



Billet Analysis Tool (BAT)

WG5: Analytic Tools and Data
For Force Structure Analysis

26 January 2011

Briefer

Mr. K. Ilya Christman

OPNAV N14B

Report Documentation Page

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 26 JAN 2011	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Billet Analysis Tool (BAT)		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Operations, OPNAV N14B, 2000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC, 20350-2000		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 2011 Force Structure Workshop, TASC Heritage Conference Center, Chantilly, VA, 24-27 January 2011					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 11	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			




Background

Why the Navy needs an analytic tool to study manpower requirements:

- Rising manpower costs and declining endstrength have elevated the importance of manpower requirements and costs in assessing force structure alternatives
- Previous tools to extract and compile TFMMS data to assess these alternatives were cumbersome and tedious to use
- Most assessments looked only at manpower requirements of force structure units
 - For example, if the Navy decommissions four destroyers, only the billets on these ships go away
- Need to assess the manpower effects of force structure changes within the shore infrastructure
 - For example, if the Navy decommissions four destroyers, where should shore manpower requirements decrease and by how much?
- Need to forecast requirements beyond the FYDP
 - What will requirements look like in 10, 15, and 20 years?
 - May require changes well in advance to ensure that inventory matches requirements or that requirements are executable



BAT Development






BAT EXAMPLES

- **30 YR SHIP PLAN/SEA BILLETS**
- **LCS MANNING EXCURSIONS**
- **CV PLAN**
- **SURFACE COMBATANTS**
- **COMMUNITY IMPACTS**




30-Year Shipbuilding Plan






30-Year Shipbuilding Plan






Ship Inventory vs Projected Ship BA






Carrier BA Beyond the FYDP






Surface Combatants (SC)





Analysis of Force Structure Change Impacts on Community Health

Surface URL Billets on All Ships (Ships Company)



- ENS
- LTJG
- LT
- LCDR
- CDR
- CAPT

Beyond the FYDP, focus must shift from accessions to retention

- Results in a more senior and more expensive (cost per member) force.
- How do we grow enough Dept Heads with a shrinking O-1/O-2 base?

Force structure decisions are creating significant community challenges



QUESTIONS