

Warfighter Auditory Situation Awareness: Locating the Shooter with and without Hearing Protection

John G. Casali, Ph.D., CPE

jcasali@vt.edu

Virginia Tech

Kristen Talcott, Ph.D.

kristen.talcott@gmail.com

NAVAIR

John P. Keady, Ph.D., J.D.

drjpk22@hotmail.com

Innovation R&D Lab

Mead Killion, Ph.D., Sc.D. (hon)

m_killion@etymotic.com

Etymotic Research, Inc.

Human Systems Integration Symposium
October 25-27, 2011 Vienna, VA

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE OCT 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Warfighter Auditory Situation Awareness: Locating the Shooter with and without Hearing Protection				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Virginia Tech,Auditory Systems Lab,Blacksburg,VA,24061-0002				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 36	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			


Acknowledgement & Disclaimer

- Funding and impetus provided by *Etymotic Research, Inc.* after the DARPA “B-E-E-P” workshop in January, 2010.
- Casali and Keady independently designed and conducted the experimental protocols. Talcott served as experimenter.
- Selection of HPEDs occurred after a review of the commercially-available technology at the time, and of devices currently in widespread use by the U.S. Army.


Warfighters Require Hearing Protection

- NIHL is the most common military disability (Saunders & Griest, 2009).
 - Over \$1.2 billion spent on hearing injuries in 2006.
 - 2007: VA dispensed ~ 350,000 hearing aids at ~ \$141 million.
- ~ 1/3 of soldiers from Iraq and Afghan theaters have NIHL (Ahroon, 2007).
- The hearing-impaired warfighter may pose a liability to himself and others in combat operations. **“Survivability & Lethality” implications.**
- Soldiers and pilots who lose their fitness-for-duty due to HL represent a **huge \$ investment** lost.
- Vause & Grantham (1999) showed more errors in localizing a rifle being cocked with certain earplugs, compared to open ear.
- Warfighters have little confidence in, and won't use HPDs that compromise their **situational awareness**. (Casali et al, 2009)

“Controlled” Field Study: Experimental Design



Combat Arms™ Earplug: Rocker Switch Version (AEARO/3M)



OPEN POSITION

Octave Band Attenuation Data (dB)
All data per ANSI S3.19-1974

Hz	125	250	500	1000	2000	3150	4000	6300	8000	NRR
Mean, dB	4.1	4.5	11.0	18.7	24.9	29.8	25.8	18.7	26.5	7
S.D., dB	2.7	2.8	3.9	3.2	3.3	2.7	3.3	3.6	3.3	

Peltor Com-Tac II™ Sound Transmission Earmuff (AEARO/3M)



		Octave Band Attenuation Data (dB) All data per S3.19-1974									
NRR*	CSA Class**	125		250	500	1000	2000	3150	4000	6300	8000
21	B	Mean	14.5	17.7	26.3	31.3	29.8	36.7	35.1	37.5	35.4
		SD	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.6	3.2	2.7	2.5	2.8	3.0

BlastPLGs™: EB-1 & EB-15 (identical appearance) (Etymotic Research, Inc.)




Attenuation Data per ANSI S3.19-1974


NRR = 25	125	250	500	1000	2000	3150	4000	6300	8000
mean (dB)	28.1	29.3	32.9	34.3	37.9	41.3	40.4	39.3	40.6
s.d (dB)	3.7	3.6	4.7	5.7	2.6	3.9	5.4	4.6	4.9

BlastPLGs™: EB-1 & EB-15 Gain Profiles -- LO Position (Etymotic Research, Inc.)

EB-1 LO



EB-15 LO




Subjects and Sessions

- **Age:** 22 - 54 years, $\bar{x} = 35$; 10 male, 3 female
- **(9) Normal hearing:**
 - Each ear: ≤ 25 dBHL at 250 Hz to 6000 Hz
 - **Symmetry:** ≤ 15 dBHL difference between ears
- **(4) Impaired hearing:**
 - ≤ 25 dBHL at 250 Hz to 1000 Hz
 - ranged from 45 - 95 dBHL at 2000 Hz and above
- **Attended 2 sessions:**
 - Screening: otoscopy, PT audiogram, informed consent
 - Experimental (3 - 5 hrs)

Stepwise Protocol - Experimental Session

- 1) Subject (S) fit by Experimenter (E) with HPED;
S faces flag target #1, E stands behind.
- 2) Truck Noise on or off (with generator as appropriate).
- 3) E initiates 75 dBA pink noise to mask Shooters' movements in woods. E radios 3 Shooters to move to positions. Shooters confirm upon arrival.
- 4) E turns pink noise off. S removes black goggles.
- 5) Digital recorder ON. Designated shooter fires gun - stopwatch ON.
- 6) S verbalizes target sign #, as QUICKLY and ACCURATELY as possible. Stopwatch OFF.
- 7) Repeat of steps 2 - 6 for all 8 Shooter positions x 2 trials each.
- 8) S performs ratings of HPED/OE condition.
- 9) Return to step 1 for next HPED/OE condition, randomized.

Field Test Site Configuration: *Measures*



Instrumented Subject at Center of Plateau



Sound Field Setup around Subject's Position



Hidden Generator (54-59 dBA) for Powering Masking Noise (diesel truck @ 82 dBA)




Target Sign #1, 0-degree position, under Flag



2 of 8 Shooter Positions



22-cal Blank Gunshot Spectra at Subject's Ear from Shooter's Position @ 150 ft




Statistical Analyses on each Dependent Measure


1. ANOVA ($p < 0.05$)
2. Breakdown of Main Effects and Interactions
 - Tukey's Test ($p < 0.05$)
3. Figures:
 - Means with the same letters are not significantly different at $p < 0.05$.
 - 95% Confidence Limits shown.

Gunshot Localization

HPED & Noise Effect: % Correct - Exact



Field Test Site Configuration: *Measures*




Gunshot Localization

HPED & Noise Effect: % Correct - Ballpark


□ Ambient: 45-50 dBA

■ Diesel Truck: 82 dBA




Hearing Protection Enhancement Device (HPED)/Listening Condition

Front-Rear Localization Confusion Regions




Gunshot Localization

HPED & Noise Effect: % Front-Rear Errors




Hearing Protection Enhancement Device (HPED)/Listening Condition

Right-Left Localization Confusion Regions




Gunshot Localization

HPED & Noise Effect: % Right-Left Errors




Gunshot Localization

HPED & Hearing Ability: % Right-Left Errors




Gunshot Localization


HPED & Noise Effect: Target Response Time



Rating: Confidence in Ability to Locate Gunshots




Rating: How Easy to Communicate with Experimenter




Shooter Position → 1


EB 1-Lo




EB 15-Lo




Combat Arms -
nonlinear



Peltor Com-Tac II



Open Ear



CONCLUSIONS

?



?

Conclusions: HPED Effects on Localization Accuracy

- On both 'exact' and 'ballpark' measures, the BlastPLGs were equivalent to the Combat Arms-Open, while the Peltor Com-Tac II was worse, with less than 25% correct exact localization.
- The Open Ear was generally better than than any of the HPEDs on 'exact' and 'ballpark' measures, but with accuracy only in the 50-60% range for 'exact' location.
- For all HPEDs, accuracy to the 'exact' location was about half that of accuracy to the 'ballpark' location.
 - Evidence that the ear is important for orientation.


Conclusions: HPED Effects on Response Time

- The Open Ear consistently provided **faster response times** than any of the HPEDs, except for the BlastPLG EB-1, which was equivalent.
- The Peltor Com-Tac II resulted in longer response times, by about a half-second on average, when compared to the other HPEDs.
 - Subjects were observed to make more **“reversals” of head position** with the Com-Tac muff.

Final Thoughts

- The **choice of HPED** makes a substantial difference in auditory localization of gunshots.
- Removing **pinnae cues** with a muff may not be offset by dichotic design.
- The **Open Ear's performance** in localization is difficult to improve upon.
- *Critical decisions* about HPED selection to maintain warfighter **auditory situation awareness** must anticipate all major subtask components of that complex task.

Sequential Human Tasks Involving Auditorially-Conveyed Threats



Stay tuned for detection and recognition, wherein the HPED results are very different, and the ubiquitous Combat Arms-Open did not fare as well.

Protect the Warfighter

and maintain aural situational awareness.

