

RAPID COMMUNICATION

Aedes (Finlaya) japonicus (Diptera: Culicidae), a Newly Recognized Mosquito in the United States: Analyses of Genetic Variation in the United States and Putative Source Populations

DINA M. FONSECA, SCOTT CAMPBELL,¹ WAYNE J. CRANS,² MOTOYOSHI MOGI,³
ICHIRO MIYAGI,⁴ TAKAKO TOMA,⁴ MARK BULLIANS,⁵ THEODORE G. ANDREADIS,⁶
RICHARD L. BERRY,⁷ BENEDICT PAGAC,⁸ MICHAEL R. SARDELIS,⁹ AND
RICHARD C. WILKERSON

Department of Entomology, Division of Communicable Diseases and Immunology,
Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, 503 Robert Grant Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20910-7500

J. Med. Entomol. 38(2): 135-146 (2001)

ABSTRACT Introduction of potential disease vectors into a new geographic area poses health risks to local human, livestock, and wildlife populations. It is therefore important to gain understanding of the dynamics of these invasions, in particular its sources, modes of spread after the introduction, and vectorial potential. We studied the population genetics of *Aedes (Finlaya) japonicus japonicus* (Theobald), an Asian mosquito that was recognized for the first time in the United States in 1998. We examined patterns of genetic diversity using random amplified polymorphic DNA and sequences of ND4 of mtDNA by comparing samples from populations spanning the range of this mosquito in Japan (six samples) and the United States (nine samples) as well as specimens intercepted in New Zealand in 1999. We found geographically differentiated populations in Japan, indicating limited gene flow even on small spatial scales. In the United States, we found evidence of significant genetic differentiation between samples from New York, Connecticut, and New Jersey and those from mid-Pennsylvania and Maryland. We were unable to pinpoint the source location(s) in Japan, although some of the U.S. samples are genetically close to samples from south Honshu and western Kyushu. Further studies should include samples from Korean populations. Distinct genetic signatures in U.S. populations undergoing expansion suggest the possibility of local increases in genetic diversity if and where they meet.

KEY WORDS *Aedes japonicus japonicus*, introduced disease vectors, population genetics, sources and genetic diversity

INVADING NONINDIGENOUS SPECIES cause public health problems and major environmental damage; and as trade and travel increase the number of unwanted introductions is expected to rise (OTA 1993). From a medical perspective, some of the most worrisome introductions are mosquitoes or other potential disease

vectors. The impact of diseases on formerly naïve human and wildlife populations due to introduced mosquitoes is well-documented (Morgan 1981, Bryan 1999). Furthermore, newly established associations between vectors and local parasites may result in deadly combinations (Cheng et al. 1999). There is, therefore, the potential for major disease epidemics fueled by newly established associations of parasites, hosts, and vectors. To develop the tools to prevent and control such epidemics there is a need to understand invasion dynamics. That is, what happens to a population shortly after it has become isolated from other populations of the same species in an unfamiliar and possibly ecologically distinct area.

In February 1999, EL Peyton identified four mosquitoes collected in Suffolk County, NY, and Ocean County, NJ, in late summer of 1998, as *Aedes (Finlaya) japonicus japonicus* (Theobald), establishing a first record for the species in the United States (Peyton et al. 1999). Subsequently, Munstermann and Andreadis (1999) reported *Ae. j. japonicus* in Connecticut collected in July 1998. In the spring and summer of 1999, large numbers of larvae or adults of *Ae. j. japonicus*

¹ Suffolk Vector Control, 335 Yaphank Avenue, Yaphank, NY 11980.

² Department of Entomology, Rutgers University, 180 Jones Avenue, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8536.

³ Division of Parasitology, Department of Microbiology, Saga Medical School, Nabeshima 5-1-1, Saga 849-8501, Japan.

⁴ Laboratory of Medical Zoology, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, 207 Uehara, Nishihara-cho, Okinawa 903-0215, Japan.

⁵ AgriQuality New Zealand, Plant Protection Centre, PO Box 41, Auckland, New Zealand.

⁶ The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, P.O. Box 1106, 123 Huntington Street, New Haven, CT 06504.

⁷ Ohio Department of Health, 900 Freeway Drive North, Columbus, OH 43229.

⁸ Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine-North, Entomological Sciences Division, Building 4411, Fort Meade, MD 20755-5225.

⁹ Virology Division, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, 1425 Porter Street, Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5011.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 2001		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2001 to 00-00-2001	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Aedes (Finkzya) japonicus (Diptera: Culicidae), a Newly Recognized Mosquito in the United States: Analyses of Genetic Variation in the United States and Putative Source Populations				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Department of Entomology, 503 Robert Grant Avenue, Silver Spring, MD, 20910-7500				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT see report					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 12	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

were collected in New Jersey, New York, and Connecticut, and a few eggs and larvae were collected in Pennsylvania and Ohio. In June of 2000, *Ae. japonicus* was also found in Frederick, MD (M.R.S., unpublished data).

Aedes j. japonicus is considered one of four morphologically similar subspecies that occur throughout most of Japan, Taiwan, Korea, eastern China, and Russia (Tanaka et al. 1979). *Ae. j. japonicus* is relatively common in Palearctic Japan (Hokkaido, Honshu, Shikoku, Kyushu, Yakushima, and Tsushima) and Korea (Peninsula and Cheju Do). *Ae. j. japonicus* is known to feed on chickens and mice (Miyagi 1972) and although it is usually found in forested areas it will readily bite if humans encroach on its habitat (Knight 1969). *Ae. j. japonicus* breeds in a variety of natural and artificial containers very much like the better-known *Ae. (Stegomyia) albopictus* (Skuse). This has led to the suspicion that *Ae. j. japonicus*, like the above-mentioned species, was introduced into the United States by the used tire trade (Peyton et al. 1999).

Unlike *Ae. aegypti* and to some degree *Ae. albopictus*, which are found predominantly in warm climates, *Ae. j. japonicus* is a species adapted to colder conditions and capable of surviving snowy winters (Tanaka et al. 1979). Although *Ae. j. japonicus* is not considered an important disease vector in Japan and Korea, laboratory experiments have shown that it is capable of transmitting Japanese encephalitis to mice (Takashima and Rosen 1989). Because of differences between habitats, changes in predators, and possible alterations in the genetic makeup of the populations as a result of the introduction, the potential for *Ae. j. japonicus* becoming a major pest and disease vector in the northeastern forested suburbs or even urban areas should not be underestimated. Recent studies have demonstrated that *Ae. j. japonicus* is a good laboratory vector of West Nile virus (M. J. Turell, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, MD, personal communication), an encephalitis recognized for the first time in the United States in 1999 and responsible for several deaths in New York City (Sampson et al. 2000). Indeed, pools of field-collected *Ae. j. japonicus* have been found positive for West Nile virus in New York (<http://www.health.state.ny.us/nysdoh/westnile/index.htm>).

Our objectives were to infer the type of introduction (unique or multiple) and the geographical origin of such introduction(s). Information such as this will aid in the development of effective means of controlling further introductions. We also aimed to examine patterns of genetic diversity among the currently known U.S. populations so we can begin to develop predictive models of the expansion of this, and possibly other, nonindigenous species. Ultimately, our objectives are to predict patterns of spread of nonindigenous species and their potential roles in the occurrence and spread of emerging diseases.

Materials and Methods

Included in this study are specimens of *Ae. j. japonicus* from all U.S. populations sampled in 1999 and a population sampled in 2000 in Frederick, MD (Table 1). We also include samples from populations spanning the full range of the distribution of *Ae. j. japonicus* in Japan (Table 1), as well as *Ae. j. japonicus* found in a bucket of water on a cargo ship docked in Auckland, New Zealand. This species has been intercepted several times in New Zealand (Laird et al. 1994) but is not established there. Finally, as an out-group we used specimens of *Ae. j. yaeyamensis* from the Iriomote Islands (Table 1). This subspecies only occurs in the Yaeyama Rettō in the Ryukyu Archipelago. Recent work on the *Ae. japonicus* species complex shows that of the three other subspecies *Ae. j. yaeyamensis* is the closest genetically to *Ae. j. japonicus* but has a unique genetic signature (D.M.F., unpublished data), making it suitable as an out-group. We deposited voucher specimens in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (accession #1701, 1703–1705, 1708–1709).

Because all populations of an introduced species may be recently derived from the same genetic stock, to detect unique genetic signatures among populations it is necessary to use genetic markers that have either very high rates of mutation or were highly polymorphic in the original population. Therefore, as a first step we chose to examine the genetic diversity of *Ae. j. japonicus* using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD). This method is relatively easy and fast to implement (Kambhampati et al. 1992), and it allows the simultaneous probing of the entire genome. RAPD bands can be highly polymorphic because the technique screens coding and noncoding areas of the genome (Williams et al. 1990), the latter of which may include middle or highly repetitive DNA sequences with high mutation rates (Williams et al. 1990, Kazan et al. 1993). Unfortunately, analysis of RAPD frequency distributions may also underestimate genetic distances and predicted rates of gene flow between populations if mutations frequently result in the independent loss or gain of RAPD bands in different populations (Gorrochotegui-Escalante et al. 2000). This problem should, however, be less important when examining recently established populations like those resulting from an introduction.

As a second class of marker, we sequenced 424 bp of ND4, a mitochondrial gene (NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4). mtDNA is maternally inherited (Avice 1994) and mtDNA variants segregate rapidly between generations leading to relatively high rates of polymorphism (Howell et al. 1996, Jenuth et al. 1996). From the sequence data one can recover both rates of gene flow and phylogenetic relationships between populations. For these reasons, mtDNA has been used extensively in population genetic studies (e.g., Conn et al. 1993, Besansky et al. 1997, Fonseca et al. 2000). In particular the ND5 and ND4 mtDNA loci have been shown to be highly polymorphic in both *Anopheles* and

Table 1. *Aedes japonicus* samples, list of locations with latitude and longitude, date and life stage at which specimens were collected, and sample sizes for RAPD and ND4-mtDNA analyses

	Country	Location	Latitude/Longitude	Date	Stage ^a	N _R	N _N
1	USA	Southold, NY	41°03'N, 72°24'W	6/22–7/30/99	Larvae	5	5
2	USA	Shelter Island, NY	41°06'N, 72°32'W	8/03/99 ^b	Larvae	5	5
3	USA	Manorville, NY	40°86'N, 72°79'W	8/02/99	Larvae	5	5
4	USA	Essex, CT	41°35'N, 72°41'W	6/21/99	Larvae	—	3
4	USA	Kent, CT	41°73'N, 73°45'W	5/19/00	Pupae	4	4
4	USA	Portland, CT	41°58'N, 72°62'W	6/24/99	Larvae	—	1
4	USA	Roxbury, CT	41°55'N, 73°30'W	8/09/99	Larvae	—	1
4	USA	Stamford, CT	41°09'N, 73°55'W	7/19/99	Adults	—	1
5	USA	New Egypt, NJ	40°04'N, 74°26'W	6/08/99	Larvae	5	5
6	USA	Vernon, NJ	41°24'N, 74°49'W	7/02/99	Larvae	5	5
7	USA	Chambersburg, PA	39°93'N, 77°66'W	6/14/99	Eggs	5	6
8	USA	Frederick, MD	39°23'N, 77°23'W	6/15/00	Larvae	5	4
9	USA	Oak Hill, OH	38°90'N, 82°57'W	8/23–9/05/99	Eggs	4	4
10	Japan	Nagasaki, Kyushu	32°48'N, 129°55'E	7/6/99	Larvae	4	5
11	Japan	Saga, Kyushu	33°15'N, 130°18'E	9/29/98	Larvae	4	5
12	Japan	Hiroshima, Honshu	42°59'N, 141°34'E	11/4/99	Larvae & Adults	4	5
13	Japan	Tokyo, Honshu	35°42'N, 139°46'E	Sept. 98	Adults	4	5
14	Japan	Sapporo, Hokkaido	43°03'N, 141°21'E	8/26/99	Larvae ^c	4	5
15	Japan	Chitose, Hokkaido	42°49'N, 141°39'E	6/20/99	Adults	—	4
16	NZ	Auckland	36°52'S, 174°46'E	2/8/98 and 3/15/99	Larvae	—	4
17	Japan	Iriomote Island	24°20'N, 123°50'E	June 99	Adults	2	—
					Total	65	82

Numbers in the leftmost column correspond to those in the pie charts in Fig. 4. N_R and N_N are the numbers of individuals from each population used for the RAPD and ND4 analysis, respectively. —, Specimens not included in the analyses.

^a All specimens were examined as adults except when noted.

^b Month/day/year.

^c DNA extracted from larval stages.

Aedes species (Lehmann et al. 1997, De Merida et al. 1999, Gorrochotegui-Escalante et al. 2000).

Because we only had four to six individuals from Ohio, Pennsylvania, Maryland, and New Zealand, we chose to examine a minimum of four and a maximum of six specimens from all locations and we favored analyses that treat specimens individually. Once we established the degree of similarity between individuals we combined specimens from several locations to achieve sample sizes large enough to support population level analyses (Nei 1978). When we had large samples (New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, and Japanese locations), we chose specimens from as many different sources and collecting trips within a location as possible (e.g., different dates, water cavities, or pools of mosquitoes). The specimens collected in Chitose, a city in Hokkaido, Japan, and those intercepted in Auckland, New Zealand, did not amplify well with the RAPD primers possibly because of DNA degradation during transport to the United States. Specimens from several locations in Connecticut where improperly preserved and had developed fungi. For that reason we excluded them from the RAPD analysis. Since we were able to amplify ND4 successfully from many of these specimens, however, they were included in the ND4 analysis. Because there were only one to three specimens from Essex, Portland, Roxbury, or Stamford we combined them with the four specimens from Kent to form a "Connecticut" sample (Table 1).

RAPD Loci. We extracted DNA from individual specimens using a phenol/chloroform method (Fonseca et al. 2000). We screened 30 decamer primers (A01–07, 09, 10, 12–16, 20; B01–05, 16–18; C03, 04, 13,

18, 19; D11, 13, Operon Technologies, Alameda, CA), and used nine in the analyses. Procedures followed very closely those described in Wilkerson et al. (1995) except that we used a PE Biosystems 9700 thermocycler (PE Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and we ran the gels for 5 h. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) parameters were 5-min denaturation at 94°C followed by 45 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 35°C, and 2 min at 72°C. Minimum ramp times were used at all stages except between 35 and 72°C, where we delayed the ramp by 25% to achieve a rate of 4°C/s. Molecular weight standards to size the RAPD bands were mostly provided by phiX174 DNA digested with *Hae*III (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). In the few instances in which the RAPD bands were larger than 1,350 bp (primers A20 and C13), we used a 3:1 mix of phiX174 *Hae* III and lambda DNA digested with *Hind*III (Sigma). The molecular weight of each RAPD band was estimated by comparison with the co-migrating size standards using a least-squares polynomial regression analysis. For each primer a minimum of 15 randomly chosen samples were rerun at least once, in some cases two to three times. Only bands shown to be reproducible, and in the intermediate molecular weight range, were scored. Because the preservation of specimens differed somewhat across samples, the choice of primers was based on their ability to consistently produce bands of equivalent size range and intensity across all the samples examined. Although this may result in an underestimate of differences between samples, we felt the known sensitivity of the RAPD method to DNA quality (Koch et al. 1998) made such a concession necessary. We also examined specimens carefully under a dissecting microscope before DNA extraction

and discarded the entire sample for RAPD analysis if any specimens had fungi or signs of possible contamination with other sources of DNA.

The RAPD bands were analyzed as genetic markers assuming that recessive "band absent" alleles are identical among and within individuals, and dominant "band present" alleles are identical among and within individuals (Gorrochotegui-Escalante et al. 2000). When performing exact tests we also assumed that RAPD bands of different sizes result from independent mutations. The analyses were performed either by considering specimens individually or by combining them into groups. For the analysis of individuals the binary data matrix was converted to a similarity matrix using Nei and Li (1985) similarity index and then to a distance matrix ($D = 1 - S$), in RAPDPLOT. The statistical package RAPDPLOT was developed by William C. Black and is available by anonymous ftp (<ftp://lamar.colostate.edu/pub/wcb4/>). Specimens were combined into groups based on the individual analysis. We used TFGPA version 1.3 (Miller 1997) to calculate Nei's (1978) unbiased heterozygosity and the percent of polymorphic RAPD loci in each sample. We also used TFGPA to calculate exact tests of population differentiation (Raymond and Rousset 1995). RAPDDIST in RAPDPLOT was used to compute pairwise distances between samples. We analyzed the data using all the genetic distance measures available in RAPDDIST with the correction Lynch and Milligan (1994) proposed for dominant markers like those derived from RAPD analyses. As a graphic representation of the relationships among specimens and samples, dendrograms were constructed by unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averaging (unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic average, UPGMA Swofford et al. 1996) using the NEIGHBOR and CONSENSUS programs from PHYLIP version 3.57c (Felsenstein 1995). To evaluate the relative strength of the tree nodes we analyzed 1,000 bootstrap replicate matrices generated with RAPDPLOT. Trees were visualized with TreeViewPPC (Page 1996). We tested for the possibility of isolation by distance (Slatkin 1993) by comparing distance matrices with a matrix of geographic distances between locations in Japan and the United States with a Mantel test (Mantel and Valand 1970) in Arlequin version 2000 (10,000 permutations, Schneider et al. 2000).

ND4 Sequencing. Based on the universal primers reported by Simon et al. (1994) and the complete mitochondrial sequence for *Anopheles gambiae* (Beard et al. 1993) and *An. quadrimaculatus* (Mitchell et al. 1993) we designed two new primers: N4J-8502D 5'-CGTAG-GAGCAGCAGCTATATT-3' and N4N-8944D 5'-AAG-GCTCATGTTGAAGCTCC-3'. These primers amplify a 424-bp fragment between positions 8398 and 8821 in the *An. gambiae* sequence (GenBank accession #L20934). For the amplification we used 5 ng of genomic DNA in a 50- μ l reaction. The final concentrations of the PCR reagents were as follows: 1 $\times$ PCR Buffer, 300 nM of each primer, 250 μ M of each dNTP, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 1.5 U of Taq Gold polymerase (PE Biosystems). The PCR amplification was preceded by

a 10-min denaturation at 96°C. The amplification consisted of 35 cycles of 40 s at 94°C, 40 s at 55°C, and 60 s at 72°C, and ended with a final extension step of 7 min at 72°C. Some individuals that did not amplify under these conditions were subsequently amplified by lowering the annealing temperature to 53°C. After cleaning the PCR products with QiaQuick columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), cycle sequencing was done in both directions using the PCR primers and ABI Prism Big-Dye Terminators (PE Biosystems). We used one-half of the 1:4 dilution conditions described in PE Biosystems Application Note #107PAB01-01 in a total volume of 10 μ l. Sequences were visualized and scored on a 373 PE Biosystems Automatic Sequencer (PE Biosystems).

Alignment and assembly of sequences were performed with Sequencher 3.0 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI). Arlequin version 2.000 (Schneider et al. 2000) was used to calculate haplotype frequencies, gene diversity (H) and its sampling variance, and mean number and variance of pairwise differences between haplotypes in each sample (π). H is equivalent to the expected heterozygosity for diploid data (Nei 1987) and is defined as the probability that two randomly chosen haplotypes are different in a sample. The total variance of π is an estimate over the stochastic and the sampling processes (Tajima 1983). After these initial analyses by sample, we combined the specimens into groups based on putative haplotype discontinuities and used Arlequin to estimate pairwise F_{ST} values from haplotype frequencies and perform exact tests of population differentiation. Because of the large number of tests performed we used a sequential Bonferroni correction to adjust the α value for each test (Rice 1989). Basic statistical tests were performed with JMP version 3.1.6 (SAS Institute 1995).

Results

RAPD Analyses. The nine primers used are A01-03, A20, B17, B18, C13, C18, and D11 and together they yielded a total of 112 RAPD bands (93 bands in analyses that did not require an out-group) after we removed from the analyses those bands that occurred in only one individual. Only three bands were larger than 1,350 bp (from primers A20 and C13) and only two were smaller than 310 bp (both from D11, found exclusively in the Pennsylvania and Maryland samples). The presence/absence matrix is available (<http://wrbu.si.edu/wrbu.html>). The trees that resulted from the UPGMA analysis did not vary with the type of genetic distance being used although occasionally a bootstrap value changed from <50% to >50%, depending on the analysis. In these cases we report the lower value. There were consistently only three RAPD bands in common between *Ae. j. japonicus* and *Ae. j. yaeyamensis*, which underlines the genetic distinctness of the latter subspecies and its usefulness as an out-group in these analyses.

Analysis of the five Japanese samples revealed significant differences between locations. All specimens




Fig. 1. Dendrogram based on RAPD loci constructed by UPGMA showing the relationships among Japanese specimens of *Ae. j. japonicus*. Specimens are given the name of the location where they were collected. Outgroup is the subspecies *Ae. j. yaeyamensis*. Numbers near nodes in the tree are percent bootstrap support for the node based on 1000 permutations. Only bootstrap values higher than 50% are shown. Scale is distance (D) based on Nei and Li's similarity index, S ($D = 1 - S$).

from Sapporo, Tokyo, Nagasaki, and most of those from Saga and Hiroshima formed separate groups (Fig. 1). One specimen from Saga and one from Hiroshima, however, did not cluster within their respective locations (Fig. 1). Either considering Saga and Hiroshima as separate populations or not, pairwise measures of genetic distance are significantly correlated to geographic distance ($r^2 = 0.40$, Mantel probability = 0.041 for Nei's (1978) unbiased genetic distance with Saga and Hiroshima as separate samples).

In the United States, we found an association between the specimens collected in New York, Connecticut, and northern New Jersey (Vernon) locations (Fig. 2). The specimens from New Egypt, NJ, form a separate cluster, as do those from Oak Hill, OH, but Pennsylvania and Maryland samples cluster together (Fig. 2). Still, the degree of differentiation between locations is considerably less than that found in the analysis of the Japanese samples (Fig. 1) and we found no correlation between genetic distance and geographic distance ($r^2 = 0.02$, Mantel probability = 0.32).

ND4 Analysis. We sequenced this locus for 82 specimens of *Ae. j. japonicus*, including those used in the

RAPD analysis. We found 15 haplotypes that result from 15 transitions (Fig. 3, GenBank accession #AF305879). Five haplotypes were only found in Japan, one is unique to New Zealand, and six are unique to the United States (Fig. 3). Only one haplotype, H1, is common to the United States, Japan, and New Zealand. On average we found 2.3 ± 0.3 (mean ± 1 SE) ND4 haplotypes in each location in Japan and 2.2 ± 0.2 in the United States analysis of variance (ANOVA; $P = 0.78$). Three haplotypes were found in the four specimens obtained in Auckland, New Zealand (Fig. 4). The gene diversity is not significantly different between Japanese and U.S. samples (0.61 ± 0.10 in Japan and 0.47 ± 0.85 in the United States, ANOVA, $F = 0.99$; $df = 8, 5$; $P = 0.20$) nor is the mean number of pairwise differences between haplotypes (1.53 ± 0.36 in Japan and 1.38 ± 0.29 in the U.S. samples, ANOVA, $F = 0.11$; $df = 8, 5$; $P = 0.44$).

In Japan, the patterns of ND4 diversity mirror those found with RAPDs. Samples from Hokkaido, the northernmost island in Japan (Sapporo and Chitose), have unique haplotypes although the ubiquitous haplotype H1 was found in the Chitose sample. Likewise, Tokyo specimens have unique haplotypes, although




Fig. 2. Dendrogram based on RAPD loci showing the relationships among *Ae. j. japonicus* specimens collected in the United States. Details are as in Fig. 1.

the presence of haplotype H3 indicates possible genetic exchange with the southwestern populations. In the United States, two patterns are evident. First, the five samples of populations clustered on and around Long Island (Shelter Island, Southold, Manorville, New Egypt, and Vernon, in New Jersey and the five locations in Connecticut) all appear to be successive samplings from the same gene pool. The highest genetic diversity occurs in Long Island, where five haplotypes can be found (Table 2). Second, Pennsylvania (PA) and Maryland (MD) have one unique haplotype, H9, which occurs with high frequency (Fig. 4) and a second haplotype, H12, also found nowhere else in the United States, although it occurs in one individual from Chitose in Northern Japan (Fig. 4). Ohio has one unique haplotype, H4, but the most common haplotype there is H1. If present, haplotypes H4, H9, and H12 must occur with frequencies lower than 0.1 in the New York, Connecticut, and New Jersey populations because, after sampling 35 individuals, they

have still not been detected (the probability of not sampling a haplotype if that haplotype is present = $[1 - \text{frequency}]^N$, where N is the number of specimens tested).

Combined Analysis. Based on the analyses done separately for Japanese and U.S. samples, we combined specimens into eight groups (Table 2) and performed a population level analysis with all specimens and the out-group. The RAPD analysis shows a pattern of interspersal (Fig. 5). The NY/Vernon/CT group is more closely related to specimens from southwestern Japan (Hiroshima and Saga) than to other U.S. groups. Significant F_{ST} values and exact tests of population differentiation with the ND4 and RAPD data, respectively (Table 3), show differentiation between NY/Vernon/CT and Pennsylvania/Maryland as well as between NY/Vernon/CT and Hokkaido samples. At the mtDNA-ND4 locus, 68% of the pairs of samples analyzed were statistically different.




Fig. 3. Mitochondrial (ND4) DNA haplotypes found in this study and their observed frequencies. Only variable loci are shown and the numbers above each represent its position along the 424-bp length of the ND4 gene sequenced. Haplotypes found in the United States (except for Pennsylvania and Maryland) are shown in black, those found in Japan are shown in white. Haplotypes from the Pennsylvania/Maryland samples are in gray and haplotypes from New Zealand are in light stippled.

Discussion

In Japan, both RAPD and mtDNA analyses reveal differentiation among populations. We found unique RAPD banding patterns and mtDNA haplotypes in most locations and a systematic and significant decrease in genetic similarity with distance between locations, a signature of long-established populations isolated by distance (Rousset 1997). Because *Ae. japonicus* specimens have been able to arrive in the United States and were found in cargo ships in New Zealand, we were surprised by not finding extensive gene flow between Japanese locations. Although movement across Japan might be precluded by its insular nature, there were significant differences even between locations in the same island (e.g., Hiroshima and Tokyo). The distribution of *Ae. japonicus* in Japan suggests therefore that this mosquito has a relatively short flight range and is not commonly transported by humans. Still, the presence of the mtDNA haplotype H1 in the sample from Chitose in northern Japan could indicate that some human mediated gene flow between Japanese locations is occurring. Based on a minimum spanning tree analysis (data not shown), however, H1 is putatively the haplotype from which 50% of the remaining haplotypes evolved. It is then possible that the high frequency of H1 across most populations reflects not contemporaneous gene flow but an ancestral common source of all populations. That would also explain its abundance in the U.S. samples, although most of the remaining haplotypes are unique to either the U.S. or Japanese populations. It should be noted that the presence of haplotype H5 in the New Zealand sample, a haplotype only found in Hokkaido samples, does appear to indicate a northern Japanese source for those mosquitoes. Therefore,

some human-associated traffic of *Ae. japonicus* from Japan is occurring.

In the United States, different RAPD patterns and mtDNA haplotypes, particularly between NY/NJ/CT and Pennsylvania/Maryland specimens, but also the uniqueness of RAPD patterns in New Egypt, NJ, and Oak Hill, OH, might suggest multiple introductions. Because the sample sizes in these analyses, the first performed on this species, were constrained by the small number of specimens available, the power (Cohen 1988) of the analysis is relatively low. Nevertheless, it is undeniable that there are indications of at least two independent foci of expansion of *Ae. j. japonicus* in the USA, one located in the northeastern states of New York, Connecticut, and New Jersey, and the second in the main axis of Pennsylvania and Maryland. These two foci are significantly genetically differentiated as evidenced by the significant F_{ST} values and exact tests for population differentiation (Table 3). Although ND4-mtDNA haplotypes are very distinct between New York/New Jersey/Connecticut and Pennsylvania/Maryland, RAPD patterns between these two groups of samples do not appear as distinct as those found between locations in Japan. The RAPD patterns may therefore reflect a common source for all U.S. populations that, once isolated, will develop very different ND4-mtDNA haplotype frequencies. On the one hand, the fact that a single gravid mosquito may start a new population makes such a scenario feasible. On the other hand, the virtual absence of haplotypes H9 and H12 from the New York/New Jersey/Connecticut samples, makes the coincidence of two gravid mosquitoes (each female carries a single mtDNA haplotype), with very rare haplotypes founding the Pennsylvania/Maryland population, an unlikely scenario at




Fig. 4. MtDNA-ND4 haplotype distribution and geographic location of all populations sampled for this study. (a) U.S. locations. (b) Japanese locations. Numbers in the pie charts correspond to column one of Table 1. Each color represents a different haplotype (H1-H15, Fig. 3), and in each pie chart the area of a color corresponds to the proportion of the respective haplotype in that sample.

best. It remains possible, however, that both New York/New Jersey/Connecticut and Pennsylvania/Maryland populations derived from an original introduction in which all U.S. ND4-mtDNA haplotypes were relatively common. Such a population would have an mtDNA genetic diversity similar to that encountered in some Japanese locations (e.g., Tokyo or Chitose) and it still might be present in the United States, undetected or at least untested.

After a founder event like a new introduction, one might expect to find reduced genetic diversity (Hartl

and Clark 1997). Instead, neither RAPD nor ND4-mtDNA analyses show evidence of an overall reduced genetic diversity in the United States when compared with Japanese samples (Table 2). Although the samples from Pennsylvania and Maryland have a significantly lower ND4-mtDNA diversity than samples from southern Japan (ANOVA, $F = 12.57$; $df = 9, 19$; $P = 0.02$) this pattern is not mirrored in the RAPD band diversity. There are at least three possible explanations for the high genetic diversity found in U.S. populations of *Ae. japonicus*: (1) the species arrived in

Table 2. Measures of genetic variation in population level analyses using both RAPDs and mtDNA-ND4

Locations	RAPDs			ND4			
	n	H	%P	n	#h	H $\pm$ V(H)	$\pi \pm V(\pi)$
Southold, NY	5	0.12	33.3	5	2	0.60 $\pm$ 0.18	1.20 $\pm$ 1.07
Shelter Island, NY	5	0.13	34.4	5	2	0.40 $\pm$ 0.24	1.20 $\pm$ 2.40
Manorville, NY	5	0.12	32.1	5	3	0.70 $\pm$ 0.22	2.20 $\pm$ 3.07
Connecticut	4	0.11	29.0	10	3	0.51 $\pm$ 0.16	0.91 $\pm$ 1.00
Vernon, NJ	5	0.11	26.9	5	3	0.70 $\pm$ 0.22	1.20 $\pm$ 1.07
All Long Island locations, Vernon (NJ), Connecticut ^a	24	0.15	55.9	30	5	0.73 $\pm$ 0.05	1.55 $\pm$ 1.42
Chambersburg, PA	5	0.13	34.4	6	2	0.33 $\pm$ 0.22	1.67 $\pm$ 5.95
Frederick, MD	5	0.11	30.1	4	2	0.50 $\pm$ 0.27	2.50 $\pm$ 7.50
Pennsylvania+Maryland ^a	10	0.14	43.0	10	2	0.36 $\pm$ 0.16	1.78 $\pm$ 5.86
New Egypt, NJ ^a	5	0.09	22.6	5	1	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00
Oak Hill, OH ^a	4	0.11	25.8	4	2	0.50 $\pm$ 0.27	1.50 $\pm$ 2.70
All US locations	43	0.17	75.3	49	8	0.83 $\pm$ 0.03	2.41 $\pm$ 2.55
Nagasaki ^a	4	0.10	24.7	5	2	0.60 $\pm$ 0.18	2.40 $\pm$ 4.27
Saga	4	0.14	37.6	5	2	0.70 $\pm$ 0.22	2.00 $\pm$ 4.00
Hiroshima	4	0.13	33.3	5	3	0.70 $\pm$ 0.22	0.80 $\pm$ 0.40
Saga+Hiroshima ^a	8	0.15	51.6	10	4	0.73 $\pm$ 0.10	1.56 $\pm$ 2.89
Tokyo ^a	4	0.16	37.6	5	3	0.80 $\pm$ 0.16	2.80 $\pm$ 2.40
Sapporo ^a	4	0.12	30.1	5	1	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00
Chitose ^a	—	—	—	4	3	0.83 $\pm$ 0.22	1.17 $\pm$ 0.57
All Japanese locations	20	0.19	77.4	29	8	0.84 $\pm$ 0.04	2.13 $\pm$ 2.71
Auckland, NZ	—	—	—	4	3	0.83 $\pm$ 0.22	1.67 $\pm$ 1.47

n, sample size for each analysis; H, Nei's (1987) unbiased heterozygosity; %P, percent RAPD loci not fixed; #h, number of haplotypes; H, gene diversity; V(H), sampling variance; π , mean number of pairwise differences between haplotypes; V(π), total variance in mean number of pairwise differences.

^a Samples were used to examine the similarity between US and Japanese populations (Fig. 5).

large numbers, (2) there were multiple introductions, and (3) *Ae. japonicus* has been in the United States for quite some time, undetected. Black et al. (1988), Kambhampati et al. (1990) and Urbanelli et al. (2000) argued that large introductions might explain why they failed to find reduced genetic diversity in U.S. and

Italian populations of *Ae. albopictus*. The two most common scenarios for the arrival of mosquitoes are as adults in passenger planes or as desiccated eggs in tires or other similar containers in cargo ships. The number of mosquitoes that arrive on a plane is almost certainly small (Raymond et al. 1991, Qiao and Raymond 1995)




Fig. 5. UPGMA dendrogram based on RAPD loci using Nei's standard genetic distance showing putative relationships among groups of *Ae. j. japonicus* specimens from all the locations included in this study. Outgroup is *Ae. j. yaeyamensis*. Sample sizes (N) are shown in front of each group label. Bootstrap support is based on 1,000 permutations. Only bootstrap values higher than 50% are shown.

Table 3. Pairwise F_{ST} values between populations and exact tests for population differentiation

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1		0.301	0.303	0.000 ^a	0.636	0.375	0.038	0.000 ^a
2	0.545 ^a		0.794	0.140	0.984	0.992	0.753	0.192
3	0.092	0.761 ^a		0.834	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
4	0.552 ^a	0.756 ^a	0.389 ^a		0.924	0.201	0.603	0.015
5	0.199	0.665 ^a	-0.013	0.498 ^a		1.000	1.000	0.743
6	0.278 ^a	0.667 ^a	-0.094	0.177 ^a	0.161		1.000	0.984
7	0.258 ^a	0.533	0.027	0.452 ^a	0.156	0.174 ^a		1.000
8	0.179 ^a	0.862 ^a	0.433	0.634 ^a	0.470 ^a	0.419 ^a	0.448 ^a	

Values below and above the midline are F_{ST} values from the mtDNA-ND4 analysis and P-values from exact tests for population differentiation from the RAPD data, respectively. 1, NY/Vernon/CT; 2, New Egypt; 3, Ohio; 4, PA/MD; 5, Nagasaki; 6, Saga+Hiroshima; 7, Tokyo; 8, Sapporo (RAPD) or Sapporo+Chitose (ND4). Sample sizes as in Table 2.

^a Significant value using sequential Bonferroni corrected α values.

but may happen repeatedly, whereas eggs in tires could result in large populations if the tires get flooded, although, the effective population size may be considerably smaller. Of notice is the fact that although the tire trade has been implicated in the introduction of many species of mosquitoes to many places (Craven et al. 1988, Reiter 1998), extensive examinations of tire transport into the United States did not reveal the presence of *Ae. japonicus*. Laird et al. (1994) found *Ae. japonicus* in only three out of 8,549 tires inspected that arrived in New Zealand between November 1992 and January 1993. Overall, therefore, *Ae. japonicus* might not be as common an occurrence in tires as *Ae. albopictus*.

How *Ae. japonicus* is moving between locations within the United States is also not clear. Certainly the tire trade within the United States might be an important source of new foci. Another possibility is that mosquito movement is associated with the Standardbred horse trade. Suffolk County, NY, and New Egypt, NJ, where *Ae. japonicus* were first collected, are breeding centers of Standardbred horses. The location in Vernon, NJ, where *Ae. japonicus* were collected in large numbers is also near a Standardbred farm. Adult *Ae. japonicus* may use Standardbred trailers as resting areas and be distributed between racing areas and farms. If rare, this form of distribution might decrease the vigor of the *Ae. japonicus* colonization of the United States and increase the effectiveness of control measures. Indeed, it does appear that *Ae. japonicus* is spreading more slowly than *Ae. albopictus* did. In 1987, "year two" after its detection in large numbers in Texas, *Ae. albopictus* was already known from 12 separate states (Moore et al. 1988). In contrast, by July 2000 the number of states with confirmed *Ae. japonicus* records has only increased from two to six. It should be noted, however, that the first U.S. *Ae. albopictus* was actually caught in Tennessee in 1983. That introduction was traced to the used-tire trade but thought to be an isolated incident (Reiter and Darsie 1984). Furthermore, *Ae. albopictus* had been identified in tires arriving in American ports as early as 1946 (Pratt et al. 1946, Eads 1972). It is then possible that by 1987, *Ae. albopictus* had already been multiplying in the United States for several years, undetected. We suspect that the extensive network of Vector Control Agencies and protocols established after the introduction of *Ae. al-*

bopictus to the continental United States (Moore 1986, Moore et al. 1988, Francy et al. 1990) allowed the identification of *Ae. japonicus* soon after its arrival. Still, the evidence of high levels of genetic diversity of U.S. *Ae. japonicus* populations suggests that better measures have to be implemented to control the importation of exotic species.

We did not find a perfect match in Japan for any of the U.S. samples. Excepting the putatively ancestral and very common ND4-mtDNA H1 haplotype and the presence of haplotype H12 in the sample from Chitose, which warrants a broader sampling effort in northern Japan, no other haplotype was found in common between U.S. and Japanese samples. Although the high diversity of mtDNA-ND4 haplotypes in Japan suggests we may simply have missed sampling the source populations, the RAPD band patterns correlate the NY/NJ/CT samples closest with southwestern Japanese locations, which we sampled repeatedly. Because these areas have extensive commercial contact with South Korea (D. Strickman, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, MD, personal communication), it is clear that we need to do a broader reconnaissance of the genetic diversity of this species, including analysis of Korean populations, to address the question of source and means of transportation.

Our study shows at least two genetically independent foci of expansion of *Ae. japonicus* in the United States. It is unclear at this point if they originated from separate introductions or if populations have diverged since they have arrived. More extensive sampling and testing of U.S. populations will be needed to address this question. If several introductions are involved, their present location has placed distinct genotypes close enough that hybridization is now most probable. Hybridization might result in localized increases in genetic diversity and in the generation of new genetic combinations with unknown vectorial capacity. Although when compared with *Ae. j. yaeyamensis*, *Ae. j. japonicus* appears to be a single and distinct genetic unit, we have identified discontinuities that may be correlated to variation in vectorial potential. Because we may have been able to examine the genetic diversity of *Ae. japonicus* early in its introduction history to the United States we may also be able to pinpoint populations to target for control. We will be pursuing

our work on the genetics of this group both to understand its importance as a vector of human disease and as a model of mosquito invasion dynamics.

Acknowledgments

We express our gratitude to Dan Strickman who supported and encouraged this research from the very beginning. Thank you to William Black for providing us with unpublished information about putatively useful RAPD primers; Tom Caffigan for his technical help with the RAPD gels; Yiau-Min Huang, Jim Pecor, and Maria Anice Sallum for discussions about *Aedes* in general and *Ae. albopictus* in particular; Scott Gordon for facilitating contact with our colleagues from Ohio; Jan Conn, Phil Lounibous, and Josephine Birungi for their expert comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. Our many thanks also to Yoshio Tsuda (Nagasaki University), Ikuo Takashima (Hokkaido University), Mutsuo Kobayashi (National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo), Bisyo Sakumoto (Otaru Quarantine Office, Hokkaido, Japan), Robert Restifo (Ohio Department of Health), and Ken L. Malick (Dunham Army Health Clinic, Carlisle, PA) for providing us with samples of *Ae. japonicus* populations. Sequencing facilities and expert advice were provided by the National Natural History Museum's Laboratory of Molecular Systematics, Smithsonian Institution.

References Cited

- Avise, J. C. 1994. Molecular markers, natural history and evolution. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Beard, C. B., D. M. Hamm, and F. H. Collins. 1993. The mitochondrial genome of the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*: DNA sequence, genome organization, and comparisons with mitochondrial sequences of other insects. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 2: 103-124.
- Besansky, N. J., T. Lehmann, G. T. Fahey, D. Fontenille, L. E. Braack, W. A. Hawley, and F. H. Collins. 1997. Patterns of mitochondrial variation within and between African malaria vectors, *Anopheles gambiae* and *An. arabiensis*, suggest extensive gene flow. *Genetics* 147: 1817-1828.
- Black IV, W. C., J. A. Ferrari, K. S. Rai, and D. Sprenger. 1988. Breeding structure of a colonizing species: *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) in the United States. *Heredity* 60(Pt 2): 173-181.
- Bryan, R. T. 1999. Alien species and emerging infectious diseases: past lessons and future implications, pp. 163-175. *Invasive species and biodiversity management*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht.
- Cheng, L. L., J. D. Rodas, K. T. Schultz, B. M. Christensen, T. M. Yuill, and B. A. Israel. 1999. Potential for evolution of California serogroup bunyaviruses by genome reassortment in *Aedes albopictus*. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 60: 430-438.
- Cohen, J. 1988. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. L. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- Conn, J., A. F. Cockburn, and S. E. Mitchell. 1993. Population differentiation of the malaria vector *Anopheles aquasalis* using mitochondrial DNA. *J. Hered.* 84: 248-253.
- Craven, R. B., D. A. Eliason, D. B. Francy, P. Reiter, E. G. Campos, W. L. Jakob, G. C. Smith, C. J. Bozzi, C. G. Moore, G. O. Maupin, and T. P. Monath. 1988. Importation of *Aedes albopictus* and other exotic mosquito species into the United States in used tires from Asia. *J. Am. Mosq. Control. Assoc.* 4: 138-142.
- De Merida, A. M., M. Palmieri, M. Yurrita, A. Molina, E. Molina, and W.C.T. Black. 1999. Mitochondrial DNA variation among *Anopheles albimanus* populations. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 61: 230-239.
- Eads, R. B. 1972. Recovery of *Aedes albopictus* from used tires shipped to United States ports. *Mosq. News* 32: 113-114.
- Felsenstein, J. 1995. PHYLIP (phylogeny inference package). University of Washington, Seattle.
- Fonseca, D. M., D. A. LaPointe, and R. C. Fleischer. 2000. Bottlenecks and multiple introductions: population genetics of the vector of avian malaria in Hawaii. *Mol. Ecol.* 9: 1803-1814.
- Francy, D. B., C. G. Moore, and D. A. Eliason. 1990. Past, present and future of *Aedes albopictus* in the United States. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 6: 127-132.
- Corrochotegui-Escalante, N., M. L. Munoz, I. Fernandez-Salas, B. J. Beaty, and W. C. Black IV. 2000. Genetic isolation by distance among *Aedes aegypti* populations along the northeastern coast of Mexico. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 62: 200-209.
- Hartl, D. L., and A. G. Clark. 1997. Principles of population genetics. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.
- Howell, N., I. Kubacka, and D. A. Mackey. 1996. How rapidly does the human mitochondrial genome evolve? *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 59: 501-509.
- Jenuth, J. P., A. C. Peterson, K. Fu, and E. A. Shoubridge. 1996. Random genetic drift in the female germline explains the rapid segregation of mammalian mitochondrial DNA. *Nat. Genet.* 14: 146-151.
- Kambhampati, S., W. C. Black IV, and K. S. Rai. 1992. Random amplified polymorphic DNA of mosquito species and populations (Diptera: Culicidae): techniques, statistical analysis, and applications. *J. Med. Entomol.* 29: 939-945.
- Kambhampati, S., W. C. Black IV, K. S. Rai, and D. Sprenger. 1990. Temporal variation in genetic structure of a colonizing species: *Aedes albopictus* in the United States. *Heredity* 64(Pt 2): 281-287.
- Kazan, K., J. M. Manners, and D. F. Cameron. 1993. Inheritance of random amplified polymorphic DNA markers in an interspecific cross in the genus *Stylosanthes*. *Genome* 35: 50-56.
- Knight, K. L. 1969. Contributions to the mosquito fauna of Southeast Asia—IV: Species of the subgroup *Chrysolinatus* of group D, genus *Aedes*, subgenus *Finlaya* Theobald. *Contrib. Am. Entomol. Inst.* 2: 1-45.
- Koch, D. A., G. A. Duncan, T. J. Parsons, K. P. Pruess, and T. O. Powers. 1998. Effects of preservation methods, parasites, and gut contents of black flies (Diptera: Simuliidae) on polymerase chain reaction products. *J. Med. Entomol.* 35: 314-318.
- Laird, M., I. Calder, R. C. Thornton, R. Syme, P. W. Holder, and M. Mogi. 1994. Japanese *Aedes albopictus* among four mosquito species reaching New Zealand in used tires. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 10: 14-23.
- Lehmann, T., N. J. Besansky, W. A. Hawley, T. G. Fahey, L. Kamau, and F. H. Collins. 1997. Microgeographic structure of *Anopheles gambiae* in western Kenya based on mtDNA and microsatellite loci. *Mol. Ecol.* 6: 243-253.
- Lynch, M., and B. G. Milligan. 1994. Analysis of population genetic structure with RAPD markers. *Mol. Ecol.* 3: 91-99.
- Mantel, N., and R. S. Valand. 1970. A technique of nonparametric multivariate analysis. *Biometrics* 26: 547-558.
- Miller, M. P. 1997. Tools for population genetic analysis (TPGA): a windows program for the analysis of allozyme and molecular population genetic data (<http://www.public.asu.edu/~mmille8/>) distributed by the author.
- Mitchell, S. E., A. F. Cockburn, and J. A. Seawright. 1993. The mitochondrial genome of *Anopheles quadrimaculatus*

- species A: complete nucleotide sequence and gene organization. *Genome* 36: 1058–1073.
- Miyagi, I. 1972. Feeding habits of some Japanese mosquitoes on cold-blooded animals in the laboratory. *Trop. Med.* 4: 203–217 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Moore, C. G. 1986. The Centers for Disease Control's perspective of the introduction of *Aedes albopictus* into the United States. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 2: 416–417.
- Moore, C. G., D. B. Francy, D. A. Eliason, and T. P. Monath. 1988. *Aedes albopictus* in the United States: rapid spread of a potential disease vector. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 4: 356–361.
- Morgan, N. O. 1981. Potential impact of alien arthropod pests and vectors of animal diseases on the U.S. livestock industry, pp. 129–135. In *Handbook of pest management in agriculture*. CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- Munstermann, L. E., and T. C. Andreadis. 1999. *Vect. Ecol. News* 30: 7.
- Nei, M. 1978. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. *Genetics* 89: 583–590.
- Nei, M. 1987. *Molecular evolutionary genetics*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Nei, M., and W. H. Li. 1985. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 76: 5269–5273.
- [OTA] Office of Technology Assessment. 1993. Harmful non-indigenous species in the United States. Office of Technology Assessment, United States Congress, Washington, DC.
- Page, R.D.M. 1996. TREEVIEW: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Comput. Appl. Biosci.* 12: 357–358.
- Peyton, E. L., S. R. Campbell, T. M. Candeletti, M. Romanowski, and W. J. Crans. 1999. *Aedes (Finlaya) japonicus* (Theobald), a new introduction into the United States. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 15: 238–241.
- Pratt, J. J., R. H. Heterick, J. B. Harrison, and L. Haber. 1946. Tires as factors in the transportation of mosquitoes by ships. *Mil. Surg.* 99: 785–788.
- Qiao, C. L., and M. Raymond. 1995. The same esterase B1 haplotype is amplified in insecticide-resistant mosquitoes of the *Culex pipiens* complex from the Americas and China. *Heredity* 74(Pt 4): 339–345.
- Raymond, M., A. Callaghan, P. Fort, and N. Pasteur. 1991. Worldwide migration of amplified insecticide resistance genes in mosquitoes. *Nature (Lond.)* 350: 151–153.
- Raymond, M. L., and Rousset, F. 1995. An exact test of population differentiation. *Evolution* 49: 1280–1283.
- Reiter, P. 1998. *Aedes albopictus* and the world trade in used tires, 1988–1995: the shape of things to come? *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 14: 83–94.
- Reiter, P., and R. F. Darsie. 1984. *Aedes albopictus* in Memphis, Tennessee (USA): an achievement of modern transportation. *Mosq. News* 44: 396–399.
- Rice, W. R. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43: 223–225.
- Rousset, F. 1997. Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation by distance. *Genetics* 145: 1219–1228.
- Sampson, B. A., C. Ambrosi, A. Charlot, K. Reiber, J. F. Veress, and V. Armbrustmacher. 2000. The pathology of human West Nile virus infection. *Hum. Pathol.* 31: 527–531.
- SAS Institute. 1995. JMP user's manual, p. 3.1. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Schneider, S., D. Roessli, and L. Excoffier. 2000. Arlequin, version 2.000: a software for population genetics data analysis. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Simon, C., F. Frati, A. Beckenbach, B. Crespi, H. Liu, and P. Flook. 1994. Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 87: 651–701.
- Slatkin, M. 1993. Isolation by distance in equilibrium and non-equilibrium populations. *Evolution* 47: 264–279.
- Swofford, D. L., G. J. Olsen, P. J. Waddell, and D. M. Hillis. 1996. Phylogenetic inference, pp. 407–514. In *Molecular systematics*. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.
- Tajima, F. 1983. Evolutionary relationship of DNA sequences in finite populations. *Genetics* 105: 437–460.
- Takashima, I., and L. Rosen. 1989. Horizontal and vertical transmission of Japanese encephalitis virus by *Aedes japonicus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* 26: 454–458.
- Tanaka, K., K. Mizusawa, and E. S. Saugstad. 1979. A revision of the adult and larval mosquitoes of Japan (including the Ryukyu Archipelago and the Ogasawara islands) and Korea (Diptera: Culicidae). *Contrib. Am. Entomol. Inst.* 16: 1–987.
- Urbanelli, S., R. Bellini, M. Carrieri, P. Sallicandro, and G. Celli. 2000. Population structure of *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse): the mosquito which is colonizing Mediterranean countries. *Heredity* 84(Pt 3): 331–337.
- Wilkerson, R. C., T. J. Parsons, T. A. Klein, T. V. Caffigan, E. Berge, and J. Consolim. 1995. Diagnosis by random amplified polymorphic DNA polymerase chain reaction of four cryptic species related to *Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) albitarsis* (Diptera: Culicidae) from Paraguay, Argentina, and Brazil. *J. Med. Entomol.* 32: 697–704.
- Williams, J. G., A. R. Kubelik, K. J. Livak, J. A. Rafalski, and S. V. Tingey. 1990. DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 18: 6531–6535.

Received for publication 30 July 2000; accepted 23 October 2000.