

THE NEXT BIG STEPS FOR MUNITIONS RESPONSE

Technical Session 2B Classification Applied to Munitions Response – Development

ANDREW SCHWARTZ

U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville
(256) 895-1644
Andrew.B.Schwartz@usace.army.mil



US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG®



Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE NOV 2011	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Next Big Steps for Munitions Response		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
		5b. GRANT NUMBER	
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
		5e. TASK NUMBER	
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville (USAESCH),4820 University Square,Huntsville,AL,35816		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the Partners in Environmental Technology Technical Symposium & Workshop, 29 Nov ? 1 Dec 2011, Washington, DC. Sponsored by SERDP and ESTCP			
14. ABSTRACT Continued successes in advanced classification are moving our industry closer to their broad transition and mainstream use. Some barriers to transitioning advanced classification that just three years ago were thought to be huge brick walls are now just rubble under the ROC curve. Those that remain are already starting to come down as we learn to apply our models and classification algorithms. Demonstration successes from 2010 and 2011 and work currently ongoing through the ESTCP will be discussed in the context of the next steps needed to get these tools widely accepted in the DoD's Military Munitions Response Program.			
15. SUBJECT TERMS			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	
			18. NUMBER OF PAGES 18
			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

KEYNOTE ADDRESS
THE NEXT BIG STEPS FOR MUNITIONS RESPONSE

MR. ANDREW SCHWARTZ
U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville (USAESCH)
4820 University Square
Huntsville, AL 35816
(256) 895-1644
Andrew.B.Schwartz@usace.army.mil

Continued successes in advanced classification are moving our industry closer to their broad transition and mainstream use. Some barriers to transitioning advanced classification that just three years ago were thought to be huge brick walls are now just rubble under the ROC curve. Those that remain are already starting to come down as we learn to apply our models and classification algorithms. Demonstration successes from 2010 and 2011 and work currently ongoing through the ESTCP will be discussed in the context of the next steps needed to get these tools widely accepted in the DoD's Military Munitions Response Program.


Briefing Agenda

- The One Big Thing
- What's going on now
 - ▶ Shift the paradigm
- The next hurdles
 - ▶ How do we communicate this is the right thing to do?
 - ▶ Project-level process workflows
- What I Need From You
- My Crystal Ball



The One Big Thing

- Not leaving the easy stuff:
 - ▶ a 105mm @ a meter
 - ▶ Or a 37mm @ 0.3m



What this means to me:

- How do we know we have good data?
- What do good decisions “look” like?



Why is Everything Upside Down?

- **mag&flag**
 - Easy to understand (one switch & only 5 settings)
 - Belief that “everything” gets picked up
 - Been doing this since the 1940’s
- **Current DGM**
 - Those geophysicists are weird and speak a different language (?Geophysees?)
 - But their tools seem work pretty well
 - Been doing this since mid-1990’s
- **Advanced Classification**
 - Its new & I don’t understand it
 - What the heck are Eigenvalues...& I don’t understand it
 - What the heck is a feature vector...& I don’t understand it
 - These geophysicists are more weird than those others & I think they’re using English words but I’m not sure



Why is Everything Upside Down?

- **mag&flag**

- Easy to understand (one switch & only 5 settings)
- Belief that “everything” gets picked up
- Been doing this since the 1940’s

- **Current DGM**

- Those geophysicists are weird and speak a different language (?Geophysees?)
- But their tools seem work pretty well
- Been doing this since mid-1990’s

- **Advanced Classification**

- Its new & I don’t understand it
- What the heck are Eigenvalues...& I don’t understand it
- What the heck is a feature vector...& I don’t understand it
- These geophysicists are more weird than those others & I think they’re using English words but I’m not sure

Implicit Level
of “Comfort”




Need to Change The Paradigm

What's really going on

- **mag&flag**
 - Least sensitive instrumentation
 - Most prone to poor system performance
 - Cannot quantify what a “dig decision” means
- **Current DGM**
 - Very sensitive instrumentation
 - System performance can be monitored throughout
 - Dig decisions boil down to “Big” vs. “Small”
- **Advanced Classification**
 - Most sensitive instrumentation
 - System performance is quantified
 - Dig decisions built from the underlying physics

Knowledge of what we're leaving behind



How Do We Communicate This Is The Right Thing To Do?

What's really going on

The New Paradigm

- **mag&flag**

- Least sensitive instrumentation
- Most prone to poor system performance
- Cannot quantify what a “dig decision” means

- **Current DGM**

- Very sensitive instrumentation
- System performance can be monitored throughout
- Dig decisions boil down to “Big” vs. “Small”

- **Advanced Classification**


- Most sensitive instrumentation
- System performance is quantified
- Dig decisions built from the underlying physics



How Do We Communicate This Is The Right Thing To Do?



“That’s the way we’ve always done it”
= noise to us



“Look at that pretty ROC curve”
= noise to them


Boils down to choice

- The right people
- The right tools
- A plan that makes sense





What does “A plan that makes sense” mean?

- The ROC curve needs to have meaning
 - ▶ Why did you stop digging
 - ▶ Why did you select the things you did dig
 - ▶ “Comfort” needs to come out of the equation



What does “A plan that makes sense” mean?

Camp Butner:
Artillery Impact
Area (Area A)





37-mm projectiles
105-mm projectiles
155-mm projectiles

The *Classification Process* needs to make sense for the whole project site
(aka Munitions Response Site)



Process Workflow


This is an ESTCP demonstration





BUILDING STRONG®

Need A Process Workflow For The Whole MRS

This could look like an ESTCP demonstration



What an Apply Globally scenario really means



What I Need From You

- How do I explain we didn't miss the easy stuff?
- What are the important “back-up” materials I need to show we made the right decisions?
- Classification Processes designed for the whole MRS
- Challenge people who think they “get it all” using lesser technologies
- Up for discussion:
 - ▶ What are reasonable and achievable goals for
 - % UXO recovered
 - Clutter not needing to be excavated



My Magic 8 Ball



Near term:

- Will we be able to do this by #6 or #8? Original intent is to do this now?



My Magic 8 Ball



Long term:

- Will we implement a crossing zone and evacuations based on munitions ID?



Thank You

Army UXO Safety Program



Learn and Follow
the **3Rs**

RECOGNIZE: The danger that a souvenir
munition poses to yourself,
your family and your neighbors

RETREAT: Do not disturb, touch or move it
Do not give or throw it away

REPORT: Call 911

