

Inspector General

United States
Department of Defense



Independent Auditor's Report on the
DoD FY 2011 Detailed Accounting
Report of the Funds Obligated
for National Drug Control
Program Activities

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 30 JAN 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Independent Auditor's Report on the DoD FY 2011 Detailed Accounting Report of the Funds Obligated for National Drug Control Program Activities				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Defense Inspector General, 4800 Mark Center Drive, Alexandria, VA, 22350				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 12	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Additional Copies

To obtain additional copies of this report, visit the Web site of the Department of Defense Inspector General at <http://www.dodig.mil/audit/reports> or contact the Secondary Reports Distribution Unit at (703) 604-8937 (DSN 664-8937) or fax (571) 372-7469

Suggestions for Audits

To suggest or request audits, contact the Office of the Deputy Inspector General for Auditing by phone (703) 604-9142 (DSN 664-9142), by fax (571) 372-7469, or by mail:

Department of Defense Office of Inspector General
Office of the Deputy Inspector General for Auditing
ATTN: Audit Suggestions/13F25-04
4800 Mark Center Drive
Alexandria, VA 22350-1500





INSPECTOR GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
4800 MARK CENTER DRIVE
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22350-1500

January 30, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR
COUNTERNARCOTICS AND GLOBAL THREATS

SUBJECT: Independent Auditor's Report on the DoD FY 2011 Detailed Accounting
Report of the Funds Obligated for National Drug Control Program Activities
(Report No. DODIG-2012-047)

Public Law 105-277, title VII, "The Office of National Drug Control Policy Reauthorization Act of 1998" (the Act), October 21, 1999, requires that DoD annually submit a detailed report (the Report) to the Director, Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP), accounting for all funds DoD expended for National Drug Control Program activities during the previous fiscal year. The Act requires that the DoD Inspector General authenticate the Report before its submission to the ONDCP Director (section 1704(d), title 21, United States Code).

The "ONDCP Circular: Drug Control Accounting," May 1, 2007, (the "Drug Control Accounting" Circular) provides the policies and procedures DoD must use to prepare the Report and authenticate the DoD funds expended on National Drug Control Program activities. The "Drug Control Accounting" Circular specifies that the Report must contain a table of prior-year drug control obligations, listed by functional area, and include five assertions relating to the obligation data presented in the table.

The Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Counternarcotics and Global Threats (DASD [CN & GT]) was responsible for the detailed accounting of funds obligated and expended by DoD for the National Drug Control Program for FY 2011. We have reviewed the DASD (CN & GT) detailed accounting in accordance with the attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and in compliance with generally accepted government auditing standards. We performed a review-level attestation, which is substantially less in scope than an examination done to express an opinion on the subject matter. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion.

We reviewed four DoD reprogramming actions that allocated \$1.52 billion among the Military Departments, National Guard, and Defense agencies. We reviewed the year-end obligation report and determined that DASD (CN & GT) allocated the funds to appropriations and project codes intended for the DoD Counterdrug Program.

In a letter dated December 12, 2011, DASD (CN & GT) provided us the Report, which we reviewed to determine compliance with the "Drug Control Accounting" Circular. The detailed accounting indicated that during FY 2011, DoD obligated \$1.36 billion to the Counterdrug Program functional areas. DASD (CN & GT) compiled the Report from data the Military Departments and other DoD Components submitted.

DASD (CN & GT) initially reprogrammed the funds from the Central Transfer Account to the DoD Components using project codes. The DoD Components provided year-end obligation data to DASD (CN & GT) through the DASD CN database, which compiled the data into one obligation report. In order to present the obligations by functional area as required by the “Drug Control Accounting” Circular, DASD (CN & GT) applied percentages to each project code in the consolidated report to compute the amounts presented in the table of obligations, instead of obtaining the information directly from the accounting systems.

Based on our review, except for the DASD (CN & GT) use of percentages to calculate the obligations presented by functional area, nothing came to our attention during the review that caused us to believe the detailed accounting of funds obligated by DoD on the National Drug Control Program for FY 2011 is not presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with the “Drug Control Accounting” Circular.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Amy J. Frontz".

Amy J. Frontz, CPA
Principal Assistant Inspector General
for Auditing



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

2500 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-2500

SPECIAL OPERATIONS/
LOW-INTENSITY CONFLICT

DEC 12 2011

Mr. Jon Rice
Associate Director
Performance and Budget
Office of National Drug Control Policy
750 17th Street, NW
Room 535
Washington, DC 20503

Dear Mr. Rice:

The drug methodology used to calculate obligations by drug control function of Fiscal Year 2011 budgetary resources is reasonable and accurate. The obligation table in Tab A was generated by the methodology as reflected in Tab B. The obligations are associated with a financial plan that properly reflects all changes made during the fiscal year. The Counternarcotics Central Transfer Account does not receive Fund Control Notices.

Performance Reporting will be addressed under separate correspondence. My point of contact for this action is [REDACTED], e-mail [REDACTED]

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "W. Wechsler".

William F. Wechsler
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
Counternarcotics and Global Threats

Enclosures:
As stated

cc:
DODIG

UNCLASSIFIED

Counternarcotics Central Transfer Account Obligations
(\$ 000)

	FY-11
Intelligence: Dom Law Enforcement	3.067
Intelligence: Interdiction	30.239
Intelligence: International	145.144
Interdiction	321.325
International	511.220
Investigative	12.676
Prevention	117.434
R&D: Interdiction	6.652
R&D: International	1.554
State and Local Assistance	207.751
Treatment	0
TOTAL	1,357,062*

* This amount includes a 86% obligation rate for MILPERS and a 98% obligation rate for O&M. Investment appropriations, which are multi-year, are currently obligated at 36%.

DRUG RESOURCES PERSONNEL SUMMARY

Total FTEs 1711

DRUG METHODOLOGY

Central Transfer Account

The Counternarcotics Central Transfer Account (CTA) was established in PBD 678 in November 1989. Under the CTA, funds are appropriated by Congress to a single budget line, not to the Services baselines. The CTA accounts for all counternarcotics resources for the Department of Defense with the exception of OPTEMPO and Active Duty MILPERS. Funds are reprogrammed from the CTA to the Services and Defense Agencies in the year of execution. The CTA allows for greater execution flexibility in the counternarcotics program with the ability to realign resources to address changes in requirements. The CTA is essential to respond effectively to the dynamic nature of the drug threat.

The Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) reports within the National Drug Control Strategy the amount of funds appropriated to the counternarcotics CTA. The actual obligations for the counternarcotics program for a particular fiscal year differ from the amount released to the CTA since some of the DoD counternarcotics effort is executed with multi-year funding.

The reprogramming process begins with reprogramming documents (DD1415-3 and DD1105) prepared by the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Counternarcotics & Global Threats and forwarded to DoD Comptroller. Funds are reprogrammed to the applicable appropriation/budget activity at the Service/Defense Agency by project (e.g., Navy's Fleet Support, Tethered Aerostat System, ROTH). The internal reprogramming (IR) action requires no congressional notification/approval.

The Services/Defense Agencies have their own internal accounting systems for tracking obligations of funds transferred from the Counternarcotics CTA. The following examples provide the process of how obligations are tracked:

- The Army Budget Office receives obligation data from the Defense Finance and Accounting System (DFAS) on a monthly basis and funds are tracked by the DFAS/Standard Army Financial Information System (STANFINS), and General Funds Enterprise & Business System (GFEBS).
- The Air Force uses the USAF General Accounting & Finance System (GAFS) and the Commanders Resources Integration System (CRIS) to track obligations. Both of these systems are utilized for Counternarcotics obligations and commitments. These systems Interface directly with the DFAS.
- The Navy uses the Standard Accounting and Reporting System, Field Level (STARSFL) which provides the means of tracking allocated counternarcotics funds through the life cycle of the appropriation at the activity/field level. Navy counternarcotics funding is recorded under separate cost centers and sub-cost centers, with a line of accounting consisting of subhead, project units and cost codes specifically for counternarcotics obligation tracking.
- The Army and Air National Guard employs a central accounting service from the DFAS to consolidate, aggregate, and report on funds as they are committed, obligated, and expended. The Army State and Federal Program Accounting Codes and the Air Accounting Codes provide funds-tracking mechanisms to reconcile funding at various levels of reporting and execution.

The Services/Defense Agencies provide quarterly obligation reports by project code to the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Counternarcotics & Global Threats (CN>). Beginning in FY 2008, the collection of obligation data has been via the DASD CN> database and compiled into a single counternarcotics obligation report. The obligation and expenditure data provided by the Services/Defense Agencies are compared against their total annual counternarcotics funding for each appropriation. At the end of the year, the Services/Defense Agencies provide an end of year data which reflects their actual obligations, not an estimation.

The quarterly obligation data collected is by project code, not down to the drug control function. In order to comply with ONDCP's circular and provide obligation data by function, it was necessary to use percentages for each project code.



Inspector General Department of Defense

