

From Microns to Millimeters: The Use of Optically Determined Particle Size and Distribution in Understanding Coastal Vertical Mixing Processes

Wilford D. Gardner

Mary Jo Richardson

Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University

College Station, TX. 77843-3146

phone: (409) 845-3928 fax: (409) 845-6331 email: wgardner@ocean.tamu.edu
phone: (409) 845-3651 fax: (409) 845-0056 e-mail: mrichardson@ocean.tamu.edu

Award #: NOOO14-95-1-0498

Ian D. Walsh

Oregon State University

Corvallis, OR 97331-5503

phone: 541-737-0578 fax: 541-737-2064 e-mail: walsh@oce.orst.edu

LONG-TERM GOAL

Our long-term goals are 1) to determine how changes in particle size distribution, composition and concentration on the shelf affect inherent optical properties (attenuation, absorption and scattering) and 2) correlate these measurements with hydrographic measurements (including wave and current shear stress as measured by others) to determine the forcing functions and biological processes that cause the temporal and spatial variations in optical properties on the shelf.

OBJECTIVES

1. Determine the relationship between inherent optical and particle characteristics (composition, mass concentration, and size distribution) for the area studied during the Coastal Mixing and Optics (CMO) Advanced Research Initiative (ARI).
2. Determine how the above relationships vary between periods of high stratification (late summer) and low stratification (early spring) conditions.
3. Determine the significance of the presence of large aggregates on the inherent optical properties.

APPROACH

We collected time-series data on particle concentration, composition and size, hydrography, and in-situ optical properties at the central CMO site on the continental shelf south of Cape Cod, Massachusetts during periods of high (Fall '96) and low (Spring '97) stratification. Our data are being interpreted in the context of measurements made by others such as turbulence intensity; currents (shipboard ADCP and moored current meters, bottom shear stresses, and SeaSoar measurements at various space scales around the CMO site to ascertain the roles of mixing, advection, primary particle production, aggregation and resuspension on the relationships between particle and optical properties of the water in that region.

Report Documentation Page			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 1998		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-1998 to 00-00-1998	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE From Microns to Millimeters: The Use of Optically Determined Particle Size and Distribution in Understanding Coastal Vertical Mixing Processes				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Tesax A&M University ,Department of Oceanography,College Station,TX,77843-3146				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM002252.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Our Particle and Optics Profiling System (POPS) integrates several different instrument packages. A SeaBird SeaCat CTD provided a synoptic hydrographic context in which to place our particle and optical measurements. Integrated with the CTD was a SeaTech transmissometer ($\lambda=660\text{nm}$) to provide in-situ optical data about the concentrations and particle types in the water. Most of the attenuation signal comes from particles $< 20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ in size. To determine the dissolved and particulate contributions to inherent optical properties, we measured both filtered ($0.2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) and unfiltered absorption and attenuation during the spring cruise. A Sequoia Scientific LISST 100 was used to estimate the size distribution of particles from $5\text{-}500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ in summer and $1.25\text{-}250\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ in spring. To measure the size and abundance of large aggregates, we developed a dual video camera and synched-strobe system that illuminates and video records two separate volumes of water. The close-up, small-volume video is used to discriminate aggregates as small as $300\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and the larger-volume system images aggregates >500 microns. Thus, on a single platform we have a series of instruments that measure the particle spectrum from a few microns to several millimeters as well as important hydrographic (temperature, salinity, and pressure) and optical parameters.

It is essential to make in-situ measurements of aggregates because they can fall apart from the slightest disturbances, thus altering the true particle size distribution. Individual phytoplankton are more likely to survive water bottle collection intact and bulk particle concentrations are less prone to sampling bias. To correlate optical measurements with particle size distribution, concentration and particle types, we collected samples from the CTD/rosette profiles at discrete depths. Particle samples were collected by passing the water through preweighed $0.4\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ filters to determine concentrations of particulate matter (PM), and through precombusted glass fiber filters to determine concentrations of particulate organic carbon (POC). We measured the abundance and size distribution of particles in water samples using a Coulter Multisizer. Comparisons of particle size were made between the water-column particles, surface sediments and settling particles by collecting cores and deploying sediment traps during each cruise. Physical and optical measurements will be integrated to achieve the objectives outlined above.

WORK COMPLETED

We participated on a cruise to the CMO site (the continental shelf south of Cape Cod, Massachusetts) during late summer (August 18 to September 7, 1996) stratified conditions, and a second cruise after winter mixing (23 April-9 May, 1997) as stratification began. CTD profiles were made just during the day, but we combined nighttime temperature and salinity data from Dr. M. Gregg's (UW) profiling system to create time-series hydrography-transmissometer-fluorometer sections (Fig. 1). We filtered bottle samples for particulate matter (PM) and particulate organic carbon (POC) to make correlations with other discrete and optical parameters. Dr. Collin Roesler (U.Conn.) determined the pigment concentration for the bottle samples using fluorometric techniques. Particle sizes from $1\text{-}25\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ were measured from bottle samples using a Coulter Multisizer. A short-term sediment trap mooring using cylindrical sediment traps recorded mass flux during the first 2 weeks of the cruise and gravity cores provided samples of surface sediment. POPS and CTD/rosette casts were made routinely 3-12 times a day during the occupation of the 70 m deep central station at 40.5°N , 70.5°W . Transects were made across the shelf from about 40 m to 1000 m to determine regional variability.

RESULTS

The two cruises provided excellent time series observations of stratified summer conditions (stirred dramatically by the passage of Hurricane Edouard at the end of the cruise) for comparison with low-stratified spring conditions. Upon our arrival in the spring, the water column was nearly mixed due to winter storms, but was still a distinct 2-layer system which further stratified during the cruise to a 3-layer system due to solar heating even though several nor'easters passed through the area. Surface-water particle concentrations were 20-25% higher in the spring than in the summer, and pre-hurricane bottom water particle concentrations were about 60% greater in the summer. Particle fluxes measured with sediment traps at 25 and 65 m in the spring were about 10 and 4 times greater than in the summer at the same depths. We presume this is caused by greater springtime biological activity (substantially higher chlorophyll fluorescence) contributed to more rapid fluxes. Preliminary analyses of the aggregate concentration data do not show a systemic difference between the two cruises. Day to day variability in total aggregate abundance is as large as the inter-cruise variability.

The ADCP data showed periods of strong horizontal shear as internal waves or solibors generated at the shelf break passed the site in the summer, but these waves were not clearly observed in the spring. These events may be important for the generation and/or re-distribution of aggregates and the resultant impact on inherent optical properties of the water column.

Correlations between bulk particle properties (PM and POC concentrations) and optical properties were poor during highly stratified periods because stratification created numerous small layers of particles with different particle characteristics (composition, size, shape). Correlations were very different for near-surface versus near-bottom waters. After the passage of Hurricane Edouard the water column was mixed to a two-layer system in which correlations were very good, though different, in the two layers. The mean size of particles $<20\ \mu\text{m}$ decreased in bottom waters after the hurricane, decreasing the beam attenuation to PM ratio by 50%. The above correlations were much better during the spring when there was a 2-3 layer system, but the correlations were still different in each water mass, suggesting different particle types.

Generally, the aggregate distribution reflected the two or three layer water column, with the near surface layer being characterized by increasing aggregate concentrations from the surface to the pycnocline along with an increase in the relative abundance of the largest aggregates. Intermediate aggregate nepheloid layers were frequently found above the benthic boundary layer, indicative of aggregate rebound at an upstream location and subsequent advection to the site. These features were often found without a corresponding increase in beam attenuation, and sometimes were associated with a decrease in beam attenuation. Within the benthic boundary layer aggregate abundance was observed to increase with increasing beam attenuation. Often, but not always, the aggregate size distribution in the benthic boundary layer was skewed towards the smallest sizes suggesting that turbulent shear stresses may limit the size of aggregates and disrupt the larger aggregates settling out of the mid-water column.

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

It is more difficult to predict the optical properties of a stratified column of water than a well-mixed body of water given the bulk composition and concentration of particles within the water. During

strongly stratified periods, events of hurricane force were required to cause significant vertical mixing, although the passage of solibors also had an effect in the upper water column. When the water was only weakly stratified in the spring, nor'easters (with winds over 30 kt) caused only surface mixing: stratification increased as surface waters were heated during a two-week period despite frequent storms. Although particles in the upper water column may grow (primary production), remineralize, aggregate or settle to the lower water column, even minor stratification prevents mixing of particles from the lower layers to the upper layers, though wintertime mixing may extend throughout the water column.

TRANSITIONS

Our profiling instruments and software (partially developed under NSF and DOE funding) were used in the JGOFS Southern Ocean biogeochemical process studies.

RELATED PROJECTS

1 – The same optical and particle measurements were made in the upper water column of the Southern Ocean and are being correlated with hydrography, stratification and biogeochemical measurements to quantify carbon production, distribution and loss.

2 – Similar particle and optical measurements were made in May 1998 at the head of the Mississippi Canyon to study shelf-slope-canyon transport.




Figure 1. Time-series sections of particle beam attenuation during the summer, 1996 (upper) and spring, 1997 (lower). The mid-water maximum in the summer was due to biological productivity. Resuspension of bottom sediment nourished the bottom nepheloid layer, especially with the approach and passage of the hurricane.

PUBLICATIONS

Gardner, W.D. 1997. The Flux of Particles to the Deep Sea: Methods, Measurements and Mechanisms, *Oceanography* 10: 116-127.

Gardner, W.D., 1997. Visibility in the ocean and the effects of mixing, *Quarterdeck* 5: 4-9.

Richardson, M.J. and W.D. Gardner, 1997. Tools of the trade, *Quarterdeck* 5: 10-15.

Blakey, J. C., 1998. The distribution and optical response of particles on the continental shelf and their relationship to cross-isopycnal mixing processes. M.S. Thesis. Texas A&M University.

Blakey, J. C., Gardner, W.D., Richardson, M.J, and Walsh, I.D. 1998. The effect of stratification and mixing on the distribution of particles and their optical response on the continental shelf, AGU/ASLO Ocean Sciences Meeting, San Diego, CA.