

Progress in the science and technology of direct drive laser fusion with the KrF laser

Fusion Power Associates Meeting
1 December 2010

Presented by:
Steve Obenschain
Plasma Physics Division
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory

Work by the NRL laser fusion research team

Work supported by: the Office of Naval Research
and the U.S. Department of Energy, NNSA.


Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 01 DEC 2010		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Progress in the science and technology of direct drive laser fusion with the KrF laser				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research,NRL laser fusion research team, , ,				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Work supported by: the Office of Naval Research and the U.S. Department of Energy, NNSA.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Public Release	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 22	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Opening remarks on path towards Inertial Fusion Energy (IFE)

- Community needs to work together to provide the technical case for funding an IFE program.
- IFE program should nurture competition, with judgments made on the basis of technical progress and the potential of the various approaches to IFE.
- Direct-drive with lasers looks very attractive for IFE, the physics and needed technologies are mature and advancing.
- KrF provides physics advantages for direct drive.
- KrF's demonstrated performance is competitive with solid state lasers as a high-rep-rate durable, efficient IFE driver. (on several important parameters KrF technology leads)


Direct Laser Drive is a better choice for Energy

Indirect Drive (initial path for NIF)



- ID Ignition being explored on NIF
- Providing high enough gain for pure fusion energy is challenging.
- ..

Direct Drive (IFE)




- DD Ignition physics can be explored on NIF.
- More efficient use of laser light, and greater flexibility in applying drive provides potential for much higher gains.

KrF light helps Direct Drive target physics (1)


Provides the deepest UV light of all ICF lasers ($\lambda=248$ nm)

Deeper UV




Higher thresholds for laser-plasma instability
Higher mass ablation rates and pressure
Higher hydrodynamic efficiency
Higher absorption fraction


351 nm laser (e.g. NIF)
lower drive pressure



KrF
higher drive pressure



implosion




KrF's deep UV allows:

- Use of lower aspect ratio targets
- Reduced growth of hydro-instability
- Higher energy gain
- Use of less laser energy



KrF Light helps the target physics (2)

- KrF has most uniform target illumination of all ICF lasers.
 - **Reduces seed for hydrodynamic instability**




Actual Nike KrF focal profile

- KrF focal profile can zoom to "follow" an imploding pellet.
 - **More laser absorbed, reduces required energy by 30%**




Shock Ignited (SI) direct drive targets*

Pellet shell is accelerated to sub-ignition velocity (<300 km/sec), and ignited by a converging shock produced by high intensity spike in the laser pulse.



Low aspect ratio pellet helps mitigate hydro instability




Peak main drive is 1 to 2×10^{15} W/cm²

Igniter pulse is $\sim 10^{16}$ W/cm²


* R. Betti et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. **98**, 155001 (2007)

Simulations show very high gains with KrF driven shock ignition – similar to those predicted for Fast Ignition.

Peak gains from 1-D simulations




High resolution 2-D simulations
Gain = 102 @ 521 kJ



2D simulations typically give ~70% of the 1D gains

Shock ignition benefits from shorter λ and zooming



	KrF 248 nm Zoom	glass 351 nm Zoom	glass 351 nm no zoom
laser energy	230 kJ	430 kJ	645 kJ
compression energy	160 kJ	280 kJ	360 kJ
gain	97	56	35
absorption	77%	56%	39%
compression absorpt.	87%	70%	55%
max. intensity (PW/cm ²)	16.3	28	21.8
I/I _{2ωpe_thresh}	2.0-2.8	3.8-4.4	4.0-4.7

$$\text{pressure} \sim I_{\text{abs}}^{0.7} \lambda^{-0.25}$$

1-D Hydrocode simulations

Simulations predict sufficient energy gains (G) for development of energy application.

G ~100 with a 500kJ KrF laser → Fusion Test Facility (FTF)

G ~170 with a 1MJ KrF laser

→ Fusion Power plants


G ~250 with a 2 MJ KrF laser

Desire $G \times \eta \geq 10$ for energy application

η = laser wall plug efficiency $\cong 7\%$ for KrF


→ need $G \geq 140$

Nike is employed for studies of hydrodynamics and LPI



Laser Plasma Instability limits the maximum intensity

- Can produce high energy electrons that preheat DT fuel
- Can scatter laser beam, reducing drive efficiency



Shorter λ suppresses LPI

$$(V_{\text{osc}}/v_{\text{the}})^2 \sim I \lambda^2$$

$N_c/4$ instability thresholds
(single planar beam)



Stimulated Raman
scatter ($n \approx 1/4 n_{\text{cr}}$)

$$I_t \approx \frac{5 \times 10^{16}}{L_n(\mu\text{m}) \lambda_0^{2/3}(\mu\text{m})} \frac{\text{W}}{\text{cm}^2}$$


Two plasmon decay

$$I_t \approx \frac{5 \times 10^{15}}{L_n(\mu\text{m}) \lambda_0(\mu)} \frac{\text{W}}{\text{cm}^2} \theta_{\text{keV}}$$

Nike experiments are exploring thresholds for quarter-critical density laser plasma instability




325 ps, ≤ 1 kJ laser pulses in 40 overlapped beams




$\omega_0/2$ and x-ray signals give
intensity thresholds $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2$

Longer density scalelength plasma produced by ns laser pulses reduced thresholds (as expected)



$I_{th} = 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2$ for 325 ps pulse

$I_{th} = 1.2 \times 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2$ for 1 ns pulse



Computed density scale-lengths
@ threshold intensity

~60 μm with 325 ps pulse

~100 μm with 1 ns pulse

Similar physics to that observed with $\lambda=351 \text{ nm}$ lasers, but quarter critical instability thresholds are higher. (as expected)

KrF, LPI and Direct Drive

- Both theory and experiment indicate use of KrF helps suppress laser plasma instability.
- 1 THz bandwidth used in current experiments, 3 THz available with Nike that may help further suppress LPI.
- May not be able to operate much above quarter critical instability thresholds during compression stage of SI.
- Can reduce peak intensity during compression by increasing aspect ratio, but limited by hydro-instability.
- **Use of shorter λ and possibly greater $\Delta\omega$ are the only unambiguously positive actions to reduce risk from LPI.**
- Preheat from LPI hot electrons should not be an issue during igniter pulse provided $T_{\text{hot}} < 100$ keV per LASNEX simulations by J. Perkins.

There has been continued progress in high-energy high-repetition rate KrF laser technology




Electra Krypton Fluoride (KrF) Laser


Laser Energy: 300 to 700 Joules

Repetition rate: up to 5 pulses per second

Continuous Runs: 10 hrs at 2.5 Hz (90,000 shots)



Path to much higher durability for Electra identified and developed.



Replace spark-gap switched pulse power with all solid state system.

Eliminate “late time” voltage on diode that causes erosion when plasma between anode and cathode close.


Progress in KrF science and technology

All solid state 10 Hz 180 kV 5KA pulse power system $>10^7$ shots continuous




Components show > 300 M shots, no failures

Demonstrated two methods to suppress E-beam instability on Nike Main amplifier




Ceramic Cathode



Patterned cathode

No physics limit on diode size

High efficiency E-beam transport to gas



electron beam guided by tailored magnetic field

$>7\%$ wall-plug efficiency looks feasible.

Intrinsic (experiment)	12%
Pulsed power (experiment)	82%
Hibachi @ 800 kV (experiment)	80%
Optical train to target (est)	95%
Ancillaries (est)	95%
Global Efficiency	7.1%


IFE vision

A primary goal of the IFE community should be to develop the technologies for, construct and operate a high repetition rate inertial fusion test facility (FTF) in the decade immediately following NIF ignition.

Adapted from suggestion by Professor Said Abdel-Khalik

See Thursday afternoon presentation by John Sethian: “The need for an Inertial Fusion Engineering Test Facility”

We believe this IFE vision can and should be implemented!



Summary

- Shock ignited direct drive continues to look very attractive for the energy application.
- Both simulations and experiments indicate KrF light significantly improves the laser-target interaction physics.
- Good progress in the S&T of E-beam pumped KrF towards the goal of obtaining the high system durability needed for IFE.

References

Laser Inertial fusion energy technology

J.D. Sethian et al, "The science and technologies for fusion energy with lasers and direct drive targets," Proceedings, 23rd Symposium on Fusion Engineering. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*. Vol. 38, NO. 4, 690 (2010).

High Average Power :Laser Program <http://aries.ucsd.edu/HAPL>

Shock Ignited direct drive designs

J. Schmitt, J.W. Bates, S. P. Obenschain, S T. Zalesak and D. E. Fyfe, " Shock Ignition target design for inertial fusion energy, *Physics of Plasmas* 17,042701 (2010).

R. Betti , C.D. Zhou, K.S. Anderson, L.J. Perkins, W. Theobald and A.A.. Solodov, *Physical Review Letters* **98**, 0155001 (2007).

Fusion Test Facility (FTF) utilizing a KrF laser

S. P. Obenschain, J.D. Sethian and A. J. Schmitt, "A laser based Fusion Test Facility," *Fusion Science and Technology*, **56**, 594-603, August 2009.

R. H. Lehmberg, J. L. Guiliani, and A.J. Schmitt, "Pulse shaping and energy storage capabilities of angularly multiplexed KrF laser fusion drivers," *Journal of Applied Physics* **106**, 023103 (2009).