



FY 2011



NETWORKING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

SUPPLEMENT TO THE PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

February 2010

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

| | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 1. REPORT DATE FEB 2010 | | 2. REPORT TYPE | | 3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010 | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Program Supplement to the President's Budget | | | | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5b. GRANT NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | | | | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5e. TASK NUMBER | |
| | | | | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Coordination Office for Networking and Information Technology Research, and Development, Suite II-405 ,4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA, 22230 | | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) | |
| | | | | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) | |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited | | | | | |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | | |
| 14. ABSTRACT | | | | | |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS | | | | | |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: | | | 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR) | 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 37 | 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON |
| a. REPORT unclassified | b. ABSTRACT unclassified | c. THIS PAGE unclassified | | | |

SUPPLEMENT TO THE PRESIDENT'S BUDGET
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011



THE
NETWORKING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAM

A Report by the
Subcommittee on Networking and Information Technology
Research and Development

Committee on Technology
National Science and Technology Council

February 2010

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20502

February 3, 2010

MEMBERS OF CONGRESS:


I am pleased to forward with this letter the annual report for FY 2011 on the Federal government's multiagency Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Program. The NITRD effort, comprising 13 member agencies and many more that participate in NITRD activities, plays a central role in developing new scientific foundations for long-term U.S. economic growth and prosperity.

Revolutionary networking and computing technologies developed through sustained Federal investments gave rise to the indispensable cyber infrastructure upon which our world now depends. The President believes that a renewed national commitment to such basic scientific research and development is more essential than ever for our prosperity, our security, our health, our environment, and our quality of life. In the face of unprecedented challenges, we must continue and even accelerate the flow of advances in these technologies, which drive U.S. economic competitiveness and innovation leading to job growth and provide cutting-edge capabilities for scientific discovery and education. Networking and computing capabilities are also critical for national and homeland security, health care reform, understanding and responding to environmental stresses, increasing energy efficiencies and developing renewable energy sources, and strengthening the security of U.S. critical infrastructures, including cyberspace itself.

The Federal NITRD investments we make in support of these important national policy priorities will also have a multiplier effect, as they have in the past, generating new industries and workforce opportunities through technological innovation. In addition, NITRD partnerships leverage Federal research dollars across agencies to produce broadly useful results that no single agency could attain.

I look forward to working with you to support this key Federal research activity.

Sincerely,



John P. Holdren
Director

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Introduction and Overview | 1 |
| High End Computing Infrastructure and Applications (HEC I&A) | 2 |
| High End Computing Research and Development (HEC R&D) | 4 |
| Cyber Security and Information Assurance (CSIA) | 6 |
| NITRD Program Identifies Initial Strategic Objectives for Cyber Security R&D | 9 |
| Human Computer Interaction and Information Management (HCI&IM) | 10 |
| Large Scale Networking (LSN) | 12 |
| Software Design and Productivity (SDP) | 14 |
| High Confidence Software and Systems (HCSS) | 16 |
| Social, Economic, and Workforce Implications of Information Technology (IT) and IT Workforce Development (SEW) | 19 |
| Agency NITRD Budgets by Program Component Area (PCA) | 21 |
| Agency NITRD American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Budgets | 22 |
| NITRD Program Budget Analysis | 23 |
| NITRD Subcommittee Roster and Interagency Working Group (IWG), Coordinating Group (CG), and Team Chairs | 26 |
| Participation in the NITRD Program | 27 |
| Glossary | 28 |
| Acknowledgements | 31 |
| National Coordination Office for Networking and Information Technology Research and Development | 32 |
| Copyright Information | 32 |
| To Request Additional Copies | 32 |
| Buy American Report | 32 |

Introduction and Overview

This Supplement to the President's Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 Budget provides a technical summary of the budget request for the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD) Program, as required by the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (P.L. 102-194), the Next Generation Internet Research Act of 1998 (P.L. 105-305), and the America COMPETES Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-69). The NITRD Program, now in its 19th year, provides a framework and mechanisms for coordination among Federal agencies that support R&D in advanced networking and information technology.

The NITRD Supplement describes the FY 2011 networking and information technology R&D plans and current technical and coordination activities of the 13 Federal member agencies currently in the NITRD budget crosscut as well as other agencies that are not formal members of the Program but participate in NITRD activities. The Program expects to welcome the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), which has been a participant, as a NITRD member agency this year. In the NITRD Program, the term "agency" may refer to a department, a major departmental subdivision, or a research office or laboratory.

NITRD activities and plans are coordinated in eight Program Component Areas (PCAs): high-end computing infrastructure and applications (HEC I&A); high-end computing research and development (HEC R&D); cyber security and information assurance (CSIA); human computer interaction and information management (HCI&IM); large-scale networking (LSN); software design and productivity (SDP); high-confidence software and systems (HCSS); and social, economic, and workforce implications of IT and IT workforce development (SEW). Agency program managers in each PCA meet monthly in an Interagency Working Group (IWG) or a Coordinating Group (CG) to exchange information and coordinate research plans and activities such as workshops and solicitations. Overall NITRD Program coordination is carried out by the Subcommittee on Networking and Information Technology Research and Development, under the aegis of the Committee on Technology of the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC).

For each NITRD PCA, the Supplement presents, in brief, the interagency strategic priorities underlying the 2010 budget request, programmatic highlights of the request, ongoing and anticipated interagency planning and coordination activities, and additional technical activities by agency. NITRD agencies engaged in various R&D and coordination activities are listed in NITRD budget order followed by the other agencies participating in the activity; if there is a lead agency for the activity, that agency is listed first; agencies listed after the word "with" are in-kind contributors rather than funders or performers. Some large-scale activities may be cited in more than one PCA because they involve R&D efforts in a variety of technologies. In such cases, agencies report the portion of program funding in each relevant PCA.

The President's 2011 budget request for the NITRD Program is \$4.261 billion; the 2010 NITRD budget estimate totaled \$4.305 billion. Details of the NITRD budget, including 2010 estimates and 2011 requests by agency and by PCA, are presented in the budget table on page 21 and discussed in the budget analysis beginning on page 23.

As part of the NITRD Program's expanded responsibilities for coordination of Federal cyber R&D, the Senior Steering Group (SSG) for Cyber Security R&D is refining "game-changing" research objectives that emerged from the 2009 National Cyber Leap Year (NCLY). See page 9 for details.

Abbreviations and acronyms are used throughout the Supplement to maintain brevity. A glossary, beginning on page 28, is provided for reference.

High End Computing (HEC) Infrastructure and Applications (I&A)

NITRD Agencies: NIH, NSF, OSD and DoD Service research organizations, DOE/SC, NIST, NASA, NOAA, DOE/NNSA, EPA

HEC I&A agencies coordinate Federal activities to provide advanced computing systems, applications software, data management, and HEC R&D infrastructure to meet agency mission needs and to keep the United States at the forefront of 21st-century science, engineering, and technology. HEC capabilities enable researchers in academia, Federal laboratories, and industry to model and simulate complex processes in biology, biomedical science, chemistry, climate and weather, energy and environmental sciences, materials science, nanoscale science and technology, aerospace, physics, and other areas to address Federal agency mission needs.

President's 2011 Request

Strategic Priorities Underlying This Request

Ongoing investment in Federal HEC facilities and advanced applications supports Federal agencies' science, engineering, and national security missions and helps sustain U.S. scientific leadership. Priorities include:

Leadership-class systems: Continue acquisition and management of highest-capability systems for cutting-edge scientific research including energy, the environment, and national security applications

Production-quality HEC resources: Invest in capacity platforms to expand Federal computing resources for critical agency needs and for the science and engineering communities

Advanced applications: Develop scientific and engineering applications software for current and next-generation HEC platforms

Highlights of Request

Acquisition of prototype leadership-class and production R&D systems

NIH: Selected acquisition of cluster and midrange compute-intensive systems

NSF: Continue multiyear acquisitions of the Track 1 petascale system and other midrange systems exploring innovative solutions to HEC requirements; XD-Viz awards to TACC and UTK

OSD (HPCMP): Continue modernization of HEC platforms and storage subsystems at supercomputing centers

DOE/SC: Upgrade LCF system at ORNL to 2.3 PF (early FY 2010); begin preparation for expansion of ANL's LCF resources by upgrading BlueGene/Q to 10 PF; NERSC 1 PF XT5 in full production and integrated into a common high-performance file system

NASA: Acquire test systems, exploit accelerator technologies, and upgrade production supercomputing and storage resources for next-generation HEC environments at Ames and Goddard

DOE/NNSA: Prepare for deployments of LANL Cielo system (1-2 PF) and LLNL Sequoia system (20 PF); continue operation of LANL RoadRunner system; initiate operation of LLNL Dawn system (500 TF BlueGene/P)

Applications

NIH: Scientific computing efforts such as biomolecular modeling, physiological modeling, and multiscale modeling that use HEC resources or are in pre-HEC state; biodata management and analysis; modeling and analysis of biological systems

NSF: Multidisciplinary Cyber-enabled Discovery & Innovation (CDI) program, including applications that focus on understanding complexity, grid-computing infrastructure, and data-intensive applications; software that integrates computation, data acquisition in heterogeneous, dynamic environments; petascale applications to exploit leading-edge systems for breakthrough science across domains

OSD (HPCMP): CREATE program continues development of highly scalable application codes (aircraft, ships, antennae), CREATE-AV tools delivered; HPC software institutes continue support for mission applications

DOE/SC: Petascale multiphysics applications; recompetition of SciDAC; INCITE competition for access to LCF resources by outside researchers; mathematics for analysis of ultra-scale data sets; multiscale mathematics

NIST: Measurement science for HEC applications and visualization (predictive modeling, verification and validation of computational models, uncertainty quantification, computational experiment design, quantitative methods in visualization)

NASA: Increase model resolution, complexity, fidelity in aerospace, Earth science, and astrophysics modeling; support modeling to meet the goals of the National Plan for Aeronautics R&D

NOAA: Accelerate improvements in model-based computing of hurricane track and intensity forecast guidance

DOE/NNSA: Code validation and verification (V&V) and uncertainty quantification for predictive simulations

EPA: Applications and analytics required for a robust global-climate research program

HEC infrastructure

NIH: Grid computing infrastructure and tools for R&D (e.g., BIRN, CaBIG, BISTI, CVRG)

NSF: Develop numerical algorithms and innovative software implementations that push the boundaries of cyber-infrastructure, computational science and engineering, and computing on TeraGrid and XD; initiate Software Institutes to focus on producing the complex middleware and application codes for new HEC architectures

OSD (HPCMP): Operate and sustain supercomputing centers and support services for DoD RDT&E programs

DOE/SC: Continue emphasis on unified approach to software, languages, and tools support to reduce barriers to effective use of complex HEC resources by application developers and users

NIST: Continue development of a virtual laboratory facility and capability for HEC-based measurement science

NASA: Increase commonality and enhance or adopt operational best practices across computing centers

NOAA: Implement new tape archive architecture and high-speed network to link HEC centers

DOE/NNSA: Develop ASC common operating environment for deployment across its national lab platforms

EPA: Infrastructure to combine and model existing and future data at various temporal and spatial scales in a meaningful way; build data and information exchange components for R&D

Planning and Coordination Supporting Request

Access to leadership-class computing: Coordination to make highest-capability HEC resources available to the broad research community – NSF, DOE/SC, NIST, NOAA, DOE/NNSA

System reviews, benchmarking: Collaborations – NSF, DOE/SC, NASA, NOAA, DOE/NNSA

Acquisition procedures and analysis: Information sharing, streamlining of processes, and collaborative analysis of total cost of ownership; promote green computing practices – NSF, OSD, DOE/SC, NASA, NOAA, DOE/NNSA, EPA

Exascale computing: International Exascale Software Project (IESP) – NSF, DOE/SC, DOE/NNSA

Multiscale modeling in biomedical, biological, and behavioral systems: Interagency collaboration to advance modeling of complex living systems – NIH, NSF, OSD

Simulation-based engineering and science: Interagency activity under Administration innovation agenda – DOE/SC, NIST (co-chairs), NSF, NASA, other agencies

Infrastructure for climate and weather modeling: Development of interoperable interfaces, software tools, and data standards, Earth System Modeling Framework – NSF (NCAR), DOE/SC, OSD, NASA, NOAA, EPA

Computational toxicology: Integration of HEC technologies with molecular biology to improve methods for risk assessment of chemicals – NIH, OSD, DOE/SC, EPA, FDA

Additional 2010 and 2011 Activities by Agency

NIH: NIH Common Fund National Centers for Biomedical Computing (NCBC); Center for Information Technology (CIT) high-performance, parallel systems with software solutions for NIH intramural research program investigators; Cancer Imaging and Computational Centers; P41 computational centers; bioinformatics centers; proteomics, protein structure initiatives; systems biology centers; international networks for biomedical data, software sharing

NSF: Support data-intensive computing program projects that increase ability to build and use systems and applications; eXtreme Digital (XD), successor to TeraGrid; cyberinfrastructure software; TeraGrid operations; virtual organization activities

OSD (HPCMP): HEC services for R&D and test communities (e.g., platforms, computational science software support); computational science institutes for DoD priorities (air armament, health force protection, weather prediction, ground sensors, space situational awareness, rotorcraft, networks, microwaves, munitions)

DOE/SC: Manage LCF facilities at ORNL and ANL; support computation-intensive and data-intensive applications; new generation of petascale tools; optimization and risk analysis in complex systems

NIST: Development, analysis of fundamental mathematical algorithms, software, tools; parallel and distributed algorithms in applications (nano-optics, nano-magnetic modeling, automated combinatorial software testing)

NASA: Integrate data analysis and visualization with Pleiades to implement concurrent visualization; deploy terascale data-analysis capability with online access; continue to broaden NASA's HEC user base

NOAA: Detailed design for next-generation NOAA HPC architecture optimizing number, locations of HPC systems; award systems integration contract for planning and migration to the next-generation architecture

High End Computing (HEC) Research and Development (R&D)

NITRD Agencies: NSF, OSD and DoD Service research organizations, DOE/SC, DARPA, NIST, NASA, NSA, NOAA, DOE/NNSA

HEC R&D agencies conduct and coordinate hardware and software R&D to enable the use of high-end systems to meet Federal agency mission needs, to address many of society's most challenging problems, and to strengthen the Nation's leadership in science, engineering, and technology. Research areas of interest include hardware (e.g., microarchitecture, memory subsystems, interconnect, packaging, I/O, and storage), software (e.g., operating systems, languages and compilers, development environments, algorithms), and systems technology (e.g., system architecture, programming models).

President's 2011 Request

Strategic Priorities Underlying This Request

Next-generation HEC systems and advanced architectures: Develop new scientific frameworks and system architectures; "beyond Moore's Law"; innovative systems that combine increased speed, economic viability, high productivity, and robustness to meet agency needs for systems that manage ultra-large volumes of data and run multiscale, multidisciplinary science and engineering simulations; quantum information science

Extreme-scale computation: Integrate computer science and applied mathematics foundations to address computation at the petascale level and beyond, to exascale

New hardware and software directions: Explore novel concepts and approaches for solving technical challenges such as power use, thermal management, file system I/O latency, resiliency, highly parallel system architectures, and programming language and development environments that can increase the usability of large-scale multiprocessor (including hybrid) systems

Productivity: Continue collaborative development of new metrics of system performance, including benchmarking, lessons learned for acquisition, total ownership costs of HEC systems; integrate resources for improved productivity

Prototypes: Develop, test, and evaluate prototype HEC systems and software to reduce industry and end-user risk and to increase competitiveness and productivity

Software for team environment support: Design and develop requirements for software to enable, support, and increase the productivity of multidisciplinary, geographically dispersed, collaborative teams that develop future HEC applications

Highlights of Request

High-Productivity Computing Systems (HPCS) Phase III: Complete the design, fabrication, integration, and demonstration of full-scale prototypes for a new generation of petascale, economically viable computing systems to provide leap-ahead advances in performance, robustness, and programmability; develop parallel programming languages and tools to increase user productivity and enable efficient implementation of performance-critical applications – DARPA, DOE/SC, DOE/NNSA

Next-generation architectures and programming: R&D in advanced architectures for science, highly parallel systems (silicon-based as well as radically new device-based technologies), parallel programming languages and programming environments, programming models, compilers, file systems and I/O, system software and tools; Forum to Address Scalable Technology for runtime and Operating Systems (FAST-OS) – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, DOE/NNSA

Petascale computing: R&D in petascale operating, runtime, and file systems; tools, programming models, performance modeling, low-power approaches, software for computation- and data-intensive applications; software effectiveness metrics; mathematics and computer science (scalable algorithms, optimization of complex systems, control theory, risk assessment) – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, DOE/NNSA

Pathways to exascale computing: Interconnect and memory technologies; participation in IESP – DOE/SC, DOE/NNSA, NSF

Advanced computing systems: R&D to improve power efficiency, chip-to-chip I/O, interconnects, productivity, resilience, and file system I/O – DARPA, NSA, NSF

Quantum computing: Quantum information theory; architectures and algorithms; modeling of quantum memory, quantum gates, components, and systems – NSF, DARPA, NIST, NSA

Resources for scientific research: Computational concepts, methods, and tools for discovery; centers, institutes, and partnerships for predictive science, applied math/computer science challenges of scientific computing at extreme scale, joint mathematics/computer science institutes – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, DOE/NNSA
Software environments: Develop modeling architecture based on ESMF – NOAA, with NSF (NCAR), DoD Service research organizations, DOE/SC, NASA

Planning and Coordination Supporting Request

Planning

Technical and planning workshops: Annual File System and I/O Workshop to coordinate HEC-URA effort; Federal Application Benchmark Workshop to plan multiagency benchmarking activity – NSF, OSD, DOE/SC, DARPA, NASA, NSA, DOE/NNSA

Open-source software: Enable HEC users to read, modify, and redistribute source code, fostering more efficient development and collaboration to improve software quality – NSF, DOE/SC, NASA, DOE/NNSA

Proposal reviews: Multiple HEC agencies

Systems architecture

HEC hardware and software: Facilitate access to and share knowledge gained and lessons learned from HEC hardware and software development efforts – NSF, OSD, DOE/SC, NIST, NASA, NOAA, DOE/NNSA

HPCS: Support architecture development – DARPA, DOE/SC

Institute of Advanced Architectures and Algorithms: Direct and perform R&D in the focus areas that impact the performance and reliability of large-scale systems – DOE/NNSA, DOE/SC

Quantum information science: Study information, communication, and computation based on devices governed by the principles of quantum physics – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, NIST, NSA

Systems software development

HEC tools: Coordinate research in operating/runtime systems, languages, compilers, libraries – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, NSA, DOE/NNSA

HEC metrics: Coordinate research on effective metrics for application development and execution on high-end systems – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, with OSD, NSA, NASA, DOE/NNSA

Benchmarking and performance modeling: Collaborate on developing performance measurement test cases with applications commonly used by Federal HEC community for use in system procurements, evaluation of Federal HEC system productivity – OSD, with NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, NASA, NSA, DOE/NNSA

File systems and I/O: Coordinate R&D funding based on a national research agenda and update agenda on a recurring basis – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, NASA, NSA, DOE/NNSA

Additional 2010 and 2011 Activities by Agency

NSF: Science and Engineering Beyond Moore’s Law (SEBML) program addressing hardware and software challenges associated with exploiting all the performance opportunities in new multi-core computing technologies; SEBML will support fundamental research to identify promising new technologies for computing, notably in quantum information science; multidisciplinary CDI emphasis on computational concepts, methods, models, algorithms, and tools to advance science and engineering; complex software and tools for HEC environments; software development and reuse technologies for cyberinfrastructure; modeling and simulation of complex systems; numerical algorithms and software implementations that push the boundaries of computing infrastructure; grid computing

OSD (HPCMP): HEC systems and software R&D in support of DoD mission priorities; modeling and simulation

DOE/SC: Joint mathematics/computer science institutes for petascale algorithms; data analysis and management, interoperability; software development environments; support for leading-edge application development to accelerate acceptance of new high-risk, high-payoff algorithms and software; R&E prototypes

NIST: Develop techniques and benchmarks to assess performance of quantum computing technologies; develop fault-tolerant architectures for quantum computers

NSA: Center for Exceptional Computing; continuation of IHEC; Adaptive Petascale Computing

DOE/NNSA: Technology R&D investments

Cyber Security and Information Assurance (CSIA)

NITRD Agencies: NSF, OSD and DoD Service research organizations, DARPA, NIST, NSA

Other Participants: DHS, DISA, DOT, FAA, FBI, IARPA, State, Treasury

CSIA focuses on research and development to prevent, resist, detect, respond to, and/or recover from actions that compromise or threaten to compromise the availability, integrity, or confidentiality of computer- and network-based systems. These systems provide both the basic infrastructure and advanced communications in every sector of the economy, including critical infrastructures such as power grids, emergency communications systems, financial systems, and air-traffic-control networks. These systems also support national defense, national and homeland security, and other vital Federal missions, and themselves constitute critical elements of the IT infrastructure. Broad areas of concern include Internet and network security; confidentiality, availability, and integrity of information and computer-based systems; new approaches to achieving hardware and software security; testing and assessment of computer-based systems security; and reconstitution and recovery of computer-based systems and data.

President's 2011 Request

Strategic Priorities Underlying This Request

R&D priority areas for the CSIA agencies range from fundamental investigation of scientific bases for hardware, software, and system security to applied research in security technologies and methods, approaches to cyber defense and attack mitigation, and infrastructure for realistic experiments and testing. Emphases include:

Foundations: Cyber security as a multidisciplinary science; models, logics, algorithms, and theories for analyzing and reasoning about trust, reliability, security, privacy, and usability; assured and trustworthy systems; cyber security metrics; social and technical dimensions of a trustworthy computing future; risk modeling; secure software engineering and development; cryptography and quantum information science for secure computing and communications

Applied and information infrastructure security: Secure virtual platforms; assured information sharing; security for mobile, wireless, and pervasive computing; identity management principles, frameworks, standards, models, and technologies; security automation; secure protocols; vulnerability detection and mitigation; cloud computing; health IT; smart grid

Mission assurance: Activities and processes that ensure an organization's ability to accomplish its mission in an all-hazard cyber environment; cyber conflict defense

Infrastructure for R&D: Testbeds, cyber test ranges, tools, platforms, repositories to support cyber security experimentation and analysis

Highlights of Request

Foundations

Cyber Trust Centers: Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology (TRUST); A Center for Correct, Usable, Reliable, Auditable, & Transparent Elections (ACCURATE); Collaborative Center for Internet Epidemiology & Defenses (CCIED); Security Assurance For Everyone (SAFE) – NSF; Trustworthy Cyber Infrastructure for the Power Grid (TCIP) – NSF, DARPA, DHS

Secure software engineering: Metrics for cost-benefit and risk-analysis tools; identification of operational security practices for early phases of systems development life cycle; construction of trustworthy systems from untrustworthy components; formal methods for validation and verification of composable systems; scalable secure systems; lightweight analysis – NSF, OSD, ONR, DARPA, NIST, DHS

Software protection: Function extraction technologies to automate the computation of software behavior; embedded software security technologies; software cross-domain security; malicious code detection, mitigation, and prevention; software anti-tamper – NSF, OSD, AFRL, ARO, CERDEC, ONR, DARPA, NSA

Hardware and firmware security: Virtualization technologies (e.g., NSA's Secure Virtual Platform); secure OS; encryption of data in memory; security processors; high-performance intrusion-detection technologies and trusted platform modules – NSF, OSD, AFRL, ONR, NSA

Cryptography: Cryptographic algorithms and engineering for increasing network speeds; cryptographic key

management; quantum information science and security; quantum computation-resistant cryptography – NSF, ONR, DARPA, NIST, NSA

Models, standards, testing, and metrics: Quantitative risk-analysis methods and tools; evidence-based security metrics; models and standards for protection, sharing of sensitive information; standards and tests to assess, validate system security; reliable information-assurance metrics; leadership in national and international standards bodies – NSF, OSD, ARL, ARO, NIST, DHS

Applied and information infrastructure security

Security management infrastructure: Policy-based access control systems and protocols; principles, frameworks, models, and methods for identity, authentication, privilege management in dynamic environments; management tools (threat analysis, attack- and risk-based decision models; survivability analysis framework; automated and real-time diagnostics for system security-policy flaws, configuration anomalies, vulnerabilities; Resiliency Engineering Framework for assessing security-management capabilities); next-generation biometric measurements, standards – NSF, OSD, AFRL, ARO, CERDEC, ONR, DARPA, NIST, NSA

Assured information sharing: DoD-wide priority to enhance technologies and tools to secure communications and data sharing across multiple, heterogeneous networks, platforms, and security levels; demonstrate secure collaboration through cyber sensing station – OSD and DoD Service research organizations, NSA

Information Security Automation Program (ISAP): Multiagency program to enable automation and standardization of technical security operations; applying Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP), a method for using specific standards to enable automated vulnerability management, measurement, and policy compliance evaluation (e.g., FISMA compliance) – NSA, NIST, DHS, DISA

Mobile wireless and sensor networks: Security architectures for airborne/enclave networks, security of classified information on wireless networks; assured access anti-jam communications; geolocation; trustworthy information delivery in mobile tactical systems (including sensor networks); secure handover for roaming between heterogeneous networks – NSF, OSD, AFRL, ARO, CERDEC, ONR, DARPA, NIST, NSA

Mission assurance

Network protection and defense: Technologies and tools for situational awareness across organizations; threat anticipation and avoidance; attack sensing, warning, and response; cognitive policy-based intrusion protection and detection; rapid response (containment, adaptation, repair, self-regeneration); behavior-based network monitoring; defense against large-scale attacks (e.g., DDoS, worms, botnets, spyware); routing security; traceback, attribution, real-time forensics; prototype cyber operations center – NSF, OSD, AFRL, ARL, ARO, CERDEC, ONR, DARPA, NIST, NSA, DHS

Cyber Conflict Defense S&T: Harden key networks and systems; assure missions; defenses to disrupt adversaries' cyber preparation and execution – DARPA, OSD, ONR

Software Protection Initiative: Models of the global threat; protection against nation-state class threats – OSD

Infrastructure for R&D

National Cyber Range (NCR): Enable a revolution in the Nation's ability to conduct cyber operations and defend against cyber threats by providing capabilities for a persistent research cyber testing range – DARPA

Experimental research testbed (DETER): Experimental infrastructure to support next-generation cyber security technologies; allow repeatable medium-scale Internet emulation experiments – NSF, DHS

Information infrastructure security: Secure protocols; Domain Name System Security (DNSSEC); process control systems security; Internet route monitoring; modeling of Internet attacks – NIST, DHS, GSA

Protected Repository for the Defense of Infrastructure Against Cyber Threats (PREDICT): Research data repository to create and develop new models, technologies, and products to assess cyber threats to the country's computing infrastructure and increase cyber security capabilities – DHS

Wisconsin Advanced Internet Laboratory (WAIL): Experimental infrastructure to enable arbitrary interconnections of routing, switching, and host components found along any path in the Internet – NSF

Planning and Coordination Supporting Request

Co-funding: TCIP Center – NSF, DOE, DHS; biometrics – NSF, DHS; National Cyber Defense Initiative (NCDI) – DHS, DNI, DoD, NSF; National Centers of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance Education and Research – NSA, DHS

Workshops: Usability, Security, and Privacy of Information Systems – NSF; Security-Driven Architectures Workshop – NSF; Science of Security Workshop – NSF, IARPA, NSA; YESS - French & American Young

Engineering Scientists Symposium – NSF, CEA, CNRS, ANR, SOLEIL; Workshop on Social Networks – NSF, ARO; Workshop on Security of Financial Infrastructure – NSF, DHS, Treasury; Workshop on Identity Management – NSF, State; Workshop on Privilege Management – NSA, NIST; Cybersecurity Applications and Technology Conference for Homeland Security – DHS; DoD Software Protection/Information Assurance (SP/IA) Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Conference – OSD Service research organizations and DHS; Global Cyber Security Conference – DHS, USSS; Workshop to Design a Secure System Engineering Competition – NSF, IARPA; Cyber Security and Global Affairs Workshop Series – ONR

Collaborative deployment: Coordinate testing and deployment of DoD software-protection technologies within the DOE HPC environment – OSD, AFRL, DOE/NNSA

Interagency cooperation: Ongoing information exchanges in support of developing a national cyber security R&D agenda – All

Technical standards: Developing, maintaining, and coordinating validation programs for many cryptographic standards – NSA, NIST; participation in IETF security groups to develop standard representations and corresponding reference implementations of security-relevant data – OSD, NSA, NIST

Testbeds: Continued joint development of research testbeds, such as DETER, PREDICT, Web*DECIDE, WAIL, NCR, Mobile Networks Testbed Emulation – NSF, Army, ONR, DARPA, DHS, Treasury

DoD IA/CS S&T Steering Council: Expanded role to include oversight and coordination of all defensive cyber S&T programs – OSD and DoD Service research organizations

Technical Cooperation Program C3I Group: Information assurance and defensive information warfare – AFRL, Army, ONR, NSA

INFOSEC Research Council: Participation in technical forum for coordination of Federal CSIA R&D – All

Additional 2010 and 2011 Activities by Agency

NSF: Trustworthy Computing (TwC) program (includes support for the multi-agency Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative) seeking new models, logics, algorithms, and theories for analyzing and reasoning about all aspects of trustworthiness (reliability, security, privacy, theoretical foundations, and usability); fundamentals of cryptography; remediation of security weaknesses in current algorithms or protocols

OSD: Continue to lead DoD coordination through the expanded DoD IA/CS S&T Steering Council; new state-of-the-art-report in supply-chain risk management via the IATAC; cyber security metrics; leading the development of software-protection techniques; boot disk to provide instant, trusted, temporary client node for secure remote access; SBIR workshop to facilitate networking with small businesses

AFRL: Cyber science (fault tolerance, botnet and anomaly detection, applications of game theory); integrated cyber defense to ensure continued mission operations

ARO/ARL/CERDEC: Network Science Collaborative Technology Alliance – Trust Cross Cutting Research Initiative; Army Cryptographic Modernization Office; tactical security tools evaluations; biometric pilot programs; information assurance program support

ONR: Security architecture research for host, network, and application: securing the layers, the components, and interactions for information technologies/infrastructures; advanced technology demonstration: proactive computer network defense; secure distributed collaboration; security management infrastructure and assured information sharing; secure dynamic tactical communications networks

NIST: Federal Computer Security Program Managers' Forums; technical and managerial guidance, standards; global electronic ID verification; international hash competition; product assurance research; voting security; Software Analysis Tool Exposition (SATE); analysis and evaluation of software assessment tools and technologies to advance integrity, security, and reliability in software; advanced models, methods, technologies, and standards to enhance software experimentation, testing, and measurement

NSA: Developing low-cost, high-assurance, programmable, “easier” to certify guard (systems to assure separation between information environments with differing security classifications); privilege-management capability for information sharing in dynamic policy environments; leveraging commodity hardware, virtualization, measurement, and attestation to develop Secure Virtual Platform

DHS: DHS Secure Wireless Access Pilot (DSWAP); DNSSEC; Secure Protocols for the Routing Infrastructure (SPRI); network data visualization for information assurance; Internet tomography; data anonymization tools, techniques; Homeland Open Security Technology (HOST)

IARPA: Automatic Privacy Protection (APP); Securely Taking on New Executable Software of Uncertain Provenance (STONESOUP)

NITRD Program Identifies Initial Strategic Objectives for Cyber Security R&D

During 2009, the NITRD Program led a series of activities comprising the National Cyber Leap Year (NCLY), a Federally initiated public-private effort to shape research and development strategies that focus on game-changing technologies for securing the Nation's cyber infrastructure and digital information. The NCLY was directed by the NITRD Senior Steering Group (SSG) for Cyber Security R&D, with guidance from the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) (see FY 2010 Supplement). The objective of the NCLY was to identify game-changing ideas with the potential to reshape the cyber security landscape.

In three broadly distributed Requests for Input (RFI), the SSG invited interested stakeholders across all public and private sectors to submit concepts that would make it possible for the United States to leap ahead of current barriers to improved cyber security. Five game-change categories in cyber security emerged from this RFI process and other information-gathering efforts: (1) basing trust decisions on verified assertions (digital provenance); (2) attacks only work once if at all (moving-target defense); (3) knowing when we've been had (hardware-enabled trust); (4) moving from forensics to real-time diagnosis (nature-inspired cyber health); and (5) crime doesn't pay (cyber economics). The NCLY efforts culminated in the National Cyber Leap Year Summit, supported by the NITRD Program and the Office of the Secretary of Defense. This event, held in August 2009, brought together 150 security experts from industry, academia, and government who identified a range of ideas for advancing leap-ahead R&D activities.

Inspired by ideas generated at the NCLY Summit and other community input, the SSG identified these initial strategic objectives for transforming cyber security:

- **Tailored trustworthy spaces:** Enable sub-spaces in cyberspace to support different security policies and different security services for different types of interactions
- **Moving target:** Deploy systems that are both diverse and changing, increasing complexity and costs for attackers, limiting the exposure to vulnerabilities, and increasing system resiliency
- **Cyber economic incentives:** Develop a scientific framework for cyber security incentives to create foundations for cyber security markets, to establish meaningful metrics, and to promote economically sound secure practices

The objectives lay out broad areas of research that can be conducted collaboratively by academic, government, and commercial researchers and contribute to fulfilling the goal of the President's Cyberspace Policy Review for "a framework for research and development strategies that focus on game-changing technologies that will help meet infrastructure objectives, building on the existing Networking and Information Technology (NITRD) strategies and other related work."

Human Computer Interaction and Information Management (HCI&IM)

NITRD Agencies: NIH, NSF, OSD and DoD Service research organizations, DARPA, NIST, NASA, AHRQ, NOAA, EPA, NARA

Other Participants: IARPA, HHS/ONC, USDA, USGS

HCI&IM focuses on R&D to expand human capabilities and knowledge through the use and management of information by computer systems and by humans, facilitated by hardware, software, and systems technologies. These technologies include robotics, multimodal interaction technologies, visualization, agents, cognitive systems, collaborative systems, and information systems that support the organization and refinement of data from discovery to decision and action. HCI&IM outcomes support U.S. national priorities such as scientific research, energy and the environment, climate change and prediction, health care, education and training, protecting our information infrastructure, emergency planning and response, national defense, homeland security, weather forecasting, and space exploration.

President's 2011 Request

Strategic Priorities Underlying This Request

Information integration: To support complex human, societal, and organizational ideas, analysis, and timely action and decision-making, large amounts of multisource forms of raw information (e.g., sensors) must be managed, assimilated, and accessible in formats responsive to the user's needs and expertise. Information use, sharing, and re-purposing across domains for knowledge discovery require next-generation methods, technologies, and tools that integrate and efficiently manage massive stores of distributed, heterogeneous information while integrating the human in the discovery process (e.g., science and engineering data, Federal records, health information, scientific and other types of archival literature). Key research areas include:

- **Information standards:** Data interoperability, integration of distributed data; generalizable ontologies; data format description language (DFDL) for electronic records and data; data structure research for complex digital objects; interoperability standards for semantically understood ubiquitous health information exchanges; information services for cloud-based systems
- **Decision support:** Portals and frameworks for data, processes; user-oriented techniques, tools for thematic discovery, synthesis, analysis, visualization for decision making; mobile, distributed information for emergency personnel; management of human responses to data; collaborative information triage; portfolio analysis; development of data corpora for impact assessment and other metrics of scientific R&D
- **Information management:** Intelligent rule-based data management; increasing access to and cost-effective integration, maintenance of complex collections of heterogeneous data; innovative architectures for data-intensive and power-aware computing; scalable technologies; integration of policies (differential sensitivity, security, user authentication) with data; integrated data repositories, computing grids; testbeds; sustainability, validation of complex models; grid-enabled visualization for petascale collections

Information infrastructure: Technical challenges in management of the Federal government's electronic records; technologies (data transfer, mass storage) and tools for long-term preservation, curation, federation, sustainability, accessibility, and survivability of vital electronic records, data collections, and health records; multidisciplinary R&D in ways to convert data into knowledge and discovery; social-computational systems

Active systems: Systems that learn, reason, and automatically adapt to new and unforeseen events; onboard autonomy; performance evaluation of intelligent sensing and control systems; robotic devices for emergency response, urban search and rescue, bomb disposal, manufacturing, and exploration

Highlights of Request

Effective stewardship of science and engineering data: Issues in access to and federation, preservation, curation, data life-cycle stewardship, and analysis of large, heterogeneous collections of scientific data, information, and records; fault-tolerant, scalable I/O – NIH, NSF, NIST, NASA, NOAA, EPA, NARA

Cyberlearning Transforming Education (CTE): New multidisciplinary effort to fully capture the transformative potential of advanced learning technologies in education, enable new avenues of STEM learning for students and workforce members, advance the Nation's ability to study the learning process itself, and bring advances in technology to learners at all educational levels – NSF

Social-Computational Systems (SoCS): Develop understanding of the properties of systems of people and computers at all scales, and how to design systems to facilitate socially intelligent computing – NSF

Data-Intensive Computing: Cross-cutting focus on innovative approaches to processing, retrieving, exploring,

analyzing, describing ultra-large data stores; new concepts, tools, systems for data-intensive science – NSF

Cognitive and adaptive systems: Cognitive, perceptual modeling for joint cognitive systems design; decision-support systems/tools; improve autonomy, trustworthiness, reliability of automated systems; intelligent robots; robotic manipulation; human-robot teaming; affective computing – NSF, DoD, DARPA, NIST, NASA

Multimodal language recognition and translation: Improve multilingual language technology performance in areas of speech-to-text transcription, spontaneous two-way communications translation, machine reading, text retrieval, document summarization/distillation, automatic content extraction, speaker and language recognition, multimodal interfaces, usability, language understanding – NSF, DoD, NIST, DARPA, NASA, NARA, IARPA

Information integration, accessibility, and management: Advanced technologies, system architectures, and tools for highly optimizable, scalable ingest and processing; high-capacity data integration, management, exploitation, modeling, analysis, and tools; video understanding; ontologies and metadata; efficient data access – NIH, NSF, DARPA, NIST, NASA, AHRQ, NOAA, EPA, NARA

Health Information Technologies: Clinical decision-support systems and standards; physician/personal electronic health records; preventable adverse drug effects, national health information interoperability standards; usability of health IT systems – AHRQ, NIH, NIST, FDA, HHS/CMS, HHS/ONC, other agencies

Human-in-the-loop: HCI and systems integration; personalization in design; decision-support systems and tools; distributed collaboration, knowledge management, virtual organizations and visual environments; cognitive and perceptual process modeling and measurement; virtual reality technologies for simulation and training, user-controlled data abstraction, biometric and voting systems – NSF, DoD, DARPA, NIST, NASA, NOAA, EPA

Text Retrieval and Text Analysis Conferences: Evaluation of information-discovery technologies; relevance feedback; legal discovery; recognition of opinion in blogs; entity, web, chemical patent search; machine reading – NIST, NSF, NARA, IARPA

Planning and Coordination Supporting Request

White Paper on Data, Information and Visualization: Collaborative effort to document challenges, opportunities, gaps and the future of data, information, and visualization – NSF, NIST, NASA, AHRQ, NOAA, EPA, NARA

Environmental databases and data distribution: Multiagency collaboration to expand sharing, interoperability of large diverse datasets; GEOSS; Remote System Information Gateway – NASA, NOAA, EPA, NSF

Information access, management, and preservation: Collaborations in IWG on Digital Data; scalable repository architectures; data management and decision-support technologies; data grids; data intensive computing – NSF, NIST, NASA, NARA

Visualization: Coordination to consider feature extraction for anomaly detection; integration of multiple types of data and records at scale or format; use of visualization as an interface, biomedical imaging – NIH, NSF, NIST, NASA, NOAA, EPA, NARA, other agencies

Additional 2010 and 2011 Activities by Agency

NSF: Academic R&D in information privacy; integrative intelligence (agents, modalities, domains); ubiquitous networked data environments; human-computer partnerships; socially intelligent computing; universal access

DARPA: Autonomous Robotic Manipulation (ARM) and Machine Reading (MR)

NIST: Biometrics evaluation, usability, and standards (fingerprint, face, iris, voice/speaker); multimedia evaluation methods (video retrieval, audio and video analysis, smart-space technologies); measurement, evaluation tools for 3D shape searching; data preservation metrology, standards; usability of voting systems; ontologies for manufacturing information integration, supply chain; standards for manufacturing robots

NASA: Human-centered automation concepts for aviation safety (including automation design tools and metrics); decision-support technologies for Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen); operator state monitoring and classification; multimodal interface research; problem reporting systems; prototypes for new Crew Exploration Vehicle (Orion) flight deck; mission control technologies suite

AHRQ: Patient safety, quality improvement program in ambulatory care

NOAA: Technologies to provide real-time weather and climate data in multiple formats for scientists, forecasters, first responders, citizens; regional climate visualization; disaster planning, mitigation, response, and recovery

EPA: Databases for computational toxicology; technologies to improve visualization of distributed data and models; pilot projects for distribution and search of environmental data

NARA: Advanced decision-support technologies for ultra-high-confidence processing of very large Presidential electronic records collections (with ARL support)

Large Scale Networking (LSN)

NITRD Agencies: NIH, NSF, OSD and DoD Service research organizations, DOE/SC, DARPA, NIST, NASA, NSA, AHRQ, NOAA

Other Participants: DHS, NTIA, USGS

LSN members coordinate Federal agency networking R&D in leading-edge networking technologies, services, and enhanced performance, including programs in network security, future Internet design, heterogeneous multimedia community testbeds; middleware, end-to-end performance measurement, networks for disaster response, network science and engineering of complex networks; advanced networking components, grid and collaboration networking tools and services; and engineering, management, and enabling large-scale networks for scientific and applications R&D including large-scale data transfers and virtual organization functionality. The results of this coordinated R&D, once deployed, can help assure that the next generation of the Internet will be scalable, trustworthy, and flexible to support user applications.

President's 2011 Request

Strategic Priorities Underlying This Request

Understanding large-scale network complexity, deriving fundamental insights, and measuring performance to enable trustworthy, economically viable networks that preserve our social values

Cyber-physical systems (CPS): Identify networking requirements and critical research (e.g., for “smart grids”); develop secure, reliable, dynamic, responsive services

Future of middleware: Identify new research directions in middleware (in light of recent computing and technology advances such as cloud computing and virtualization at scale) to improve basic science transparency, collaboration, efficiency across science domains, and network management

Performance measurement over federated, multidomain networks: Hold a continuing series of workshops to promote development and use of performance measurement capabilities based on the PerfSONAR infrastructure

Highlights of Request

Networking for health science research, clinical needs, and disaster management – NIH, NSF, NIST

Network architectures and protocols for future networks: Develop network architecture concepts to enable robust, secure, flexible, dynamic, heterogeneous networking capabilities and support sustainable environments, energy-efficient computing, and virtualization at scale – NSF, OSD, DOE/SC, DARPA, NIST, NASA

Experimental network facilities: Provide at differing scales, including DOE/SC's 100 G network to support experimentation at scale in new architecture and protocols – NSF, DOE/SC, NIST, NASA, NOAA

Networking for CPS: Develop and demonstrate robust, secure, reliable networking for autonomous cars, intelligent (efficient) buildings, medical devices, and assistive technologies – NSF, NIST

Large-scale data flows: Develop, test terabit-plus transport protocols, capabilities (e.g., Coronet, ORCA, Military Networking Protocol, InfiniBand single-stream flows over WANs) – NSF, OSD, DOE/SC, DARPA, NASA, NOAA

Distributed computing and collaboration: Secure federated software tools and cloud services for data distribution and management, visualization, software stack for large-scale scientific collaborations, high-bandwidth implementation, interoperable smart grid standards and testbeds, Open Science Grid, Worldwide Large Hadron Collider Computational Grid, Earth System Grid – NSF, DOE/SC, NIST, NASA, NOAA

End-to-end performance measurement: Enable federated, end-to-end performance measurement for advanced networking; provide tools for, implement PerfSONAR – NSF, DOE/SC, NIST, NASA

Security implementation (IPv6, DNSSEC, and Trusted Internet Connections [TICs]): Develop and implement near-term mandated capabilities – NIH, NSF, OSD, DOE/SC, NIST, NASA, NSA

Network security research: Technologies for detection of anomalous behavior, quarantines; standards, modeling, and measurement to achieve end-to-end security over heterogeneous, multidomain networks and infrastructure; critical-infrastructure protection; trustworthy networking; privacy, confidentiality, authentication, policy, cryptography, and quantum communication – NIH, NSF, OSD, DOE/SC, DARPA, NIST, NASA

Cloud computing: Implement sharing of resources for open science communities; international science cooperation over networks – NIH, NSF, DOE/SC, NIST, NASA, NOAA

Network science and engineering: Develop concepts, methods, architectures, protocols, and measurement for modeling networks as complex, autonomous, and dynamic systems – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA, NIST

Mobile and sensor networking: Standards, tools to allow for better interconnectivity, seamless interoperability, management (e.g., power, data fusion, heterogeneous interfaces, spectrum constraints) for robust, secure, dynamic, mobile networks (wireless, radio, sensor) and interoperability with heterogeneous networks;

sensing, control systems – NSF, OSD, DARPA, NIST, NASA

Public-safety networking, disaster recovery, and crisis management: Disaster Information Management Research Center (DIMRC), public-safety communications, implant communication system – NIH (NLM), NIST

Planning and Coordination Supporting Request

Interagency research agenda: PerfSONAR implementation and future of middleware workshops – LSN agencies

Cooperative R&D efforts: Smart Grid, DETER, networking research projects – NSF, DOE/SC, DARPA; efficiency and security of CPS – NSF, DARPA; Internet Infrastructure Protection Program – DARPA, NIST; PerfSONAR deployment and cooperation – DOE/SC, NIST, NASA

Workshops: DOE/SC workshops on network requirements for biological/ environmental research and basic energy sciences; LHC Tier 2 and Tier 3 developments workshop; NSF workshops on network security and trustworthy computing, FIND, software verification and validation for CPS, highly controllable, ultra-high-speed networks

Trans-Oceanic Networking for Science: NSF, DOE/SC

Coordination by LSN Teams

- **Joint Engineering Team (JET):** NIH, NSF, OSD (HPCMP), DOE/SC, NIST, NASA, NSA, NOAA, USGS, with participation by academic organizations (CAIDA, CENIC, Internet2, ISI, MAX, NLANR, StarLight), ANL, supercomputing centers (ARSC, MCNC, PSC), universities (FIU, IU, UIC, UMd, UNC, UU, UW), and vendors - Advanced testbeds, coordination of end-user requirements, engineering of research networks and testbeds (JETnets); security best practices, applications testbeds (DNSSEC, IPv6, IPv6 multicast, performance measurement); TICs coordination; interdomain and end-to-end metrics, monitoring; tool sharing and exchange; international coordination; transit and services cooperation
- **Middleware And Grid Infrastructure Coordination (MAGIC) team:** NIH, NSF, DOE/SC, NIST, NASA, NOAA, with participation by academic organizations (EDUCAUSE, Internet2, ISI, UCAR), national labs (ANL, LANL, LBNL, PNNL), universities (UIUC, UMd, UNC, UWisc), vendors - Middleware and grid tools, services; cloud computing; grid standards and implementation status, (TeraGrid, OSG, ESG, CEDPS, caBIG, BIRN), grid security and privacy (e.g., coordinated certificate authorities); international coordination

Information exchange: Multiagency participation in review panels, informational meetings, principal investigator (PI) meetings; coordination among program managers; joint JET, DOE ESSC and Internet2 Joint Techs Meetings – NSF, AFRL, DARPA, NIST, NASA, NSA, DHS

Partnerships for research connectivity – NSF, DREN, DOE/SC, NASA, NOAA

Additional 2010 and 2011 Activities by Agency

NIH: Health care IT, infrastructure creation; applications (Web, wireless, grid-based, distributed databases and repositories, TeraGrid)

NSF: Core networking research; network experimental infrastructure; SEES efforts to optimize energy-computation performance; IRNC; NetSE focus on theory of network architecture, understanding complexity, robust socio-technological networking; collaboratories; data-intensive computing; “Expeditions”

OSD (HPCMP): Multidomain performance measurement; security (IPsec, VPN portals, security assessment script, Kerberos development, filters, encryption, data attribution); high-speed access to DOJ, Hawaii, and Alaska

DOE/SC: 100 G networking (technology, infrastructure, testbed, scaling middleware, coupled applications); cloud computing testbed; distributed systems software implementations; hybrid networking; scalable performance measurement; on-demand bandwidth services

DARPA: Radio networking in challenging environments (information theory for MANET, power and spectrum management, interface multiple access, brood of spectrum supremacy, Quint networking technology, LANdroids, wireless electronic protect/attack); data fusion and management (e.g., SAPIENT); dynamic quarantine of worms; collective technology for dynamic teams, software agents, and sensors (e.g., sensor topology, ASSIST, CLENS)

NIST: Smart grid standards; Internet infrastructure protection; seamless, secure mobility standards, tools; complex systems; quantum communications testbed, Quantum Key Distribution (QKD); cloud-computing security

NASA: 40-100 G testbed, high-performance encrypted Infiniband and file transfers, performance measurement, firewalls; innovative architectures; network security research and implementation; mobile and sensor networking; TIC development

NSA: Delay-tolerant and ad hoc networking; open-source cognitive radio

AHRQ: With ONC, health care IT (develop, evaluate IT tools to improve quality of care and patient safety; demo statewide, regional information networks; integrate with Nationwide Health Information Network data standards)

NOAA: Integration of and access to HPC centers; support to remote users, test, measurement and analysis tools, improved security

Software Design and Productivity (SDP)

NITRD Agencies: NIH, NSF, AFOSR, ONR, NIST, NASA, NOAA

Participating Agencies: DISA

The SDP R&D agenda spans both the science and the technology of software creation and sustainment (e.g., development methods and environments, V&V technologies, component technologies, languages, tools, and system software) and software project management in diverse domains. R&D will advance software engineering concepts, methods, techniques, and tools that result in more usable, dependable, cost-effective, and sustainable software-intensive systems. The domains cut across information technology, industrial production, evolving areas such as the Internet and the World Wide Web, and highly complex, interconnected software-intensive systems.

President's 2011 Request

Strategic Priorities Underlying This Request

Critical U.S. defense, security, and economic capabilities depend on software-based systems that must remain operational, useful, and relevant for decades. Improving the quality and cost-effectiveness of this increasingly complex software constitutes a core technical challenge in information technology that requires breakthrough innovations, ranging from the fundamental science and engineering of software to the application level. SDP R&D priorities include:

Research to rethink software design: From the basic concepts of design, evolution, and adaptation to advanced systems that seamlessly integrate human and computational capabilities

- **Advance foundational/core research on science and engineering of software:** New computational models and logics, techniques, languages, tools, metrics, and processes for developing and analyzing software for complex software-intensive systems (e.g., a principled approach to software engineering that can provide assurances such as accountability, real-time, security, and affordability)
- **Develop next-generation software concepts, methods, and tools:** Reformulation of the development process, the tool chain, the partitioning of tasks and resources; open technology development (open-source and open-systems methods); technology from nontraditional sources; multidisciplinary and cross-cutting concepts and approaches; emerging technologies such as multicore, software-as-a-service, cloud computing, end-user programming; modeling of human-machine systems
- **Advance capabilities for building evolvable, sustainable, long-lived software-intensive systems:** Explore new means to create and maintain the currency of, and use design and engineering artifacts to support, long-lived software-intensive systems. These systems often outlive the original generation of developers and engineers, and call for new approaches to reliably and predictably meet changing requirements and infrastructure, as well as to assure security and safety.

Predictable, timely, cost-effective development of software-intensive systems: Disciplined methods, technologies, and tools for systems and software engineering, rapidly evaluating alternative solutions to address evolving needs; measuring, predicting, and controlling software properties and tradeoffs; virtualized and model-based development environments; scalable analysis, test generation, optimization with traceability to requirements

- **Improve software application interoperability and usability:** Interface and integration standards, representation methods to enable software interoperability, data exchanges, interoperable databases; supply-chain system integration; standardized software engineering practices for model development
- **Address cost and productivity issues in development of safety-critical and autonomous systems:** Research composition, reuse, power tools, training, and education to address systems that can be inaccessible after deployment (e.g., spacecraft) and need to operate autonomously

Highlights of Request

Software and hardware foundations: Scientific and engineering principles and new logics, languages, architectures, and tools for specifying, designing, programming, analyzing, and verifying software and software-intensive systems; V&V tools for sound development of reliable software, standards for certification; techniques that enable prediction of cost and schedule for large-scale software projects – NSF, AFOSR, ONR, NIST, NASA

Software Development for Cyber Infrastructure: Software engineering solutions in support of science and

engineering research – NSF

Computer systems research: Rethink and transform the software stack for computer systems in different application domains; investigate systems that involve computational and human/social, and physical elements – NSF, AFOSR, ONR

Robust intelligence: Design of software-intensive intelligent systems that operate in complex, realistic, and unpredictable environments – NSF

Software-Intensive Systems Producibility Initiative (SISPI): Continue Software and Systems Test Track infrastructure for new technologies, methods, and theories for testing software-intensive systems; formal models for system, software development; software for systems of systems – AFOSR, ONR, NSF

Intelligent software design: Investigate approaches to design of systems that operate in complex, realistic, and unpredictable environments; automation and scaling of testing, validation, and system-level verification; automated analysis of model-based software development; transformational approaches to drastically reduce software life-cycle costs, complexity and extend life span; languages and modeling tools that support interoperability, data exchange among engineering tools, and large-scale simulations – NASA, NSF, NIST

Interoperability standards: Representation scheme for interoperability among computer-aided engineering systems; standards for instrument, mathematical, and measurement data; ontological approaches to facilitate integrating supply-chain systems; interoperability of databases; interoperability testing tools – NIST

Planning and Coordination Supporting Request

Workshop on Future Research Directions for Science and Engineering of Software, ACM SIGSOFT/FSE 2010: Planning for SDP-sponsored national workshop in FY 2010 – SDP agencies

Software verification and validation: Effective approaches for next-generation air transportation – NASA, FAA

Earth System Modeling Framework, weather research, and forecasting: Long-term multiagency effort to build, use common software toolset, data standards; visualization for weather and climate applications – NASA, NOAA, NSF (NCAR), DOE/SC, OSD and DoD Service research organizations

Next-generation aircraft: Collaboration on concepts, modeling and simulation tools – NASA, DoD Service research organizations

Additional 2010 and 2011 Activities by Agency

NSF: SEES research on software advances to meet energy requirements in computation and communication; new software activity on topics such as software production, hardening, collaboration, and sustainability; SDP-related topics in cross-cutting programs (TwC, Data-Intensive Computing, NetSE); intellectual foundations of software design; software for real-world systems (micro- and nano-scale embedded devices, global-scale critical infrastructures, cyber-physical systems, networked and distributed systems); tools, documentation to support formal methods research; open-source development communities

AFOSR: Expand work in formal methods and new approaches for emerging software and systems challenges; devise new theories and behavioral models for development of complex, networked systems with human and machine components

ONR: Complex software; software producibility and security; legacy code re-engineering; analysis tools for modeling, testing software component interactions, error-handling policies; software for quantum processing

NIST: Standards development and testing tools supporting interoperability such as schema validation, automated test generation (conformance testing), naming and design rules; product data models and modeling tools; methods to facilitate 3D shape search; Units Markup Language

NASA: Defined interfaces for international partners; architecture for SensorWeb for Earth sciences; integrated vehicle health management tools and techniques to enable automated detection, diagnosis, prognosis, and mitigation of adverse events during flight; integrated aircraft control design tools and techniques; physics-based multidisciplinary analysis optimization framework (MDAO) for cost-effective advanced modeling in development of next-generation aircraft and spacecraft

DISA: Coordination with universities and others on development of research, development, and training aspects of the DISA-developed Open Source Corporate Management Information System (OSCMIS), a Web-based suite of applications including a learning management system, a balanced scorecard system, a telework management application, emergency notification and response products, and about 50 other office productivity tools; OSCMIS is now being licensed to government agencies, industry, and academia, with interest growing among other nations as well

High Confidence Software and Systems (HCSS)

NITRD Agencies: NIH, NSF, OSD and DoD Service research organizations, NIST, NASA, NSA

Other Participants: DHS, DOE (OE), FAA, FDA, FHWA, NRC, NTSB

HCSS R&D supports development of scientific foundations and innovative and enabling software and hardware technologies for the engineering, V&V, assurance, and certification of complex, networked, distributed computing systems and cyber-physical (IT-enabled) systems (CPS). The goal is to enable seamless, fully synergistic integration of computational intelligence, communication, control, sensing, actuation, and adaptation with physical devices and information processes to routinely realize high-confidence, optimally performing systems that are essential for effectively operating life-, safety-, security-, and mission-critical applications. These systems must be capable of interacting correctly, safely, and securely with humans and the physical world in changing environments and unforeseen conditions. In many cases, they must be certifiably dependable. The vision is to realize dependable systems that are more precise and highly efficient; respond more quickly; work in dangerous or inaccessible environments; provide large-scale, distributed coordination; augment human capabilities; and enhance societal quality of life. New science and technology are needed to build these systems with computing, communication, information, and control pervasively embedded at all levels, thus enabling entirely new generations of engineering designs that can enhance US competitiveness across economic and industrial sectors.

President's FY 2011 Request

Strategic Priorities Underlying This Request

The HCSS group is engaged in a sustained effort to identify and initiate multidisciplinary research that fills gaps in the science, technology, assurance, and education infrastructure required to make possible the engineering of these fundamentally new classes of systems, "systems you can bet your life on." Key priority areas include:

Science and technology for building cyber-physical systems: Develop a new systems science to provide unified foundations, models and tools, system capabilities, and architectures that enable innovation in highly dependable cyber-enabled engineered and natural systems

CPS "leap" innovation challenges: Collaboration in research and transition platforms for mission system innovations. Such problem-driven CPS research will be a key enabler for innovation in almost every economic sector that deals with engineered systems – medicine and health care, energy, transportation, manufacturing, agriculture, and many others – as well as a broad range of agency missions including national security, environmental protection, and space exploration.

Assurance technology: Develop a sound scientific and technological basis, including formal methods and computational frameworks, for assured design, construction, analysis, evaluation, and implementation of reliable, robust, safe, secure, stable, and certifiably dependable systems regardless of size, scale, complexity, and heterogeneity; develop software and system engineering tool capabilities to achieve application and problem domain-based assurance, and broadly embed these capabilities within the system engineering process; reduce the effort, time, and cost of V&V/certification processes; provide a technology base of advanced-prototype implementations of high-confidence technologies to spur adoption

Next-generation high-confidence real-time software and systems: Pursue innovative design, development, and engineering approaches to ensure the dependability, safety, performance, and evolution of software-intensive, dynamic, networked control systems in aerospace, industrial-process, and other life- and safety-critical infrastructure domains; real-time embedded applications and systems software; component-based foundations for accelerated design and verifiable system integration; predictable, fault-tolerant, distributed software and systems

Advances to enhance understanding and management of complex systems: Cyber-enabled discovery and innovation to develop improved models of complex systems, software, human cognition, and human-system interactions; new integrated analytical and decision-support tools

Integration of research and education: Build a new research community that shares a commitment to integrate CPS theory and methodology in education and to promote increased understanding of and interest in CPS systems through the development of new curricula at all levels of education

Highlights of Request

Cyber-physical systems: Continuing support for research to enable physical, biological, and engineered systems whose operations are integrated, monitored, and/or controlled by a computational core and interact with the physical world, with components networked at every scale and computing deeply embedded in every physical

component, possibly even in materials; real-time embedded, distributed systems and software – NSF, AFRL, ARO, ONR, NIST, NASA, NSA, FAA, FDA

Cyber-enabled Discovery and Innovation (CDI): Continuing focus to include software for tomorrow’s complex systems, including CPS; address challenges of large-scale interacting systems, investigate their non-linear interactions and aggregate or emergent phenomena to better predict system and decision-making capabilities about complex systems – NSF

High-confidence systems and foundations of assured computing: Methods and tools for modeling, measuring, analyzing, evaluating, and predicting performance, correctness, efficiency, dependability, scalability, and usability of complex, real-time, distributed, and mobile systems; high-confidence platforms for sensing and control; virtualization, architectures, components, composition, and configuration; systems-of-systems governance, engineering, analysis and testing of software and hardware; specification and synthesis, programming language semantics, and computational models; advanced tools design, development, V&V, and measurement capabilities to assure a safe computing platform; techniques for assuring applications are free from malware, vulnerabilities; quantum information processing – NSF, OSD, AFRL, AFOSR, ARO, ONR, NIST, NASA, NSA, FDA

Information assurance requirements: Methods, tools for constructing, analyzing security structures (management architectures and protocols, etc.); assurance technologies for cross-domain creation, editing, sharing of sensitive information in collaboration environments that span multiple security levels; assured compilation of cryptographic designs, specifications to platforms of interest – ONR, NSA; testing infrastructure for health IT standards, specifications, certification (with HHS); cross-enterprise document sharing in electronic health systems – NIST

Standards and test methods for intelligent industrial control systems security (ICS) and networks:

Approaches to balancing safety, security, reliability, and performance in SCADA and other ICS used in manufacturing and other critical infrastructure industries (e.g., water, electric power, oil and gas, chemicals, pharmaceuticals, food and beverage, materials processing) and building security into next-generation systems; ensuring performance, interoperability of factory floor network communication devices and systems; leading Smart Grid Industrial-to-Grid Domain Expert Working Group to achieve interoperability of Grid devices – NIST

Planning and Coordination Supporting Request

National Research Workshop Series: Academic, industry, and government stakeholder workshops to identify new R&D for building 21st century CPS for life-, safety-, and mission-critical applications; topics include:

- **High Confidence Medical Device CPS** – NSF, NIST, NSA, FDA
- **Future Energy CPS** – NSF, NIST, NSA, ARPA-E
- **High Confidence Transportation CPS: Automotive, Aviation, and Rail** – NSF, NIST, NASA, NSA, AFRL with DOT, FAA, FDA, NTSB
- **CPS Week** – NSF, AFRL, NIST, NASA, NSA
- **Verified Software, Theories, Tools, and Experiments (VSTTE) Workshop** – NSA, NSF
- **Static Analysis Tools Exposition (SATE):** Annual summit on software security for vendors, users, and academics – NIST, NSA, NSF with DHS
- **CPS Education:** NSF, ONR, NSA
- **CPS Extreme Manufacturing:** NIST, NSF, DARPA, ONR, FDA

Software Assurance Metrics and Tool Evaluation: Annual workshop for users and developers to compare efficacy of techniques and tools; develop vulnerability taxonomies – NIST, NSA, DHS

Tenth Annual HCSS Conference: Showcasing of promising research to improve system confidence – NSA with NSF, ONR, NASA, FAA

Software Assurance Forum – OSD and DoD Service research organizations, NIST, NSA, DHS

Safety of flight-critical systems: HCSS agencies collaborating on workshops, technical discussions on this topic in which multiple agencies have ongoing activities – DoD, AFRL, NASA, NSA, NSF

Future Directions in Cyber-Physical Systems Security: Joint workshop – DHS, NIST, DOE (OE), OSD, USAF

Standards, software assurance metrics for SCADA, ICS: Collaborative development – NIST, DOE (OE), others

Biomedical imagery: Technical standards for change measurements in patient applications – NIH, NIST, FDA, CMS

Cooperative proposal evaluation – NSF, AFRL, NIST, NASA, NSA, FAA, FDA, NRC

Additional 2010 and 2011 Activities by Agency

NIH: Assurance in medical devices such as pulse oximeters, cardio-exploratory monitors for neonates;

telemedicine; computer-aided detection and diagnosis; computer-aided surgery and treatment; neural interface technologies such as cochlear implants, brain-computer interfaces

NSF: Joint research program of CISE and ENG directorates addressing CPS challenges in three areas (foundations; methods and tools; and components, run-time substrates, and systems); partnership to support advanced manufacturing through CPS research that helps better integrate IT into manufactured goods; core research in software and information foundations, communications, and computer systems; high-risk, high-return multiyear effort in large-scale fundamental research to define the future of computing, including next-generation approaches to software and system assurance and CPS (Expeditions in Computing)

AFOSR: Theoretical foundations for specification, design, analysis, verification, use, and continued evolution of systems and software, including formal models for complex software-intensive systems and their environments, modeling of human-machine systems, and new development approaches

AFRL: Flight Critical System Software Initiative (FCSSI), including design methods, tools for safety and security certification of onboard aircraft embedded systems operating in a system-of-systems environment (e.g., UAVs); emphasis on mixed-criticality (air safety combined with security) interdependencies requiring deep interaction, integration of hardware and software components

ARO: Software/system prototyping, development, documentation, and evolution; virtual parts engineering research; reliable and secure networked embedded systems; reliable and effective mechanisms to monitor and verify software execution status

ONR: R&D in fundamental principles to understand, design, analyze, build software systems that are correct, assured, efficient, effective, predictable, verifiable, and extendible to emerging quantum information processing; includes work in real-time fault-tolerant software, software interoperability, systems for quantum processing

NIST: Computer forensics tool testing, National Software Reference Library (funded by DOJ/NIJ); National Vulnerability Database, Internet infrastructure protection (with DHS funding); seamless mobility; trustworthy information systems; information security automation, Security Content Automation Protocol (SCAP); combinatorial testing; next-generation access control

NASA: Aeronautics safety R&D with emphasis on technologies for software health management, integrated vehicle health management; enabling technologies for design, V&V of flight-critical systems (safety assurance, autonomy and authority, integrated distributed systems, software-intensive systems); enabling V&V technologies for NextGen airspace systems for separation assurance and super-density programs

NSA: High-assurance system construction (correct-by-construction methods, model-driven development, programming languages) and analysis (concolic execution, multi-tool analysis, separation/matching logic, static/dynamic analysis); assured implementation, execution of critical platform components and functionality; assured cryptographic implementations (software and hardware); domain-specific workbench developments (cryptography, guards, protocols, policies)

DHS: Security of cyber-physical systems in critical infrastructures; modeling, simulation, and analysis for decision making in the context of infrastructure protection

DOE/OE: Next Generation Control Systems (scaleable, cost-effective methods for secure communication between remote devices and control centers; cost-effective security solutions for new architecture designs and communication methods; risk analysis; National SCADA Test Bed; secure SCADA communications protocol; middleware for inter-utility communications and cyber security; virtual architecture modeling tools

FAA: Evaluate COTS technology and V&V techniques in complex and safety-critical systems for regulatory compliance and intended performance (e.g., software development techniques and tools; microprocessor evaluations; onboard network and hardware security, integrity, and reliability)

FDA: Formal methods-based design (assured verification, device software and system safety modeling and certification, component composition, forensics analysis, engineering tool foundations); architecture, platform, middleware, resource management for interoperable medical devices (plug-and-play, vigilance and trending systems); infrastructure for medical-device integration, interoperation; patient modeling, simulation; adaptive patient-specific algorithm; black box/flight-data recording

FHWA: Apply concept of cyber-enabled discovery and innovation to develop new transportation paradigm based on integrated information, prediction, prevention (optimization), and real-time response to improve highway transport and achieve energy-conservation, environmental, and economic innovation goals

NRC: Regulatory research to assure safety and security in cyber-physical systems (digital instrumentation and control systems) used in the nuclear energy sector

Social, Economic, and Workforce Implications of IT and IT Workforce Development (SEW)

NITRD Agencies: NIH, NSF, DOE/SC

Other Participants: GSA, DoD

Research activities funded under the SEW PCA focus on the co-evolution of IT and social and economic systems, including interactions among people, organizations, and cyber infrastructure. Workforce development concerns must also be addressed to meet the growing demand for workers who are highly skilled in information technology, requiring innovative IT applications in education and training. A related goal of SEW research and dissemination activities is to enable individuals and society to better understand and anticipate the uses and consequences of IT. To advance this aim, SEW actively seeks opportunities to help speed the transfer of R&D results to the policymaker, practitioner, and IT user communities in all sectors.

President's 2011 Request

Strategic Priorities Underlying This Request

Cyber-learning: Cyber-learning will be essential to continued improvement, revitalizing education, training, and workforce development at all educational levels. Research is needed in ways to: distribute learning across time and space; personalize and customize the learning process to individual traits, such as “visual thinker,” and individual states, such as “excited” or “bored”; transform the teaching of science, technology, and mathematics, using scientific data to drive simulations; develop and evaluate effective K-12, undergraduate, and graduate-level recruitment and retention strategies to increase the number of students pursuing academic careers in computing.

Broadening participation in computing: R&D to develop effective undergraduate and graduate-level recruitment and retention strategies to increase the number of students pursuing academic careers in computing, with emphasis on underrepresented groups, and to improve computing research and education for *all* students

Human-centered computing: R&D to develop new knowledge about the complex and increasingly coupled relationship between people and computing, including socio-technical and social computational systems, computer-supported collaboration, virtual environments, social and affective computing, and the implications of novel computing technologies for individuals, communities, and society

IT-enabled innovation ecology: Research on the creation of an innovation ecology for increasingly collaborative, interdisciplinary, and distributed research endeavors. This includes new science-based knowledge about building and supporting shared infrastructure, including computing power, distributed and/or shared instrumentation; acquiring, processing and curating research databases; simulation, visualization, and analysis software, networking tools, and the human elements; administrators, scientists, and engineers who are skilled in designing, building, using, and managing a shared collaborative infrastructure.

Computational thinking (CT) for everyone – Explorations of the cognitive and educational implications of thinking algorithmically and understanding the consequences of scale and the process of abstraction, especially considering how such thinking might be incorporated into the K-12 curriculum

Highlights of Request

Bioinformatics fellowships and training: University-based graduate and post-doctoral programs to expand the ranks of professionals trained in both IT and applications of IT in biomedical research and health care systems – NIH (NLM)

Cyber-enabled Discovery and Innovation (CDI): R&D addressing distributed knowledge environments that enhance discovery, learning, and innovation across boundaries – NSF

Virtual Organizations as Socio-technical Systems (VOSS): Scientific research to advance understanding of the nature of effective virtual organizations and how they can enable and enhance scientific, engineering, and education production and innovation – NSF

Creativity and IT: Advance interdisciplinary understanding of the relationships among IT, creativity, and innovation; develop computational models of cognition and approaches that encourage creativity in scientific research and education – NSF

Cyber Infrastructure Training, Education, Advancement, and Mentoring for our 21st Century Workforce (CI-TEAM): Prepare a workforce to exploit, enhance, and promote cyber-based tools and services and

encourage equitable diffusion of cyber infrastructure throughout the science and engineering research communities – NSF

CISE Education and Workforce Activities: Continue to support and refine activities such as the Broadening Participation in Computing (BPC) and CISE Pathways to Revitalized Undergraduate Computing Education (CPATH) programs to help create and sustain a U.S. workforce with the computing competencies and computational thinking skills imperative for the Nation’s health, security, and prosperity in the 21st century – NSF

Computational Science Graduate Fellowship Program: Graduate program to build the community of computational scientists through advanced training that includes a three-month practicum at the national laboratories – DOE/SC

Planning and Coordination Supporting Request

Strategic leadership for IT education: Multi-agency workshop to be led by SEW to explore possible programs to support America’s strategic leadership across the digital landscape by identifying vital IT education, training, and workforce goals – SEW and other Federal agencies

Collaboration: Encourage and support collaboration among government implementers of IT and demonstrate promising IT capabilities emerging from Federal research (e.g., through Collaborative Expedition Workshop series co-sponsored by SEW and the FASTER Community of Practice); continue to work with IWGs/CGs to host joint workshops focusing on high-priority NITRD interests and interagency R&D topics – SEW, NITRD agencies, and others

Additional 2010 and 2011 Activities by Agency

NSF: Continue investments in core research and education programs in human-centered computing; expand opportunities for cyber-learning research; broaden participation in computing by underrepresented minorities

DoD: Develop world-class science, technology, engineering, and mathematics capabilities for DoD and the Nation; inventory of DoD educational programs; complete DoD-wide STEM Strategic Plan and begin implementation phase including communications, marketing of programs and opportunities

Agency NITRD Budgets by Program Component Area

FY 2010 Budget Estimates
and

FY 2011 Budget Requests

(Dollars in Millions)

| Agency | | High End Computing Infrastructure & Applications (HEC I&A) | High End Computing Research & Development (HEC R&D) | Cyber Security & Information Assurance (CSIA) | Human-Computer Interaction & Information Management (HCI &IM) | Large Scale Networking (LSN) | Software Design & Productivity (SDP) | High Confidence Software & Systems (HCSS) | Social, Economic, & Workforce Implications of IT (SEW) | Total ¹ |
|---|---------------------|--|---|---|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| NIH ² | 2010 Estimate | 468.3 | 23.8 | 0.8 | 534.4 | 24.2 | 87.5 | 16.9 | 45.0 | 1,200.9 |
| | 2011 Request | 479.4 | 24.5 | 0.8 | 551.8 | 24.5 | 90.2 | 17.5 | 46.1 | 1,234.8 |
| NSF | | 310.9 | 98.5 | 71.4 | 280.7 | 107.2 | 57.6 | 73.1 | 91.2 | 1,090.5 |
| | | 317.8 | 92.8 | 85.2 | 310.4 | 113.6 | 73.9 | 83.3 | 93.1 | 1,170.1 |
| OSD and DoD Service research orgs. ³ | | 261.4 | 20.2 | 94.4 | 85.7 | 79.9 | 14.4 | 27.3 | | 583.2 |
| | | 240.1 | 20.1 | 66.2 | 75.7 | 72.5 | 15.0 | 26.5 | | 516.0 |
| DOE ⁴ | | 324.8 | 93.3 | 3.5 | | 54.8 | | | 6.0 | 482.4 |
| | | 357.0 | 87.8 | 3.5 | | 55.8 | | | 6.0 | 510.1 |
| DARPA | | | 115.3 | 143.5 | 184.6 | 106.4 | | 4.9 | | 554.7 |
| | | | 134.2 | 126.1 | 153.0 | 87.5 | | | | 500.8 |
| NIST | | 13.1 | 4.1 | 28.9 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 5.9 | | 77.4 |
| | | 13.1 | 4.6 | 37.2 | 12.8 | 6.9 | 9.4 | 8.4 | | 92.4 |
| NASA | | 57.2 | 0.8 | | 16.0 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 4.0 | | 82.0 |
| | | 59.2 | 1.0 | | 13.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 4.0 | | 81.7 |
| NSA | | | 118.4 | 29.0 | | 2.8 | | 5.6 | | 155.8 |
| | | | 31.1 | 30.0 | | 3.5 | | 7.6 | | 72.2 |
| AHRQ | | | | | 27.1 | 0.5 | | | | 27.6 |
| | | | | | 31.0 | 0.5 | | | | 31.5 |
| NOAA | | 23.4 | 0.2 | | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | | | 26.3 |
| | | 23.4 | 0.2 | | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 | | | 26.3 |
| DOE/NNSA | | 7.0 | 6.0 | | | | | | | 13.0 |
| | | 9.0 | 5.0 | | | | | | | 14.0 |
| EPA | | 3.3 | | | 3.0 | | | | | 6.3 |
| | | 3.3 | | | 3.0 | | | | | 6.3 |
| NARA | | | | | 4.5 | | | | | 4.5 |
| | | | | | 4.5 | | | | | 4.5 |
| TOTAL (2010 Estimate) ¹ | | 1,469.4 | 480.6 | 371.5 | 1,149.3 | 385.6 | 168.4 | 137.6 | 142.2 | 4,305 |
| TOTAL (2011 Request) ¹ | | 1,502.3 | 401.2 | 349.0 | 1,156.2 | 368.7 | 190.7 | 147.4 | 145.2 | 4,261 |

¹ Totals may not sum correctly due to rounding.

² At the request of Congress, NIH embarked on a process to provide better consistency and transparency in the reporting of its funded research. This new process, implemented through the Research, Condition, and Disease Categorization (RCDC) system, uses sophisticated text data mining (categorizing and clustering using words and multiword phrases) in conjunction with NIH-wide definitions used to match projects to categories. The definitions are a list of terms and concepts selected by NIH scientific experts to define a research category. Due to significant methodology changes, it is likely that annual totals for categories (year over year) will exhibit a noticeable one-time adjustment. The research category levels represent NIH's best estimates based on the category definitions.

³ The budget for OSD and the DoD service research organizations includes the High Performance Computing Modernization Program.

⁴ The DOE budget includes funding from DOE's Office of Science and Office of Nuclear Energy.

Agency NITRD American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Budgets⁵ by Program Component Area

FY 2009 ARRA Budget (Dollars in Millions)

| | | High End Computing Infrastructure & Applications | High End Computing Research & Development | Cyber Security & Information Assurance | Human-Computer Interaction & Information Management | Large Scale Networking | Software Design & Productivity | Social, Economic, & Workforce Implications of IT | High Confidence Software & Systems | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|---|--|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Agency | | (HEC I&A) | (HEC R&D) | (CSIA) | (HCI &IM) | (LSN) | (SDP) | (SEW) | (HCSS) | Total ⁶ |
| NIH | 2009 ARRA | 75.8 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 50.7 | 8.5 | 15.4 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 167.8 |
| NSF | | 58.5 | 39.8 | 30.9 | 88.0 | 53.2 | 18.1 | 25.6 | 33.1 | 347.2 |
| DOE | | 57.0 | 5.2 | | | 99.6 | | | | 161.8 |
| NIST | | | | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | | | | 1.5 |
| NASA | | 12.5 | 0.6 | | 1.0 | | 1.3 | | 2.6 | 18.0 |
| NOAA | | 26.3 | 130.7 | | | 8.0 | | | | 165.0 |
| TOTAL (2009 ARRA) ¹ | | 230.1 | 183.4 | 31.6 | 140.8 | 169.5 | 34.8 | 34.9 | 36.2 | 861 |

Under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009, signed into law by President Obama on February 17, 2009, six Federal agencies report allocations of \$861 million to investments in NITRD research areas (note that these figures are final). The Act includes measures to modernize the Nation's infrastructure, enhance energy independence, expand educational opportunities, preserve and improve affordable health care, provide tax relief, and protect those in greatest need. The NITRD agencies are using their ARRA funds to modernize, expand, and upgrade networking and high-end computing infrastructures and facilities for advanced scientific research; expand R&D in cyber security, human-computer interaction and information management, high-confidence software and systems, and software design; and increase investments in education and training for a diverse, highly skilled IT workforce.

⁵ Based on final allocations of Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (PL 111-5) appropriations.

⁶ Totals may not sum correctly due to rounding.

NITRD Program Budget Analysis

Fiscal Year Overview for 2010-2011

Differences between the President's Budget request for a given year and estimated spending for that year reflect revisions to program budgets due to evolving priorities, as well as Congressional actions and appropriations. In addition, the NITRD agencies have continued to work collectively on improving the PCA definitions, as reflected by changes in the definitions outlined in OMB Circular A-11, and individually on improving the classification of investments within the PCAs, resulting in changes in NITRD Program budgets.

2010 Summary

The 2010 NITRD budget estimate of \$4.305 billion is \$0.379 billion, approximately 9.65 percent, more than the \$3.926 billion 2010 President's budget request. The overall change is due to both decreases and increases in individual agency NITRD budgets, which are described below.

2011 Summary

The President's 2011 budget request for the NITRD Program is \$4.261 billion, a decrease of \$0.044 billion, approximately 1.02 percent, from the 2010 estimate. The overall change is due to both decreases and increases in individual agency NITRD budgets, which are described below.

NITRD Program Budget Analysis by Agency

This section describes changes greater than \$10 million either between 2010 requested funding and 2010 estimated spending or between 2010 estimated spending and 2011 requests. Smaller changes are discussed only if they represent shifts in funding focus. Budget numbers in these descriptions are rounded from initial agency numbers with three decimals to the nearest whole number.

NIH

Comparison of 2010 request (\$950 million) and 2010 estimate (\$1,201 million): The \$251 million increase is due to increases in HEC I&A (\$44 million), HCI&IM (\$282 million), SDP (\$53 million), and SEW (\$32 million), partially offset by decreases in HEC R&D (\$44 million), LSN (\$38 million), and HCSS (\$78 million). These changes are part of an ongoing realignment at NIH under a new budget reporting process across the centers and institutes.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$1,201 million) and 2011 request (\$1,235 million): The \$34 million increase is due to increases in HEC I&A (\$11 million) and HCI&IM (\$17 million) combined with small increases in other PCAs. Some of these changes are also part of the ongoing realignment at NIH.

NSF

Comparison of 2010 request (\$1,111 million) and 2010 estimate (\$1,091 million): The decrease of \$20 million is primarily due to a reduction in appropriated NSF funding from the 2010 request level, which resulted in decreases in HEC I&A, HEC R&D, HCI&IM, LSN, SDP, SEW, and HCSS, partially offset by a \$4 million increase in CSIA.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$1,091 million) and 2011 request (\$1,170 million): The increase of \$79 million includes \$14 million in CSIA for ongoing cyber research centers and the Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative, which includes research in usability, theoretical foundations, and privacy; \$30 million in HCI&IM to support the study of new modalities of learning enabled by current, nascent, and future computing technologies under the agency's new CTE program, and increased support for a national framework and new tools for preservation, access to, and use of digital data; \$16 million in SDP to address the need for increasingly complex software systems as well as research on the software advances needed to meet the energy requirements inherent in computation and communication; \$10 million in HCSS for increases including activities in the CPS program, particularly to fulfill the significant role computing will play in assuring U.S. leadership in advanced manufacturing; and smaller increases in HEC I&A, LSN, and SEW, partially offset by a small decrease in HEC R&D.

OSD and DoD Service Research Organizations

Comparison of 2010 request (\$452 million) and 2010 estimate (\$583 million): The \$131 million increase is primarily due to increases in HEC I&A (\$24 million), HEC R&D (\$17 million), CSIA (\$24 million), and HCI&IM (\$59 million), resulting from planned program changes, and smaller increases in other PCAs.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$583 million) and 2011 request (\$516 million): The \$67 million decrease is primarily due to decreases in HEC I&A (\$21 million), CSIA (\$28 million), and HCI&IM (\$10 million), resulting from planned program changes, and smaller decreases in other PCAs.

DOE

Comparison of 2010 request (\$468 million) and 2010 estimate (\$482 million): The \$14 million increase results primarily from an increase of \$26 million in DOE/NE funding in HEC I&A and small increases in DOE/SC funding in HEC R&D and CSIA, partially offset by a \$14 million decrease in DOE/SC funding in HEC I&A from a one-time reduction in the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility to accommodate part of the reduction to the ASCR budget in the FY 2010 appropriation.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$482 million) and 2011 request (\$510 million): The \$28 million increase results primarily from a \$36 million increase in DOE/SC funding in HEC I&A for planned increases in lease payments at the Leadership Computing Facilities and preparation for the upgrade at the Argonne Leadership Computing Facility, partially offset by a decrease in HEC R&D.

DARPA

Comparison of 2010 request (\$588 million) and 2010 estimate (\$555 million): The \$33 million decrease results from a decrease of \$30 million in HEC R&D, reflecting the initial transition of the HPCS and producible software programs and removal of the semiconductor focus effort from the crosscut, and a small decrease in HCI&IM.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$555 million) and 2011 request (\$501 million): The \$54 million decrease is largely due to decreases of \$17 million in CSIA, reflecting completion of the initial research activity and transition of the NCR under the Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative; \$32 million in HCI&IM, reflecting completion and initial transition of language and machine learning programs (e.g., GALE, TRANSTAC, PAL); and \$19 million in LSN, reflecting initial transition of cognitive systems and integrated systems technologies (e.g., cognitive networking, optical and RF, and wireless networking), partially offset by a \$19 million increase in HEC R&D for extreme computing technologies.

NIST

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$77 million) and 2011 request (\$92 million): The \$15 million increase is due to increases in HEC R&D; CSIA, for work on the Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative; LSN; SDP, for efforts on a Nationwide Healthcare Information Infrastructure Initiative and a proposed Interoperability Standards Initiative; and HCSS.

NSA

Comparison of 2010 request (\$102 million) and 2010 estimate (\$156 million): The \$54 million increase largely results from a \$58 million increase in HEC R&D due to Congressional add-ons, partially offset by decreases in other PCAs.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$156 million) and 2011 request (\$72 million): The \$84 million decrease is largely due to non-sustainment of 2010 Congressional add-ons and the completion of the DARPA HPCS program.

AHRQ

Comparison of 2010 request (\$45 million) and 2010 estimate (\$28 million): The \$17 million decrease results from a reduction of funding in the Health Information Technology program reported under HCI&IM.

NITRD Program Budget Summary by PCA

Using the information presented above, this section provides an analysis of the NITRD Program budget by PCA, summarizing the more substantial differences between 2010 requested funding and 2010 estimated spending and between 2010 estimated spending and 2011 requests. The changes are described below.

HEC I&A

Comparison of 2010 request (\$1,396 million) and 2010 estimate (\$1,469 million): The \$73 million increase is largely due to increases of \$44 million at NIH, \$24 million at OSD and DoD Service research organizations, and \$26 million at DOE/NE, partially offset by a decrease of \$14 million at DOE/SC and smaller decreases at other agencies.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$1,469 million) and 2011 request (\$1,502 million): The \$33 million increase is largely due to increases of \$11 million at NIH and \$36 million at DOE/SC, partially offset by a \$21 million decrease at OSD and DoD Service research organizations, with smaller increases and decreases at other agencies.

HEC R&D

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$481 million) and 2011 request (\$401 million): The \$80 million decrease is largely due to a decrease of \$87 million at NSA and smaller decreases at other agencies, partially offset by an increase of \$19 million at DARPA.

CSIA

Comparison of 2010 request (\$343 million) and 2010 estimate (\$372 million): The \$29 million increase is largely due to an increase of \$24 million at OSD and DoD Service research organizations and smaller increases at other agencies.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$372 million) and 2011 request (\$349 million): The \$23 million decrease is largely due to decreases of \$28 million at OSD and DoD Service research organizations, \$17 million at DARPA, and smaller decreases at other agencies, partially offset by a \$14 million increase at NSF.

HCI&IM

Comparison of 2010 request (\$823 million) and 2010 estimate (\$1,149 million): The \$326 million increase is largely due to increases of \$282 million at NIH and \$59 million at OSD and DoD Service research organizations, partially offset by a decrease of \$17 million at AHRQ.

LSN

Comparison of 2010 request (\$422 million) and 2010 estimate (\$386 million): The \$36 million decrease is largely due to a decrease of \$38 million at NIH, with smaller decreases and increases at other agencies.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$386 million) and 2011 request (\$369 million): The \$17 million decrease is largely due to a decrease of \$19 million at DARPA, with smaller decreases and increases at other agencies.

SDP

Comparison of 2010 request (\$119 million) and 2010 estimate (\$168 million): The \$49 million increase is largely due to an increase of \$53 million at NIH, with smaller increases and decreases at other agencies.

Comparison of 2010 estimate (\$168 million) and 2011 request (\$191 million): The \$23 million increase is largely due to an increase of \$16 million at NSF and smaller increases at other agencies.

HCSS

Comparison of 2010 request (\$212 million) and 2010 estimate (\$138 million): The \$74 million decrease is largely due to a decrease of \$78 million at NIH, with smaller increases and decreases at other agencies.

SEW

Comparison of 2010 request (\$125 million) and 2010 estimate (\$142 million): The \$17 million increase is largely due to an increase of \$32 million at NIH, partially offset by smaller decreases at other agencies.

**National Science and Technology Council
Committee on Technology**

Co-Chairs

Aneesh Chopra, Associate Director and Chief Technology Officer, OSTP
Vivek Kundra, Federal Chief Information Officer, OMB

Subcommittee on Networking and Information Technology Research and Development

Co-Chairs

George O. Strawn, NCO
Jeannette M. Wing, NSF

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| NIH <i>Representative</i> Karin A. Remington <i>Alternates</i> Michael J. Ackerman Karen Skinner | DOE/SC <i>Representative</i> Michael Strayer <i>Alternate</i> Daniel A. Hitchcock | NASA <i>Representative</i> Bryan A. Biegel <i>Alternate</i> James R. Fischer | NOAA <i>Representative</i> David Michaud <i>Alternate</i> Michael Kane | OMB <i>Representative</i> Joel R. Parriott |
| NSF <i>Representatives</i> José L. Muñoz Jeannette M. Wing <i>Alternate</i> Deborah L. Crawford | DARPA <i>Representative</i> Regina Dugan <i>Alternate</i> Charles Holland | NSA <i>Representative</i> Charles Brown <i>Alternate</i> Candace S. Culhane | DOE/NNSA <i>Representative</i> Robert Meisner <i>Alternate</i> Thuc T. Hoang | OSTP <i>Representative</i> Chris Greer |
| OSD and DoD Service Research Orgs. <i>Representative</i> Cynthia Dion-Schwarz <i>Alternates</i> Cray Henry Dai H. Kim | NIST <i>Representative</i> Cita M. Furlani <i>Alternate</i> Kamie Roberts | AHRQ <i>Representative</i> J. Michael Fitzmaurice | EPA <i>Representative</i> Gary L. Walter | NCO <i>Representative</i> George O. Strawn <i>Alternate</i> Ernest L. McDuffie |
| | | | NARA <i>Representative</i> Robert Chaddock | |

Interagency Working Groups, Coordinating Groups, and Team Chairs

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| High End Computing (HEC) Interagency Working Group <i>Chair</i> Rob Pennington, NSF <i>Vice-Chair</i> Cray J. Henry, OSD | Large Scale Networking (LSN) Coordinating Group <i>Co-Chairs</i> Daniel A. Hitchcock, DOE/SC Taieb Znati, NSF | Software Design and Productivity (SDP) Coordinating Group <i>Co-Chairs</i> Simon P. Frechette, NIST Sol Greenspan, NSF James Kirby, NRL |
| Cyber Security and Information Assurance (CSIA) Interagency Working Group <i>Co-Chairs</i> Douglas Maughan, DHS William D. Newhouse, DoD | LSN Teams: Joint Engineering Team (JET) <i>Chair</i> Vince Dattoria, DOE/SC | High Confidence Software and Systems (HCSS) Coordinating Group <i>Co-Chairs</i> Helen D. Gill, NSF William Bradley Martin, NSA Albert J. Wavering, NIST |
| Human-Computer Interaction and Information Management (HCI&IM) Coordinating Group <i>Co-Chairs</i> Leslie Collica, NIST Sylvia Spengler, NSF | Middleware and Grid Infrastructure Coordination (MAGIC) Team <i>Co-Chairs</i> Jennifer Schopf, NSF Susan B. Turnbull, DOE/SC | Social, Economic, and Workforce Implications of IT and IT Workforce Development (SEW) Coordinating Group <i>Co-Chairs</i> C. Suzanne Iacono, NSF Laura Adolfie, DoD |

Participation in the NITRD Program

The following goals and criteria developed by the NITRD Program are intended to enable agencies considering participation to assess whether their research and development activities fit the NITRD framework.

NITRD Goals

- Provide research and development foundations for assuring continued U.S. technological leadership in advanced networking, computing systems, software, and associated information technologies
- Provide research and development foundations for meeting the needs of the Federal government for advanced networking, computing systems, software, and associated information technologies
- Accelerate development and deployment of these technologies in order to maintain world leadership in science and engineering; enhance national defense and national and homeland security; improve U.S. productivity and competitiveness and promote long-term economic growth; improve the health of the U.S. citizenry; protect the environment; improve education, training, and lifelong learning; and improve the quality of life.

Evaluation Criteria for Participation

Relevance of Contribution

The research must significantly contribute to the overall goals of the NITRD Program and to the goals of one or more of the Program's eight Program Component Areas (PCAs) – High End Computing Infrastructure and Applications (HEC I&A), High End Computing Research and Development (HEC R&D), Cyber Security and Information Assurance (CSIA), Human-Computer Interaction and Information Management (HCI&IM), Large Scale Networking (LSN), High Confidence Software and Systems (HCSS), Social, Economic, and Workforce Implications of Information Technology (IT) and IT Workforce Development (SEW), and Software Design and Productivity (SDP) – in order to enable the solution of applications and problems that address agency mission needs and that place significant demands on the technologies being developed by the Program.

Technical/Scientific Merit

The proposed agency program must be technically and/or scientifically sound, of high quality, and the product of a documented technical and/or scientific planning and review process.

Readiness

A clear agency planning process must be evident, and the organization must have demonstrated capability to carry out the program.

Timeliness

The proposed work must be technically and/or scientifically timely for one or more of the PCAs.

Linkages

The responsible organization must have established policies, programs, and activities promoting effective technical and scientific connections among government, industry, and academic sectors.

Costs

The identified resources must be adequate to conduct the proposed work, promote prospects for coordinated or joint funding, and address long-term resource implications.

Agency Approval

The proposed program or activity must have policy-level approval by the submitting agency.

Glossary

- ACCURATE** - NSF-funded A Center for Correct, Usable, Reliable, Auditable, and Transparent Elections
- ACM SIGSOFT/FSE** - Association of Computing Machinery's Special Interest Group on Software Engineering/ Foundations of Software Engineering conference
- AFOSR** - Air Force Office of Scientific Research
- AFRL** - Air Force Research Laboratory
- AHRQ** - HHS's Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
- ANL** - DOE's Argonne National Laboratory
- ANR** - Agence Nationale de la Recherche
- APP** - IARPA's Automatic Privacy Protection effort
- ARPA-E** - DOE's Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy
- ARRA** - American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-5)
- ARL** - Army Research Laboratory
- ARM** - DARPA's Autonomous Robot Manipulation program
- ARO** - Army Research Office
- ARSC** - Arctic Region Supercomputing Center
- ASC** - DOE/NNSA's Advanced Simulation and Computing program
- ASSIST** - DARPA's Advanced Soldier Sensor Information System and Technology activity
- BIRN** - NIH's Biomedical Informatics Research Network
- BISTI** - NIH's Biomedical Information Science and Technology Initiative
- BlueGene** - A vendor supercomputing project dedicated to building a new family of supercomputers
- BlueGene/P** - The next generation in the BlueGene line after BlueGene/L
- BlueGene/Q** - Latest-generation BlueGene architecture
- BPC** - NSF's Broadening Participation in Computing program
- C3I** - Communications, Command, Control, and Intelligence
- CaBIG** - NIH's cancer Biomedical Informatics Grid
- CAIDA** - Cooperative Association for Internet Data Analysis
- CCIED** - NSF-supported Collaborative Center for Internet Epidemiology and Defenses
- CDI** - NSF's Cyber-enabled Discovery and Innovation program
- CEA** - Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique
- CEDPS** - DOE/SC's Center for Enabling Distributed Petascale Science
- CENIC** - Corporation for Network Initiatives in California
- CERDEC** - U.S. Army's Communications-Electronics Research, Development, and Engineering Center
- CG** - Coordinating Group
- CISE** - NSF's Computer and Information Science and Engineering directorate
- CIT** - NIH's Center for Information Technology
- CI-TEAM** - NSF's Cyber Infrastructure Training, Education, Advancement, and Mentoring for our 21st Century Workforce activity
- CLENS** - DARPA's Camouflaged Long Endurance Nano Sensor activity
- CMIS** - DISA's Corporate Management Information System
- CMS** - HHS's Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
- CNRS** - Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique
- COMPETES** - Creating Opportunities to Meaningfully Promote Excellence in Technology, Education, and Science
- COTS** - Commercial off the shelf
- CPATH** - NSF's CISE Pathways to Revitalized Undergraduate Computing Education program
- CPS** - Cyber-physical system(s)
- CREATE-AV** - OSD's Computational Research and Engineering Acquisition Tools and Environments program for Air Vehicles
- CT** - Computational thinking
- CTE** - NSF's Cyberlearning Transforming Education program
- CSIA** - Cyber Security and Information Assurance, one of NITRD's eight Program Component Areas
- CVRG** - NIH's CardioVascular Research Grid
- DARPA** - Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
- DDoS** - Distributed denial of service
- DETER** - NSF- and DHS-initiated cyber DEFense Technology Experimental Research network
- DFDL** - Data Format Description Language
- DHS** - Department of Homeland Security
- DIMRC** - NIH's Disaster Information Management Research Center
- DISA** - Defense Information Systems Agency
- DNSSEC** - Domain Name System Security protocol
- DoD** - Department of Defense
- DOE** - Department of Energy
- DOE/NNSA** - DOE/National Nuclear Security Administration
- DOE/OE** - DOE's Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability
- DOE/SC** - DOE's Office of Science
- DOJ** - Department of Justice
- DREN** - DoD's Defense Research and Engineering Network
- DSWAP** - DHS Secure Wireless Access Pilot
- EDUCASUE** - Nonprofit organization promoting advancement of IT in higher education
- ENG** - NSF's Engineering directorate
- EPA** - Environmental Protection Agency
- ESG** - Earth System Grid
- ESMF** - Earth System Modeling Framework
- ESSC** - DOE/SC's Energy Sciences network (ESnet) Steering Committee
- FAA** - Federal Aviation Administration
- FASTER** - NITRD's Faster Administration of Science and Technology Education and Research Community of Practice
- FAST-OS** - Forum to Address Scalable Technology for runtime and Operating Systems
- FBI** - Federal Bureau of Investigation
- FCSSI** - Flight Critical Systems Software Initiative
- FDA** - Food and Drug Administration
- FHWA** - Federal Highway Administration
- FIND** - NSF's Future Internet Network Design program
- FISMA** - Federal Information Security Management Act
- FIU** - Florida International University
- FY** - Fiscal Year
- G** - Gigabit
- GEOSS** - Global Earth Observation System of Systems, a cooperative effort of 34 nations, including the U.S., and 25 international organizations to develop a comprehensive, coordinated, and sustained Earth observation system
- GSA** - General Services Administration
- HCI&IM** - Human-Computer Interaction and Information Management, one of NITRD's eight Program Component Areas
- HCSS** - High Confidence Software and Systems, one of NITRD's eight Program Component Areas
- HEC** - High-end computing
- HEC I&A** - HEC Infrastructure and Applications, one of NITRD's eight Program Component Areas

HEC R&D - HEC Research and Development, one of NITRD's eight Program Component Areas

HEC-URA - HEC University Research Activity, jointly funded by multiple NITRD agencies

HHS - Department of Health and Human Services

HOST - Homeland Open Security Technology

HPC - High-performance computing

HPCMP - OSD's High Performance Computing Modernization Program

HPCS - DARPA's High-Productivity Computing Systems program

I/O - Input/output

IA/CS - Information Assurance/Cyber Security

IARPA - Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity

IATAC - DoD's Information Assurance Technology Analysis Center

ICS - Industrial control systems

IESP - International Exascale Software Program

IETF - Internet Engineering Task Force

IHEC - NSA's Integrated High End Computing program

IM - Information management

INCITE - DOE/SC's Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment program

INFOSEC - Information security

Internet2 - Higher-education consortium for advanced networking and applications deployment in academic institutions

IPsec - IP security protocol

IPv6 - Internet Protocol, version 6

ISAP - Multiagency Information Security Automation Program

ISI - Information Sciences Institute

IT - Information technology

IU - Indiana University

IWG - Interagency Working Group

JET - LSN's Joint Engineering Team

JETnets - Federal research networks supporting networking researchers and advanced applications development

K-12 - Kindergarten through 12th grade

LANdroids - DARPA networking R&D program

LANL - DOE's Los Alamos National Laboratory

LBNL - DOE's Lawrence-Berkeley National Laboratory

LCF - DOE's Leadership Computing Facility

LHC - Large Hadron Collider

LLNL - DOE's Lawrence-Livermore National Laboratory

LSN - Large Scale Networking, one of NITRD's eight Program Component Areas

MAGIC - LSN's Middleware and Grid Infrastructure Coordination team

MANET - Mobile ad hoc network

MAX - Mid-Atlantic eXchange

MCNC - Microelectronics Center of North Carolina

MDAO - multidisciplinary analysis optimization

MIDAS - NIH's Modeling of Infectious Disease Agents Study

MR - DARPA's Machine Reading program

NARA - National Archives and Records Administration

NASA - National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NCAR - NSF-supported National Center for Atmospheric Research

NCBC - NIH's National Centers for Biomedical Computing

NCDI - National Cyber Defense Initiative

NCLY - National Cyber Leap Year

NCO - National Coordination Office for NITRD

NCR - DARPA's National Cyber Range program

NERSC - DOE/SC's National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center

NetSE - NSF's Network Science and Engineering program

NextGen - Next Generation Air Transportation System

NIH - National Institutes of Health

NIJ - DOJ's National Institute for Justice

NIST - National Institute of Standards and Technology

NITRD - Networking and Information Technology Research and Development

NLANR - NSF-supported National Laboratory for Applied Network Research

NLM - NIH's National Library of Medicine

NOAA - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NRC - Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NRL - Naval Research Laboratory

NSA - National Security Agency

NSF - National Science Foundation

NSTC - National Science and Technology Council

NTIA - National Telecommunications and Information Administration

NTSB - National Transportation Safety Board

OMB - White House Office of Management and Budget

ONC - HHS's Office of the National Coordinator for Health IT

ONR - Office of Naval Research

ORCA - Online Representations and Certifications Application

ORNL - DOE's Oak Ridge National Laboratory

OS - Operating system

OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense

OSG - Open Science Grid

OSCMIS - DISA's Open Source Corporate Management Information System

OSTP - White House Office of Science and Technology Policy

PCA - Program Component Area

PCAST - President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology

perfSONAR - performance Services-Oriented Network ARchitecture

PF - Petaflop(s), a thousand teraflops

PI - Principal investigator

PNNL - DOE's Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

PREDICT - DHS's Protected Repository for the Defense of Infrastructure Against Cyber Threats

PSC - NSF-supported Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center

QKD - Quantum key distribution

R&D - Research and development

R&E - Research and evaluation

RDT&E - DoD's Research Development Test &Evaluation programs

RFI - Request for Input

S&T - Science and technology

SAFE - NSF-supported Situational Awareness for Everyone center

SAPIENT - DARPA's Situation-Aware Protocols In Edge Network Technologies program

SATE - NIST's Software Analysis Tool Exposition

SBIR - Small Business Innovation Research

SCADA - Supervisory control and data acquisition

SCAP - Security Content Automation Protocol

SciDAC - DOE/SC's Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing program

SDP - Software Design and Productivity, one of NITRD's eight Program Component Areas

SEBML - NSF's Science and Engineering Beyond Moore's Law program

SEES - NSF's Science Educational Enhancement Services program

SEW - Social, Economic, and Workforce Implications of IT and IT Workforce Development, one of NITRD's eight Program Component Areas

SISPI - DoD's Software-Intensive Systems Producibility Initiative

SoCS - NSF's Socio-Computational Systems program

SOLEIL - Name of French national synchrotron research facility

SP/IA - DoD's Software Protection/Information Assurance effort

SPRI - Secure Protocols for the Routing Infrastructure

SSG - NITRD's Senior Steering Group for Cyber Security R&D

StarLight - NSF-supported international optical network peering point in Chicago

State - Department of State

STEM - Science, technology, engineering, and mathematics

STONESOUP - IARPA's Security Taking on New Executable Software of Uncertain Provenance activity

TACC - Texas Advanced Computing Center

TCIP - NSF-supported Trustworthy Cyber Infrastructure for the Power Grid

TeraGrid - NSF's terascale computing grid

TF - Teraflop(s), a trillion floating point operations (per second)

TIC - Trusted Internet Connection

Treasury - Department of the Treasury

TRUST - NSF's Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology

TwC - NSF's Trustworthy Computing program

UAV - Unmanned aerial vehicle

UCAR - University Corporation for Atmospheric Research

UIC - University of Illinois at Chicago

UIUC - University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

UMd - University of Maryland

UNC - University of North Carolina

USAF - United States Air Force

USDA - U.S. Department of Agriculture

USGS - U.S. Geological Survey

USSS - United States Secret Service

UTK - University of Tennessee-Knoxville

UU - University of Utah

UW - University of Washington

UWisc - University of Wisconsin

V&V - Verification and validation

VOSS - NSF's Virtual Organizations as Sociotechnical Systems program

VPN - Virtual private network

VSTTE - Verified software, theories, tools, and experiments

WAIL - NSF's Wisconsin Advanced Internet Laboratory

WAN - Wide area network

XD - NSF's eXtreme Digital program

XD-Viz - Visualization component of NSF's eXtreme Digital Resources for Science and Engineering program

XT5 - HEC system at DOE/SC's National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center

YESS - French and American Young Engineering Scientists Symposium

**National Coordination Office (NCO) for
Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NITRD)**

George O. Strawn, Ph.D.
Director

Ernest L. McDuffie, Ph.D.
Associate Director

Suite II-405
4201 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, Virginia 22230
(703) 292-4873
FAX: (703) 292-9097
nco@nitrd.gov

Martha K. Matzke
Editor, FY 2011
NITRD Budget Supplement

Web Site
www.nitrd.gov

Acknowledgements

The information provided in the FY 2011 Supplement was contributed and reviewed by many Federal agency representatives involved in NITRD Program activities, with the support of NCO technical and administrative staff. Sincerest thanks and appreciation to all.

Contributors

Michael J. Ackerman, NIH
Nabil Adam, DHS
Bryan A. Biegel, NASA
Sushil Birla, NRC
Paul E. Black, NIST
Robert B. Bohn, NCO
Raymond A. Bortner, AFRL
Lawrence Brandt, NCO
Nekeia Butler, NCO
Robert Chadduck, NARA
Leslie Collica, NIST
Eric Cooper, NASA
Deborah L. Crawford, NSF
Candace S. Culhane, NSA
Vince Dattoria, DOE/SC
Warren Debany Jr., AFRL
Arlene de Strulle, NSF
Michael S. Feary, NASA
David Ferraiolo, NIST
J. Michael Fitzmaurice, AHRQ
Dr. Valerie Florence, NIH
Simon Frechette, NIST
Kenneth Freeman, NASA
Cita M. Furlani, NIST
Helen Gill, NSF
Robert Gold, OSD
Nada Golmie, NIST
Sol Greenspan, NSF
Le Gruenwald, NSF

Cray J. Henry, HPCMP
Daniel A. Hitchcock, DOE/SC
David Homan, AFRL
Thuc T. Hoang, DOE/NNSA
Charles Holland, DARPA
C. Suzanne Iacono, NSF
Jerry Janssen, NOAA
Raoul Jetley, FDA
Kevin L. Jones, NASA
Paul Jones, FDA
Michael Kane, NOAA
Frankie D. King (formerly NCO)
Steven King, OSD
Rita Koch, NSF
Kunik Lee, FHWA
Sander Lee, DOE/NNSA
Michael Lowry, NASA
Ernest Lucier, NCO
David Luginbuhl, AFOSR
Peter Lyster, NIH
William Bradley Martin, NSA
Douglas Maughan, DHS
Ernest L. McDuffie, NCO
Robert Meisner, DOE/NNSA
Grant Miller, NCO
Nelson Miller, FAA
Paul Miner, NASA
Virginia Moore, NCO
José L. Muñoz, NSF
Thomas Ndousse, DOE/SC

Richard Nelson, DISA
William D. Newhouse, DoD
Joan Peckham, NSF
Rama Ramapriyan, NASA
Karin A. Remington, NIH
Kamie Roberts, NIST
Jennifer Schopf, NSF
William J. Semancik, NSA
Darren L. Smith, NOAA
Sylvia Spengler, NSF
Michael Strayer, DOE/SC
Robert Souder, NSA
Joan Stanley, NCO
David Su, NIST
Harriet Taylor, NSF
Judith D. Terrill, NIST
Diane R. Theiss, NCO
Susan B. Turnbull, DOE/SC
Tomas Vagoun, NCO
Ralph Wachter, ONR
Grant Wagner, NSA
Gary L. Walter, EPA
Al Wavering, NIST
Sam Weber, NSF
Walt Williams, DoD
Jeannette M. Wing, NSF
Susan Winter, NSF
Ty Znati, NSF
Lenore D. Zuck, NSF

National Coordination Office for Networking and Information Technology Research and Development

The annual NITRD Supplement to the President's Budget is prepared and published by the National Coordination Office for Networking and Information Technology Research and Development (NCO/NITRD). The NCO/NITRD supports overall planning, budget, and assessment activities for the multiagency NITRD enterprise under the auspices of the NITRD Subcommittee of the National Science and Technology Council's Committee on Technology.

Copyright Information

This is a work of the U.S. Government and is in the public domain. It may be freely distributed, copied, and translated; acknowledgement of publication by the National Coordination Office for Networking and Information Technology Research and Development is appreciated. Any translation should include a disclaimer that the accuracy of the translation is the responsibility of the translator and not the NCO/NITRD. It is requested that a copy of any translation be sent to the NCO/NITRD.

To Request Additional Copies

To request additional copies of this Supplement to the President's FY 2011 Budget or other NITRD Program publications, please contact: NCO/NITRD, Suite II-405, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230; (703) 292-4873; fax: (703) 292-9097; e-mail: nco@nitrd.gov. Electronic versions of NITRD documents are also available on the NCO Web site: <http://www.nitrd.gov>.

Buy American Report

Congress requires information concerning non-U.S. high-performance computing and communications funding activities. In FY 2010, no NITRD agency entered into grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, or cooperative research and development agreements for information technology research and development with either 1) a company other than a company that is either incorporated or located in the U.S. and that has majority ownership by individuals who are citizens of the U.S., or 2) an educational institution or nonprofit institution located outside the U.S. In FY 2010, no NITRD procurement exceeds \$1 million for unmanufactured articles, materials, or supplies mined or produced outside the U.S., or for manufactured articles, materials, or supplies other than those manufactured in the U.S. substantially all from articles, materials, or supplies mined, produced, or manufactured in the U.S.

Cover design and printing: The cover was designed by NSF Scientific Designer/Illustrator James J. Caras and printing was overseen by Electronic Publishing Specialist Kelly DuBose, both of the Information Dissemination Branch of NSF's Office of Information and Resource Management.



National Science and Technology Council

**Subcommittee on Networking and
Information Technology Research and Development**

Suite II - 405, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, Virginia 22230

(703) 292-4873

<http://www.nitrd.gov>