



Acquisition Directorate

Research & Development Center

CSSC Fish Barrier Simulated Rescuer Touch Point Results, Operating Guidance, and Recommendations for Rescuer Safety

PUBLICATION NO: CG-D-01-12

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.



UNCLAS | CSSC Fish Barrier Simulated Rescuer Touch
Point Results, Operating Guidance, and
Recommendations for Rescuer Safety | CG-926 RDC |
M. Lewandowski, et al. | CG-534/CG-5213 |
February 2011



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE FEB 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE CSSC Fish Barrier Simulated Rescuer Touch Point Results, Operating Guidance, And Recommendations For Rescuer Safety				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) United States Coast Guard,Acquisition Directorate,Research & Development Center,Washington,DC,20593				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			


Introduction - Background

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) operates electric fish barriers in the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal (CSSC) to prevent dispersion of aquatic nuisance species
- Navy Experimental Diving Unit (NEDU) concluded a threat to human life is possible within the electrified zone due to voltage gradients present
- USCG Research and Development Center (RDC) (SAIC as contractor) conducted study to investigate safe rescuer response actions to assist a person-in-the-water (PIW)



Project Approach

- Meet stakeholders (USACE, local USCG, local responders) and tour facilities
- Review literature & data (USACE & NEDU)
- Design and conduct instrumented experiments
 - Electrical touch point currents associated w/PIW rescue
- Report on results
 - Identify rescue performance gaps,
 - I.D. requirements for rescue equipment, devices, or procedures,
 - Make recommendations (incl. changes to response)



Literature Review



- USACE tech reports on CSSC barrier fields
- NEDU report on risks of human immersion in CSSC
- Publically available literature
- Summary
 - USACE info as basis for test instrumentation setup
 - Medical data focused on DC or 50-60 Hz AC shock, not repetitive DC shock
 - NEDU summary data for human physiological response established analysis thresholds for touch point currents:

Threshold currents (in milliamps):

- 0-1 Perception Threshold
- 1-6 "Let-go" Threshold, Women
- 6-9 "Let-go" Threshold, Men
- > 9 Lose Muscle Control
- > 60 Heart Fibrillation

Experiment Test Plan

- Protocols for testing, safety, and communications
- Test conditions for rescue scenario touch point measurements
- Data acquisition and test apparatus



Data Acquisition Setup

- Local aluminum-hulled test vessel
- PIW simulated with electrode array
- Automated data recording system
 - Electrical data and GPS






Experiment Test Conditions

Test Condition	Description
Free-field current	Current flow through simulated PIW
Rescue vessel recovery touch point current	Current flow PIW to rescuer on aluminum hull
Shore recovery touch point current	Current flow PIW to rescuer on shore
Free-field current, dry suit	Current flow through PIW in dry suit, hands exposed
Life ring throw, polypropylene line	Current between PIW & rescuer using poly line
Life ring throw, nylon line	Current between PIW & rescuer using nylon line
Life ring throw, non-conductive rescue hook	Current between PIW & rescuer using hook
Surface voltage survey	Cross-check against USACE tests




Data Collection

- Continuously recorded electrical and GPS data during data transects along the barrier zone
- Halted testing as necessary to not impede traffic in the CSSC




Data Analysis

• Computed and charted peak currents




Free field current between horizontal electrodes, 48" apart, oriented parallel to vessel track (simulated PIW).



Touch point current between simulated PIW and simulated rescuer on an aluminum boat.

Data Analysis (Cont'd)

• Computed and charted peak currents



Peak current between polypropylene line & vessel.



Peak current through non-conductive hook to vessel.


Results

Test Condition Description	Highest Measured Peak Touch Point Current to Rescuer (mA)
Free-field current	380
Rescue vessel recovery touch point current	973
Shore recovery touch point current	41
Free-field current, dry suit	Not evaluated
Life ring throw, polypropylene line	<0.7
Life ring throw, nylon line	1.2
Life ring throw, non-conductive rescue hook	<1.0
Surface voltage survey	Not evaluated; open circuit testing

- 0-1 Perception Threshold
- 1-6 "Let-go" Threshold, Women
- 6-9 "Let-go" Threshold, Men
- > 9 Lose Muscle Control
- > 60 Heart Fibrillation

Results (Cont'd)

- Significant electrical currents within the electrified area
- Non-conductive materials effective at reducing touch point currents
 - Polypropylene rope, rescue hook, lineman's gloves
- Touch point currents with simulated rescuer & PIW highest when in direct contact with the aluminum hull





Conclusions

- **Humans floating through the electrified zone would be subjected to potentially lethal, through-the-body electric currents**
- **Electrical resistance of the simulated human body did not offer protection against the flow of electricity**
- **Simulated PIW in direct contact with a vessel metallic hull is more hazardous than no contact with the hull; rescue methods need to isolate the PIW from metallic objects**



Conclusions (Cont'd)

- Polypropylene rope & non-conductive rescue hook conducted very low amounts of current to a simulated rescuer on an aluminum boat
- In general, non-conductive or resistive materials are effective
 - Rescuers must understand electrical current paths
 - Rescuers must take precautions to avoid becoming part of the electrical circuit

Lineman's glove



Poly rope



Non-conductive
body rescue hook



Conclusions (Cont'd)

- **Touch point current with the canal wall were lower than in-water currents**
 - Shore-side rescue may be viable
- **Location of the actual electric fields was not well marked**
 - No easy, visual method on canal walls that indicate “less-hazardous” areas and actual extent of “hot” zone



Recommendations

WARNING

Under no circumstances should a rescuer enter or immerse any part of their body directly into the electrified waters in the CSSC. A rescuer should not make contact with any PIW (in the electrified area) unless the rescuer is electrically isolated from the PIW. Any attempt at rescue in electrified water conditions is inherently hazardous. These recommendations serve to mitigate hazards to rescuers, but not eliminate them. Nothing in these recommendations should be construed that rescue in electrified water is anything but a hazardous undertaking.

- Do not let rescuer enter the water or immerse any body part in the vicinity of the barriers
- Use non-conductive tethers to prevent a rescuer from inadvertently entering the water, whether the rescuer is aboard a vessel or ashore



Safety harness

Recommendations (Cont'd)

- **Use non-metallic hulled vessels to attempt rescue of a PIW in the barrier zone**
 - If rescuers must use a metallic hull, do not allow the metallic hull to make direct contact with the PIW
- **If unable to assist the PIW from a vessel, use a polypropylene throw-rope and life ring to reach the PIW from shore**
- **Use dielectric materials, including polypropylene line, non-conductive rescue hooks, and lineman's gloves, to provide a safer means of making contact with a PIW**
 - Use non-conductive materials to keep all rescuer body parts from making contact with the water or with the PIW while the PIW is in the electrified zone
 - Use the dielectric materials to move the PIW out of the electrified zone as quickly as possible



Recommendations (Cont'd)

- **In conjunction with USACE and local first responders, develop special markings for the canal walls to delineate the areas within the barrier zone that allow a greater degree of rescuer safety than others**
- **Provide all potential responders with a base level of electrical safety training**
 - Emphasize circuit awareness
 - Identify risks associated with electricity and water
 - Make aware of variations in rescue conditions in the CSSC electrified area
 - Make known the deleterious effects of even extremely low currents on individuals with implanted electrical devices



Next Steps

- **Conduct additional testing after USACE establishes permanent electrical configuration of CSSC**
 - Barrier IIB energized (with IIA and Demo barrier)
- **Use tools and techniques identified to date to test alternatives**
 - Use non-metallic (i.e. fiberglass) hulled vessel for “rescue” tests
 - Evaluate results of COTS rescue equipment

