1)^T \mathbf{u}, \quad k = 2, 3. \quad (54)$$

Equations (53) and (54) represent a set of linear equations for $\mathbf{u}$ in terms of the variable r_1 .

In matrix notation we have

$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{h}, \quad (55)$$

where

$$\mathbf{G} = -2 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1^T \\ \mathbf{s}_2^T - \mathbf{s}_1^T \\ \mathbf{s}_3^T - \mathbf{s}_1^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad (56)$$

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{H} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ r_1 \\ r_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} -r^2 - \mathbf{s}_1^T \mathbf{s}_1 & 0 & 1 \\ r_{2,1}^2 - \mathbf{s}_2^T \mathbf{s}_2 + \mathbf{s}_1^T \mathbf{s}_1 & 2r_{2,1} & 0 \\ r_{3,1}^2 - \mathbf{s}_3^T \mathbf{s}_3 + \mathbf{s}_1^T \mathbf{s}_1 & 2r_{3,1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ r_1 \\ r_1^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (57)$$

As noted in [4], the existence of $\mathbf{G}^{-1}$ requires that any three of $(0, \mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{s}_3)$ cannot lie on a straight line. In addition, the three sensors should have sufficient spatial separation to avoid ill-conditioning of $\mathbf{G}$. Hence, from (55),

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{Q} [1, r_1, r_1^2]^T, \quad (58)$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q} \equiv \mathbf{G}^{-1} \mathbf{H}. \quad (59)$$

Substituting into (51), we have

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{u} - r^2 = [1, r_1, r_1^2] \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} [1, r_1, r_1^2]^T - r^2 = 0. \quad (60)$$

which represents a quartic polynomial in r_1 . Let $\mathbf{P}$ denote a symmetric matrix such that

$$\mathbf{P} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} & p_{23} \\ p_{13} & p_{23} & p_{33} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} \quad (61)$$

Expanding (60) and using (57), (59), and (61), we obtain the coefficients of the quartic F . Thus,

$$F(r_1) \equiv (p_{11} - r^2) + 2p_{12}r_1 + (2p_{13} + p_{22})r_1^2 + 2p_{23}r_1^3 + p_{33}r_1^4 = 0 \quad (62)$$

We are interested in the positive roots of F . Using one such root in (58) gives a set of possible target coordinates. In case there is more than one positive root, as pointed out in [4], extraneous roots will not satisfy (50). However, it still can happen that more than one positive root satisfies (50), in which case the user must decide by other means which is the meaningful one for his application. The numerical example that follows reflects such a case.

The file CHAN.FOR uses four subroutines from the NSWC Library of Mathematical Subroutines, [5]:

- (1) CROUT (Input: $\mathbf{G}$, output: $\mathbf{G}^{-1}$ stored in $\mathbf{G}$.)
- (2) MTMS (Multiplies $\mathbf{G}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{H}$, stores result in $\mathbf{Q}$.)
- (3) TMPROD (Input: $\mathbf{Q}$, output: $\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q}$ stored in $\mathbf{QT}$.)
- (4) DRPOLY (Input: Coefficients of polynomial F (elements of $\mathbf{QT}$, see (62)) stored in $\mathbf{T1}$, output: real parts of roots of F in array $\mathbf{ZR}$ and imaginary parts in array $\mathbf{ZI}$.)

We use c (speed of light) = 2.9979248D5 km/sec, R_E (radius of the Earth) = 6378.137 km.

Name of Fortran 95 file: Chan.for (Contains main program plus the four subroutines mentioned above, including their supporting routines.)

Input: D (distance above the Earth of the target)= 1 km

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}_1 &= [0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 6378.137]^T \\
\mathbf{s}_2 &= [3189.069 \quad 3189.069 \quad 4510.024]^T \\
\mathbf{s}_3 &= [2761.814 \quad 4783.603 \quad 3189.069]^T \\
\text{TDOA}_{1,2} &= 2D - 4 \\
\text{TDOA}_{1,3} &= 5D - 4 \\
r &= 6379.137
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Intermediate Results:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G} &= \begin{bmatrix} -7.81071\text{D} - 13 & 0.00000\text{D}0 & -1.27563\text{D}4 \\ -6.37814\text{D}3 & -6.37814\text{D}3 & 3.73623\text{D}3 \\ -5.52363\text{D}3 & -9.56721\text{D}3 & 6.37814\text{D}3 \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{H} &= \begin{bmatrix} -8.13740\text{D}7 & 0.00000\text{D}0 & 1.00000\text{D}0 \\ 3.59502\text{D}3 & 1.19917\text{D}2 & 0.00000\text{D}0 \\ 2.24689\text{D}4 & 2.99792\text{D}2 & 0.00000\text{D}0 \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{G}^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.50016\text{D} - 5 & -3.70959\text{D} - 4 & 2.47306\text{D} - 4 \\ -6.09230\text{D} - 5 & 2.14173\text{D} - 4 & -2.47306\text{D} - 4 \\ -7.83928\text{D} - 5 & 2.27139\text{D} - 20 & -1.51426\text{D} - 20 \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{Q} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1.21652\text{D}3 & 2.96562\text{D} - 2 & 1.50016\text{D} - 5 \\ 4.95277\text{D}3 & -4.84574\text{D} - 2 & -6.09230\text{D} - 5 \\ 6.37914\text{D}3 & -1.81586\text{D} - 18 & -7.83928\text{D} - 5 \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} &= \begin{bmatrix} 6.67032\text{D}7 & -2.76076\text{D}2 & -8.20066\text{D} - 1 \\ -2.76076\text{D}2 & 3.22761\text{D} - 3 & 3.39706\text{D} - 6 \\ -8.20066\text{D} - 1 & 3.39706\text{D} - 6 & 1.00821\text{D} - 8 \end{bmatrix} \\
\text{Det} &= -3.28991\text{D}11 \quad (\text{Determinant of } \mathbf{G})
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

$$F(r_1) = \sum_{k=1}^5 T1(k) r_1^{5-k} = 0, \tag{65}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T1(1) &= 1.00821\text{D} - 8 \\
T1(2) &= 6.79413\text{D} - 6 \\
T1(3) &= -1.63690\text{D}0 \\
T1(4) &= -5.52151\text{D}2 \\
T1(5) &= 2.60098\text{D}7.
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

With F as given in (65), DRPLOY finds the roots:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{ZR}(1) &= 4.05816\text{D}3, & \text{ZI}(1) &= 0.0 \\
\text{ZR}(2) &= -4.39528\text{D}3, & \text{ZI}(2) &= 0.0 \\
\text{ZR}(3) &= 1.18592\text{D}4, & \text{ZI}(3) &= 0.0 \\
\text{ZR}(4) &= -1.21960\text{D}4, & \text{ZI}(4) &= 0.0.
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Output:

We are only interested in the positive roots $\text{ZR}(1)$ and $\text{ZR}(3)$. Noting from (58) that

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{Q}[1, r_1, r_1^2]^T \tag{68}$$

there are two solutions, namely

$$\mathbf{u} = [-8.49113\text{D}2, \ 3.75280\text{D}3, \ 5.08811\text{D}3]^T \tag{69}$$

$$\mathbf{u} = [1.24501\text{D}3, \ -4.19015\text{D}3, \ -4.64607\text{D}3]^T. \tag{70}$$

Both solutions, using (49), satisfy (50) with

$$r_{2,1} = 59.95848, \quad r_{3,1} = 149.8962. \tag{71}$$

Thus, neither positive root of (65) is extraneous and, therefore, both solutions hold.

VII. REFERENCES

1. Ormsby, W. F., Claisse, S., DiDonato, A. R., Carr, J., *Improved Coalition Interoperability Using Passive Remote and Open Situation Awareness*, Informal Memorandum, March 5, 2007.
2. Carr, Jack, *The Passive multistatic Sensor Network Component of PROSAS*, Informal Memorandum, October 5, 2007.
3. Stewart, Andrew D., *Comparing Time-based and Hybrid Time-based/Frequency Based Multi-platform Geo-location Systems*, Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, September 1997.
4. Ho, K. C., Chan, Y. T., "Geolocation of a Known Altitude Object From TDOA and FDOA Measurements," *IEEE Trans. on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, Vol. 33, No. 3, July 1997.
5. Morris, A. H., *NSWC Library of Mathematics Subroutines*, NSWCDD/TR-92/425, January 1993, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division, Dahlgren, VA.
6. Olson, C. F., "Constrained Hough Transforms for Curve Detection," *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, Vol. 73, No. 3, March 1999, pp. 329-345.
7. Lin, X. and Otobe, K., "Hough Transform Algorithm for Real-time Pattern Recognition Using an Artificial Retina Camera," *Optics Express*, Vol. 8, No. 9, April 23, 2001, pp. 503-508.

APPENDIX A

LIST OF FORTRAN 95 SUBPROGRAMS

BLANK PAGE

APPENDIX A

LIST OF FORTRAN 95 SUBPROGRAMS

1. MCARR.FOR or MCARR1.FOR—File containing programs to generate L—lines from an M-set, based on the Hough Transformation.
 - (a)GUV—Subroutine that generates an M-set of points to test HSORT1.
 - (b)HSORT1—Subroutine that finds L—lines in an M-set of points.
 - (c)MATPLO—Generates a Matlab M file for plotting results from HSORT1.
 - (d)HSORTI—Sorting routine from Mathlib, [5] of the main text.
2. DH.FOR—File containing subprograms to generate L—arcs from an M-set.
 - (a)DGXY—Subroutine generating an M-set of points for testing subroutine CIRSOR.
 - (b)CIR1—Subroutine that finds parameters defining a circle from three M-points.
 - (c)CIRSOR—Subroutine that finds L—arcs from an M-set of points.
 - (d)MATPLX—Generates an Matlab M file for plotting results from CIRSOR.
 - (e)LE—Supporting routine for CIRSOR. See Appendix B.
 - (f)LCAL1—Supporting routine for LE.
 - (g)RNORM—Normal random number generating routine used in DGXY, taken from [5] of the main text.
 - (h)RCIR1—Supporting routine for RNORM.
3. PTCIRC.FOR—File containing subprograms to generate L—lines, each with an attached circular arc at one endpoint.
 - (a)GXCYC—Generates an M-set of points to test HSORT1 together with HSORT2.
 - (b)HSORT1—See above.
 - (c)HSORT2—From an M-set, finds a circular arc attached to an endpoint of an L—line.
 - (d)GUV—Subroutine that generates the parameters of an L—line of an M-set.
 - (e)GUVR—Subroutine that generates the parameters of a circular arc attached to an L—line.
 - (f)CIR—Subroutine, essentially the same as CIR1 above.
 - (g)MATPLO—Generates a Matlab M file for plotting results from GXCYC.
 - (h)RNORM—See above.
 - (i)RCIR1—See above.
4. ELLP5.FOR—File containing subprograms to find parameters defining an ellipse, given five points in the xy-plane.
 - (a)ELLPT—Given ellipse parameters and ellipse coordinates x1,y1,x2, ELLPT finds two y-coordinates on the ellipse with x2 as the x-coordinate.

- (b)ELLP—Given five points in the plane, ELLP determines the ellipse, E, with those points on E, by outputting the parameters defining E.
 - (c)CROUT—Subroutine that solves a linear system, with real coefficients, by the Crout procedure. Contained in [5] of the main text.
 - (d)ROTA—Gives the angle of rotation of the xy-axes so that the xy term in (34) of the main text is eliminated. The output also includes the parameters defining E in the rotated coordinates.
 - (e)RNORM—See above.
 - (f)RCIR1—See above.
5. EXLAST2.FOR—File containing subprograms for determining target coordinates from a specified set of sensors and a transmitter.
 - (a)HBRD—Subroutine that solves a set of nonlinear functions. Contained in [5] of the main text.
 6. CHAN.FOR—File containing subprograms for using the Chan method to determine three-dimensional target coordinates using three sensors and a constraint.
 - (a)CROUT—See above.
 - (b)MTMS—Subroutine, from [5] of the main text, for multiplying two real matrices.
 - (c)TMPROD—Subroutine, from [5] of the main text, to produce $A^T B$ given real matrices A and B.
 - (d)DRPOLY—Subroutine, from [5] of the main text, to produce the roots of a polynomial with real coefficients.

APPENDIX B

A RESULT USED IN SUBROUTINE LCAL1 CALLED BY SUBROUTINE LE

BLANK PAGE

APPENDIX B

A RESULT USED IN SUBROUTINE LCAL1 CALLED BY SUBROUTINE LE

This analysis is used in subroutine LE of file HCIR.FOR and the double precision version DHCIR.FOR. It is also used in the latest circular arc routine DCIR3.FOR. LCAL1 replaces the subroutine IJKL, which is much slower.

We have the loops:

L = 0

DO 5 I=1, N-2 !N IS TOTAL NO. OF (X,Y) POINTS. SEE ROUTINE CIRSOR

DO 5 J=I+1, N-1

DO 5 K=J+1, N

L = L + 1

5 CONTINUE

PROBLEM: Given N, I, J, K. Find L without running through 3 LOOPS.

For example: N=10, I=2, J=5, K=6; FIND L. It is L=50.

We use:

$$\sum_{m=1}^n m = n(n+1)/2, \quad \sum_{m=1}^n m^2 = n(n+1)(2n+1)/6. \quad (\text{B-1})$$

We first find the contribution to L from I; call it L_I . Then

$$L_I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\hat{I}} (N-m)(N-m-1), \quad \hat{I} = I - 1. \quad (\text{B-2})$$

$$2L_I = \hat{I}N^2 - \hat{I}N(\hat{I}+1) + \frac{1}{6}\hat{I}(\hat{I}+1)(2\hat{I}+1) - \hat{I}N + \frac{1}{2}\hat{I}(\hat{I}+1), \quad (\text{B-3})$$

$$2L_I = \hat{I}N^2 - \hat{I}N(\hat{I}+2) + \frac{1}{6}\hat{I}(\hat{I}+1)(2\hat{I}+1) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{I}(\hat{I}+1), \quad (\text{B-4})$$

$$2L_I = \hat{I}N(N-I-1) + \frac{1}{3}\hat{I}I(I+1), \quad (\text{B-5})$$

$$L_I = \frac{\hat{I} [3N(N-I-1) + I(I+1)]}{6}. \quad (\text{B-6})$$

For a fixed I, the contribution to L from J and K, denoted by L_{JK} , is given by

$$L_{JK} = \sum_{n=I+1}^{J-1} (N-n) + K - J, \quad (\text{B-7})$$

$$L_{JK} = (J-I-1)N - J(J-1)/2 + I(I+1)/2 + K - J, \quad (\text{B-8})$$

$$L_{JK} = [(J-I-1)(2N-I-J)]/2 + K - J. \quad (\text{B-9})$$

Hence

$$L = L_I + L_{JK}. \quad (\text{B-10})$$

Completing the numerical example above, we get for $N = 10$, $I = 2$, $J = 5$, $K = 6$;
 $L_I = 36$, $L_{JK} = 14$, $L = 50$.

DISTRIBUTION

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
DOD ACTIVITIES (CONUS)		DR SAID E SAID	1
DEFENSE TECHNICAL	2	DEPT OF STATISTICS	
INFORMATION CENTER		EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY	
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN ROAD		GREENVILLE NC 27858	
SUITE 0944		DR DARCY MAYS	1
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218		DEPT OF STATISTICAL SCIENCES	
CODE A76 (TECHNICAL LIBRARY)	1	OPERATIONS RESEARCH	
COMMANDING OFFICER		VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY	
CSSD NSWC		PO BOX 842014	
6703 W HIGHWAY 98		RICHMOND VA 23284-2014	
PANAMA CITY FL 32407-7001		DR JEFFREY BIRCH	1
DEBORAH D HUBBARD	1	DEPT OF STATISTICS	
OUSD(AT&L) / IC / CWP		VIRGINIA TECH	
3070 DEFENSE PENTAGON		417-A HUTCHESON HALL	
PENTAGON SUITE 2E173A		BLACKSBURG VA 24061	
WASHINGTON DC 20301-3070		DR DONALD RICHARDS	1
NON-DOD ACTIVITIES (CONUS)		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
JOHN CHIN	4	UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA	
GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS SECTION		103 HALSEY HALL	1
101 INDEPENDENCE AVENUE SE		CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22904-4135	
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS		DR ED CARLSTEIN	1
WASHINGTON DC 20540-4172		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
DOCUMENT CENTER	1	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA-	
THE CNA CORPORATION		CHAPEL HILL	
4825 MARK CENTER DRIVE		NEW WEST BUILDING	
ALEXANDRIA VA 22311-1850		CHAPEL HILL NC 27599-3260	
CHRISTOPHER ZAFFRAM	1	DR MARCIA GUMPERTZ	1
MCCDC STUDIES AND ANALYSIS BRANCH		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
3300 RUSSELL ROAD		NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY	
QUANTICO VA 22134		RALEIGH NC 27695-8203	
DR EDWARD WEGMAN	1	DR JAMES D LYNCH	1
CENTER FOR COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCES		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY		UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
157 SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY II BUILDING		COLUMBIA SC 29208	
FAIRFAX VA 22030		DR JAMES R SCHOTT	1
DR JAMES GENTLE	1	DEPT OF STATISTICS	
DEPT OF APPLIED ENGINEERING AND		UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA	
STATISTICS		PO BOX 162370	
GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY MS 4A7		ORLANDO FL 32816-2370	
4400 UNIVERSITY DRIVE		DR MYLES HOLLANDER	1
FAIRFAX VA 22030		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
DR N RAO CHAGANTY	1	FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY	
DEPT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS		PO BOX 118545	
OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY		GAINESVILLE FL 32611-8545	
HAMPTON BLVD			
NORFOLK VA 23529			

DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
DR JACK D TUBBS	1	DR GARY OEHLERT	1
INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
BAYLOR UNIVERSITY		UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	
PO BOX 97140		224 CHURCH ST SE STE 313	
WACO TX 76798		MINNEAPOLIS MN 55455-0493	
DR JAMES STAPLETON	1	MS EDITH E LANDIN	1
DEPT OF STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY		IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY	
EAST LANSING MI 48824		102 SNEDECOR HALL	
DR ENSOR KATHERINE	1	AMES IA 50011-1210	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		DR DALE ZIMMERMAN	1
RICE UNIVERSITY		DEPT OF STATISTICS AND ACTUARIAL SCIENCE	
PO BOX 1892 MS 138		UNIVERSITY OF IOWA	
HOUSTON TX 77251-1892		241 SCHAEFFER HALL	
MS BETSEY COGSWELL	1	IOWA CITY IA 52242-1409	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		DR JOHN E BOYER	1
HARVARD UNIVERSITY		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
1 OXFORD ST		KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY	
CAMBRIDGE MA 02138-2901		DICKENS HALL 101	
DR EDWARD R SCHEINERMAN	1	MANHATTAN KS 66506	
DEPT OF MATHEMATICS		DR DHARAM V CHOPRA	1
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
104 WHITEHEAD HALL		WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY	
BALTIMORE MD 21218		1845 N FAIRMOUNT	
DR BENJAMIN KADEM	1	WICHITA KS 67260-0033	
DEPT OF MATHEMATICS		MS CAROLYN J COOK	1
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
COLLEGE PARK MD 20742		COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY	
DR WAYNE A MUTH	1	101 STATISTICS BLDG	
DEPT OF STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE		FORT COLLINS CO 80523-1877	
WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY		DR DENNIS L YOUNG	1
PO BOX 6330		DEPT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS	
MORGANTOWN WV 26506-6330		ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY	
MS SHARON DINGESS	1	TEMPE AZ 85287-1804	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		DR DOOD KALICHARAN	1
CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
10900 EUCLID AVE YOST 323		COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY	
CLEVELAND OH 44106-7054		2990 BROADWAY MC 4403	
DR DOUGLAS WOLFE	1	NEW YORK NY 10027	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		DR LU ANN CUSTER	1
OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
1958 NEIL AVE		UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN	
COLUMBUS OH 43210-1247		439 WEST HALL	
DR ERIK V NORDHEIM	1	ANN ARBOR MI 48109-1092	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		DR ROBERT SMYTHE	1
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
1210 W DAYTON ST		OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	
MADISON WI 53706-1613		KIDDER HALL 44	
		CORVALLIS OR 97331	

DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
DR KENT ESKRIDGE	1	DEPT OF STATISTICS	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		RUTGERS UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY	
UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN		501 HILL CENTER BUSCH CAMPUS	
926 OLDFATHER HALL		PISCATAWAY NJ 08854	
LINCOLN NE 68588		DR ROBERT SMYTHE	1
DR WILLIAM D WARDE	1	DEPT OF STATISTICS	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY	
OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY		WASHINGTON DC 20052	
301 MSCS BLDG		DR STEPHEN L BIEBER	1
STILLWATER OK 74078-1056		DEPT OF STATISTICS	
DR ISHWAR V BASAWA	1	UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		PO BOX 3332	
UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA		LARAMIE WY 82071-3332	
ATHENS GA 30602		DR HOWARD B CHRISTENSEN	1
DR WILLIAM GRIFFITH	1	DEPT OF STATISTICS	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY	
UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY		230A TMCB	
LEXINGTON KY 40506-0027		PROVO UT 84602	
DR REBECCA W DOERGE	1	DR ELGIN PERRY	1
DEPT OF STATISTICS		2000 KING'S LANDING RD	
PURDUE UNIVERSITY		HUNTINGTOWN MD 20639-9743	
1399 MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE BLDG		JOHN T. CARR	
WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47907		VIRGINIA TECH CORPORATE RESEARCH CENTER	
DR LARRY WASSERMAN	1	1800 KRAFT DRIVE SUITE 111	
DEPT OF STATISTICS		BLACKSBURG VA 24060	
CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY		INTERNAL	
PITTSBURGH PA 15213		G308 CHARLES GARNETT	1
DR SATISH IYENGAR	1	Q20 DR WILLIAM FARR	1
DEPT OF STATISTICS		Q21 DR ALAN BERGER	1
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH		Q21 DR JOHN CRIGLER	1
2703 CATHEDRAL OF LEARNING		G72 NGA PHAM	1
PITTSBURGH PA 15260		Q21 DR JEFFREY SOLKA	1
DR DIPAK K DEY	1	Q41 DR MICHAEL RUDZINSKY	1
DEPT OF STATISTICS		W11 STEVEN ANDERSON	1
UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT		W12 WILLIAM ORMSBY	1
196 AUDITORIUM ROAD		W21 DAVID CLAWSON	1
STORRS CT 06269		W30 DR ARMIDO DIDONATO	2
DR ANDREW BARRON	1	W30 EMMANUEL SKAMANGAS	1
DEPT OF STATISTICS		W31 DR ROBERT MCDEVITT	1
YALE UNIVERSITY		W31 DR DEMETRIOS SERAKOS	1
PO BOX 208290		W60 ROBERT HILL	1
NEW HAVEN CT 06520-8290		Z31 TECHNICAL LIBRARY	3
DR KESAR SINGH	1		

