



Screening Adhesively Bonded Single-Lap-Joint Testing Results Using Nonlinear Calculation Parameters

**by Robert Jensen, Jonathan Kaufman, Wendy Kosik Chaney, and
Benjamin Henrie**

ARL-RP-362

March 2012

*A reprint from Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the Adhesion Society,
New Orleans, LA, 26 February 2012.*

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

ARL-RP-362**March 2012**

Screening Adhesively Bonded Single-Lap-Joint Testing Results Using Nonlinear Calculation Parameters

Robert Jensen, Jonathan Kaufman, and Wendy Kosik Chaney
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

Benjamin Henrie
Dynetics Technical Services, Inc.

*A reprint from Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the Adhesion Society,
New Orleans, LA, 26 February 2012.*

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) March 2012		2. REPORT TYPE Reprint		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 1 October 2011–1 February 2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Screening Adhesively Bonded Single-Lap-Joint Testing Results Using Nonlinear Calculation Parameters				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Robert Jensen, Jonathan Kaufman, Wendy Kosik Chaney, and Benjamin Henrie *				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: RDRL-WMM-C Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-RP-362	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES A reprint from <i>Proceedings of the 35th Annual Meeting of the Adhesion Society</i> , New Orleans, LA, 26 February 2012. *Dynetics Technical Services, Inc., Marshall Space Flight Center, AL 35812					
14. ABSTRACT Adhesive needs for Army ground vehicles are driven by high strength and high damage tolerance. These basic materials properties requirements do not coincide with traditional aerospace adhesive demands of high strength and high stiffness, which are derived from linear-elastic stress-strain behavior and very little energy absorption. High damage tolerance requires significant energy absorption and the accompanying nonlinear stress-strain response. Therefore, the simplified approach of defining adhesive bond strength as peak load per unit surface area to screen potential adhesives for ground vehicle use is inadequate. Any potential Army interest in defining adhesive property requirements must take the increased complexity of the nonlinear adhesive response into consideration, but without introducing an overburdensome calculation process into the standardization scheme. The single-lap-joint load-displacement behavior for promising ground vehicle adhesives could be captured using Marquardt's nonlinear least-squares regression, but the level of difficulty would be beyond the scope of a simple screening process. Therefore, in this research we propose to use a polynomial regression fit and subsequent first and second derivatives of the load-displacement curves to measure key loads and displacements consistently across a wide range of experimental single-lap-joint test results. The polynomial regression analysis is intentionally designed to perform under the constraints of a common spreadsheet program, thus eliminating the need for specialized software if this proposed analysis scheme yields robust and meaningful data insight for implementation into an Army-derived single-lap-joint adhesive standard.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS adhesion, single-lap-joint, nonlinear analysis					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Robert Jensen
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 410-306-1910

SCREENING ADHESIVELY BONDED SINGLE-LAP-JOINT TESTING RESULTS USING NONLINEAR CALCULATION PARAMETERS

*Robert Jensen, Jonathan Kaufman, Wendy Kosik Chaney
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate
Army Research Laboratory
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 21005, USA
robert.e.jensen@us.army.mil*

*Benjamin Henrie
Dynetics Technical Services, Inc.
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Marshall Space Flight Center, AL 35812*

Introduction

Adhesive needs for Army ground vehicles are driven by high strength and high damage tolerance. These basic materials properties requirements do not coincide with traditional aerospace demands of high strength and high stiffness, which are derived from linear-elastic stress-strain behavior and very little energy absorption. High damage tolerance requires significant energy absorption, which is often accompanied by nonlinear stress-strain response. Therefore, the simplified approach of defining adhesive bond strength as peak load per unit surface area to screen potential adhesives for ground vehicle use is inadequate. Any Army interest in potentially defining adhesive property requirements must take the increased complexity of the nonlinear adhesive response into consideration, but without introducing an over-burdensome calculation process into the standardization scheme. The single-lap-joint load-displacement behavior for promising ground vehicle adhesives could be captured using the Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear least-squares regression algorithm [1,2], but the level of difficulty would be beyond the scope of a simple screening process without introducing the use of specialized computer software programs. Therefore, in this research it is proposed to use a polynomial regression fit and subsequent 1st and 2nd derivatives of the load-displacement curves to measure key loads and displacements consistently across a wide range of experimental single-lap-joint test results. The polynomial regression analysis is intentionally designed to perform under the constraints of a common spreadsheet program. Therefore, the need for specialized software may be eliminated if this proposed analysis scheme proves to yield robust and meaningful data insight for implementation into a potential Army-derived single-lap-joint adhesive standard.

Discussion

The single-lap-joint is a tremendously convenient geometry for screening adhesive performance. This joint geometry is relatively straightforward, both with respect to fabrication and testing. While the distribution of stress is non-uniform [3] and fundamental constitutive adhesive properties difficult to derive, the overwhelming experi-

mental simplicity, with respect to both fabrication and testing, heavily favors the single-lap-joint geometry. Likewise, this joint geometry has also been studied extensively by academia, which provides a robust library of testing and analysis results for comparison and understanding. [4]

Standardized calculations [5] of the maximum lap shear strength (LSS) are performed by dividing the maximum failure load (P_{max}) by the surface area of the adhesive bond (A), as shown in the following equation.

$$LSS = \frac{P_{max}}{A}$$

This simplified first-screening analysis approach is perfectly acceptable for adhesives with reasonably linear-elastic load versus displacement response, as shown in Figure 1 for an epoxy adhesive.




Figure 1. Typical load versus displacement response for a single-lap-joint bonded with an epoxy adhesive.

However, the typical load versus displacement response for single-lap-joints bonded with damage-tolerant adhesives, such the polyurea adhesive plotted in Figure 2, is much more complex.




Figure 2. Typical load versus displacement response for a single-lap-joint bonded with a polyurea adhesive.

Complex x-y plots are commonly fitted using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm (LMA), as described in the following equation.

$$S(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^m [y_i - f(x_i, \beta)]^2$$

The LMA is an iterative method that relies upon an initial guess for the parameter vector (β). The LMA is reported as a standard fitting routine built into Mathematica, MATLAB, and Origin. [6,7,8,9]

Despite the widespread acceptance and availability of the commercial software needed to perform the LMA, it remains desirable to further simplify the analysis of complex load versus displacement curves using an even more commonplace computer program. Excel [10] is universally familiar at the most basic levels of mathematical analysis but would be burdensome for manually programming the LMA. However, building an Excel analysis protocol is attainable using an adaptation of Christensen's assumption that yield can be defined as follows. [11]

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{d\varepsilon^3} = 0, \text{ at yield}$$

Christensen's definition of yield stress is easily solved from basic polynomial fits of load versus displacement plots in Excel. Additionally, the resolution of the raw data typically exported from single-lap-joint testing is fine enough to allow for simple Riemann sum (S) calculations for area under the curve.

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

These simplifying assumptions for yield and area under the curve were used to program an Excel-based spreadsheet for single-lap-joint analysis. The results for the load versus displacement plots shown in figures 1 and 2 are shown in the Table 1. The results indicate the expected

decrease in maximum strength for the polyurea in comparison to the epoxy, which could have been obtained using a traditional analysis approach. However, the more extensive derivative and area-based analysis shows increased area under the curve and extension at failure for the polyurea, which could be related to its observed higher damage tolerance.

Table 1. Single-lap-joint curve fitting parameter comparison between an epoxy and polyurea adhesive.

Parameter	Epoxy	Polyurea
constant	364.05	369.14
X	10670	4181.7
X ²	-21573	-3623.1
X ³	50785	1184.3
X ⁴	-63610	-50.687
X ⁵	38444	-44.615
X ⁶	-8848.3	5.8765
Load at 1st maximum in 2nd derivative (N)	3028	1874
Strength at 1st maximum in 2nd derivative (MPa)	9.387	5.808
Extension at 1st maximum in 2nd derivative (mm)	0.3768	1.892
Area under the curve to 1st maximum in 2nd derivative (N mm)	686.2	3284
Max Load (N)	8474	2548
Max Strength (MPa)	26.27	7.900
Extension at Max Load (mm)	1.537	5.726
Area under the curve to max load (N mm)	7648	10460
Extension at complete failure (mm)	1.586	6.615
Total area under the curve (N mm)	8033	11750

The spreadsheet was also made compatible for direct input into the U.S. Army Research Laboratory's (ARL's) Materials Selection and Analysis Tool (MSAT) database by leveraging the platform support from NASA. Adjusting the spreadsheet protocol for compatibility with the baseline GRANTA MI™ [12] MSAT software package also had the benefit of significantly decreasing the manual operator time required for analysis to less than 5 minutes per sample. The rapid analysis protocol will be coupled with the increasing population of adhesive samples in ARL's MSAT database to allow for data mining of relevant properties that are desirable for Army applications.

Acknowledgments

Funding for Mr. Jonathan Kaufman was supported in part by an appointment to the Research Participation Program at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education

through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy and ARL. Collaboration with NASA was supported through an interagency Space Act Agreement. The authors also wish to acknowledge the adhesives and analysis expertise offered by Dr. David Speth from Edison Welding Institute under cooperative agreement DAA19-03-2-0002. The authors also thank Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., for supplying the polyurea adhesive and Dr. John Tierny from The University of Delaware Center for Composite Materials for analysis expertise under cooperative agreement W911NF-08-2-0062.

References

1. K. Levenberg, *Quarterly App. Mathematics*, 1944, 2, pp 164–168.
2. D.W. Marquardt, *J. Soc. Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 1963, 11, pp 431–441.
3. O. Volkersen, *Luftfahrtforschung*, 1938, 15, pp 41–47.
4. L.F.M. da Silva, P.J.C. das Neves, R.D. Adams, J.K. Spelt, *Int. J. Adh. & Adhesives*, 2009, 29, pp 319–330.
5. Standard Test Method for Apparent Shear Strength of Single-Lap-Joint Adhesively Bonded Metal Specimens by Tension Loading (Metal-to-Metal), ASTM D 1002-01.
6. “Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm”, www.wikipedia.com, retrieved December 2, 2011.
7. Mathematica, Wolfram Research, Inc.
8. MATLAB, MathWorks.
9. Origin, OriginLab Corporation.
10. Excel, Microsoft[®].
11. R.M. Christensen, *Acta Mech*, 2008, 196, pp 239–244.
12. Granta Design Limited, Cambridge, UK.

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
(PDF INFORMATION CTR
only) DTIC OCA
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD
STE 0944
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
IMNE ALC HRR
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
RDRL CIO LL
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
RDRL D
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197