



3D-Quantitative Phase Analysis & Strain Mapping of Nanostructured Coatings by Synchrotron Energy Dispersive X-ray Diffraction: *A New Approach to Materials Characterization*

**E. K. Akdoğan^{ψ,π}, L. Balarinni ^ψ, M. McNeley^ψ, A. Ignatov ^{ψ,ξ}, N. Ahmedi ^ψ,
Z. Zhong^β, M. Croft^ξ, T. Tsakalakos ^ψ**

^ψ Dept. of Materials Science and Eng'g, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854

^β National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven Nat'l Lab., Upton, NY 11973

^ξ Department of Physics, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854

**Engineering Conferences International
Sub-Micron & Nanostructured Ceramics
Colorado Springs
June 7-12, 2009
Colorado Springs, Colorado, US**

^π**E-mail:** eka@rci.rutgers.edu

NSMG



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2010		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 3D-Quantitative Phase Analysis & Strain Mapping of Nanostructured Coatings by Synchrotron Energy Dispersive X-ray Diffraction: A New Approach to Materials Characterization				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Dept. of Materials Science and Engg, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM002307. ECI International Conference on Sub-Micron and Nanostructured Ceramics Held in Colorado Springs, Colorado on 7-12 June 2009, The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 28	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



The Nano-Structured Materials Quartet @Rutgers



Act I:

3D Quantitative Phase Analysis and Strain Mapping of Nano-structured Coatings by Synchrotron EDXRD (*E. Koray Akdoğan*)....**This presentation**



Act II:

An Eigenstrain Analysis of Mechanical Properties in Nano-structured Ceramic Coatings By Synchrotron Probe (*Thomas Tsakalakos*)....**Today 10:55**



Act III:

Microscopic Strain Mapping in Nano-structured and Micro-structured Alumina Titania Under 4-Point Compressive and Tensile Bending (*Alex Ignatov*)....**Wednesday 10:55**



Interlude: (*The Nano-Structured Materials Choir*)

Luigi Balarinni, Nazia Ahmedi, Michael McNeley, Julie Gimenez
(4 Poster Presentations).....**Thursday 16:00**



Act IV:

Chemical Phase And Valence Studies of Plasma Sprayed Coating: X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy Results (*Mark Croft*)....**Friday 8:15**





Outline

- **Introduction**

- Thermal Spray Coating: Fundamental Considerations

- Rutgers-NSMG EDXRD Set-up at NSLS X17-B1

- Comparison of Diffraction Geometries

- Evolution of Nanostructured Materials Analysis by EDXRD

- **Simultaneous 3D Strain and Phase Mapping In TiO_2 Thermal Spray Coatings**

- 3D Phase Mapping of TiO_2 Coating

- EDXRD Quantitative Phase Analysis Results:

- Lattice Parameters & Cell Volume of Rutile & Anatase in

- Precursor Powder & TSC

- Comparison with X-ray Absorption Data

- Variation of strain in the coating with depth

- **3D Phase Mapping In Thermal Spray Coatings “Interfacial Phenomena”**


- **Simultaneous 3D Strain and Phase Mapping In (Semi)-Amorphous Fe Thermal Spray Coatings By Combined Bragg-Brentano & EDXRD (Time permitting)**

- **Concluding Remarks**





Thermal Spraying of Coatings: A Brief Overview



Main Characteristics

- ✦ Essentially a nonequilibrium processing method as it involves superheating enabling supersolubility whenever applicable.
- ✦ Able to form thermodynamically metastable but kinetically stabilized materials (single or multiphase)

Characteristic Phenomena in Deposited Layers


- ✦ Compositional fluctuations: partial melting, differential solidification, rapid solidification (coring)
- ✦ Residual stresses (Thermal expansion, rapid solid'n)
- ✦ Phase decomposition, oxidation, polymorphic transitions, strain-driven (stress-induced) transitions
- ✦ Porosity & Microcracking





Rutgers-NSMG EDXRD Set-up at NSLS X17-B1

(M. Croft, V. Shukla, E. K. Akdoğan, N. Jisrawi, Z. Zhong, R. Sadangi, A. Ignatov, L. Balarinni, K. Horvath, T. Tsakalakos)
J. Appl. Phys. 105, 093505 (2009)



- ✓ Beamline X17-B1 at NSLS in BNL.
- ✓ White radiation up to 200 keV
- ✓ Fixed 2θ (4-12°; typically 4°)

High energy diffraction with a fixed gauge volume in space (determined by fixing 2θ)

**EDXRD
à la
Rutgers-NSMG**


NSMG





Comparison of Diffraction Geometries

Bragg-Brentano Geometry



$$(|\vec{k}_2 - \vec{k}_1|) = \lambda |\vec{r}_{hkl}^*| \quad \& \quad |\vec{r}_{hkl}^*| = \frac{1}{|\vec{d}_{hkl}^*|}$$


$$|\vec{r}_{hkl}^*| = 2 \sin \theta \rightarrow \text{What's measured!}$$



- ✓ Surface & sub-surface limited
- ✓ Degrees of freedom limited to 2D
- ✓ Higher line resolution (diffraction)
- ✓ Essentially a reflection method

NSMG

Rutgers-NSMG @ NSLS X17-B1 Geometry



$$(|\vec{k}_2 - \vec{k}_1|) = \lambda |\vec{r}_{hkl}^*| \quad \& \quad |\vec{r}_{hkl}^*| = |\vec{d}_{hkl}^*|^{-1} \quad \& \quad E = (hc) \lambda^{-1}$$

$$|\vec{r}_{hkl}^*| = [(hc)^{-1} \sin \theta] E_{hkl} \rightarrow \text{What's measured!}$$




- ✓ Bulk Analysis capability limited by attenuation
- ✓ Degrees of freedom is 3(D)
- ✓ Lower line resolution (diffraction)
- ✓ Essentially a transmission method





3D Phase Mapping (TiO_2 Coating)



GV: Gauge Volume


- ✦ Step size in the $\vec{z}$ can be as small as $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$.
- ✦ Possibility for studying interfacial phenomena.
- ✦ Ideally suited for characterizing diffuse interfaces.

NSMG





Evolution of Nanostructured Materials Analysis by EDXRD: *The Rutgers-NSMG Approach*




- ★ Most engineering materials are multiphase with disparate mechanical properties, making (at least) multi-phase single-line analysis a necessity.
- ★ EXRD is the only experimental method giving access to concomitant measurement of phase composition and strain in (nanostructured) coatings due to its 3D-mapping ability.






Simultaneous 3D Strain and Phase Mapping In TiO_2 Thermal Spray Coatings





Comparison of Diffraction Spectra for Powder & Coating: 2.8-3.8 Å





EDXRD Quantitative Phase Analysis Results: TiO₂ Precursor vs. TSC ($\epsilon_{\text{appl}} = 0$)

$$\% \text{ Rutile} = \left[\frac{I_{(110)}^{\text{Rutile}} (RIR_{\text{Rutile}})^{-1}}{I_{(110)}^{\text{Rutile}} (RIR_{\text{Rutile}})^{-1} + I_{(101)}^{\text{Anatase}} (RIR_{\text{Anatase}})^{-1}} \right] \times 100; \quad \text{RIR: Reference Intensity Ratio}$$

Material	Phase	hkl	d-spacing (Å)	Intensity (a.u.)	Phase composition (%)
TiO ₂ powder	Rutile	(110)	3.2276	11854	11.9
	Anatase	(101)	3.4884	87711	88.1
TiO ₂ Coating	Rutile	(110)	2.2326	19500	84.3
	Anatase	(101)	3.4906	3642	15.7




Coating mostly Rutile. A polymorphic Anatase→Rutile transition takes place in the coating. The transition is not complete; i.e. di-phasic coating.





(101) Anatase & (110) Rutile Peaks vs. TiO_2 Coating Thickness



✦ 3D Phase mapping only possible with EDXRD.

✦ Variation across coating thickness shows no appreciable change in phase composition.

✦ The only thickness dependent variation is strain in TiO_2 TSCs.







Lattice Parameters & Cell Volume of Rutile & Anatase in Precursor Powder & TSC

	TiO ₂ Phase	a (Å)	c (Å)	Cell Volume (Å ³)
Powder	Rutile	4.5645 (4.5937)	2.9660 (2.9587)	61.8 (62.4)
	Anatase	3.7499 (3.7842)	9.5086 (9.5146)	133.7 (136.3)
Coating	Rutile	4.5716 (4.5937)	2.9657 (2.9587)	62.0 (62.4)
	Anatase	3.7524 (3.7842)	9.5121 (9.5146)	133.9 (136.3)

Numbers in parantheses are tabulated data from the respective PDF, which were included herein for comparison.




★ *The powder and the coating are deficient in oxygen in both phases!*





Gibbs Free Energy of Formation for Anatase and Rutile

(Courtesy of Kimberly A. Farrell; M. Sc. Thesis, Worcester Polytech. Inst. (2001))



Stall, D.R., "JANAF Thermochemical Tables," Joint Army-Navy-Air Force-ARPA; -NASA Thermochemical Working Group. (1996)

$$|\Delta G_f^{\text{Rutile}}| < |\Delta G_f^{\text{Anatase}}|$$

for $298\text{K} < T < 2500\text{ K}$



Even if the precursor powder is partially melted, the unmolten during Thermal spraying Anatase should transform to Rutile at RT (polymorphic transition)




Residual Anatase in coating attributed to defect stabilization (oxygen vacancies) as residual strains are low.







Multiphase Analysis of Strain in Di-phasic TiO_2 Coatings in Tension





TiO₂ Coating Under Compression



- ★ Systemic variation of strain in each phase is observed. The magnitude of strain in the Rutile phase (matrix) varies over a broader range, while the same of Anatase is narrower.
- ★ Difference in mechanical response in each phase is attributed to differences in Elastic moduli:



$$\sigma_{\text{rutile}} = \sigma_{\text{anatase}} \Rightarrow \tilde{E}_{\text{rutile}} \epsilon_{\text{rutile}} = \tilde{E}_{\text{anatase}} \epsilon_{\text{anatase}}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\tilde{E}_{\text{rutile}}}{\tilde{E}_{\text{anatase}}} = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{anatase}}}{\epsilon_{\text{rutile}}}$$





TiO₂ Coating Under Tension




- Systemic variation of strain in each phase is observed. The magnitude of strain in the Rutile phase (matrix) varies over a broader range, while the same of Anatase is narrower. Difference in mechanical response in each phase is attributed to differences in Elastic moduli as in the case of compression.
- Strains in tension are smaller than in compression (at low loads as well) which indicates *micro-cracking* upon thermal spraying.





Origin of Strain response in Tension & Compression






3D Phase Mapping In Thermal Spray Coatings “Interfacial Phenomena”









Steel-Ni Alloy Interfacial Phenomena





Steel/Ni Alloy Interfacial Phenomena






**Simultaneous 3D Strain and Phase Mapping
In
(Semi)-Amorphous Fe
Thermal Spray Coatings
By
Combined Bragg-Brentano & EDXRD**






Comparison of Bragg-Brentano Diffraction Patterns of Amorphous Fe Powder & Thermal Spray






Qualitative & Semi-Quantitative Phase Analysis





Thermal History of the Coating

Assume Fe-B for simplicity. Same line of reasoning applies for Fe-B-C ternary & more complicated multi-component systems.




$$\text{wt\% Fe}_2\text{B} = \frac{|ab|}{|ac|} \times 100$$

$$\text{wt\% } \alpha\text{-Fe} = \frac{|bc|}{|ac|} \times 100 \rightarrow \text{Prior to oxidation}$$

$$\text{wt\% } \alpha\text{-Fe} = \left[\left(\frac{|bc|}{|ac|} \right) - \psi \right] \times 100$$

Upon oxidation; ψ : weight fraction of Fe₃O₄




$$K = \frac{a_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4}}{P_{\text{O}_2}^2 a_{\text{Fe}}^3}; a_{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4} \approx 1;$$

$$a_{\text{Fe}} < 1; P_{\text{O}_2}^{\text{Air}} = 0.21 \text{ atm}$$





Comparison with 3D-EDXRD Diffraction Data



(Courtesy)
of
A. Ignatov




3D phase mapping by EDXRD shows that the phase decomposition and oxidation induced multiphase phase assemblage in the “alleged” amorphous coating is throughout the thickness of the coating as can be verified by the similarity of peak profiles between Bragg-Brentano and EDXRD data.






Comparison with 3D-EDXRD Diffraction Data



★ **EDXRD confirms the multiphase nature of the partially crystallized amorphous-Fe is throughout the coating thickness; i.e. not phase decomposition & oxidation not confined to surface.**

★ **Preliminary analysis of strain without Peak decomposition provides estimate of “global” strain in the coating.**



(Courtesy)
of
A. Ignatov

★ **Future work: Thickness dependent, multi-phase & multi-peak analysis by peak deconvolution**





Concluding Remarks

- ✦ Introduced 3D-Phase and Strain Mapping of polycrystalline multiphase materials by Synchrotron EDXRD (S-EDXRD) as a new integrated approach of materials characterization.
- ✦ Analyzed TiO_2 ; Steel-Ni and amorphous-Fe coatings and shown the versatility of the proposed approach.
- ✦ Showed that 3D-phase mapping is essential in providing a full description of mechanical behavior of multiphase alloys.
- ✦ Showed that S-EDXRD can be used to study interfacial phenomena in due to small step scan size capability in phase mapping.
- ✦ Showed combined use of S-EDXRD with conventional (Bragg-Brentano) diffractometry is a viable approach for phase and strain mapping of complex multiphase materials. Combined with X-ray Absorption Spectrometry analysis capabilities are unmatched.

