



ARMY ROBOTICS TECHNOLOGY

Evolution of Autonomy

Chuck Shoemaker
Army Research, Development and Engineering Command

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE SEP 2003		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2003 to 00-00-2003	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Army Robotics Technology Evolution of Autonomy				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Army Research, Development and Engineering Command,5183 Blackhawk Rd,Aberdeen Proving Ground,MD,21010				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES PerMIS?03, Performance Metrics for Intelligent Systems, 16-18 Sep 2003, Gaithersburg, MD					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 10	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Motivations for High Levels of Autonomy in Military systems

If realized correctly:

- **Increased survivability**
- **Increased span of control (one on many)**
- **Reduced communication data rate**
- **Reduced supervisory workload**
- **New operational flexibility (stay behind or die in place missions)**




Autonomy must be considered in the context of:

- **Mission scenario**
 - **Domain complexity**
 - **Problem structure**
 - **A priori knowledge of:**
 - **Terrain**
 - **Disposition of forces**
 - **Nav references**
 - **Type of mission**
 - **Mission complexity**
 - **Uniqueness**
 - **Consequences of success – failure**
 - **Countermeasures**
- **System capability**
- **Alternatives**



DEMO III 4-D/RCS REFERENCE ARCHITECTURE





Autonomous Mobility Technology Maturity Assessment

Preliminary Insights



Location	Complete runs	Total Runs	% Complete
FTIG	156	181	86.2
Tooele	168	177	94.9
U-FTIG	264	288	91.7
Total	588	646	91.0



XUV Autonomous Operation						
	Distance (km)			Time (hr)		
Location	Total	Autonomous	% Autonomous	Total	Autonomous	% Autonomous
FTIG	203.4	188.1	92.5	39.6	33.1	83.5
Tooele	203.8	199.3	97.8	34.3	31.9	92.9
U-FTIG	152.7	149.1	97.6	25.6	22.8	89.1
Total	559.9	536.5	95.8	99.5	87.7	88.2

Operator Interventions Required During Autonomous Operation					
Course	Freq	Mean distance between interventions (km)	Mean time between Interventions (Minutes)	Mean intervention duration (Minutes)	Mean intervention distance (m)
FTIG	173	1.2	13.7	2.3	88.4
Tooele	48	4.2	42.9	3.0	93.2
U-FTIG	106	1.4	14.5	1.6	34.2
Total	327	1.7	18.3	2.2	71.5

U.S. Army RDE Command

Robotics Technology Roadmap
Presentation to
RDECOM Board of Directors



Charles Shoemaker
Robotics IPT Technology Manager



RDE Command Robotics IPT Mission/Task

Develop an innovative, affordable, and integrated portfolio of robotics technology (air, ground, unattended sensors, ...) programs and demonstrations that will:

- **Assess and guide the execution, integration, and transition of robotics programs and transition of robotics related and feeder technology programs.**
- **Support development of robotic ground systems, air systems, control systems for Current, Stryker and Future Forces.**
- **Leverage national and international technical expertise.**
- **Develop gap-filler programs.**

MEMBERS: AMRDEC, ARDEC, ARL/ARO, CERDEC, TARDEC, NSC, PEO-STRI, ATEC, DOE, NGIC/MSIC, TRADOC, RDECOM/SOSI



Robotics & Transformation

Unmanned Systems Seamlessly Integrated into the Future Force



UAV
Class IV

Manned Unmanned
Teaming



UA (X)

UAV
Class III

UA (II)

UAV
Class II

UA (I)

UAV
Class I

UA (I)

MULEs

SUGVs

ARVs


FCS Increment I UA
Unmanned Systems*
(for one UA)

UAV Class I	54
UAV Class III	14/56
SUGV	81
MULE	54
ARV-A(L)	27
UGS	157

*Source AMSAA Systems Book, 3.0



Robotics Systems of Systems Integration





Robotics IPT

Accomplishments/Way Ahead

Accomplishments (FY03)

- **Stood-up IPT**
 - Improved coordination/cooperation among IPT organizations
 - Relevant STOs & ATDs identified
 - Integrated TRADOC roadmap efforts into ONE Robotics Roadmap
- Briefed draft Roadmap to RDECOM BOD, WTC, and CG AMC
- Met with LSI/LTI to develop strategy for synchronizing objectives/deliverables with OF
- SOSI International tapped to serve as agent to integrate international activities
- Briefed JFCOM futures cell (Alpha Team)
- ARL Robotics Project Office added task order to Robotics CTA addressing OFW robotics functionality

Way Ahead (FY04)

- Develop Robotics Roadmap Investment Strategy
- Identify maximum leverage transition opportunities key to OFW
- Formalize transition plans, identify deliverables, integrate in Robotics Roadmap
- Examine existing programs with regard to their impact upon Future Force
- Examine key systems issues, e.g., architectures, interfaces, scalability, & integration
- Examine resources available
- Evaluate new and existing STO's and ATD's in light of above factors
- Develop Roadmap implementation strategy for review and action by BOD