

A Numerical Modeling Framework for Cohesive Sediment Transport Driven by Waves and Tidal Currents

Tian-Jian Hsu

Civil and Environmental Engineering

Center for Applied Coastal Research

University of Delaware

Newark, DE 19716

Email: thsu@udel.edu; Tel: 302-831-4172

Grant Number: N00014-11-1-0270

LONG-TERM GOALS

To develop a robust multi-phase, multi-class numerical modeling framework for both cohesive and non-cohesive sediment transport in the fluvial, estuarine and coastal environments.

OBJECTIVES

This study specifically focuses on numerical modeling of critical processes at small-scale ($O(\text{cm}-100\text{m})$). Specific objectives are

1. To develop turbulence-resolving numerical model of fine sediment transport in the oscillatory boundary layer in order to understand how turbulence-sediment interactions can determine fluid mud transport and the state of muddy seabed.
2. To develop a two-dimensional-vertical (2DV) numerical model based on Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations and volume of fluid method for free-surface tracking to study mechanisms causing landward and seaward fine sediment transport in inter-tidal zones.
3. To understand the competing effects between mud dissipation and shoaling in determining the resulting nonlinear wave propagation using a 2DV-RANS model for wave-mud interactions.

APPROACH

Cohesive sediment transport involves a variety of physical mechanisms including boundary layer processes (tidal and wave), gravity-driven flow, turbulence modulation, flocculation, non-Newtonian rheological behavior and consolidation (e.g., Mehta 1989; Winterwerp and van Kerstern 2004). A general modeling framework appropriate for a wide range of concentration needs to be based on multiphase flow theory. In this study, a fine sediment transport modeling framework based on Equilibrium Eulerian Approximation (Ferry & Balachandar 2001) to the multiphase equations has been developed and extended to model various cohesive sediment transport processes. This fine sediment modeling framework is the basis of three numerical models developed for 1DV (one-dimensional-vertical), 2DV and 3D for different applications investigated in this study. In the Reynolds-averaged 1DV modeling, the dynamics of wave-supported gravity-driven mudflows has been studied (Hsu et al.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Numerical Modeling Framework for Cohesive Sediment Transport Driven by Waves and Tidal Currents				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Delaware,Civil and Environmental Engineering,Center for Applied Coastal Research,Newark,DE,19716				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

2007; 2008). This model is recently utilized by other researchers to model field observed wave-current driven fluid mud transport (Safak et al. 2010). Efforts are also devoted to improve existing flocculation formulation with more robust parameterizations on fractal dimension and floc yield strength (Son & Hsu et al. 2008, 2009). New flocculation formulation is then adopted in the 1DV model to study the effect of flocculation and bed erodibility on cohesive sediment transport in a meso-tidal environment (Son & Hsu 2011a,b). In the Reynolds-averaged 2DV modeling, we further utilize a volume of fluid (VOF) code for free-surface tracking (Lin & Liu 1998) and investigate wave propagation over muddy seabed (Torres-Freyermuth & Hsu 2010). Recently, this 2DV-VOF code is also extended to simulate cross-shore fine sediment transport in intertidal flats. To greatly reduce the uncertainties in the turbulence closure for sediment-laden flow, a 3D highly accurate turbulence-resolving Navier-Stokes solver is extended to study the role of sediment-induced density stratification in determining the resulting fine sediment transport and bed state in the wave boundary layer (Ozdemir et al. 2010, 2011). Our modeling work reported here are directly related to past and ongoing ONR research programs, such as MURI wave-mud interaction and Tidal flat DRI.

WORK COMPLETED

3D two-way coupled turbulence-resolving simulation

A 3D numerical simulation tool for fine sediment transport in oscillatory boundary layer has recently been developed where all the scales of carrier flow turbulence are resolved without the uncertainties in turbulence closure (Ozdemir et al. 2010). For a fixed Stokes Reynolds number of $Re_\Delta=1000$ (representing the most energetic shelf where mud can be observed) and settling velocity, we vary the available amount of sediment in the oscillatory boundary layer and observe four different flow regimes ranging from well-mixed sediment in the wave boundary layer, formulation of lutocline and laminarization (see Figure 1 for more details). By keeping the sediment availability unchanged, in FY11 we further demonstrate the existence of these flow regimes for a range of sediment settling velocities. All these simulation results allow us to construct a 2D regime map for the state of muddy seabed for a range of sediment availability and nondimensional settling velocity at $Re_\Delta=1000$. Main findings are published recently (Ozdemir et al. 2011). Recently, we have also started simulations at lower $Re_\Delta=400\sim800$. These lower Reynolds number cases are similar to recent field observation at Atchafalaya inner shelf (Traykovski, Sheremet, personal communication). The simulation code utilized so far is based on a pseudo-spectral scheme (Cortese & Balachandar 1995). We recently revise this code with a sixth-order compact finite-difference scheme in the vertical direction (bed-normal direction). This revision allow us to implement more complicated rheological stress closure (e.g., concentration dependent viscosity and yield stress) for mud and hinder settling at higher mud concentration.

2DV RANS-VOF modeling of fine sediment transport across tidal flats

Conventional coastal modeling systems have difficulties in resolving the tidal front at the wetting and drying seabed and some numerical approximations, such as specifying a minimum artificial flow depth, are often adopted. However, it is not clear how such artificial numerical treatment can affect the accuracy of the results when tidal water's edge is of interest. Hence, a new numerical modeling approach for this problem is necessary. The 2DV RANS-VOF numerical model previously used for wave-mud interaction is revised for tidal flow. The model is used to simulate fine sediment transport across an idealized tidal flat and to investigate mechanisms causing the net landward and seaward sediment transport. Similar idealized domain and flow condition are simulated with ROMS to evaluate

the capability of typical coastal modeling system in resolving shallow water processes at tidal flats and net sediment transport.

2DV RANS-VOF modeling of wave-mud interaction

Previously we have used the 2DV RANS-VOF model to identify the competing effects between the direct dissipation and the shoaling effect in causing the nonlinear wave energy transfer. Our goal is to clarify the seemingly discrepancies between recent field observation (Elgar & Raubenheimer 2008) and classic wave-mud interaction theory (Dalrymple & Liu 1976). A manuscript on this topic is currently in preparation through collaboration with Dr. Alec Torres-Freyermuth who used to be the postdoc researcher in PI Hsu's group (now Assistant Professor at Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México). Recently, PI Hsu also hosted a visit scholar, Mr. Wen-Yang Hsu of National Cheng-Kung University, who was awarded a prestigious 9-month scholarship from National Science Council of Taiwan. As part of his PHD study, Mr. Wen-Yang Hsu carried out detailed laboratory experiment on wave-mud interaction where both wave dissipation and mud rheological were concurrently measured. This is the ideal dataset to validate and calibrate the 2DV RANS-VOF model.

RESULTS

The highlights of our study on cohesive sediment transport for FY11 is summarized here:

The state of muddy seabed in wave-dominant environment

Ozdemir et al. (2010) used a high accuracy pseudo-spectral scheme and resolved all the scales of turbulence and turbulence-sediment interaction at $Re_\Delta=1000$. We identified four distinct flow regimes as sediment concentration in the wave boundary layer (or bulk Richardson number) increased from $O(0.1\text{g/L})$ to $O(100\text{ g/L})$ (see Figure 1). Regime I describes a condition of very dilute sediment concentration where the effect of sediment-induced density stratification is negligible and sediment is nearly passive to carrier flow. Sediment concentration profile is more or less well-mixed in the wave boundary layer. Regime II describes moderate interaction between sediment and carrier flow as sediment concentration near the bed approaches $O(10)\text{ g/L}$. Sediment-induced stable density stratification attenuates carrier flow turbulence near the top of the wave boundary layer. Hence, the associated turbulent mixing of sediment is significantly suppressed causing the formation of a lutocline, which separates the lower turbulent layer from the upper quasi-laminar layer (e.g., Traykovski et al. 2000). In Regime III, the near bed sediment concentration is $O(50)\text{ g/L}$. Due to the increasing effect of stable sediment concentration gradient that directly suppresses turbulent production in the wave boundary layer, mean flow velocity laminarizes. Turbulent suspension of sediment in the wave boundary layer is shut down during a major portion of the wave period. However, flow destabilizes during flow reversal and episodic sediment burst and high turbulence occur via flow instability. Such sediment bursts events during flow reversal are reported in limited field observation (Foster et al. 2006; Traykovski 2010). In Regime IV, where near bed sediment concentration is greater than $O(100)\text{ g/L}$, sediment-induced stable density stratification is severe enough that it further suppresses the instability observed in Regime III and the flow remains laminar at all times. Similar laminarization phenomena appear to have been observed in the laboratory experiments of Lamb et al. (2004). The existence of these flow regimes has critical implications to our ability to assess the state of a muddy seabed and to further understand various applications related to fluid mud transport discussed previously. For example, the transition between Regime II and Regime III (and IV), i.e., laminarization of the mud layer, is known to coincide with the timing where large wave dissipation rate is measured (Traykovski, Sheremet, personal communication).

By keeping the sediment availability unchanged, we further demonstrate the existence of these flow regimes for a range of sediment settling velocities. Simulation results suggest that when settling velocity is larger, the location of the lutocline becomes lower (closer to the bed) and the flow eventually laminarizes when there is further increase in the settling velocity. Hence, the dynamics of lutocline is clearly related to the transition between these flow regimes. The 2D regime map of the flow regimes adopted from our ongoing work is shown in Figure 2 for $Re_\Delta=1000$. A complete phase diagram for wave boundary layer must also depend on Re_Δ . In Ozdemir et al. (2010), rheological stress is not incorporated in the simulation and hence the effects of rheology on hydrodynamic dissipation in conjunction with turbulence collapse were not investigated. Hence, our ongoing work focuses on simulations of lower Re_Δ and including rheology in the new version of the code with sixth-order compact-finite difference scheme.

Mechanisms causing landward and seaward sediment transport in a tidal flat

The 2DV-RAN-VOF model is demonstrated to be able to reproduce the classic theory of tidal flat hydrodynamics of Friedrichs and Aubrey (1996) and predict the turbid tidal water's edge qualitatively similar to prior field observations. To model large-scale coastal and estuarine processes, the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS) is also utilized to simulate the same idealized tidal flat. We demonstrate that when a small critical depth ($h_{crit}=2$ cm) in the wetting and drying scheme is adopted, ROMS is able to predict the main features of hydrodynamics and sediment transport processes similar to that predicted by the RANS-VOF model. When driving the models with a symmetric tidal forcing, both models predict landward transport on the lower and upper flat and seaward transport in the subtidal region. When the shallow tidal water's edge is well-resolved, both models predict an asymmetry of tidal velocity magnitude between the flood and the ebb that may encourage landward sediment transport on the flat. Further model simulation suggests the predicted landward transport of sediment on the flat is mainly due to the settling-lag effect while the asymmetry of tidal velocity magnitude may add another minor but non-negligible amount. When the bed erosion is limited by the availability of soft mud, the predicted transport becomes landward directed in both the subtidal region and on the flat. These results suggest that the tidal flow generally encourages landward transport while significant seaward transport may be caused by other mechanisms, such as delivery through the channels (Ogston, Fagherazzi, person communication).

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

The present research efforts produce a numerical modeling framework for cohesive sediment transport for various applications in tide- or wave-dominated environment. The models allow us to predict the state of muddy seabed which can be further linked with hydrodynamics. Our model development efforts contribute the modeling capability in the ongoing ONR related research effort on Tidal Flat DRI, Wave-mud Interaction, Community Sediment Transport Modeling System (NOPP-CSTMS).









Regime 1	Regime 2	Regime 3	Regime 4
$C=O(0.1)\text{g/L}$	$C=O(10)\text{g/L}$	$C=O(50)\text{g/L}$	$C=O(100)\text{g/L}$
			
			
Sediment is well-mixed and passive to flow turbulence	Formation of lutocline where turbulence is damped ; flow remains turbulent below the lutocline	Collapse of turbulence except flow reversal; mean flow approaching laminar solution	Complete collapse of turbulence; laminar solution

Figure 1: A summary of the four flow regimes revealed by Ozdemir et al. (2010). These regimes occur at different sediment availability (from left to right, each column represents flow regimes due to low to high sediment concentration) under the same wave condition (Stokes Reynolds number = 1000) and settling velocity (0.5 mm/s). The 1st row shows representative sediment concentration near the bed. The 2nd row shows average concentration profiles ($C/\langle C \rangle$). The 3rd row shows a snapshot of an instantaneous sediment concentration iso-surface. Transition from Regime I to Regime II signifies the formation of a lutocline from well-mixed condition due to higher sediment availability. Transition from Regime II to Regime III signifies the onset of laminarization. In Regime III, although averaged concentration profile is close to a laminar solution, sediment bursts are clearly seen shortly after flow reversal ($\phi=2\pi/3$).




Figure 2: A two-parameter map for the occurrence of the four flow regimes (see Figure 1 for discussion on these regimes) that depend on nondimensional settling velocity, V_s , and bulk Richardson number, Ri (sediment availability) for $Re_\Delta=1000$ based on 3D simulation results (all black-dots). The border between Regimes I and II is obtained via simulation results based on Reynolds-averaged model of Hsu et al. (2009), which is computationally more efficient than the 3D simulation model (triangles).

REFERENCES

- Cortese, T. and Balachandar, S. 1995. High performance spectral simulation of turbulent flows in massively parallel machines with distributed memory. *International Journal of Supercomputer Applications*, 9 (3), 187–204.
- Dalrymple, R. A., and P. L.-F. Liu (1978), Waves over soft mud: a two-layer model, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, pp. 1121-1131.
- Elgar, S. and Raubenheimer, B. 2008. Wave dissipation by muddy seafloors, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35, L07611, doi:10.1029/2008GL033245.
- Ferry, J. and Balachandar, S., 2001, A fast Eulerian method for disperse two-phase flow, *Int. J. Multiphase Flow*, (27), 1199-1226.

- Friedrichs, C.T., Aubrey, D.G., 1996. Uniform bottom shear stress and equilibrium hypsometry of intertidal flats. In: Pattiaratchi, C. (Ed.), *Mixing in Estuaries and Coastal Seas*. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, pp.405–429.
- Hsu, T.-J., Traykovski, P. A., and Kineke, G. C., 2007. On modeling boundary layer and gravity driven fluid mud transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, C04011, doi:10.1029/2006JC003719.
- Hsu, T.-J., C. E. Ozdemir, and P. A. Traykovski 2009, High resolution numerical modeling of wave-supported sediment gravity-driven mudflows. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114, C05014, doi:10.1029/2008JC005006
- Lamb, M. P., D'Asaro, E. and Parsons, J. D. (2004) "Turbulent structure of high-density suspensions formed under waves," *J. Geophys. Res.* 109, C12026.
- Lin, P. and Liu, P. L.-F., 1998. A numerical study of breaking waves in the surf zone. *J. Fluid Mech.*, Vol. 359, 239-264.
- Mehta, A. J., 1989, On estuarine cohesive sediment suspension behavior, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94(C10), 14303-14313.
- Ozdemir, C. E., T.-J. Hsu, S. Balachandar, 2010a. Simulation of fine sediment transport in oscillatory boundary layer, *Journal of Hydro-environment Research*, 3, 247-259.
- Ozdemir, C. E., Hsu, T.-J., Balachandar, S., 2010b. A numerical investigation of fine particle laden flow in oscillatory channel: the role of particle-induced density stratification, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 665, 1-45.
- Safak, I., Sheremet, A., Allison, M. A. and Hsu, T.-J. (2010) "Bottom turbulence on the muddy Atchafalaya Shelf, Louisiana, USA," *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, C12019, doi:10.1029/2010JC006157.
- Sheremet, A. & G. W. Stone (2003). Observations of nearshore wave dissipation over muddy sea beds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108 (C11): 3357.
- Son, M., and Hsu, T.-J., 2008. Flocculation model of cohesive sediment using variable fractal dimension, *Environmental Fluid Mech.*, 8, 55-71.
- Son, M., and Hsu, T.-J., 2009. The effect of variable yield strength and variable fractal dimension on flocculation of cohesive sediment, *Water Res.*, 43, 3582-3592.
- Son, M., and T.-J. Hsu, 2010a. Idealized study on cohesive sediment flux by tidal asymmetry, *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, in press.
- Son, M., and Hsu, T.-J., 2010b The effects of flocculation and bed erodibility on modeling cohesive sediment resuspension, *J. Geophys. Res.*, submitted.
- Traykovski, P., Geyer, W. R., Irish, J. D. and Lynch, J. F. (2000) "The role of wave-induced fluid mud flows for cross-shelf transport on the Eel River continental shelf," *Cont. Shelf Res.* 20, pp. 2113-2140.
- Traykovski, P. (2010) "Observations of mechanisms of dissipation of wave energy over a muddy seabed," (*Invited*) *Eos Trans. AGU*, 91(26), Ocean Sci. Meet. Suppl., Abstract G021A-02.
- Torres-Freyermuth, A., and T.-J. Hsu, 2010. On the dynamics of wave-mud interaction: a numerical study, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115, C07014, doi:10.1029/2009JC005552.
- Winterwerp, J. C., and van Kesteren, W. G. M., 2004. *Introduction to the physics of cohesive sediment in the marine environment*. Elsevier.

PUBLICATIONS

1. Ozdemir, C. E., Hsu, T.-J., Balachandar, S., (2010). A numerical investigation of fine particle laden flow in oscillatory channel: the role of particle-induced density stratification, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 665, 1-45. [PUBLISHED, REFEREED]
2. Son, M., and T.-J. Hsu, (2011). Idealized study on cohesive sediment flux by tidal asymmetry, *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, 11(2), 183-202, DOI 10.1007/s10652-010-9193-9. [PUBLISHED, REFEREED]
3. Son, M., and Hsu, T.-J., (2011) The effects of flocculation and bed erodibility on modeling cohesive sediment resuspension, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, C03021, doi:10.1029/2010JC006352. [PUBLISHED, REFEREED]
4. Ozdemir, C. E., T.-J. Hsu, and S. Balachandar, (2011) A numerical investigation of lutocline dynamics and saturation of fine sediment in the oscillatory boundary layer, *Journal of Geophysics Research*, 116, C09012, doi:10.1029/2011JC007185. [PUBLISHED, REFEREED]
5. Hsu, T.-J., S.-N. Chen, A. S. Ogston, On the landward and seaward mechanisms of fine sediment transport across intertidal flats in the shallow water region – A numerical investigation, *Continental Shelf Research*. [SUBMITTED]