



New Modeling and Simulation Coordination and Management Structure

**Congressional Leadership Summit
Chesapeake, VA**

February 26, 2007

**Mr. Fred Hartman
Deputy Director, Readiness and Training,
Office of the Secretary of Defense**

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 26 FEB 2007		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2007 to 00-00-2007	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE New Modeling and Simulation Coordination and Management Structure				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of the Secretary of Defense, Readiness and Training, Washington, DC, 20301				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Modeling & Simulation Leadership Summit 2007, February 26, 2007, Chesapeake, VA					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			
unclassified	unclassified	unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	8	



Why DoD Needs a New Management Structure for M&S

- **The Original Vision for Implementing M&S (5000.59)**
 - A coordinated DoD M&S Investment Plan (Never completed)
- **If we'd truly implemented the DoD 5000.59 starting in 1994...**
 - Would we have common technical development frameworks?
 - Would we have legacy systems with models ready for test and maintained across the life-cycle?
 - Would we be able to help systems interface and exchange data during System Engineering?
 - Would we be able to help create common threat systems and environments for System Engineering?
 - Would we have joint environments today implemented with credible M&S?
 - Would we be able to pull together a Live, Virtual, and Constructive joint capability environment using credible M&S?



Why DoD Needs a New Management Structure for M&S (con't)

- **The Promise: Lower Costs**
 - The Reality: JMASS, JSIMS and JWARS
 - Credible M&S is not necessarily simple or cheap
- **The Promise: Reuse**
 - The Reality: Re-use across programs & across acquisition phases within a program is low
- **The Promise: Commonality**
 - The Reality: Little standardization, little commonality. Programs build their own models for their own purposes.
 - VV&A processes not enforced – lots of strategies but expensive
 - How much standardization do we need?
 - DOD standards / considerations are unique



Step 1: Reforming DoD Management

- **Centralized coordination and management for M&S still required in DoD**
- **The Program Decision Memorandum directed GO / SES Steering Committee and the Interim Executive Committee have now merged into the M&S Steering Committee**
- **DoD to revise DoDD 5000.59, DoD M&S Management.**
 - In formal coordination
- **M&S funding remains fixed at current levels until business plans and outreach is completed**



Step 2: Devise a New M&S Management Structure

New M&S Management Structure Organized by Communities; Designed to Support Communities

1-2 Star M&S Steering Committee (M&S SC) provides governance (formerly EXCIMS)
M&S SC and IPT supported by the & M&S CO (formerly DMSO)

Acquisition
AT&L

Analysis
PA&E
& JS

Planning
JS
& Policy

Testing
DOT&E
& AT&L

Training
P&R

Experimentation
JFCOM

**Corporate Focus
M&S Practices**

Common and Cross-Cutting M&S Tools

Common and Cross-Cutting M&S Data

Common and Cross-Cutting M&S Services

(SE FORUM)

(JADM/SC)

(AP EXCOM)

(T2/ESG)

(JCDE EC)

\$B Goals:

- Reuse
- Interoperability
- Efficiencies

Components

OSD, Joint Staff, COCOMs, Services

\$B Goals:

- Commonality
- Effectiveness
- Visibility/Accessibility



Step 3: Establish Plans and Processes for the M&S Steering Committee

- **New DoD Instruction 5000.XX**
 - Provides Specifics on Responsibilities, Processes, and Procedures
- **Community Business Plans**
 - Current Capabilities
 - Needs and Initiatives.
 - Captures M&S Tools, M&S Data, and M&S Services
- **Common and Cross-Cutting Business Plan**
 - Consolidates M&S Tools, M&S Data, and M&S Services
- **Vision and Processes Still Emerging**
 - To affect change within the \$Billions spent on M&S investments across the M&S enabled Communities
 - To allocate the \$Millions in the Joint Warfighting Simulation Program Element as one tool to affect that change



Step 4: Continually Evaluate Progress Against Original Goals

- **The Goal: Effective, Efficient M&S support of Joint Operations**
 - Need M&S to be more credible and affordable
- **The Goal: Reuse**
 - Re-use across programs & communities must increase
- **The Goal: Commonality**
 - Standardization and commonality consideration as needed



Summary

- **DoD M&S management continuing to evolve toward integrating and leveraging of the capabilities in support of the Joint Operations**
- **We need help from our industry, academia, and interagency partners!**

