

Optimal Asset Distribution for Environmental Assessment and Forecasting Based on Observations, Adaptive Sampling, and Numerical Prediction

Steven R. Ramp

Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute

7700 Sandholdt Road

Moss Landing, CA 95039

phone: (831) 775-2126 fax: (831) 775-1620 email: sramp@mbari.org

Grant #: N00014-08-1-1062

LONG-TERM GOAL

The long-term goal is to enhance our understanding of coastal oceanography by means of applying simple dynamical theories to high-quality observations obtained in the field. My primary area of expertise is physical oceanography, but I also enjoy collaborating with biological, chemical, acoustical, and optical oceanographers to work on interdisciplinary problems. I collaborate frequently with numerical modelers to improve our predictive capabilities of Navy-relevant parameters in the littoral zone.

OBJECTIVES

The objective of this Multi-University Research Initiative (MURI) grant, subtitled, “The Adaptive Sampling and Prediction System (ASAP)” is to learn how to deploy, direct, and utilize autonomous vehicles [and other mobile sensing platforms] most efficiently to sample the ocean, assimilate the data into numerical models in real or near-real time, and predict future conditions with minimal error. The scientific goal is to close the heat budget for a control volume surrounding a three-dimensional coastal upwelling center, and identify via the magnitude of the terms the relative importance of the surface fluxes, boundary layer processes, alongshore advection, and mesoscale interactions in determining the temperature changes within the box.

APPROACH

The mobile assets for this project included 10 gliders (6 Slocum vehicles from WHOI and 4 Spray vehicles from SIO), 3 propeller-driven vehicles (DORADO from MBARI and 2 Odysseys from MIT), a research aircraft (NPS TWIN OTTER) and several support ships (SHANA RAE, POINT SUR, ZEPHYR, SPROUL, NEW HORIZON). Given these resources and the objectives above, a control volume (Figure 1) was selected for the 2006 experiment. The box, approximately 40 x 20 km, enclosed the upwelling center that is of central scientific interest. Six gliders were deployed along “racetracks” within the box and 4 were deployed as “rockers” oscillating back-and-forth along the boundaries, one on each end and two covering the offshore side. Using a combination of autonomous and human-activated control, the gliders were coordinated as a group to optimize the sampling coverage of the control volume in response to the ever-changing current conditions. A pair of bottom-

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 2009		2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2009 to 00-00-2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Optimal Asset Distribution For Environmental Assessment And Forecasting Based On Observations, Adaptive Sampling, And Numerical Prediction				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, 7700 Sandholdt Road, Moss Landing, CA, 95039				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Code 1 only					
14. ABSTRACT The long-term goal is to enhance our understanding of coastal oceanography by means of applying simple dynamical theories to high-quality observations obtained in the field. My primary area of expertise is physical oceanography, but I also enjoy collaborating with biological, chemical, acoustical, and optical oceanographers to work on interdisciplinary problems. I collaborate frequently with numerical modelers to improve our predictive capabilities of Navy-relevant parameters in the littoral zone.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

mounted acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCPs) was also deployed along the southern boundary of the box to sample and report the internal wave environment in real time via a Seaweb underwater network.

The real-time observations were ingested into the NCOM, HOPS, and ROMS numerical ocean models each evening for predictive runs for the following day. Assets were then re-allocated to optimize sampling coverage and minimize model predictive error. See also annual report of the same name by Prof. Naomi Leonard of Princeton, for more detail on the coordinated control, adaptive sampling, and numerical prediction aspects of this program.

WORK COMPLETED

The field program for August 2006 was a great success (see last year's annual report for a complete description). This year the emphasis switched to analysis, synthesis, and publication of the results. A workshop was held in Woods Hole, MA during June 2008. The investigator also visited R. E. Davis at SIO for a few days to collaborate on the glider/mooring comparisons and the heat flux problem. The PI's Effort during this evaluation period was on preparing a manuscript for publication which provides an overview of the oceanographic conditions during the experiment, as well as model/data comparisons between the three models (HOPS, ROMS, and NCOM) and the moored ADCPs. The manuscript is similar in tone and style to our overview of the AOSN-II 2003 experiment [Ramp et al., 2009]. The second draft has been completed and is presently being circulated to the co-authors for final comments before submittal. We have additionally continued to work on glider/mooring comparisons in shallow water, particularly now comparing shear estimates from the moored and glider-mounted ADCPs.

RESULTS

One remarkable new result was the sub-mesoscale variability in the atmospheric forcing observed by the TWIN OTTER aircraft. MBARI Buoy M2, long the standard for local determinations of the surface wind stress, was often not representative of the local wind conditions around Point Año Nuevo. During poleward wind events, a local maximum resembling an expansion fan was observed to the north of the point. During equatorward events, there was a clear maximum in the wind stress directly off Año Nuevo, accompanied by weak winds in the "wind shadow" behind the Santa Cruz Mountains. This led to a region of high positive wind stress curl, capable of driving local upwelling of the same order as coastal divergence. This feature may contribute to the "cold plume" that sometimes extends southward from Año Nuevo across the Monterey Bay.

The ADCP currents were dominated by poleward flow at both locations, despite the local coastal wind stress being mostly equatorward. The across-shelf scales were much smaller than the along-shelf scales. Model results and coastal sea level data suggest that the poleward flows were associated with free coastally-trapped waves with a period of 15 days and a wavelength of order 3500 km. None of the model now-casts picked up these waves, although a subsequent re-analysis using the NCOM model with HYCOM forcing showed a dramatic increase in predictive skill. This demonstrates the importance of the boundary conditions when forecasting small domains in the coastal ocean.

IMPACT/APPLICATION

All recent Navy METOC publications indicate that autonomous vehicles are the way of the future in battlespace environmental assessment. The Naval Oceanographic Office has already initiated procurement of large numbers of gliders and significant numbers of propeller-driven vehicles. Experiments such as ASAP will help the Navy to learn how to utilize these vehicles most effectively, to maximize the information returned, and to assimilate the data into numerical models for environmental prediction. It has been demonstrated that assimilation of glider data into Navy models improves nowcasts, hindcasts, and 1.0-1.5 d forecasts [Shulman et al., 2009].

TRANSITIONS

The virtual control room (COOP) has been used to support several subsequent Navy field experiments including the MB08 “Oktoberfest” experiment. Collaborative control of fleets of autonomous vehicles is being considered for use in the national Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) as it minimizes daily human-in-the-loop interactions and reduces costs for long-term ocean monitoring.

RELATED PROJECTS

See ONR Annual Report by Naomi Leonard (Princeton)

See ONR Annual Report by Jim Bellingham (MBARI)

Persistent Litoral Undersea Surveillance network (PLUSnet)

Assessing the Effects of Submesoscale Ocean Parameterizations (AESOP)

Layered Organization of the Coastal Ocean (LOCO)

NRL BIOSPACE Experiment summer 2008

MB08 “Oktoberfest” ocean color and harmful algal bloom experiment

San Francisco Bayweb I and II, spring and summer 2009, San Francisco Bay - Acoustic networking of ocean sensors in a high-current, high-noise environment.

PUBLICATIONS

Ramp, S. R., 2009: “The Adaptive Sampling and Prediction System” ONR Unmanned Undersea Systems Review, Orlando, FL, February 2009.

Ramp, S. R., 2009: “Ocean and Atmospheric Conditions During ASAP 2006” ONR Annual Review, Chicago, IL, June 2009.

Ramp, S. R., R. E. Davis, N. E. Leonard, I. Shulman, Y. Chao, A. R. Robinson, J. Marsden, P.

Lermusiaux, D. Fratantoni, J. D. Paduan, F. Chavez, X. S. Liang, W. Leslie, and Z. Li, 2009:

Preparing to Predict: The Second Autonomous Ocean Sampling Network (AOSN-II) Experiment in the Monterey Bay. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **56**, 68-86.

P.J. Haley Jr., P.F.J. Lermusiaux, A.R. Robinson, W.G. Leslie, O. Logoutov, G. Cossarini, X.S. Liang, P. Moreno, S.R. Ramp, J.D. Doyle, J. Bellingham, F. Chavez, and S. Johnston, 2009: Forecasting and reanalysis in the Monterey Bay/California Current region for the Autonomous Ocean Sampling Network-II experiment. *Deep Sea Research II*, **56**, 127-148.

Shulman, I., C. Rowley, S. Anderson, S. DeRada, J. Kindle, P. Martin, J. Doyle, J. Cummings, S. R. Ramp, F. Chavez, D. Fratantoni, and R. E. Davis, 2009: Impact of glider data assimilation on the Monterey Bay model. *Deep Sea research II*, **56**, 188-198.

Chao, Y., L., Z. Li, J. Farrara, J. C. McWilliams, J. G. Bellingham, X Capet, F. Chavez, J.-K. Choi, R. E. Davis, J. Doyle, D. Fratantoni, P. Li, P. Marchesiello, M. A. Moline, J. D. Paduan, and S. R. Ramp, 2009: Development, implementation and evaluation of a data-assimilative ocean forecasting system off the central California coast. *Deep Sea research II*, **56**, 127-126

Ramp, S. R., P. Lermusiaux, I. Shulman, Y. Chao, R. E. Wolf, and F. L. Bahr, 2008b: Oceanographic and atmospheric conditions on the continental shelf north of the Monterey Bay during August 2006. For submission to JGR.




Figure 1. Adaptive Sampling and Prediction Experiment location showing the moorings (black diamonds), and the various model domains.




Figure 2. Time series of the aircraft-observed surface wind stress during (a-d) the August 2-5 wind relaxation event and (e-h) the August 8-11 upwelling favorable event.




Figure 3. Time series of a) wind stress from MBARI Buoy M2, b) across-shore current at ADCP 1, c) alongshore current at ADCP 1, d) across-shore current at ADCP 2, and e) alongshore current at ADCP 2. The scale of the y-axis is the same for both ADCPs, with the difference in plot height reflecting the difference in water depth. The velocity scales are different for the across- and alongshore components to better illustrate the much smaller across-shore flow. The vertical gray bars on the wind stress plot indicate the time of the TWIN OTTER over-flights. A positive sign indicates poleward and onshore flow.