

Federal Sector Renewable Energy Project Implementation: “What’s Working and Why”



DOD-DOE Waste-to-Energy and Fuel Cell Workshop
January 13, 2011

Bob Westby
NREL Laboratory Program Manager:
Federal Energy Management
Program

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 13 JAN 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Federal Sector Renewable Energy Project Implementation: 'What's Working and Why'				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Department of Energy,National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL),910 D St NW Suite 930,Washington,DC,20024				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES presented at the DOE-DOD Waste-to-Energy using Fuel Cells Workshop held Jan. 13, 2011 in Washington DC					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Contents

Federal Sector Renewable Energy Project Implementation: “What’s Working and Why”

- Commercially viable RE technologies
- RE project economic drivers
- Project construct scenarios
- Implementation mechanisms

DOE FEMP Mission: The Department of Energy's Federal Energy Management Program's (FEMP) mission is to facilitate the Federal Government's implementation of sound, cost-effective energy management and investment practices to enhance the nation's energy security and environmental stewardship.

Commercially Viable RE Technologies (Large scale)

- Photovoltaics (PV)
- Concentrating Solar Power (CSP) (with storage)
- Wind
- Biomass power (waste-to-energy (WTE), wood feed stock combustion, etc.)
- Geothermal



RE Project Economic Drivers (“Show me the money”)

In general, Federal RE projects are economically viable when three revenue sources can be leveraged.

- Federal tax credits (investment tax credit (ITC) or production tax credit (PTC))*
- Sale/purchase of power produced
- State incentives (“make or break” project revenue source)

Bottom line: RE project economics are in general “razor thin” (incremental “project costs” are potential show stoppers).


* Ownership by private sector required (e.g. developers including investor owned utilities)

Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) “Wiring Diagram”

(“Behind the Meter” Project)

Agreements

- PPA: Site (Agency) – Developer
- Lease or Easement/Access:
Agency - Developer
- REC Purchase: Developer - Utility




PV PPA Economics Example (Revenue Source Sensitivity Analysis)

Actual Project Economics

Project

- PV: 720 kW/
1,200 MWh/year
- CO (Xcel Energy)
- “Behind the meter”
- **Cost estimate:\$7.0M**
- Circa 2007



State Incentive

\$225/MWh, 20 years

Federal Tax Credits

30% ITC, ACRS

Sale of Power

\$0.05+/kWh, 2% escalation

\$8.4M

(not adjusted for time value of money)

California Solar Incentives (Performance Based Incentives)

CSI Step table: CSI Rebate Levels by Incentive Step and Rebate Type

Step	Statewide MW in Step	EPBB Payments (per Watt)			PBI Payments (per kWh)		
		Residential	Non-Residential		Residential	Non-Residential	
			Commercial	Government/ Non-Profit		Commercial	Government/ Non-Profit
1	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	70	\$2.50	\$2.50	\$3.25	\$0.39	\$0.39	\$0.50
3	100	\$2.20	\$2.20	\$2.95	\$0.34	\$0.34	\$0.46
4	130	\$1.90	\$1.90	\$2.65	\$0.26	\$0.26	\$0.37
5	160	\$1.55	\$1.55	\$2.30	\$0.22	\$0.22	\$0.32
6	190	\$1.10	\$1.10	\$1.85	\$0.15	\$0.15	\$0.26
7	215	\$0.65	\$0.65	\$1.40	\$0.09	\$0.09	\$0.19
8	250	\$0.35	\$0.35	\$1.10	\$0.05	\$0.05	\$0.15
9	285	\$0.25	\$0.25	\$0.90	\$0.03	\$0.03	\$0.12
10	350	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$0.70	\$0.03	\$0.03	\$0.10

*** The non-residential customer class includes commercial, private, government, and non-profit participants.**

Please be aware that the final CSI incentive rate that is reserved for you will be determined by your CSI Program Administrator at the time your reservation request (RR) application is approved, and may be lower than the current incentive rate shown in the CSI Statewide Trigger Point Tracker. Please note that final incentive amounts are subject to change based upon the configuration of the as-built system. (Per the CSI Handbook, no projects or applications are reserved CSI funding until all required information has been submitted and approved in writing by the Program Administrator.)

For more information about the California Solar Initiative, visit GoSolarCalifornia.ca.gov.

Fuel Cell Project Financing (generalized observations for stationary applications)

General

- **Tri-generation applications attractive:** combined heat, hydrogen and power (CHHP) facilities applications maximize revenues and state incentives
 - Offset/sale of power and natural gas and offers H2 source
 - Maximizes capture of available state incentives
- **CA incentives particularly attractive**
 - Self Generation Incentive Program (SGIP)
 - Biofuels feedstock incentive
 - Manufacturing incentive

Project costs (stationary application-molten carbonate technology)

- Equipment and installation (first costs and installation costs indicative of early market)
- Cost of capital (debt to equity ratio (debt level/ interest), early market perceived risk)

Fuel Cell Project Financing (cont.)

Project costs (cont.)

- Operation and maintenance
 - Fuel cost (biofuel opportunity (vs. natural gas))
 - Maintenance (not insignificant)

Project revenues

- Federal and state incentives
 - Federal tax credits (Investment Tax Credit (ITC) and accelerated cost recovery)
 - State incentives (decreasing levels-market based, varying term)
- Sale of power revenue
 - Local electric rate key (higher rates support project economics)
 - Dispatchable power source (significant revenue source)

RE Project Construct Alternatives (Initial project development consideration)

Load offset alternative: Developer sells power to site

- Sale of power to site at site's retail rate ("behind the meter"/net metering)
 - Starting point for PPA price negotiation
- Avoids sale of power complexities

Load offset/sale of power alternative: Developer sells power to site and off taker

- Sale of power to site based on project economics and to off taker at competitively determined whole sale rate
- Project complexities/costs (whole sale power purchaser?, transmission availability, NEPA, mission mitigation, etc.)

Sale of power alternative: Developer sells power to off taker

- Agency leases land to developer for whole sale of power by developer
- Public lands (BLM, "brownfields", DOE Environmental Management, etc.)

Implementation Mechanisms (What's working)

- **Power Purchase Agreements (PPA)**
 - Multiple projects across the agencies
 - Project examples (Nellis AFB (14MW), USCG-Petaluma (855 kW), Ft. Carson (2MW), NREL (4MW), etc.
- **Energy Savings Performance Contracting (ESPC)**
 - Up to 25 year term authorized
 - Tax credit issue (agency retains project ownership)-Energy Services Agreement (ESA) embedded in ESPC
 - “Bundled solutions” (Hill AFB (210 kW))
 - Projects examples (biomass combustion)
 - ✓ NREL Renewable Fuel Heating Plant (6-8mmBtu/hr hot water boiler-displaces natural gas use)
 - ✓ Savannah River Site biomass CHP (240,000 pph, 20 MW)
 - ✓ Oak Ridge National Laboratory biomass gasification
 - Hill AFB Landfill Gas to Energy Electrical Generation (2,250 kW)
- **Utility Energy Service Contracts**
 - Project examples
 - ✓ Marine Corps Barstow/SCE-1.5 MW wind turbine
 - ✓ Camp Pendleton/SDG&E-75 KW PV system



Implementation Mechanisms (What's working) - continued



Coso Geothermal Development (NAWS China Lake)

Enhanced Use Lease (EUL)

- Real estate transaction (“under utilized land” requirement; <50 year term)
 - Current agency authorities (DoD:10 USC 2667, NASA)
- Benefit: “fair market value” plus “in kind” value (competitive determination)
- Project example (NASA Kennedy Space Center (10 MW PV FP&L , 990 kW in kind PV system))
- Proposed projects (Air Force Real Property Agency (AFRPA) actively promoting (proposed Edwards AFB project), Army COE (proposed Ft. Irwin project)
- DOE Brookhaven National Laboratory (37 MW, 0.5-1.0MW in kind PV system (“one off” LIPA lease arrangement)

“Energy Joint Venture”

- Contracting authority for energy related procurements (10 USC 2922a (DoD), <30 year term)
- Benefit: Agency takes project development risks/costs in exchange for financial benefits commensurate with project value
- Project example: Navy China Lake (Coso Geothermal-270 MW, site power and significant sale of energy to SCE [some \$17M annually])

NREL Project Development Financial Evaluation Capabilities

- **Fuel Cell Power Model**-facilitates analysis of fuel cell technologies with facilities. Allows analysis of combined heat, hydrogen, and power (CHHP) systems
- To facilitate agency site project development and negotiations , NREL project development services include development of tailored project financial pro forma spreadsheets
- **Solar Advisor Model (SAM)**-evaluates utility scale financing for a variety technology specific cost models. See link below for down loadable version:

<https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/sam/>

Thank You! QUESTIONS?

For more information:

DOE Federal Energy Management Program ♦ 202-586-5772 ♦ www.eere.energy.gov/femp/

Bob Westby ♦ 303-384-7534 ♦ robert.westby@nrel.gov



RE Use Requirements (Primary)

Executive Order 13514 (all Agencies)

- Incorporates EPO Act 2005 (RE use: 2.5%-2007, 5%-2010, 7.5%-2013)
- Federal agency GHG reduction goals (more stringent-drives more aggressive RE use)

National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) (DoD)

- 25% renewable energy use by 2025 (2008 “electric energy use”, 2010 “all energy”)



RE Use Requirements (Primary) - continued



Army

- Five Army installations will be net zero* by 2020, 25 installations by 2030, and all Army installations by 2058



Air Force

- 25 percent of base energy needs will be met with renewable energy sources by 2025



Navy

- 50% of all shore-based energy requirements will be met from alternative sources** and 50% of all bases will be net-zero* in energy consumption by 2020

Marines

- Increase renewable electric energy use to 25 percent by 2025




* Net Zero Energy Installation (NZEI) compliance and security goals focus on maximizing use of RE

** Alternative sources" definition pending

States Offering RPS Incentives

State Policies Advance Renewable Energy Renewable Portfolio Standards



012810

BLM Fast Track RE Projects (10/2010)

("Sale of Power" Project Examples)

Developer	Project	State	Technology	BLM Acres	MW
Tessera	Imperial Valley	CA	Dish Stirling	6,140	709
Chevron	Lucerne valley	CA	Thin film PV	516	45
Brightsource	Ivanpah	CA	Power Tower	4,073	380
Nextlight	Silver State	NV	First Solar PV	7,840	50
Tessera	Calico	CA	Dish Stirling	8,230	663
				26,799	1847



PV Project Financing Considerations/Updates

Project costs

- Equipment and installation (‘economics“d’river”, current project costs= \$4-5/watt)
- Cost of capital (perceived risks trending down-maturing market)
- Resource/fuel (free)
- O&M (minimal, repair and replacement)

Project Revenues

- Project incentives
 - Tax credits (Investment Tax Credit (ITC) and accelerated cost recovery)
 - State incentives (decreasing levels-market based, varying term)
- Sale of power
 - Local electric rate key (higher rates support project economics)
 - Intermittent system output (capacity factor key (11-19%))