



Using Nanotechnology to Detect Nerve Agents

Lt Col Mark N. Goltz, PhD, USAF, Retired

Dr. Dong Shik Kim

Maj LeeAnn Racz, PhD, USAF*

Nanotechnology has opened a wide range of opportunities having potential impacts in areas as diverse as medicine and consumer products. In collaboration with researchers at the University of Toledo (UT), Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) scientists are exploring the possibility of using a nanoscale organic matrix to detect organophosphate (OP) nerve agents. Current techniques for detecting OP compounds are expensive and time consuming. Developing a nanoscale organic matrix sensor would allow for direct, real-time sensing under field conditions. This article describes the science behind such a sensor and its possible applications.

High-performance sensors are needed to protect Soldiers and civilians from attack. At present, doctrine requires Air Force units to resume their primary mission within two hours of a chemical or biological strike.¹ Meeting the two-hour operational goal may mean the difference between defeat and victory. However, OP detection capabilities now in place are limited in sensitivity, time required to operate, and ease of use, making the specified two-hour window difficult to meet.

In the event of a chemical attack, military personnel must have the most sensitive and rapid means available of detecting and quantifying the concentrations of chemical agents. For example, VX, one of

the most lethal and persistent nerve agents, causes death in 50 percent of the population at a concentration of approximately 1.2 milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m^3) after a 10-minute exposure.² This concentration is about the same as one teaspoon of agent released into a one-meter-high layer of air covering the area of a football field. At this concentration, equipment currently in the inventory can easily detect VX. However, after a three-hour exposure, VX at a concentration of about $0.08 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ (15 times lower) will still cause death. Unfortunately, these low concentrations are at or below the detection limits of conventional chemical-warfare-agent equipment. Similarly, 50 percent of the population will experience non-lethal yet mission-inhibiting effects such as pinpointing of the pupils and nausea or vomiting at $0.01 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^3$ after a 10-minute exposure.³ This concentration is equivalent to a teaspoon of agent released into a one-meter-high layer of air covering the area of over 100 football fields. If personnel cannot reliably detect VX contamination at these low concentrations, then mission-critical personnel may become incapacitated, thereby hindering mission accomplishment. Alternatively, as a conservative measure, commanders may order personnel to don individual protective equipment (IPE) when the concentration of a chemical warfare agent is unknown. Although such

*Lieutenant Colonel Goltz and Major Racz are faculty members in the Department of Systems and Engineering Management, Air Force Institute of Technology, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. Dr. Kim is a professor in the Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering at the University of Toledo.



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Using Nanotechnology to Detect Nerve Agents				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Institute (AFRI), Air & Space Power Journal, 155 N. Twining Street, Maxwell AFB, AL, 36112				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 5	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



equipment does protect people, it also reduces their mission effectiveness. Therefore, monitoring even trace levels of chemical warfare agents in the environment would allow personnel to remove IPE when appropriate, thereby avoiding the physiological stress of wearing full protective clothing.⁴ Furthermore, since civilian populations include children and the elderly, who can be more sensitive to the effects of chemical warfare agents at lower concentrations, a need exists to improve the use of sensors in the event of a terrorist attack on civilians.

Air Force bioenvironmental engineering units currently possess Hazardous Air Pollutants on Site (HAPSITE) systems capable of detecting, identifying, and measuring chemical warfare agents at very low concentrations, enabling personnel to make assessments of the risk of exposure.⁵ The HAPSITE uses gas chromatography, which requires collecting and sometimes pretreating a gas or liquid sample before injection into a separation column (fig. 1). After moving through the separation column, the target molecules reach a detector that measures their concentration. The signal generated in the detector is then transformed into a readable electric signal for display. However, weighing approximately 70 pounds, this equipment can be cumbersome to operate, requires regular (weekly) preventive maintenance and use by specially trained personnel, and is quite expen-

sive (over \$100,000 per unit).⁶ Furthermore, the HAPSITE could take upwards of 30 minutes to run in order to quantify chemical warfare agents at the lowest concentrations—not optimal in a combat environment that demands rapid response. Therefore, improvements in the sensitivity of detection and quantification, speed, and accuracy remain a pressing need.

Nanotechnology offers an approach for improving detection systems. Nanosensors operate at the molecular level, where the reaction between target molecules and sensor elements is direct—almost instantaneous—and by-products of the reaction are transferred to detection units almost instantaneously. Furthermore, nanosensors do not require a separation process to isolate the target molecules. Nanoscale sensor design (fig. 2) uses a sensing element that has a specific affinity for the target molecules. This strong, specific affinity eliminates the need for extra sample preparation, pretreatment, or a separation process. Immobilization and orientation of the sensing elements are precisely engineered so that by-products of the reaction between target molecules and sensing elements transfer to the microelectrode rapidly and accurately. The entire system can be installed in a handheld or dosimeter-type device at a much lower price than for conventional chromatography analyzers. Note, however, that the sensor is chemical specific. Therefore, identification of unknown nerve




Figure 1. Schematic description of a typical gas chromatography detection system




Figure 2. Schematic description of a nanosensor system on a microchip

agents will necessitate integration of several nanosensing matrices into one unit.

Researchers at UT and AFIT are developing an enzyme nanobiosensor for detecting OP compounds such as the nerve gas component dimethylmethylphosphonate (DMMP), used in the synthesis of sarin nerve agent. The sensor is classified as a biosensor because it uses an enzyme to detect the target molecule. DMMP, among the most toxic substances known and a suspected carcinogen, may prove lethal if inhaled, swallowed, or absorbed through the skin. OP compounds incapacitate and kill, primarily by inhibiting an enzyme essential for the functioning of the central nervous system in humans, thus interfering with muscle activity and producing serious symptoms and eventual death.⁷

Effective detection of DMMP involves use of the enzyme organophosphorus hydrolase (OPH) as the sensor element due to its high affinity for DMMP. Since the enzyme is an organic chemical, it may degrade and lose its effectiveness because of a phenomenon called deactivation. Therefore, the enzyme is first placed within a protective peptide nanotube (PNT). Researchers are using PNTs for this purpose because they are simple to synthesize and have high chemical and thermal stability, good conductivity, excellent biocompatibility, and functional flexibility.⁸ In preliminary tests, the OPH

enzyme within the PNT was four times stabler than free enzymes. An OPH can be attached readily to the inside wall of a PNT, which is then attached to a specially prepared linker called a self-assembled monolayer to form a sensor matrix on an electrode (see fig. 2). OPH-based biosensors are effective for directly monitoring and measuring various OPs ranging from OP-based pesticides and insecticides to chemical warfare agents like sarin.⁹ The detection limit for the biosensor is in the range of 0.005–0.01 mg/m³ of DMMP in air.¹⁰ Therefore, the biosensor—two to four times more sensitive than conventional detection equipment—can detect extremely low concentrations that result in nonlethal but significant effects on humans. Moreover, the biosensor produces results three times faster than conventional detectors. In addition, the biosensor's reduced size and increased sensitivity could make it well suited for installation on a remotely piloted aircraft—a very significant military application since these aircraft are becoming increasingly important on the battlefield and for reconnaissance missions. This kind of application would allow for remote sensing of airborne chemicals, facilitating safer and more efficient sampling. Although this application exists only in the concept stage, it has great potential. Because the nanosensor under development is compound-specific, it would



respond only to the target molecule and would not likely be subject to interference from other compounds.

Along with the PNTs used to protect the OPH enzyme, research is also concentrating on the self-assembled monolayer linker, which plays an important role in the nanosensor matrix because it controls the rate of electron transfer from the OPH to the sensor. Researchers are investigating various combinations of linker molecules and sizes in order to optimize sensor performance. AFIT and UT investigators are testing the electron transfer rate and precision of the signal for different combinations of short and long linkers. On the one hand, short linkers speed up that rate (therefore, they are sensitive), but the capacitance of the short-linker layer is not low enough to suppress noise coming from other electrolytes (therefore, short linkers are not precise). On the other hand, long linkers reduce noise (therefore, they are precise), but electron transfer is slow. Consequently, optimum sensitivity and precision performance will emerge from a proper combination of the short- and long-linker molecules.

As stated above, two critical problems—enzyme deactivation and reduced sensitivity/precision—arise in enzyme sensors. The UT and AFIT researchers are addressing these problems by (1) using PNTs to protect the enzyme and increase service life, and (2) specially designing linker molecules to maximize both sensitivity and precision.

Nanotechnology has great potential for making handheld, fast, and accurate OP sensors. Fabrication of a small yet very sen-

sitive and accurate sensor for installation on a remotely piloted aircraft could have significant military value. Similarly, handheld sensors have notable, worthwhile applications for combat and homeland defense. Fast, accurate, and inexpensive detectors could be deployed to give population centers and military installations early warning of a chemical strike. Following an attack, a reconnaissance team may need to sample several base sites before determining the proper protection requirement for personnel. Even if biosensors reduce the amount of sampling time typical of conventional methods by just a few minutes, the cumulative time savings could be substantial. Furthermore, improved detection sensitivity would inspire more confidence during the determination of risk in areas with low concentrations of chemical contamination. If personnel can safely reduce the time spent wearing IPE following an attack, then mission effectiveness would increase. Similarly, if nonlethal but mission-impairing concentrations of OP agents exist, commanders could direct personnel to don IPE. This biosensor technology offers a more cost-effective and improved chemical detection method for meeting current and future threats. Additionally, PNT is a novel material that enhances OPH enzyme activity and shelf life essential to nanoscale biosensors. Clearly, the Air Force would do well to support development and commercialization of such devices. ✪

Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio
University of Toledo

Notes

1. Air Force Manual 10-2602, *Nuclear, Biological, Chemical, and Conventional (NBCC) Defense Operations and Standards*, 29 May 2003, 9, <http://www.e-publishing.af.mil/shared/media/epubs/AFMAN10-2602.pdf>.

2. Air Force Medical Operations Agency, Environmental and Occupational Health Division; and

Air Force Institute for ESOH [Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health] Risk Analysis, Industrial Hygiene Branch, "Chemical Warfare Agent Health Risk Assessment Guidance Document," 61, 2 April 2003, <https://afkm.wpafb.af.mil/ASPs/docman/DOCMain.asp?Tab=0&FolderID=OO-SG-AF-06-3-7-2&Filter=OO-SG-AF-06>.

3. Ibid.
4. Graham S. Pearson, "Chemical and Biological Warfare," *Journal of Naval Engineering* 32, no. 2 (1990): 198.
5. "CENTAF Inficon HAPSITE Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer Concept of Operations and Execution," 14 January 2003, 1-2.
6. Air Force Medical Support Agency/SG3PB FY11-FY15 886H, "Homeland Security Medical Response Spend Plan, 2011-15," <https://afkm.wpafb.af.mil/ASPs/docman/DOCMain.asp?Tab=0&FolderID=OO-SG-AF-06-55-2-7&Filter=OO-SG-AF-06>.
7. Asja Bartling et al., "Enzyme-Kinetic Investigation of Different Sarin Analogues Reacting with Human Acetylcholinesterase and Butyrylcholinesterase," *Toxicology* 233 (2007): 166-72; Kai Tuovinen et al., "Phosphotriesterase—a Promising Candidate for Use in Detoxification of Organophosphates," *Fundamental Applied Toxicology* 23, no. 4 (November 1994): 578-84; and William J. Donarski et al., "Structure-Activity Relationships in the Hydrolysis of Substrates by the Phosphotriesterase from *Pseudomonas Diminuta*," *Biochemistry* 28, no. 11 (1989): 4650-55.
8. Shuguang Zhang, Fabrizio Gelain, and Xiaojun Zhao, "Designer Self-Assembling Peptide Nanofiber Scaffolds for 3D Tissue Cell Cultures," *Seminars in Cancer Biology* 15, no. 5 (2005): 413-20; and Manoj Nahar et al., "Functional Polymeric Nanoparticles: An Efficient and Promising Tool for Active Delivery of Bioactives," *Critical Reviews in Therapeutic Drug Carrier Systems* 23, no. 4 (2006): 259-318.
9. Thanaporn Laothanachareon et al., "Cross-Linked Enzyme Crystals of Organophosphate Hydrolase for Electrochemical Detection of Organophosphorus Compounds," *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 24, no. 12 (2008): 3049-55; and Jeffrey S. Karns et al., "Biotechnology in Agricultural Chemistry," *American Chemical Society Symposium Series* 334 (1987): 157-70.
10. Alan R. Hopkins and Nathan S. Lewis, "Detection and Classification Characteristics of Arrays of Carbon Black/Organic Polymer Composite Chemiresistive Vapor Detectors for the Nerve Agent Simulants Dimethylmethylphosphonate and Diisopropylmethylphosphonate," *Analytical Chemistry* 73, no. 5 (2001): 884; and Chelsea N. Monty, Ilwhan Oh, and Richard I. Masel, "Enzyme-Based Electrochemical Multiphase Microreactor for Detection of Trace Toxic Vapors," *IEEE [Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers] Sensors Journal* 8, no. 5 (May 2008): 584.



Your Air & Space Power Publisher
 Currently seeking manuscripts on Air & Space Doctrine,
 Strategy, History, and Biographies of Pioneer Airmen

AUPRESS
 AIR UNIVERSITY PRESS
 155 N. Twining Street
 Maxwell AFB AL 36112-6026

For catalog or information, call
 334-953-2773/6136 DSN 493-2773/6136
 Fax 334-953-6862 Fax DSN 493-6862

<http://aupress.au.af.mil>