



AFRL-RX-WP-TP-2010-4293

**OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF Nd DOPED RARE EARTH
VANADATES (Preprint)**

David E. Zelmon, Jessica M. Northridge, Julie J. Lee, and Kelly M. Currin

**Electronic and Optical Materials Branch
Survivability and Sensor Materials Division**

Dan Perlov

Coherent Crystal Associates

**JULY 2010
Interim Report**

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

See additional restrictions described on inside pages

STINFO COPY

**AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
MATERIALS AND MANUFACTURING DIRECTORATE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433-7750
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YY) July 2010		2. REPORT TYPE Journal Article Preprint		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 27 January 2006 – 26 June 2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF Nd DOPED RARE EARTH VANADATES (Preprint)				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER IN-HOUSE	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 62102F	
6. AUTHOR(S) David E. Zelmon, Jessica M. Northridge, Julie J. Lee, and Kelly M. Currin (Survivability and Sensor Materials Division, Electronic and Optical Materials Branch (AFRL/RXPS)) Dan Perlov (Coherent Crystal Associates)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER 4348	
				5e. TASK NUMBER RG	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER M07R5000	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Electronic and Optical Materials Branch Survivability and Sensor Materials Division Materials and Manufacturing Directorate Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7750 Air Force Materiel Command, United States Air Force				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-RX-WP-TP-2010-4293 Coherent Crystal Associates 31 Farinella Drive East Hanover, NJ	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory Materials and Manufacturing Directorate Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7750 Air Force Materiel Command United States Air Force				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY ACRONYM(S) AFRL/RXPS	
				11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-RX-WP-TP-2010-4293	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES PAO case number 88ABW-2010-3101, cleared 09 June 2010. The U.S. Government is joint author of this work and has the right to use, modify, reproduce, release, perform, display, or disclose the work. Document contains color.					
14. ABSTRACT Rare earth orthovanadates are being used as substitute for traditional solid state laser hosts such as yttrium aluminium garnet (YAG). While the most common of these is yttrium orthovanadate, other rare earth vanadates such as lutetium vanadate and gadolinium vanadate are being used for their special properties in certain applications. We report new measurements of the refractive indices and thermo-optics coefficients of these materials which will aid in the design of laser cavities and other nonlinear optical elements.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT: SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 32	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON (Monitor) Charles Stutz
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) N/A

Optical Properties of Nd Doped Rare Earth Vanadates

David E. Zelmon, Jessica M. Northridge, Julie J. Lee, and Kelly M. Currin

Air Force Research Laboratory, AFRL/RXPS, Materials and Manufacturing Directorate, 3005

Hobson Way, Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-7707

Dan Perlov, *Coherent Crystal Associates, 31 Farinella Drive, East Hanover, NJ*

Abstract

Rare earth orthovanadates are being used as substitute for traditional solid state laser hosts such as YAG. While the most common of these is yttrium orthovanadate, other rare earth vanadates such as lutetium vanadate and gadolinium vanadate are being used for their special properties in certain applications. We report new measurements of the refractive indices and thermo-optic coefficients of these materials which will aid in the design of laser cavities and other nonlinear optical elements.

Introduction

Investigation of rare earth vanadates as substitutes for traditional laser materials such as YAG is the result of their significant advantages as laser hosts[1-5]. Their higher absorption cross sections lead to lower lasing thresholds and their larger absorption bandwidth diminishes the frequency control requirements of the pump laser. Further, their large birefringence implies that the outputs of lasers made from these materials will be highly polarized. While most attention has been paid to YVO_4 , and increasing number of studies are being devoted to GdVO_4 and LuVO_4 [6-13] due to important differences with YVO_4 which make them more attractive for

high power optical systems. For instance, GdVO₄ has a much larger thermal conductivity than YVO₄ [14,15] which reduces thermal management requirements at high powers. LuVO₄ has a much higher absorption cross section than YVO₄ at 880nm. The reduction of the wavelength shift between the absorption and emission wavelengths increases the slope efficiency of the laser and reduces the quantum defect resulting in less heating during high power operation. [16]

Design of intracavity components to control beam shape, and calculation of the modal behavior in guided wave lasers, optical resonator stability, and spectral characteristics of laser materials require precise values for the refractive indices and thermo-optic coefficients. The data available for both LuVO₄ and GdVO₄ for these materials is very limited both in spectral and temperature range [15, 17-19]. In this paper, we report a study of the refractive indices for Nd:GdVO₄ and Nd:LuVO₄ for wavelengths between .4 and 5.0 microns. Refractive indices were measured as a function of temperature between 22 and 225C at wavelengths from 0.4 to 1.3 microns. A standard Sellmeier equation was used to model the spectral behavior of the refractive indices and a second order polynomial for the thermo-optic coefficients was used to describe the temperature dependent behavior of the refractive index. These data were used to calculate the thermal lensing properties of the materials under specified pumping conditions and predict modifications in the calculation of oscillator strengths and transition rates derived from Judd-Ofelt theory.

Experiment

The prism samples used for this study were supplied by Coherent Crystal Associates and grown by the Czochralski method. The neodymium concentration was 0.5% for both materials. Both GdVO₄ and LuVO₄ are positive uniaxial crystals belonging to the tetragonal space group I4₁/amd. [20] The prisms were fabricated with the optic axis

perpendicular to the triangular faces of the prism. The apex angles of the prisms were measured using an autocollimator and were $39.142^\circ \pm .001^\circ$ and $39.114^\circ \pm .001^\circ$ for GdVO₄ and LuVO₄ respectively.

The refractive index both as a function of wavelength and temperature was measured on a Moller-Wedel divided circle spectrometer using the method of minimum deviation. The reader is referred to ref [21, 22] for a complete description of the experimental protocol. This method is still the most accurate way to measure the refractive index of materials. For thermo-optic coefficient measurements, the advantage of the method is that it allows for direct measurement of dn/dT without having to resort to the usual procedure of subtracting the contribution of the thermal expansion coefficient from the overall change in optical path which is measured interferometrically[23,24]. Room temperature refractive indices were measured at 43 wavelengths between .4046 microns and 5.0 microns. Refractive indices were measured at 9 temperatures between 22 ± 1 C° and 225 ± 1 C° at 9 different wavelengths. Standardization of the experimental apparatus was done by comparing data obtained from calcium fluoride with those of NIST[25,26]. Thermo-optic coefficients were within 5% of NIST data at all wavelengths and refractive indices were within .0001. Analysis of the standard deviations of the angular measurements coupled with accounting for the errors due to temperature fluctuations, gave us an estimated error in the refractive index of approximately 1.5×10^{-4} .

Results

The room temperature refractive indices of GdVO₄ and LuVO₄ are shown in figure 1. A Levenburg-Marquardt algorithm was used to fit a three term, 5- parameter Sellmeier equation of the form

$$n^2 = A + \frac{B\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - C} + \frac{D\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - E}$$

Table 1 shows the calculated Sellmeier coefficients for the two materials. A comparison between the data and the Sellmeier equations shows that the deviation between the model and the data is less than 2×10^{-4} . The values for the refractive indices vs. temperature are shown in fig. 2. While the index appears to increase linearly with temperature yielding a temperature independent value for dn/dT , closer examination reveals a small curvature in the n vs. T data similar to that found in YVO_4 [22]. To approximate the temperature dependence, the data were fit to a second order polynomial of the form

$$n = n(23) + A(T - 23) + B(T - 23)^2$$

Values for dn/dT as a function of temperature were obtained by differentiating the resulting expression, resulting in $dn/dT = A + 2B(T - 23)$. The values for A and B are shown in Table 2. Statistical analysis shows that this model is somewhat more likely than the linear model in the visible spectrum but it is unclear whether the parabolic model is justified at wavelengths longer than 650 nm.

Discussion

The utility of a given material as a laser source is determined by a number of physical characteristics including thermal conductivity, thermo-optic behavior and spectroscopic characteristics and accurate determination of the refractive indices and thermo-optic coefficients of these materials is critical to the prediction of their behavior in optical systems. Regarding spectroscopic characteristics, the work of Judd [27] and Ofelt [28], modified by several other researchers [29-33] has provided the best means of determining oscillator strengths and radiation transition rates for rare earth ions embedded in host materials. According to Judd-Ofelt theory [33], the oscillator strength may be written as

$$f = \frac{8\pi^2 mc}{h\lambda e^2} n \left(\frac{n^2 + 2}{3n} \right)^2 \sum_{MM'} \left| \langle \alpha JM | P | \alpha' J' M' \rangle \right|^2$$

where n is the refractive index, P is the electric dipole transition operator and $\langle \alpha JM |$ and $| \alpha' J' M' \rangle$ are the initial and final states for the dipole transition.

The dependence of the oscillator strength on the refractive index means that the errors in its calculation may be written as

$$\Delta f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial n} \Delta n = \frac{3n^4 + 4n^2 - 4}{n^5 + 4n^3 + 4n} \Delta n$$

In the case of LuVO₄, the refractive indices are 1.5% smaller than those for YVO₄, which were used in [16]. This results in an error of 6%-8% in the calculated strength of the oscillators. For the case of GdVO₄, the differences will be between 1% and 5%.

Thermal lensing is another critical problem which needs to be considered for high power lasers. Nonuniform changes in the optical path length caused by thermal expansion due to inhomogeneous laser beams and temperature dependence of the refractive index result in unacceptable beam distortions in the far field. The focal lengths in samples of GdVO₄ have been measured as a function of input power [34]. No similar measurements have been made on LuVO₄ as the necessary data is nearly nonexistent. The focal length of a cylindrical laser rod with a given end pump power is [35]

$$f = \frac{\pi K_c \omega_p^2}{P_{ph} \left(\frac{dn}{dT} \right)} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \exp(-\alpha l)} \right)$$

where $\frac{dn}{dT}$ is the change of refractive index with respect to temperature, P_{ph} is the fraction of the pump power contributing to the heating of the crystal in watts, α is the absorption coefficient, K_c

is the thermal conductivity, l is the length of the laser rod and ω_p is the spot size of the input laser. We assume that αl is large enough so that we may ignore the exponential term and we further assume that P_{ph} and ω_p are the same for all the materials. The differences in focal length of the materials are then due solely to the differences in dn/dT and the thermal conductivity. For the purpose of the calculations, we considered a 400 micron spot size, and used values from the literature for the thermal conductivity[15]. The result of this calculation is shown in figure 5, where the relative focal length is plotted vs. input power for both LuVO_4 and GdVO_4 . The focal length for YVO_4 is also given for comparison. It is clear that due to their larger thermal conductivity and thermo-optic coefficient that GdVO_4 and LuVO_4 have lower thermal focusing power than YVO_4 . That said, it is also clear that these values exceed considerably those calculated by Liu [34]. We make note of the fact that the values of the thermo-optic coefficients used in ref [34] are much smaller than those we measured in our laboratory. Given the careful standardization done for our experiments, we suggest that some of the assumptions used in developing the measurements of the focal power may not be valid.

Conclusion

We have measured the refractive indices and thermo-optic coefficients of GdVO_4 and LuVO_4 over a wide range of wavelengths and temperatures. Results show that these materials provide somewhat better thermal lensing properties than YVO_4 and the results may be used to modify predictions made by Judd-Ofelt theory.

References

1. R. Soulard, A. Zinoviev, J. L. Doualan, E. Ivakin, O. Antipov, and R. Moncorge, “Detailed characterization of pump-induced refractive index changes observed in Nd:YVO₄, Nd:GdVO₄ and Nd:KGW”, *Optics Express*, 18, 1553 (2010)
2. J. E. Bernard, e. McCullough, and A. J. Alcock, “High gain, diode pumped Nd:YVO₄ slab amplifier”, *Opt. Comm.*, 109, 109-14 (1994)
3. H. Zhang, J. Liu, J. Wang, C. Wang, L. Zhu, Z. Shao, X. Meng, X. Hu, Y. T. Chow, and M. Jiang, “Laser properties of different Nd-doped concentration of Nd:YVO₄ crystals”, *Optics and Lasers in Engineering*, 38, 527-36 (2002)
4. E. Safari and A. Kachanov, “Estimation of thermal lensing effect in the high-power end-pumped direct-cut crystal lasers”, *Optics and Laser Technology*, 38, 534-39 (2006)
5. J. Su, Q-L Zhang, S-T Yin, and C-X Guo, “Growth and spectral properties of Nd:YVO₄ laser crystal”, *Physica B*, 403, 3002-8 (2008)
6. K. Onodera, T. Ogawa, H. Itagaki, H. Machida, and S. Wada, “Crystal quality and optical properties for Nd:GdVO₄ single crystals by a floating zone method”, *Opt. Materials*, 26, 343-5 (2004)
7. J. Liu, J. Yang, and J. He, “Diode-pumped passively Q-switched c-cut Nd:GdVO₄ laser”, *Opt. Comm.*, 219, 317-21 (2003)
8. G. Lu, C. Li, W. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Xia, and P. Zhao, “Raman investigation of lattice vibration modes and thermal conductivity of Nd-doped zircon-type laser crystals”, *Materials Science and Engineering*, B98, 156-60 (2003)
9. C-L Jia, X-L Wang, K-M Wang, H-J Ma, and R. Nie, “Characterization of optical waveguide in Nd:GdVO₄”, *Applied Surface Science*, 253, 9311-14 (2007)

10. S. Zhao, H. Zhang, Y. Lu, J. Liu, J. Wang, X. Xu, H. Xia, and M. Jiang, "Spectroscopic characterization and laser performance of Nd:LuVO₄ crystal", *Opt. Materials*, 28, 950-5 (2006)
11. D. Ran, H. Zia, S. Sun, F. Liu, Z. Ling, W. Ge, H. Zhang, and J. Wang, "Thermal properties of a Nd:LuVO₄ crystal", *Cryst. Res. Technol.*, 42, 920-25 (2007)
12. H. Zhang, J. liu, J. Wang, X. Xu, and M. Jiang, "Continuous-wave laser performance of Nd:LuVO₄ crystal operating at 1.34 μ ", *Appl. Opt.*, 44, 7439-7441 (2005)
13. J. An, S. Z. Zhao, Y. F. Li, G. Q. Li, K. J. Yang, D. C. Li, J. Wang, M. Li, and Z. G. Yu, "LD-pumped passively Q-switched Nd:LuVO₄ laser with a GaAs output coupler", *Laser Phys. Lett*, 6, 351-4 (2009)
14. L. J. Qin, X. L. Meng, H. Y. Shen, L. Zhu, B. C. Xu, L. X. Huang, H. R. Xia, P. Zhao, and G. Zheng, "Thermal conductivity and refractive indices of Nd:GdVO₄", *Cryst. Res. Technol.*, 38, 793-97 (2003)
15. P. A. Studenikin, A. I. Zagumennyi, Yu. D. Zavartsev, P.A. Popov, and I. A. Shcherbakov, "GdVO₄ as a new medium for solid state lasers: some optical and thermal properties of crystals doped with Cd³⁺, Tm³⁺, and Er³⁺ ions", *Quantum Electronics*, 25, 1162-5 (1995)
16. C. Maunier, J. L. Douglas, R. Moncorge, A. Speghini, M. Bettinelli, and E. Cavalli, "Growth, spectroscopic characterization, and laser performance of Nd:LuVO₄, a new infrared laser materials that is suitable for diode pumping", *J. Opt. Soc. Of Amer. B19*, 1794 (2002)

17. H. Y. Shen, X. L. Meng, G. Zhang, J. J. Qin, W. Liu, L. Zhu, C. H. Huang, L. X. Huang, and M. Wei, "Sellmeier's equation and the expression of the thermal refractive index coefficient for a $\text{Nd}_{0.007}\text{Gd}_{0.993}\text{VO}_4$ crystal", *Appl. Opt.*, 43, 955 (2004)
18. C-L. Jia, X-L Wang, K-M Wang, H-J Ma, and R. Nie, "Characterization of optical waveguide in Nd:GdVO₄ by triple-energy oxygen ion implantation", *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 253, 9311-14 (2007)
19. Y. Terada, K. Shimamura, and T. Fukuda, "Growth and optical properties of RE doped bulk and fiber crystals by Czochralski and micro pulling down methods", *J. Alloys and Compounds*, 275-277, 697-701 (1998)
20. W. O. Milligan and L. W. Vernon, "Crystal structure of heavy metal orthovanadates", *J. of Phys. Chem.*, 56, 145-47 (1952)
21. D.E. Zelmon, Elizabeth A. Hanning, and Peter G. Schunemann, "Refractive Index measurements and Sellmeier coefficients for Zinc Germanium Phosphide from 2 to 9 microns with implications for phase matching in optical frequency conversion devices", *J. Opt. Soc. Amer.*, B18, 1307-10 (2001)
22. D. E. Zelmon, Julie J. Lee, Kelly M. Currin, and Jessica M. Northridge, "Revisiting the optical properties of yttrium orthovanadate", *Appl. Opt.* 49, 644-47 (2010)
23. Y. Sato and T. Taira, "Thermo-optical and mechanical parameters of Nd:GdVO₄ and Nd:YVO₄", *Quantum Electronics and Laser Science Conference, 2007 (QELS 2007)*, Baltimore, MD, 6-11 May 2007, Paper JWA87
24. R. Weil, "Interference of 10.6 m coherent radiation in a 5-cm long gallium arsenide parallelepiped", *J. Appl. Phys.*, 40, 2857-9 (1969)

25. I. Malitson, "Revisiting the optical properties of calcium fluoride", *Appl. Opt.*, 2, 1103 (1963)
26. A. Feldman, D. Horowitz, R. M. Waxler, and M. J. Dodge, NBS Technical Note #993, Feb-Sep, 1978
27. B. R. Judd, "Optical absorption intensities of rare-earth ions", *Phys. Rev.*, 127, 750-61 (1962)
28. G. S. Ofelt, "Intensities of crystal spectra of rare-earth ions", *J. Chem. Phys.*, 37, 511-20 (1962)
29. M. Tanaka, G. Nishimura, and T. Kushida, "Contribution of J mixing to the 5D_0 - 7F_0 transition of Eu^{3+} ions in several host matrices", *Phys. Rev. B* 49, 16917-25 (1994)
30. L. Smentek and B. A. Hess Jr., "Theoretical description of 0-0 and 0-1 transitions in the Eu^{3+} ion in hosts with C_{2v} symmetry", *Mol. Phys.*, 92, 835-45 (1997)
31. M. F. Reid and F. S. Richardson, "Electric dipole intensity parameters for lanthanide 4f-4f transitions", *J. Chem. Phys.*, 79, 5735-42 (1983)
32. M. F. Reid, J. J. Dallara, and F. S. Richardson, "Comparison of calculated and experimental 4f-4f intensity parameters for lanthanide complexes with isotropic ligands", *J. Chem. Phys.*, 79, 5743-51 (1983)
33. Brian Walsh, "Judd-Ofelt theory: Principles and Practices", in Advances in Spectroscopy for Lasers and Sensing", B. Di Bartolo and O. Forte (eds.), 403-433, Springer Publishing Co., 2006.
34. J-H Liu, Z-S Shao, X-L Meng, H-J Zhang, Li Zhu, and M-H Jiang, "Thermal lensing measurement and laser performance of end pumped lightly doped $\text{Nd}:\text{GdVO}_4$ crystal", *Chin. Phys. Lett.*, 16, 814 (1999)

35. M. E. Innocenzi, H. T. Yura, C. L. Fincher, and R. A. Fields, “Thermal modeling of continuous wave end pumped solid state lasers”, Appl. Phys. Lett., 56, 1831-33 (1990)

Table 1. Sellmeier Coefficients for .5% Nd:GdVO₄ and .5% LuVO₄

Sellmeier Coefficient	GdVO ₄ n_o	GdVO ₄ n_e	LuVO ₄ n_o	LuVO ₄ n_e
A	2.394	2.7864	2.4617	2.8835
B	1.4394	1.9314	1.4546	1.8623
C	0.0493	0.0573	0.0505	0.0586
D	1.7046	2.7778	1.7781	2.9081
E	165.1	182.81	162.27	187.18

Table 2. Parameters for determining thermo-optic coefficients .5% Nd doped GdVO₄

Wavelength (Microns)	GdVO ₄	GdVO ₄	GdVO ₄	GdVO ₄	LuVO ₄	LuVO ₄	LuVO ₄	LuVO ₄
	no	no	ne	ne	no	no	ne	ne
	A x10 ⁻⁵	B x10 ⁻⁸	A x10 ⁻⁵	B x10 ⁻⁸	A x10 ⁻⁵	B x10 ⁻⁸	A x10 ⁻⁵	B x10 ⁻⁸
.4046	3.822	3.240	3.8569	5.4843	3.9377	3.9395	3.8599	5.1195
.45	2.7406	3.1302	2.7109	2.9595	3.00	2.3614	2.5709	2.8078
.5	2.7152	0.6760	2.1635	2.2410	2.5109	1.9272	2.0310	1.7979
.55	2.2158	1.5376	1.6234	2.8960	2.0655	2.7056	1.5822	1.8734
.6	1.9010	1.4542	1.4240	1.9771	2.0033	1.6192	1.4049	1.1622
.65	1.6837	1.6614	1.2545	2.1808	1.9148	1.2201	1.025	3.4806
.7	1.9583	-0.554	1.06	1.01	2.0429	0.37967	1.2885	0.04943
.9	1.6784	-0.2423	0.761	0.950	1.6701	0.79707	0.90694	-0.2139
1.1	1.1798	2.9658	0.900	-0.02	1.5634	0.87996	0.67586	2.3026
1.3	1.1012	2.6256	0.720	0.309	1.4884	0.95457	0.68482	1.9202

Table 3. Values used for the focal length calculations

Parameter	YVO ₄	GdVO ₄	LuVO ₄
Absorption coefficient cm ⁽⁻¹⁾	31.4 @808nm [brochure]	~45?@808nm (π pol) ~37@808nm (σ pol) [Higuchi,JCG264, 284]	60@808nm (π) 18@808nm (σ) (Higuchi, JCG283, 100 (2005))
Thermal Conductivity W-m/°C	5.23 (\parallel c) 5.10 (\perp c) [brochure]	11.4 (c) 10.1 (a)	9.94 (\parallel c) 8.14 (\parallel a) [Cheng, Appl Phys B 86, 681 (2007)]

Figure Captions:

Figure 1. Refractive Indices of Nd:GdVO₄

Figure 2. Refractive Indices of Nd:LuVO₄

Figure 3a. Ordinary Refractive Index of GdVO₄ vs. Temperature, Visible spectrum

Figure 3b. Ordinary Refractive Index of GdVO₄ vs. Temperature, Near infrared spectrum

Figure 3c. Extraordinary Refractive Index of GdVO₄ vs. Temperature, Visible spectrum

Figure 3d. Extraordinary Refractive Index of GdVO₄ vs. Temperature, NIR spectrum

Figure 4a. Ordinary Refractive Index of LuVO₄ vs. Temperature, Visible spectrum

Figure 4b. Ordinary Refractive Index of LuVO₄ vs. Temperature, Near infrared spectrum

Figure 4c. Extraordinary Refractive Index of LuVO₄ vs. Temperature, Visible spectrum

Figure 4d. Extraordinary Refractive Index of LuVO₄ vs. Temperature, NIR spectrum

Figure 5. Thermal focal power vs. Input power




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3a.




Figure 3b.




Figure 3c.




Figure 3d.




Figure 4a.




Figure 4b.




Figure 4c.




Figure 4d.




Figure 5.