

Large Direct Repeats Flank Genomic Rearrangements between a New Clinical Isolate of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A1 and Schu S4

Ufuk Nalbantoglu², Khalid Sayood², Michael P. Dempsey⁵, Peter C. Iwen¹, Stephen C. Francesconi⁶, Ravi D. Barabote^{3,4}, Gary Xie^{3,4}, Thomas S. Brettin⁷, Steven H. Hinrichs¹, Paul D. Fey^{1*}

1 Department of Pathology and Microbiology, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska, United States of America, **2** Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, United States of America, **3** Bioscience Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, United States of America, **4** DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California, United States of America, **5** Division of Microbiology, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, D.C., United States of America, **6** Naval Medical Research Center, Silver Spring, Maryland, United States of America, **7** Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, United States of America

Abstract

Francisella tularensis subspecies *tularensis* consists of two separate populations A1 and A2. This report describes the complete genome sequence of NE061598, an *F. tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* A1 isolated in 1998 from a human with clinical disease in Nebraska, United States of America. The genome sequence was compared to Schu S4, an *F. tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* A1a strain originally isolated in Ohio in 1941. It was determined that there were 25 nucleotide polymorphisms (22 SNPs and 3 indels) between Schu S4 and NE061598; two of these polymorphisms were in potential virulence loci. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis demonstrated that NE061598 was an A1a genotype. Other differences included repeat sequences ($n = 11$ separate loci), four of which were contained in coding sequences, and an inversion and rearrangement probably mediated by insertion sequences and the previously identified direct repeats I, II, and III. Five new variable-number tandem repeats were identified; three of these five were unique in NE061598 compared to Schu S4. Importantly, there was no gene loss or gain identified between NE061598 and Schu S4. Interpretation of these data suggests there is significant sequence conservation and chromosomal synteny within the A1 population. Further studies are needed to determine the biological properties driving the selective pressure that maintains the chromosomal structure of this monomorphic pathogen.

Citation: Nalbantoglu U, Sayood K, Dempsey MP, Iwen PC, Francesconi SC, et al. (2010) Large Direct Repeats Flank Genomic Rearrangements between a New Clinical Isolate of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A1 and Schu S4. PLoS ONE 5(2): e9007. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007

Editor: Niyaz Ahmed, University of Hyderabad, India

Received: September 6, 2009; **Accepted:** January 7, 2010; **Published:** February 3, 2010

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Public Domain declaration which stipulates that, once placed in the public domain, this work may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the Department of Defense, Defense Advanced Research Program Agency (award W911NF0510275) to S.H.H. The opinions expressed in this manuscript are the private views of the authors and are not the official views of the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, the Department of the Army, the United States Air Force, or the Department of Defense. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: pfey@unmc.edu

Introduction

Francisella tularensis is a highly pathogenic gram-negative coccobacillus that is the causative agent of tularemia, commonly referred to as “rabbit fever.” The large majority of disease is ulceroglandular in nature and can be traced to contact with an infected host (e.g. rabbit or cat) or vector (e.g. tick or mosquito); however more serious forms of disease such as pneumonic tularemia can be life-threatening, and therefore *F. tularensis* is considered a potential biowarfare agent. There are three recognized subspecies of *F. tularensis* including *tularensis* (commonly referred to as type A), *holarctica* (commonly referred to as type B), and *mediasiatica* as well as a closely related species *F. novicida*. These subspecies are associated with important geographic differences in their distribution with *F. tularensis holarctica* found throughout the northern temperate regions of both hemispheres whereas subspecies *tularensis* is found primarily in North America. In addition, the population of *F. tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* consists of two major, geographically isolated clades, A1 and A2 [1,2]. The A2

population has been isolated in the western United States whereas the A1 population is found east of the Rocky Mountains, primarily in the Ozark mountain regions of Missouri, Oklahoma and Arkansas. The genomes of two *F. tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* A1 isolates (Schu S4 and FSC198) have recently been sequenced; FSC198 was isolated from Slovakia in 1986 whereas Schu S4, an often-utilized virulent laboratory strain, is a clinical isolate obtained from Ohio in 1941 [3,4]. In addition, a draft sequence of a separate *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A.I isolate, FSC033, was also recently published [5]. FSC033 was isolated from a squirrel in Georgia, USA. Genomic comparisons between FSC198 and Schu S4 revealed remarkable sequence conservation; only 8 SNP and three variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) differences were noted [3]. Chaudhri et al. [3] have suggested that the close similarity between FSC198 and Schu S4 indicated that the FSC198 strain may have derived from Schu S4. Preliminary analysis between a recent human clinical isolate of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* obtained in 1998 in Nebraska and Schu S4 revealed distinguishing characteristics [6]. This presented an

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE SEP 2009		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2009 to 00-00-2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Large Direct Repeats Flank Genomic Rearrangements between a New Clinical Isolate of Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis A1 and Schu S4				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Medical Research Center, 503 Robert Grant Avenue, Silver Spring, MD, 20910				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Francisella tularensis subspecies tularensis consists of two separate populations A1 and A2. This report describes the complete genome sequence of NE061598, an F. tularensis subspecies tularensis A1 isolated in 1998 from a human with clinical disease in Nebraska, United States of America. The genome sequence was compared to Schu S4, an F. tularensis subspecies tularensis A1a strain originally isolated in Ohio in 1941. It was determined that there were 25 nucleotide polymorphisms (22 SNPs and 3 indels) between Schu S4 and NE061598; two of these polymorphisms were in potential virulence loci. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis demonstrated that NE061598 was an A1a genotype. Other differences included repeat sequences (n = 11 separate loci), four of which were contained in coding sequences, and an inversion and rearrangement probably mediated by insertion sequences and the previously identified direct repeats I, II, and III. Five new variable-number tandem repeats were identified; three of these five were unique in NE061598 compared to Schu S4. Importantly, there was no gene loss or gain identified between NE061598 and Schu S4. Interpretation of these data suggests there is significant sequence conservation and chromosomal synteny within the A1 population. Further studies are needed to determine the biological properties driving the selective pressure that maintains the chromosomal structure of this monomorphic pathogen.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

opportunity to further examine the genomic diversity within the A1 population, and therefore, the complete sequence of a *F. tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* A1 isolate NE061598 was determined. The genomes of the four A1 isolates that have been fully or partially sequenced (SchuS4, FSC198, NE061598 and FSC033) were compared in light of their temporal and spatial separation. This analysis demonstrated that the *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A1 population, as represented by these isolates, is highly clonal and displays a high degree of DNA sequence conservation and chromosomal synteny. The primary chromosomal differences between NE061598 and Schu S4/FSC198/FSC033 were due to rearrangements occurring between large direct repeats and insertion sequences.

Results

General Features

The genomic sequence of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* NE061598 (GenBank accession number CP001633 or at <http://bioinfo.unl.edu/NE061598genome>) consists of a single circular chromosome of size 1,892,681 base pairs (bp). General characteristics of the NE061598 genome are shown in Table 1. Using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, Kugeler et al have demonstrated the population of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A.I can be divided into at least two separate groups, A1a and A1b [2]. Previous PFGE analysis of NE061598 using both *PmeI* and *BamHI* suggested that it was a subtype A1a (data not shown and [6]).

Comparison to the Other Type A1 Strains

The NE061598 genome sequence contains 65 bp more than the FSC198 sequence [3] and 94 bp less than the Schu S4 sequence [4]. Previous bioinformatic analysis of the FSC198 and Schu S4 genomes demonstrated that there were only eight single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and three VNTR differences between these two isolates [3]. Therefore, based on the known genomic similarity between Schu S4 and FSC198, NE061598 was compared with Schu S4 (Genbank accession number AJ749949 and the Refseq accession no. NC_006570). The regions of difference between Schu S4 and NE061598 were divided into 2 types: small tandem repeats (Table 2) and

rearrangements (Table 3). The VNTR's listed in Table 2 accounted for the difference in size between the two isolates. Table 2 consists of known VNTR markers used previously for MLVA analysis [6,7] in addition to five newly identified tandem repeat differences (VNTR 1–5) discovered between NE061598 and Schu S4. Only one of the five new VNTRs was found within an open reading frame.

Compared to the published Schu S4 genome sequence, NE061598 had 25 polymorphisms (22 SNPs and 3 indels; Table 4). All SNP and indel differences were confirmed by repeat sequence analysis. Of the 22 confirmed SNPs, 6 were synonymous SNPs, 5 were intergenic SNPs, and 11 were nonsynonymous. There were no SNPs in rRNA or tRNA genes. Petrosino et al. [8] have identified 268 virulence genes associated with *F. tularensis*. Comparing NE061598 to Schu S4, only two of the proposed virulence genes identified by Petrosino et al. [8] were determined to have SNPs. These include a ferrous iron transport protein (FTT0249) and 2-isopropylmalate synthase (FTT0252). Both contain non-synonymous polymorphisms that result in a non-conservative amino acid substitution; it is unknown whether these mutations have any effect on protein function.

Apart from the rearrangements and polymorphisms, the main reason for the remaining genomic differences in composition and length between NE061598 and Schu S4 were found to be due to differences in the VNTR's. VNTR analysis has been very useful in epidemiological and population analyses of *Francisella* [6,7]. Of the twelve tandem repeats that have a unique number of repeats in NE061598 in comparison to Schu S4, 7 (FtM5, FtM9, FtM10, FtM21, VNTR-1, VNTR-2, and VNTR-4) occur in intergenic regions, and the remaining 4 (FtM2, FtM3, FtM6, and VNTR-3) are in coding regions (Table 3). Of these four, one repeat in the gene for a hypothetical protein (FtM2; FTT1800c [Schu S4] and NE6158_10490 [NE061598]) inserted two amino acids into the translated sequence. Another repeat in a gene for a hypothetical protein (VNTR3; FTT0877c [Schu S4]) resulted in a premature stop codon in NE061598. An insertion of 7 amino acids was observed in an ATP-dependent DNA helicase protein in NE061598 compared to Schu S4 (FTT1395c [Schu S4] and NE61598_07740 [NE061598]). Lastly, one tandem repeat difference (FtM3) appeared to eliminate a premature stop codon in a pseudogene in Schu S4 (TPR repeat region protein; FTT0294 [Schu S4] and NE61598_0160 [NE061598]). This difference resulted in a deletion of the repeat NKDNKDNKD. Importantly, NE061598 does not encode any unique genes that are not found in Schu S4.

Chromosomal Rearrangements

In order to describe the chromosomal rearrangements between NE061598 and Schu S4, the genomes were divided into six local collinear blocks (LCBs) as shown in Table 3 and Figure 1. The initial division was performed using the genome rearrangement analysis tool SPRING (Sorting Permutation by Reversals and block-INTERchanGes) [9]. These analyses demonstrated that the first, third and sixth LCBs are conserved whereas the second LCB is inverted in NE061598 with respect to Schu S4. The fourth and fifth LCBs are rearranged (Table 3 and Figure 1). These data are consistent with a previous comparison of two type A strains of *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis*, WY96 (A2) and Schu S4 (A1), which demonstrated the presence of various genome rearrangements due to inversions and block rearrangements mediated by insertion sequences [10]. The remaining LCBs have flanking duplicated regions. Several insertion elements were also observed juxtaposed to the flanking regions of the LCBs (Table 3) that might promote further chromosomal rearrangements during strain

Table 1. Genomic characteristics of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* NE061598.

Length (bp)	1892681
GC Content (%)	32.26
Total Genes	1850
Protein Coding Genes	1601
Genes Assigned Function	1185
Hypothetical proteins	416
Disrupted ORFs	201
Large Duplicated Regions	2
Transposons (IS elements)	75
tRNA	38
rRNA	10
sRNA	2
Average Gene Length (nt)	1068
Percent Coding	90.40%

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007.t001

Table 2. VNTR markers and their differences between Schu S4 and NE061598.

VNTR ^a Marker	Repeat motif	Repeat size (nt) ^b	Genomic Location	Repeat copy no., strain SCHU S4	Repeat copy no., strain NE061598
Ft-M1	AAT	3	I (−76)	3	3
Ft-M2	TAAATA	6	G (+12)	4	5
Ft-M3	AATAAGGAT	9	G (+1401)	25	20
Ft-M4	TTGTT	5	G (+55)	3	3
Ft-M5	TTTCTACAAATATCTT	16	I (−21)	3	2
Ft-M6	TTGGTGAACCTTCTGCTCTT	21	G (+1160)	4	5
Ft-M7	TTTCTACAAATATCTT	16	I (−21)	4	4
Ft-M8	TTTCTACAAATATCTT	16	I (−21)	4	4
Ft-M9	TTTCTACAAATATCTT	16	I (−21)	4	9
Ft-M10	TTTCTACAAATATCTT	16	I (−21)	18	8
Ft-M11	AATTATAAAT	10	I (−113)	5	5
Ft-M12	TAGCTTTTTT	10	I (−113)	2	2
Ft-M13	CTCCAGGACCAA	12	G (+1174)	2	2
Ft-M14	TCATTA	6	G (+67)	3	3
Ft-M15	ATACTT	6	G (+32)	2	2
Ft-M16	TAAAAGTAAG	10	I (+551)	2	2
Ft-M17	TATTTA	6	G (+484)	3	3
Ft-M18	CATTAA	6	I (−52)	4	4
Ft-M19	TAAATTTCTCATA	13	I (−20)	2	2
Ft-M20	ATTATTTTGATC	12	G (+1964)	3	3
Ft-M21	TCAATTA	7	G (+586)	3	4
Ft-M22	AAAAAT	6	G (+2254)	2	2
Ft-M23	AAGTAGCATTGTACGACCTCT	23	I (+1864)	2	2
Ft-M24	ATAAATTATTTATTTTGATTA	21	I (−93)	1	1
Ft-M25	GT	2	G (+525)	5	5
VNTR-1	CAAAGACA	8	I (−392)	1	3
VNTR-2	TTTATATAAGT	11	I (−42)	3	2
VNTR-3	GAAAATAA	8	G (+282)	1	2
VNTR-4	TTCTACAAATATCTTT	16	I (+22)	2	3
VNTR-5	AAAATGCCATCATATAGCCAAGATTTAG	29	I (−32)	1	1

^aFtM1–FtM25 VNTR markers as previously reported by Johansson et al. [7]. New VNTR polymorphisms identified in this study are listed as VNTR1 through VNTR-5.

^bIndicates repeat size in nucleotides.

^c“G” indicates that the repeat is located within an open reading frame (genic) whereas “I” indicates that the repeat is located within an intergenic region. Distance to predicted translation start site is indicated in nucleotides. “+” or “−” indicates that the translation start site is downstream or upstream of repeat motif, respectively (as reported by Johansson et al. [7]).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007.t002

Table 3. Description of six local collinear blocks (LCBs) between NE061598 and Schu S4.

LCB	Type	NE061598 Position	Schu S4 position
1	Conserved	1-352156	1-352087
2	Inversion	352157-381876	381807-352088
3	Conserved	381877-1312701	381808-1312781
4	Rearrangement	1312702-1700690	1379901-1767877
5	Rearrangement	1700691-1767602	1307424-1374335
6	Conserved	1767603-1892681	1767671-1892775

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007.t003

divergence. For example, the second LCB is inverted between NE061598 and Schu S4. This inversion is hypothesized to be due to 2969 bp long flanking regions on each side of the inverted region that are reverse complements of each other. These flanking regions are comprised of one ISFtu2 and two additional ISFtu1 insertion sequence elements.

The rearrangements in LCBs four and five are most probably mediated by two large duplicated regions (DR1 and DR2) previously discussed in the genome report comparing WY96 and Schu S4 [10]. These duplicated regions include the *Francisella* Pathogenicity Island (FPI) containing the *iglABCD* operon [11] required for intramacrophage growth. This operon is regulated by the transcription factor MglA that has been shown to regulate a number of virulence factors [12]. These two regions (33,910 bp) occur at locations 1,374,336–1,408,246 (DR1) and 1,767,671–1,801,581 (DR2) in Schu S4. In addition, a 5358 bp segment of

Table 4. Non-synonymous SNPs, synonymous SNPs, and indels discovered between NE061598 and Schu S4.

Schu S4/NE061598 ^a		Nucleotide change ^b	Type ^c	ORF_ID ^d	Product ^e	Putative amino acid change ^f
157940	158036	A/C	sSNP	FTT0144	DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta	SYN
218776	218872	G/A	iSNP	IGS	intergenic space or other non-protein-coding region	–
262990	263086	C/G	nSNP	FTT0249	ferrous iron transport protein [17]	T/R
269208	269304	C/T	nSNP	FTT0252	2-isopropylmalate synthase	S/F
297337	297433	C/T	sSNP	FTT0282	Cytochrome O ubiquinol oxidase subunit I	SYN
989503	989567	T/–	deletion	IGS	intergenic space or other non-protein-coding region	
1459387	1392208	G/–	deletion	IGS	intergenic space or other non-protein-coding region	
727330	727387	A/G	nSNP	FTT0708	major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transport protein	I/V
753071	753128	G/T	nSNP	FTT0729	ABC transporter, membrane protein	G/W
793639	793696	C/T	sSNP	FTT0773	50S ribosomal protein L27	SYN
853540	853597	C/A	nSNP	FTT0839	hypothetical membrane protein	H/N
920302	920367	G/A	nSNP	FTT0912c	ribosomal large subunit methyltransferase J	L/F
932205	932270	T/C	iSNP	IGS	intergenic space or other non-protein-coding region	–
1154882	1154948	A/T	iSNP	IGS	intergenic space or other non-protein-coding region	–
1223209	1223273	T/C	nSNP	FTT1204c	hypothetical membrane protein	T/A
1296176	1296067	C/T	sSNP	FTT1273	50S ribosomal protein L13	SYN
1351129	1744396	T/C	nSNP	FTT1323	Methylase	L/S
1419877	1352678	C/T	nSNP	FTT1373	3-oxoacyl-[acyl carrier protein] synthase III	P/S
1423162	1355963	A/G	nSNP	FTT1377	3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase II	S/G
1525732	1458553	G/A	sSNP	FTT1473c	Galactose-proton symporter, major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transport protein	SYN
1700620	1633433	C/T	sSNP	FTT1635	cell division protein (post-translational processing & secretion) [18]	SYN
1738053	1670866	T/C	iSNP	IGS	intergenic space or other non-protein-coding region	–
1833651	1833583	T/C	nSNP	FTT1744c	indolepyruvate decarboxylase	Y/C
1540425	1473247	–/A	insertion	IGS	intergenic space or other non-protein-coding region	–
570431	570488	T/C	iSNP	IGS	intergenic space or other non-protein-coding region	–

^aNucleotide number at which SNP or indel is located in the Schu S4 and NE061598 genome, respectively.

^bPutative nucleotide substitutions or indel in the Schu S4 and NE061598 genomes, respectively, as identified by genomic sequence comparison.

^cType of nucleotide substitution. sSNP, synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism; nSNP, non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism; iSNP, intergenic single nucleotide polymorphism.

^dOpen reading frame (ORF) associated with SNP or indel in the Schu S4 genome sequence. IGS, intergenic sequence.

^ePutative protein function of associated ORF.

^fAmino acid change of associated SNP or indel.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007.t004

the duplicated regions between the 208th and 5565th bases of the duplicated regions, was also duplicated at positions 1,307,425 bp–1,312,781 bp in Schu S4. No structural alterations in the *iglABCD* operon were found in NE061598.

The location of DRI and DRII in both Schu S4 and NE061598 are shown in figures 2A and 2B. In addition, DRIII (III, red) is shown which contains the aforementioned 5358 bp long segment of the duplicated regions [10]. Relating these regions to the LCBs noted in Figure 2, DRII is contained in LCB 6 while the other components are contained in LCBs four and five. The rearrangement can be explained as an edit operation in which one block with a partially duplicated flanking region is replaced by another block having DR1 as the flanking region (Figure 3). Consequently, DR2 is conserved in NE061598 but other regions have been transformed to partially duplicated regions. This genomic rearrangement results in the loss of the first 207 bp in DRI of NE061598 (Figure 2). Similar chromosomal changes mediated by these duplicated regions were also observed between Schu S4 and WY96 [10]. WY96 has a conserved copy of DRII and a copy lacking the first 207 bases as in the NE061598 LCB5 region (Figure 3B). These duplicated regions

were determined to be the most compositionally different segments of the genome using the Alien Hunter program [13].

While it is known that IS elements are significantly involved in intrachromosomal rearrangement, only one rearrangement associated with insertion sequences was observed when comparing NE061598 to Schu S4. The most parsimonious transformation using the rearrangements and inversions of the collinear blocks involved an inversion of LCB2 and the edit process discussed in Figure 2.

Comparison of NE061598 and Schu S4 with the Draft Sequence of *F. Tularensis* Subsp. *Tularensis* FSC033

Kugeler et al have demonstrated the population of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A1b is associated with higher mortality rates [2]. A prototype A1b isolate, FSC033, has recently been partially sequenced [2,5]. In order to perform preliminary genomic comparisons between FSC033, NE061598 and Schu S4, the genomes were divided into 10 LCBs as described above (Figure 3). This analysis found that the only major difference between FSC033 and NE061598/Schu S4 was the rearrangement of LCB2




Figure 1. Genome rearrangement representation for NE061598 and Schu S4 genomes. Each local collinear blocks (LCB) 1-6 is represented by a different color. Upside-down blocks (i.e. LCB2) represent the location of the reverse strand, which means an inversion has occurred. Note the rearrangements of LCB4 and LCB5.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007.g001

(Figure 3). The genomic organization of FSC033 surrounding DRI and DRII as shown in Figures 1 and 2 was similar to the Schu S4 genomic arrangement. Although few significant differences were observed regarding the genomic synteny between FSC033 (subtype A1b) and NE061598/Schu S4 (subtype A1a), SNP analysis indicated that 123 SNPs and 8 indels were detected between NE061598 and FSC033.

Transposable Elements

Seven different types ($n = 75$) of IS elements were found within NE061598 (Table 5). In addition to 50 ISFtu1 elements, NE061598 contains 16 ISFtu2 elements (of which one flanks the inverted LCB 2), 3 ISFtu3 and ISFtu6 elements, and one copy each of ISFtu4, ISFtu5 and ISSod13. All of the insertion sequences found in NE061598 are also present in Schu S4.

Discussion

Due to the remarkable sequence conservation between Schu S4 and FSC198 [3], speculation was made that these two isolates may have the same origin. Therefore, we proposed to sequence a

separate virulent isolate of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A1 and compare it with Schu S4 to evaluate the issue of sequence divergence over time. NE061598 was isolated in Nebraska in 1998 from the blood of a patient with ulceroglandular tularemia, Schu S4 was derived in 1941 and FSC198 was isolated in 1986. The availability of a recent clinically virulent isolate of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A.I isolate obtained in the mid-western portion of the United States provided the opportunity for an in-depth sequence comparison with other A.I. isolates. Because of the significant temporal separation (45 years) between Schu S4 and NE061598, the sequence conservation between these two isolates was unexpected. Even though VNTR analysis yielded 11 distinct polymorphisms (see Table 2), analysis of the entire genome only yielded 25 additional SNPs/indels. The most significant difference detected was an inversion associated with LCB 2 and rearrangements associated with LCBs 4 and 5 (see Figures 1 and 2); both events were predictably mediated through IS element recombination (LCB 2) or rearrangement mediated by large duplicated regions (LCBs 4 and 5). Significantly, there was no net gain (or loss) of genes within the NE061598 genome in relationship to Schu S4. These data may suggest that the minimal differences observed




Figure 2. Depiction of genomic rearrangement between local collinear blocks 4 and 5 in NE061598 compared to Schu S4. Direct repeats 1 (DRI) and II (DRII) are colored in green in both 3A (Schu S4) and 3B (NE061598). DRIII, a segment of both DRI and DRII, is colored in red. Note that DRIII is found independently in LCB4. The initial 207 bp of DRI and DRII in Schu S4 is colored in blue. Note that the genomic rearrangement resulted in the loss of this initial 207 bp region in DRI of NE061598.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007.g002




Figure 3. Genome rearrangement representation for NE061598, Schu S4 and FSC033 genomes. Each local collinear blocks (LCB) 1–10 is represented by a different color. Upside-down blocks (i.e. LCBs 3 and 9) represent the location of the reverse strand, which means an inversion has occurred. Each LCB is denoted above NE061598.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007.g003

in pulsed-field RFLP patterns of the *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A1 population may be due to IS- or direct repeat-mediated rearrangements and is not due to the acquisition of new genes [1,2,6]. Furthermore, these data support the notion that this highly monomorphic pathogen [14] may have undergone a recent population bottleneck which may be related to its specific host preference (e.g. lagomorphs, humans) and vectors (e.g. ticks). The further elucidation of the natural reservoir, hosts, and vectors of *F. tularensis* may lead to novel hypotheses of the selective pressure of this A1 population.

Due to the lack of genetic diversity noted within the *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A1 population, phylogenetic and population structure analyses are problematic and biased especially due to the rapid evolution of VNTR loci and lack of sensitivity of other methodologies [14,15]. However, whole genome SNP analysis has been successful at probing the population structure of highly monomorphic pathogens such as *B. anthracis* and other highly virulent pathogens [14,16]. A recent report using a variety of SNP analyses identified 11 subclades within *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* [15]. Phylogenetic analysis suggested that *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* originated from North America and was introduced multiple times into Eurasia. Further studies need to be performed to delineate the complicated population structure of *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A1 (both A1a and A1b) and its relationship to the *F. tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* A2 population. Data provided in our study may yield canonical SNPs that provide lineage- or strain-specific

phylogeny within this subspecies. The utility of these unique SNPs will be evaluated using large repositories of *F. tularensis* subspecies. Lastly, our study suggests that the genomic organization between the A1a and A1b populations may not significantly differ; however, preliminary SNP/indel analysis provides evidence that the increased virulence observed with A1b strains may reside in specific nucleotide alterations and not gene acquisition or loss.

Materials and Methods

Genome Sequencing of NE061598

The genome coverage determined at the end of the draft-sequencing phase was 11x and resulted in 19 contigs mapped into 12 scaffolds. The draft phase involved two clone libraries, one small insert library (2200 bp average insert size) and one medium insert library (6289 bp average insert size). Paired end shotgun reads from each of these libraries produced 12218 and 13156 reads respectively. During the finishing phase, seven transposon bomb libraries were created and sequenced to assist with repeat resolution. Four PCR shatter libraries were created and sequenced to assist with hard stops. An additional 528-primer walk reads were created as needed to address low quality regions of the draft assembly. The final genome at the end of the finishing stage was a complete genome with no gaps consisting of 1892901 base pairs. The overall average error rate of the finished genome was less than one error in 100,000 bp. The total number of reads used in the final assembly was 25,531.

Annotation

The open reading frames of Schu S4 strains were extracted and each ORF was searched for in the NE061598 chromosome using the standard Smith-Waterman algorithm [17]. The hits having accuracy higher than 98% identity were detected as initial annotations. Next, the NCBI annotation pipeline (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/guide/build.html>) was employed and any missed ORFs were extracted from the output of this pipeline. Eliminating the ORFs and overlapping genes that had already been recognized, protein BLAST searches were performed on filtered predictions of the pipeline.

Insertion Sequence Element Mapping

Annotated insertion sequence elements that are specific to *F. tularensis* were detected in the NE061598 genome using Smith-Waterman alignment [17].

Table 5. IS element found in NE061598 compared to Schu S4.

IS Elements	Number in NE061598	Number in Schu S4
ISFtu1 (IS630 family)	50	50
ISFtu2	16	16
ISFtu3 (ISNCY family, ISHpal-IS1016)	3	3
ISFtu4 (IS982 family)	1	1
ISFtu5 (IS4 family)	1	1
ISFtu6 (IS1595 family)	3	3
ISSod13	1	1
TOTAL	75	75

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009007.t005

SNP Discovery

SNP polymorphisms between Schu S4 and NE061598 were discovered using the *SNPsFinder* program of Los Alamos Laboratories (<http://snpsfinder.lanl.gov/UsersManual/index.html>). SNP predictions were then curated manually using BLAST (with parameters match: 1 mismatch: -4 existence and extension gaps: -1).

Genome Rearrangement Discovery

In order to determine the local collinear blocks (LCB), the SPRING tool [7] was utilized. The SPRING parameters for LCB discovery included the following. Block search mode: reversals (inversions) plus block interchange mode; minimum multi-MUM length: 21 bp (closest integer to $\log_2$ [1892 Kbp], where 1892 is the average genome length); minimum LCB length: 63 bp (3 x minimum multi-MUM); chromosome type: linear. The boundar-

ies of the rearrangements were further optimized using BLAST (expect threshold: 10; word size: 64; match score: 1; mismatch score: -4; existence and extension gaps: -1) around the 10 Kb flanking regions of LCB ends.

Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis

Agarose embedded DNA was prepared and digested with *PmeI* and *BamHI* as previously described [18]. RFLP analysis was performed using Bionumerics software (Applied Maths).

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: UN KS SHH PDF. Performed the experiments: UN KS RDB GX TSB PDF. Analyzed the data: UN KS RDB GX TSB SHH PDF. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: UN MPD PCI SCF RDB GX TSB SHH PDF. Wrote the paper: UN KS SHH PDF.

References

- Farlow J, Wagner DM, Dukerich M, Stanley M, Chu M, et al. (2005) *Francisella tularensis* in the United States. *Emerg Infect Dis* 11: 1835–1841.
- Kugeler KJ, Mead PS, Janusz AM, Staples JE, Kubota KA, et al. (2009) Molecular Epidemiology of *Francisella tularensis* in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis* 48: 863–870.
- Chaudhuri RR, Ren CP, Desmond L, Vincent GA, Silman NJ, et al. (2007) Genome sequencing shows that European isolates of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* are almost identical to US laboratory strain Schu S4. *PLoS ONE* 2: e352.
- Larsson P, Oyston PC, Chain P, Chu MC, Duffield M, et al. (2005) The complete genome sequence of *Francisella tularensis*, the causative agent of tularemia. *Nat Genet* 37: 153–159.
- Champion MD, Zeng Q, Nix EB, Nano FE, Keim P, et al. (2009) Comparative genomic characterization of *Francisella tularensis* strains belonging to low and high virulence subspecies. *PLoS Pathog* 5: e1000459.
- Fey PD, Dempsey MM, Olson ME, Chrastowski MS, Engle JL, et al. (2007) Molecular analysis of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* and *holarctica*. *Am J Clin Pathol* 128: 926–935.
- Johansson A, Farlow J, Larsson P, Dukerich M, Chambers E, et al. (2004) Worldwide genetic relationships among *Francisella tularensis* isolates determined by multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis. *J Bacteriol* 186: 5808–5818.
- Petrosino JF, Xiang Q, Karpas SE, Jiang H, Yerrapragada S, et al. (2006) Chromosome rearrangement and diversification of *Francisella tularensis* revealed by the type B (OSU18) genome sequence. *J Bacteriol* 188: 6977–6985.
- Lin YC, Lu CL, Liu YC, Tang CY (2006) SPRING: a tool for the analysis of genome rearrangement using reversals and block-interchanges. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34: W696–699.
- Beckstrom-Sternberg SM, Auerbach RK, Godbole S, Pearson JV, Beckstrom-Sternberg JS, et al. (2007) Complete genomic characterization of a pathogenic A.II strain of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *tularensis*. *PLoS ONE* 2: e947.
- Nano FE, Zhang N, Cowley SC, Klose KE, Cheung KK, et al. (2004) A *Francisella tularensis* pathogenicity island required for intramacrophage growth. *J Bacteriol* 186: 6430–6436.
- Brotcke A, Weiss DS, Kim CC, Chain P, Malfatti S, et al. (2006) Identification of MglA-regulated genes reveals novel virulence factors in *Francisella tularensis*. *Infect Immun* 74: 6642–6655.
- Vernikos GS, Parkhill J (2006) Interpolated variable order motifs for identification of horizontally acquired DNA: revisiting the *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands. *Bioinformatics* 22: 2196–2203.
- Achtman M (2008) Evolution, population structure, and phylogeography of genetically monomorphic bacterial pathogens. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 62: 53–70.
- Vogler AJ, Birdsell D, Price LB, Bowers JR, Beckstrom-Sternberg SM, et al. (2009) Phylogeography of *Francisella tularensis*: Global Expansion of a Highly Fit Clone. *J Bacteriol*.
- Van Ert MN, Easterday WR, Huynh LY, Okinaka RT, Hugh-Jones ME, et al. (2007) Global genetic population structure of *Bacillus anthracis*. *PLoS ONE* 2: e461.
- Smith TF, Waterman MS (1981) Identification of common molecular subsequences. *J Mol Biol* 147: 195–197.
- Staples JE, Kubota KA, Chalcraft LG, Mead PS, Petersen JM (2006) Epidemiologic and molecular analysis of human tularemia, United States, 1964–2004. *Emerg Infect Dis* 12: 1113–1118.