

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 28-10-2011		2. REPORT TYPE Briefing Charts		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE High Energy Advanced Thermal Storage for Spacecraft Solar Thermal Power and Propulsion Systems				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) David B. Scharfe, M.P. Young, M.R. Gilpin, and R. Webb				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 50260542	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RZSA 10 E. Saturn Blvd. Edwards AFB CA 93524-7680				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-RZ-ED-VG-2011-442	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RZS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S NUMBER(S) AFRL-RZ-ED-VG-2011-442	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited (PA #11935).					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES For presentation at the JANNAF 2011 Joint Subcommittee Meeting, Huntsville, AL, 5-9 Dec 2011.					
14. ABSTRACT Previous reviews have identified solar thermal propulsion (STP) as a promising candidate for high performance microsatellite missions, due to its high delta-v capability with a quick response time, relatively high thrust and efficiency, and low mass fraction for high capability. However, there are drawbacks to solar thermal propulsion, outlined in this presentation. Augmented STP is proposed and described.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Mr. Marcus P. Young
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	SAR	45	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) N/A



High Energy Advanced Thermal Storage for Spacecraft Solar Thermal Power and Propulsion Systems

David B. Scharfe, ERC, Inc.

M.P. Young, AFRL/RZSA

M.R. Gilpin, USC

R. Webb, UCCS





NOTE TO REVIEWERS



- **Please Note:**
 - This presentation is based on the JANNAF conference paper that was sent through the STINFO/approval process:
 - The paper is STINFO # AFRL-RZ-ED-TP-11-412
 - The paper has been approved through AFRL's process and the Public Affairs review. The public Affairs Clearance Number of the paper is 11878.



Outline



- **Motivation – Solar Thermal Propulsion and Microsatellites**
- **Solar Thermal Drawbacks**
- **Augmented Solar Thermal Propulsion**
 - Propellant Requirement
 - Sensible vs. Latent Heat Energy Storage
 - High Temperature Elemental Phase Change Materials
- **Necessary Development Requirements**
- **USC Experimental System**
 - Project Design and Goals
 - Initial Data and Results
- **Conclusions**



Motivation

- Previous reviews have identified solar thermal propulsion (STP) as a promising candidate for high performance microsatellite missions [Kennedy 2002, Scharfe 2009]
 - High ΔV capability *with* a quick response time
 - Relatively high thrust and efficiency
- | Chemical | Solar Thermal | Electric |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| $\sim 230s \text{ } I_{sp}$ | $300-700s \text{ } I_{sp}$ | $>1000s \text{ } I_{sp}$ |
- Low mass fraction for high capability
 - $< 50\% m_{\text{propulsion}}$ including propellant for ΔV of 1.5 km/s



Propulsion and ΔV for Microsats

- **Microsatellites → Secondary Payload**
 - Remedy sub-optimal orbit insertion
 - Requires 100s to 1000s of m/s ΔV
- **Expand the Microsatellite Operating Envelope**

Near Escape Missions: 770-1,770 m/s

- NEO flybys
- Highly eccentric earth orbits
- LaGrange points
- Earth trailing orbits

GEO Insertion: ~1,760 m/s

- GTO → GEO

Other Body Capture: 1,110-4,000 m/s

- Starting from fly-by

May be possible with EP but....
STP offers a much shorter
burn time depending on
maneuver strategy



Solar Thermal Drawbacks

Without Energy Storage, Output is Illumination Dependent

Thruster operation needs to be de-coupled from illumination

- **Large propellant storage volume**
utilizing H_2 to achieve $\sim 700s$ Isp
Trade performance for lower volume?
- **Solar thermal requires own concentration system**
Additional spacecraft component
- **Concentrators must be aimed at the sun for propulsion**
De-couple optics from s/c orientation?



Solar Thermal Drawbacks

Without Energy Storage, Output is Illumination Dependent

Thruster operation needs to be de-coupled from illumination

- **Large propellant storage volume utilizing H_2 to achieve ~700s Isp**
Trade performance for lower volume?
 **Ammonia Propellant**
400s Isp w/ practical storage
- **Solar thermal requires own concentration system**
Additional spacecraft component
- **Concentrators must be aimed at the sun for propulsion**
De-couple optics from s/c orientation?



Solar Thermal Drawbacks

Without Energy Storage, Output is Illumination Dependent

Thruster operation needs to be de-coupled from illumination

- **Large propellant storage volume**
utilizing H_2 to achieve $\sim 700s$ Isp
Trade performance for lower volume? → **Ammonia Propellant**
400s Isp w/ practical storage
- **Solar thermal requires own concentration system**
Additional spacecraft component → **Bi-Modal System**
Thermal-Electric Conversion
- **Concentrators must be aimed at the sun for propulsion**
De-couple optics from s/c orientation?



Solar Thermal Drawbacks

Without Energy Storage, Output is Illumination Dependent

Thruster operation needs to be de-coupled from illumination

- **Large propellant storage volume**
utilizing H_2 to achieve $\sim 700s$ Isp
Trade performance for lower volume?




Ammonia Propellant
400s Isp w/ practical storage

- **Solar thermal requires own concentration system**
Additional spacecraft component



Bi-Modal System
Thermal-Electric Conversion

- **Concentrators must be aimed at the sun for propulsion**
De-couple optics from s/c orientation?







Solar Thermal Projects

Despite major development projects, no STP system has flown

Solar Orbit Transfer Vehicle (SOTV)



Integrated Solar Upper Stage (ISUS)



- **Large Scale Systems**
H₂ propellant storage
- **Sensible Heat Storage**
Sensible heat graphite storage
- **Bi-Modal Operation**
High power thermionic conversion

Optimize thermal energy storage for enhanced capability and microsat scalability?



Augmented STP

Goal: Augment STP with Advanced Thermal Energy Storage

For a 100kg Satellite in LEO

Thrust	1 N
I_{sp}	300-400 s
Specific Power Density	> 200 W / kg
Elec. P. Available	100 W Cont.
Thermal E. Storage Density	> 2500 kJ/kg

High Energy Density


- **Li-Ion batteries achieve 500 kJ/kg**
Needs to be on the order of MJ/kg
- **Current PV systems achieve 80 W/kg**
Needs to achieve greater than 100 W/kg

High Temperature

- I_{sp} directly tied with temperature
- Propellant defines the storage temperature required



Propulsion Requirement



Ammonia Propellant

- Readily storable vs. H_2
- System can self pressurize
- Dissociation at high T
- Target I_{sp} of 300-400s surpasses small scale chemical systems

Propulsion Requirement Fundamentally Sets Operating Temperature

$$T_{\text{storage}} = 2500 \text{ K}$$



Solar Concentrator Requirements



For 2500K, a concentration ratio of $\sim 10,000:1$ is required

- Both inflatable and rigid designs have been proposed
- 1kg/m^2 is commonly achieved
- Small scale concentrators @ 200g/m^2 have been shown




Source: Sahara



Source: SRS Technologies

Can De-Couple Orientation via Fiber Optics

- Mass benefit from multiple smaller concentrators
- $70\% \eta_{\text{total}}$ for a space qualified system
- Current lab systems at $35\% \eta_{\text{total}}$





Sensible Heat Systems

- Requires a high specific heat and a large operating temp range
- Fundamentally large ΔT during operation
- Graphite sensible heat storage was included in the ISUS design



(Solar Millennium Andasol 1 & 2)

Terrestrial Standard

60% NaNO_3 + 40% KNO_3

$T_{\text{operation}} = 560\text{-}850 \text{ K}$

$\Delta T = 280\text{K} \rightarrow 0.42 \text{ MJ/kg}$

Material	$T_{\text{melt}} \text{ [K]}$	$c_{p,s} \text{ [kJ/kgK]}$	$\Delta E/m_{2000-2600\text{K}} \text{ [MJ/kg]}$
Carbon	3923	2.09	1.25
B_4C	2700	2.51	1.506
BeO	3010	2.43	1.458
Molybdenum	2890	0.255	0.153
Silicon Carbide	2818	1.47	0.882
Boron Nitride	3273	1.99	1.194

**Materials
capable of
operation at
the T_h target**



Latent Heat Systems

- Typically Liquid $\rightarrow$ Solid Transition
- Relatively constant temperature energy delivery
- Consistent thrust performance and tunable electrical energy conversion

Previous evaluations break down materials into 3 categories

Class	ΔH_{fus} [MJ/kg]	T_{melt} [K]	k_{th} [W/mK]
Paraffin Wax	0.072 – 0.214	317 – 379	0.19 – 0.75
Fatty Acids	0.045 – 0.210	268 – 344	0.14 – 0.17
Hydrated Salts	0.115 – 0.492	281 – 1170	0.46 – 5.0

Key Problems

- 1) Energy Density and k_{th} an order of magnitude too low
- 2) Melt temperatures too low for spacecraft application
- 3) Some decompose after repeated cycling
- 4) Difficulties in storing a molten material



High-T Phase Change Material Considerations

- Properly matched melting temperature
 - High energy density
 - Good material stability
 - Manageable material compatibility
 - High thermal conductivity
 - Low vapor pressure at melting temperature
 - Small volume change during transition
 - High emissivity
- Enabling parameters**
- Feasibility requirements**

High Temperature Elemental Materials May Fill This Role



High-T Latent Heat Energy Storage

Material	Melting Temp [K]	Heat of fusion [kJ/kg]	Thermal Conductivity [W/mK]
Beryllium	1560	1312	200
Silicon	1687	1785	149
Nickel	1728	298	90.9
Cobalt	1768	272	100
Yttrium	1799	128	17.2
Iron	1811	247	80.4
Scandium	1814	313	15.8
Palladium	1828	157	71.8
Lutetium	1925	126	16.4
Titanium	1941	295	21.9
Zirconium	2128	153	22.7
Chromium	2180	403	93.9
Vanadium	2183	422	30.7
Rhodium	2237	258	150
Boron	2570	4600	27.4
Hafnium	2506	152	23.2
Ruthenium	2607	381	117
Iridium	2739	213	147



Phase-Change TSM - Silicon



- Moderate melting temperature
- Meets microsatellite performance goals
- **330s Isp**
- **1.8 MJ/kg**
- **<2 kg required for target storage**
- **Research/industry familiarity**
- **Radiative output aligned with GaSb/GaAr TPV cells**

Key Issues


- 1) **Material Compatibilities (chemical/physical)**
- 2) **Research/Industry: short-term containment**
- 3) **Material compatibilities**



Phase-Change TSM - Boron



- Ideal melting temperature
- Meets ideal microsatellite performance goals
- **400s Isp**
- **4.56 MJ/kg**
- **< 1kg Required for target storage**



Key Issues

- 1) Limited body of research into molten boron
- 2) Extreme insulation requirements
- 3) Material compatibilities
- 4) How to harness the stored energy?



Development Requirements

Electrical Energy Conversion



Technology	P_{sp} [W/kg]	Efficiency	T_{max} [K]	Comments
Thermoelectric	9.4	6.3%	1273	- Limited temperature operation. - Incremental development OK for P_{sp} & h.
Thermophotovoltaic	15	>30%	None	- Long lifetime demonstration required. - Operation in space environment required.
Thermionic	100@1kWe	> 10%	2200	Baselined for another application at higher power levels.
AMTEC	14	16%	1300	Unlikely to achieve required temperatures.
Nantenna	???	<1%	None	- Significant uncertainties in all aspects. - Current concern: efficient rectifying diode.
Closed Brayton	---	29%	1700	- Possible to achieve required temperatures. - Mass may be high for low power levels.
Free Piston Stirling	100	35%	1050	- Unlikely to achieve required temperatures. - High performance & long lifetime demonstrated.



Development Requirements


Electrical Energy Conversion



Thermophotovoltaics → Strongest Candidate System

McDonnell Douglas


- Designed with *molten silicon* energy storage in mind
- Estimate 25%-40% efficiency



Source: Stone et. al

Edtek

- 25% efficiency pure electric



Source: Edtek





Development Requirements

High Temperature Insulation



Material	Clear	Density [kg/m ³]	T_{melt} [K]	$k_{th,500K}$ [W/mK]	$k_{th,1000K}$ [W/mK]	$k_{th,1500K}$ [W/mK]	$k_{th,2000K}$ [W/mK]	$k_{th,2500K}$ [W/mK]
Aerogel ¹⁶	Some	80	600	0.01				
Fused Silica ¹⁹	Yes	2200	1985	1.5	2.1	2.1		
Sapphire ¹⁸	Yes	4000	2313	20	8	---	---	
Alumina ¹⁸	No	4000	2345	21	5	5	8	
Boron Carbide ¹⁷	No	2520	2673	12.5	9	6.5	---	---
Silicon Carbide ²¹	Yes	3210	3003	120	60	38	28	---
Boron Nitride ¹⁸	Some	3487	3246	37	22	21	19	---
Carbon Bonded Carbon Fiber ²⁰	No	180	3273	---	0.4	---	0.9	---
Vacuum [$\Delta x=1\text{cm}$]	Yes	---	---	0.15	0.80	2.39	5.33	10.1

- **Must operate between 1,500 – 2,500 K**
- **CBCF is a promising candidate material**
 - Used in NASA RTG development
 - Estimate 1.4kg of insulation for 1kg of molten boron
- **Vacuum gap with reflective surface is typical first stage in thermophotovoltaic systems**



Development Requirements

Thermal Coupling with Propellant



High Temperature Receiver + Convective Heating

Integrated Solar Upper Stage (ISUS) – NASA/AFRL 1990s

- Bi-Modal system for large satellites at a TRL level of 6
- Utilized thermionic converters and H_2 propellant




Figure 8. RAC Subsystem Schematic


- Graphite (rhenium coated) sensible thermal energy storage
- Highly effective heat exchanger design
- Higher flux of propellant than possible with direct radiative heating



Preliminary RAC Design

Many Developmental Requirements Have Already Been Investigated


- Initial RAC for use in COMSOL investigation and design comparison




- LEO Operation
- 100 W Thermal Draw
- 100 W Electrical Draw
- 75% energy retention per cycle
- At 20% $\eta_{\text{conv.}}$ less than 0.5 kg of boron is required



RAC Design/Analysis - Silicon



SSR = Surface to surface radiation
SAR = Surface to ambient radiation
— = Variable reflectivity



- **Heat transfer analysis and geometry optimization via COMSOL**
 - Varying cavity length, insulation and gap thickness, surface reflectivities, etc.



USC-based Experiment



Further Advancement Requires Practical Understanding


Near Term Goal: Produce and maintain a molten PCM sample via concentrated solar radiation,

- Silicon first

Far Term Goal: Evaluate the viability of the viability of boron-based phase change energy storage



Project to Address:

- High power solar concentration
- Material compatibilities
 - Physical/Thermal and Chemical
- Radiation shielding
- Power coupling






First Solar Concentration System





Solar Concentration System



- 2.4 x 2.4 m Heliostat for directiong sunlight onto parabolic concentrator dish
- Redirection mirror optimized to maintain 90% dish utilization
- Est: 1000 W delivery @ insolation of 700 W/m²
- Overall $\eta_{\text{transmission}}$ est. at 56%
- LabView controlled heliostat accurate to ~1/8" at focal point




**Intended for testing various RAC concepts,
and analyzing materials compatibilities**



Crucible Material Considerations



- **HBC Grade Boron Nitride**
Lacks boric oxide binder to prevent outgassing
- **“Go-To” solution after literature review**
- **Low Reactivity with other high temp materials**



3000 K Working Temp*

$$K_{th} \approx 25 \text{ W/m-K}$$






Crucible Material Considerations



*BN has a strong dissociation tendency

- Experimental system must be kept at a pressure above the nitrogen equilibrium pressure
- Sources not in agreement on P_{eq} , estimated between 0.1 and 10 Torr at 2500 K
- Can be remedied by operation in a Argon or Helium Environment [Mar 1972, Kimpel & Moss 1968]
- Alternatively, BN can dissociate and self pressurize a sealed container



Source: Stout 1972







Radiation Shielding

- Without shielding, radiation loss is greater than **2300 W**



Molybdenum Radiation Shield

- >70% drop in radiation losses
- Spherical cavity with mirrored surface
- Total input of ~ **800 W** required





Shield Support Structure





- Type C and J probes throughout
- Placed in chamber under a quartz window
- Initially designed with copper jacket; will be replaced with insulator or other design





Initial Data

- Testing at 10% design power level to evaluate diagnostics and system performance
- Indicates a significant reduction in radiation losses






Empty and Boron Filled Crucibles





Measured Temp. ≈ 900 K



Measured Temp. ≈ 800 K



Upper Surface Measurements




Gradient of ~ 1000 K across the crucible



Large Thermal Gradients

- Top down radiation input approach is producing large thermal gradients
- Requires a design capable of distributing incoming radiation
- Packed boron bed and BN both have a relatively low thermal conductivity



Source: Gusarov et. al

With current $< 1\mu$ particles
 $k_{\text{eff}} \approx 10 \text{ W/mK}$



Top Layer




2nd Layer



Est. Profile



Future Concentration System



- Long-term: concentrator consisting of smaller spherical mirror segments
- Near-term: 1 m² Fresnel lens directing light into the test cell for lower power tests (~250 Watts measured via radiative flux meter at focal point; estimated ~200 Watts delivered inside cell)
- Focus on silicon in the near-term



Conclusions

- **Microsat capability can be significantly enhanced by STP augmented with high performance thermal energy storage**
 - High performance bi-modal system is required
 - Apart from high performance energy storage, many technical challenges have already been addressed
 - High temperature elemental phase change materials may meet performance requirements
- **Molten Boron as a PCM**
 - Near ideal melting / storage temperature
 - High energy storage density
 - Multiple technical challenges



Conclusions

- **USC Experimental Facility**
 - System to produce and contain molten TSM with concentrated solar radiation is under development
 - Initial data has already identified key areas of concern for the project
- **Future Work**
 - Upgrade to the solar concentration system
 - Create/contain molten silicon, analyze system
 - Work toward molten boron
 - Demonstrate and assess the viability of a molten boron based system



Questions / Comments?





Supplemental Slides





Development Requirements

PCM Containment



Container must manage long term stability and reactivity with the PCM

Tungsten, Molybdenum, Tantalum


- Limited research suggests boride formation
- Required for other system components

Graphite

- 20-25% bulk sample contamination in molten boron crucible use [Stout, 1973]
- Can attack other system materials

Boron Nitride

- Material of choice for molten boron testing
- Dissociation issues at high temperature




Source: Kimpel & Moss 1968



USC MBE

Rad. Shielding Assumptions

Rad loss is the radiation transferred to the shield from the crucible



Boron and Top Surface

- Modeled as if UN-SHIELDED grey body

Assume an “enclosure” with shield

- These sides of the crucible have a view factor of 1 w/ Mo
- Small fraction of re-radiated radiation escapes the cavity

$$q_{12} = \frac{\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\frac{1 - \varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 A_1} + \frac{1}{A_1 F_{12}} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2 A_2}}$$


- Moly is kept 200 K below crucible
- Neglect conduction through tips



USC MBE

Rad. Shielding Assumptions

Rad loss is the radiation transferred to the shield from the crucible



Assume an “enclosure” with shield

- These sides of the crucible have a view factor of 1 w/ Mo
- Small fraction of re-radiated radiation escapes the cavity

$$q_{12} = \frac{\sigma(T_1^4 - T_2^4)}{\frac{1-\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1 A_1} + \frac{1}{A_1 F_{12}} + \frac{1-\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2 A_2}}$$

- Moly is kept 200 K below crucible
- Neglect conduction through tips