

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 12-22-2009		2. REPORT TYPE Performance/Technical Report (Annual)		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) Jan 2009 - Dec 2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Wireless Cooperative Networks: Self-Configuration and Optimization FY09 Year-End Report				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER N00014-07-1-0868	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Liuqing Yang				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Florida Office of Engineering Research 343 Weil Hall, PO Box 116550 Gainesville, FL 32611				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER #3	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research 875 North Randolph Street Arlington, VA 22203-1995				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ONR	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for Public Release; distribution is Unlimited.

20100302208

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT
Cooperative signal processing is a promising technique to enhance system performance by employing virtual antenna arrays. In communications, cooperative transmission exploits space diversity via spatially separated relay nodes. Performance of such systems can be further improved by resource optimization. In this research, we investigated various factors influencing the resource optimization solutions and results in terms of the system error performance and throughput. Partly inspired by the benefits of cooperative communications, cooperative sensing is drawing increasing interests recently. In such systems, a particularly critical issue is the waveform optimization among the cooperative nodes. In this direction, we developed the optimum and robust waveform designs respectively, and established the intrinsic connections between the mutual information (MI) and mean square error (MSE) measures in the sensing context. The sensitivity analysis for the optimum designs is also carried out.

15. SUBJECT TERMS
wireless sensor networks, wireless cooperative networks, resource optimization, ultra-wideband, localization, ranging

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Liuqing Yang	
U	U	U	UU	5	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (352)392-9469	

FY09 Year End Report

WIRELESS COOPERATIVE NETWORKS: SELF-CONFIGURATION AND OPTIMIZATION

Liuqing Yang

(Technical Point of Contact)

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

P.O. Box 116130

University of Florida

Gainesville, FL 32611

Tel: (352) 392-9469

Fax: (352) 392-0044

Email: lqyang@ece.ufl.edu

Ms. Roslyn S. Oleson

(Administrative Point of Contact)

Office of Engineering Research

College of Engineering

P.O. Box 116550

University of Florida

Gainesville, FL 32611-6550

Tel: (352) 392-9447 ext. 7

Fax: (352) 846-1471

Email: roleson@ufl.edu

A Abstract

Cooperative signal processing is a promising technique to enhance system performance by employing virtual antenna arrays. In communications, cooperative transmission exploits space diversity via spatially separated relay nodes. Performance of such systems can be further improved by resource optimization. In this research, we investigated various factors influencing the resource optimization solutions and results in terms of the system error performance and throughput. Partly inspired by the benefits of cooperative communications, cooperative sensing is drawing increasing interests recently. In such systems, a particularly critical issue is the waveform optimization among the cooperative nodes. In this direction, we developed the optimum and robust waveform designs respectively, and established the intrinsic connections between the mutual information (MI) and mean square error (MSE) measures in the sensing context. The sensitivity analysis for the optimum designs is also carried out.

B Technical Results

Resource Optimization in Cooperative Communications

We investigated the resource optimization problem in cooperative communications for four commonly adopted relaying systems: the amplify-and-forward (AF) protocol with coherent or differential modulation, and the decode-and-forward (DF) protocol with coherent or differential modulation. The closed-form symbol error rate (SER) and outage probability (OP) performances are derived for all four systems. Based on our previous work, we know that the location optimization is an important technique for system performance improvement. Therefore, location optimization is carried out for all four cooperative systems using both SER and OP optimization metrics. The comparisons among the optimization solutions and results for all four systems with both metrics revealed the influence of different system parameters, which can be used to guide the optimization strategy selection in practice. The comparison results are summarized as follows.

Optimization Metric: Even though SER and OP evaluate the system performance from two very different aspects, the four systems surprisingly share the same optimization solutions. This suggests that SER and OP are identical from the resource optimization perspective;

that is, the SER-optimized relay system is also OP-optimum. On the other hand, while SER can be formulated in closed-form for arbitrary number of relays, the OP is only available for single-relay AF systems. Therefore, SER is a more convenient metric for resource optimization in cooperative systems with arbitrary number of relays.

Modulation Type: Regarding different modulation types, the coherent and differential systems have similar performance with identical diversity gains, leading to identical optimization solutions. This observation implies that the optimized coherent system can also adopt differential modulation with the same system setting while still achieving the optimum performance.

Relaying Protocol: On the other hand, with the same modulation type, AF and DF protocols result in very different optimization results. However, this difference decreases as L increases. We also observe that, in AF systems, the relay-destination link is more critical than in DF systems. Hence, for the same system setup, the optimized AF systems require relays to move closer to the destination than DF systems.

Waveform Optimization in Cooperative Sensing

Information theory, and particularly the MI, has provided fundamental guidance for communications research. However, the practical meaning of MI in the sensing context remains unclear to date. Previous work shows that under the white noise assumption, the optimum water-filling scheme simultaneously maximizes the MI and minimizes the estimation minimum mean square error (MMSE). Such an equivalence, however, does not hold when the target parameter statistics are not perfectly known. To further the understanding of the practical meaning of MI and to establish a connection between the MI and commonly adopted MSE measures for cooperative sensing, we consider the general colored noise, incorporate the normalized MSE (NMSE), and develop joint robust designs for both the transmitter (waveforms) and the receiver (estimator) under various target and noise uncertainty models. Our results show that: i) the optimum waveform designs resulted from the MI, MMSE and NMSE criteria are all different; and ii) compared to MMSE, the NMSE-based designs share more similarities with the MI-based ones, especially when the target and noise statistics are not perfectly known.

Since the optimum waveform designs depend on the ideally known target power spectrum density (PSD) assumption, a small target PSD error might introduce huge disturbance

to the optimum designs. The robustness of our optimum designs and the sensitivity comparison among the three criteria consist of an intriguing problem. In order to address these issues, we perform the error sensitivity analysis not only at the multiple cooperative nodes in terms of the waveform designs, but also at the receiver in terms of the overall estimation performance. The analyses show that the NMSE-based waveform design solution is relatively more sensitive than its MMSE- and MI-based counterparts. At the receiver side, the NMSE performance is compared among the three criteria. While all three criteria do not show significant performance deterioration, the NMSE-based design is affected most around the PSD error threshold, which is consistent with the results obtained at the cooperative nodes.

C References

- [1] W. Zhang and L. Yang, "Sensitivity Analysis of the Optimum Waveform Design for Target Estimation in MIMO Sensing," in *Proceedings of IEEE Wireless Communications & Networking Conference (WCNC)*, Sydney, Australia, Apr. 18-21, 2010 (to appear).
- [2] W. Zhang and L. Yang, "Communications-Inspired Sensing: A Case Study on Waveform Design," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 2009 (to appear).
- [3] W. Zhang, D. Duan and L. Yang, "Relay Selection from a Battery Energy Efficiency Perspective," in *Proceedings of MILCOM Conference*, Boston, MA, Oct. 18-21, 2009.
- [4] R. Cao and L. Yang, "Resource Optimization for Cooperative Networks: Effects of Optimization Metric, Modulation Type and Relaying Protocol," in *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, December 2009 (submitted).
- [5] R. Cao and L. Yang, "What Affects Resource Optimization of Cooperative Networks?" in *Proceedings of IEEE Wireless Communications & Networking Conference*, Budapest, Hungary, April 5-8, 2009.
- [6] R. Cao and L. Yang, "Optimum Resource Allocation in Distributed MIMO Systems," *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, vol. 5, no. 1, January 2009 (invited).
- [7] W. Cho, R. Cao and L. Yang, "Optimum Resource Allocation for Amplify-and-Forward Relay Networks with Differential Modulation," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*,

vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 5680-5691, November 2008.

- [8] W. Zhang and L. Yang, "Communications-Inspired Sensing," in *Proceedings of 42th Asilomar Conf. on Signals, Systems, and Computers*, Pacific Grove, CA, October 26-29, 2008 (invited).