



Integrity ★ Service ★ Excellence

Dynamics & Control

06 March 2012

**Dr. Fariba Fahroo
Program Manager
AFOSR/RSL**

Air Force Research Laboratory

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 06 MAR 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Dynamics & Control				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright Patterson AFB, OH, 45433				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 27	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



2012 AFOSR SPRING REVIEW



NAME: **Fariba Fahroo**

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PORTFOLIO:

Developing mathematical theory and algorithms based on the interplay of dynamical systems and control theories with the aim of developing innovative synergistic strategies for the **design, analysis, and control** of AF systems operating in **uncertain, complex, and adversarial environments**.

LIST SUB-AREAS IN PORTFOLIO:

- ➔ **General Control Theory:** optimal control, adaptive control, stochastic control, hybrid control
- ➔ • **Distributed Multi-Agent Control:** path planning, decision making, sensing, task allocation with adversarial and stochastic elements with incomplete information and communication constraints
- ➔ **Emerging Applications: Quantum Control, Vision-based Control**
- ➔ • **V&V of embedded Systems**
- ➔ • **Mixed Human-Machine Interface**
- ➔ • **Control of Distributed Parameter Systems**



Key Technology Areas for Autonomous Systems



Autonomy from the Dynamics and Control Point

Key Technology Areas: (from Tech Horizons Report 2010 - United States Air Force

Chief Scientist (AF/ST) --Report on Technology Horizons: A Vision for Air Force Science & Technology During 2010-2030)

- Autonomous systems
- Autonomous reasoning and learning
- Resilient autonomy
- Collaborative/cooperative control
- Autonomous mission planning
- Embedded diagnostics
- Decision support tools
- Sensor-based processing
- Human-machine interfaces

Grand Challenges:

- Trusted Highly-Autonomous Decision-Making Systems
- Fractionated, Composable, Survivable, Autonomous Systems



Distributed Control for Networked Systems

A Unifying Theme



- **Objectives:** To address fundamental problems in distributed control of networked systems with non-traditional constraints and adversarial action.
- **Challenges:** A comprehensive research program that deals, within a multi-agents context,
 - with decentralization,
 - localization of objectives,
 - lossy communication and information exchange,
 - incompleteness of information,
 - adversarial interference,
 - multiplicity of objectives,
 - coordination through multi-level interaction.
- **Modeling Framework:** deterministic, stochastic and hybrid structures, cast in discrete- as well as continuous-time settings, with a strong mathematical underpinning.



Key Portfolio Research Challenges




- **Autonomous Dynamic Mission Planning** : Hybrid System Formulation
mix of finite, discrete state (decision variables) and continuous Dynamics, game theory
- **Human-Machine Interactions and Operations**: dynamics queuing theory for task allocation for human operators, formal models of decision making
- **Incorporation of Uncertainty in Mission Environment, Model Parameters**: Adaptive Control (L1-Control theory), Stochastic Control
- **Adversarial Behavior**: Game theoretic Approaches, Stochastic game theory (mean-field theory)
- **Incomplete Information**: incorporation of learning into games, task allocation, planning
- **Computational Issues**: Computational Nonlinear Control Theory
- **Emergent Applications**: Quantum Control, Vision Control



Hybrid Control for Multi-Agent Systems in Complex Sensing Environments

(Geir E. Dullerud, UIUC)



Dynamical model:

$$\dot{x} = f_{\theta_t}(x, u)$$


$$z = h_{\theta_t}(x, u)$$

θ_t state of a specified automaton.

At time t the values $z(t)$ and

$\theta(\tau)$, for *preview horizon* $\tau \in [t, t+H]$

are available for decision making.



Example:

- preview of planned trajectory available out to a finite known future (shown in red).
- governing vehicle parameters known from present to H time units into future.
- strategic objectives known over the dynamic interval $[t, t+H]$.

- Captures situations where: upcoming environment (e.g., obstacles) can be previewed, for instance via vision-sensing or radar; also scenarios where mission objectives are fixed over a window but evolving.
- A **hybrid system class** with special structure; this structure can be exploited.
- Result: Provide a complete convex solution (analysis and synthesis) to discrete-time linear model case, for both deterministic and stochastic performance metrics (next chart).
- Form of LTI solution indicates approach for nonlinear case.



Major result: Exact Convex Solution to Linear Receding Horizon Control



Formulations:

Model:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{t+1} &= A_{\theta_t} x_t + B_{\theta_t} w_t \\ z_t &= C_{\theta_t} x_t + D_{\theta_t} w_t.\end{aligned}$$

Stability criterion: uniform exponential.


Performance measures treated:

(a) max gain: $\sup_w \frac{\|z\|}{\|w\|};$

(b) windowed variance: $\sum_{k=t}^{t+H} \mathbb{E}|z(k)|_2^2 \leq \gamma_{\theta_t, \dots, \theta_{t+H}}$

Induced graphs and switching paths:


Discrete dynamics governed by a regular language, realized by automaton. More general languages possible.



Example Automaton:

1,2,3,4 denote state values θ_i ;
transition labels $a, b, \dots, f$


Control policy depends on an *induced graph*, based on both future and past automaton paths.



Example Induced Graph:

$\langle k \rangle$ denotes current state;
for case $L = 1; H = 1$.

Optimal solution: feedback policy based on moving window of information, L steps into past and H steps into future.



Solution characteristics

- **provides first solution to 40-year old open problem (solution obtained is actually more general).**
- Analysis and synthesis problems reduced to convex programming (SDP).
- Can design discrete logic: yields a hybrid separation principle.
- applies to Markovian jump systems: almost sure uniform stability, almost sure performance.

Current: nonlinear version via dynamic programming.



Multi-Layer and Multi-Resolution Networks of Interacting Agents in Adversarial Environments


Vision and Overarching Goal:

To address fundamental issues that arise in networked heterogeneous agents, among which are:

complex interactions; uncertainty and adversarial actions; trust; learning; humans-in-the-loop; information and communication; and design of architectures to facilitate generation and transmission of *actionable information* for *performance improvement* under different equilibrium solutions.

MLMR Games: Games played at different levels, interacting through their outcomes, action spaces, and costs

"Games within Games": Zooming in and out providing game structures with different levels of granularity





Traditional Model of Decision Making



- **A system “controlled” by many agents**
- **Each agent may have its own objective**
- **Question: Does an equilibrium or optimal solution exist?**
 - **Assumptions: The game/team parameters (system, actions, rewards/costs, etc.) are known, computational power is unlimited, and information is not faulty.**
- **What if some or all of these assumptions do not hold?**



Sample Result :

Heterogeneous and Hybrid Learning



Learning algorithms are essential for applications of game theory in an adversarial environment.

- No knowledge of your own payoff function
- No knowledge of the payoff function of your adversary
- No knowledge of the action spaces of the adversary.


Players have different levels of rationality and intelligence

- Active learner vs. passive learner
- Fast learner vs. slow learner
- Homogeneous learner vs. heterogeneous and hybrid learner

Players do not interact all the time.

A two-person security game

Result: *The (Nonzero-sum Stochastic Game) NZSG with unknown states and changing modes admits a state-independent Nash equilibrium.*






GIDEANS: Attack and Defense of the Network (D. Castanon, BU)



- Games where distributed attackers, with *partial information*, attack an intelligent network, also with limited information
 - Network adapts its operations to attack outcomes
 - Network also may have partial information, distributed intelligence
 - Attacks may be “hard” (attrition of components) or “soft” (information corruption or denial)
 - Interested in both defense and attack strategies





DISTRIBUTION A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.



Uncertainty and Partial Information



- **Prior work on stochastic Network Interdiction (Wood et al '98, '02, ...)**
 - Actions of attack have uncertain outcomes
 - Outcomes perfectly observed by defender
 - Result: Stochastic minimax problem – **Stackelberg game**
- **Major Challenges**
 - Combinatorial complexity for computing strategies even in perfect information case
 - Asymmetric information among players leads to difficulties in decomposition algorithms such as dynamic programming
- **Approaches**
 - Approximate game analysis and solution techniques based on performance bounds
 - Stochastic or approximate dynamic programming
 - Minimax performance where network reacts to attacks





ϵ -Nash Mean Field Games

Peter E. Caines (McGill U. Montreal)




Overall Objective: Decentralized decision-making for stochastic dynamic games.

- **Large Population Stochastic Dynamic Games:** Large ensemble of stochastic dynamic agents seeking to maximize their individual and collective interest.
- **Key Intuition from Statistical Mechanics:** Extremely complex large population particle systems may have simple continuum limits with distinctive bulk properties.
- Nash Equilibrium Theory for Large Population Stochastic Dynamic Games (4 elements):
Individual agent systems with cost functions depending on the states of the population
- Element I: Individual Agent Dynamics

$$dx_i = f(x_i, u_i)dt + \sigma dw_i, 1 \leq i \leq N$$

- Element II: Individual Costs Depending on Mass Behaviour

$$J_i^N(u_i, u_{-i}) := E \int_t^T L(x_i, u_i, x_{-i}^N) ds \quad V_i := \text{Equilibrium value } J_i$$



Element III: ∞ -population Nash equilibrium best response (BR) controls u_i^o given by Mean Field Game (MFG) equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\text{HJB}] \quad & -\partial_t V(t, x) = \inf_{u \in U} [f(x, u) \partial_x V(t, x) + L(x, u, \mu)] + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 V(t, x), \\
 [\text{BR}] \quad & u^o(t, x) = \varphi(t, x | \mu(t, x)), \quad \mu = \text{generic agent's state distribution} \\
 [\text{FPK}] \quad & \partial_t \mu(t, x) = -\partial_x [f(x, u^o) \mu(t, x)] + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 \mu(t, x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Element IV: Apply u_i^o in the finite population game to obtain approximate Nash Equilibrium (as N goes to infinity exact NE holds among all the agents).




Basic ϵ -Nash MFG theory and Recent Advances




- **Solution of decentralized decision-making problems with many (minor) competing agents**
- **Key Intuition: Single agent's control = feedback of stochastic local (rough) state + feedback of deterministic global (smooth) system behaviour**

Recent and Current Advances in ϵ -NMFG Theory (2007-2011):

(i) localized problems in space, (ii) stochastic adaptive ϵ -NMFG control, (iii) leader–follower systems, (iv) consensus seeking systems, (v) flocking, (vi) cooperative (social) ϵ -NMFG and egoist-altruist theory, (vii) major-minor agent ϵ -NMFG theory (Huang 2010), (viii) nonlinear Markov systems theory (Kolokoltsov 2011).



Adaptive ϵ -NMFG



Adaptive Leader-Follower ϵ -NMFG





Optimal Mass Transport for Signal Analysis and Control: Tryphon Georgiou and Allen Tannenbaum



- **Analysis, Comparison, Mixing of Distributions/Masses**

natural ways to:


- establish correspondence
- interpolate, average
- image/spectra registration
- intensity/volume preserving





Basic insight

- Engineer's problem of transporting a pile of soil to an excavation site with the least amount of work



Optimal Correspondence:

- tools for interpolation, averaging
- metric/geometry on distributions

Leonid Katnorchov received the Nobel prize in 1975 for his work on optimal transport in connection to resource allocation.






Recent Transitions




Very high resolution methods have been developed for applications in targeting systems (pointing, detection via antenna arrays)

contact: Dr. Dan Herrick (AFRL/DESA)

Distributed sensor array



High resolution spatial time-varying spectra
Interpolated via optimal transport geodesics







Transformational advances



Optimal transport averaging of distributions lead to new techniques in: estimation, nonlinear filtering



an alternative to Unscented Kalman filter





Robust Dynamic Vision Methods for Persistent Surveillance and Enhanced Vehicle Autonomy



Mario Sznaier (Northeastern Univ)

- Go find something interesting/unusual
- Report only when/what I need to know
- Take action within given bounds

→ Flexible Autonomy

Extracting actionable information from a “data deluge”:



In all cases relevant events comparatively rare and encoded in less than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ of the data

- Data as manifestation of hidden dynamic structures
- Problem can be reduced to identification of hybrid models described by sparse graphs.
- Obtain tractable problems by combining dynamical systems, optimization and semi-algebraic geometry tools.



Success Stories



BOSTON.COM CARS | JOBS | REAL ESTATE

Metro

TEXT SIZE - TEXT SIZE + LOG OUT

Search

NEWS **METRO** ARTS BUSINESS SPORTS OPINION LIFESTYLE MAGAZINE TODAY'S PAPER MY SAVED

LOTTERY OBITUARIES GLOBE NORTH GLOBE SOUTH GLOBE WEST GETTING IN

In this section

- Metro**
- Recall effort in Lawrence falls
- Lawrence mayor's toes file signatures in ouster effort
- City arrests 100 protesters
- Whitey Bulger was his dad
- Boston police move in on protesters on Greenway, scores arrested
- Wamen, Brown fill their coffers
- Walmart grocery stores push north
- Doris Belack, 85, played judge on 'Law & Order'
- Paula Ettelbrick, 56, leading voice in struggle for gay civil rights
- Albert Rossini, at 101; was oldest living former US governor
- Recalling 4 killings, Mattapan seeks change
- COLDWELL BANKER** Real Estate
- Vermont tourists focus on storm's aftermath
- Protesters arrested at Greenway
- Law school says it has recovered stolen \$173,000
- An insult to the slain
- Fatal shooting struts Dorchester neighborhood
- Businesses throw support behind Maine casino question
- Boston EMS crew delivers baby girl on I-93
- Man fatally stabbed, two women hurt
- Pauline Austin; developed weather radar after WWII
- Protesters arrested, defended
- Clifford Olson, Canadian serial killer, dies at 71

Northeastern developing high-tech security devices

School opens facility for antiterrorism research

By Akliah Johnson GLOBE STAFF OCTOBER 09, 2011

ARTICLE VIDEO



BARRY CHEN/GLOBE STAFF

One of the projects soon to be underway at Northeastern University's George J. Kostas Research Institute for Homeland Security involves a remote-controlled quadcopter, which resembles a toy helicopter and can track facial expressions.

PRINT PRINT E-MAIL SHARE SAVE

This is the place where computer software will turn faces into remote controls used to fly helicopters.

This is the place where the sensitivity of a harking MRI machine will be harnessed in a contraption the size of a toothpick.

And this is the place where two-story buildings will be tested to see what blast force they can withstand.

This is Northeastern University's George J. Kostas Research Institute for Homeland Security, a new \$12 million building on the school's Burlington campus that still smells of fresh paint. Professors and students stood in labs in various stages of completion, detailing the domestic security applications of research that will occur in a restricted and classified environment.



"The mission of this facility is to assist the government with the protection of our freedom," said Northeastern alumnus George J. Kostas, who funded the project, the largest capital donation in university history. "We lived through the Great Depression, the Second World War ... and now we see the country facing an even greater challenge. The challenge is terrorism."

Kostas, who graduated with a degree in chemical engineering in 1945, built a Houston-based synthetic rubber manufacturing firm called Techno-Economic Services. But before Kostas became a businessman, he was a government scientist doing classified research to figure out how to replenish the country's dwindling rubber supplies during War World II.

After the bombing of Pearl Harbor, communications were severed with the country's natural rubber suppliers in Southeast Asia, he said. Little was known about synthetic rubber at the time, so the federal government commissioned a group of scientists to learn more. Kostas was one of them.

A look at one of the projects

One of the projects soon to be underway at Northeastern University's George J. Kostas Research Institute for Homeland Security involves a remote-controlled quadcopter, which resembles a toy helicopter and can track facial expressions. How it works:




A face tracking UAV

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z1k4zSFDWnM>



Success Stories



Finding correlations in complex scenarios

The “Curse of dimensionality” is a major roadblock in achieving flexible autonomy. This project takes the first steps towards a **“Compressive Information Extraction”** paradigm:

- Unmanned vehicles

- Flight and perch sensors

- Human in the loop data overload avoidance

- Hitherto unexplored connection between systems identification, information extraction and machine learning



Quantum Linear Systems Theory:

Matt James, Ian Peterson



- Why Quantum Control? Is there anything new with Quantum Control?
- Emerging quantum technologies driven by
 - miniaturization (microelectronics, nanotechnology)
 - exploitation of quantum resources (quantum information and computing)

Demand new concepts and tools from control theory at the quantum level

- Classical linear systems theory has a history going back some 50 years, to the birth of modern control theory with Kalman's foundational work on filtering and LQG optimal control. Gaussian Distributions play a fundamental role in classical linear systems theory.
- The PIs have shown that **classical finite dimensional linear systems cannot generate entanglement** in a pair of quantum linear systems initialized in a separable Gaussian state → fundamental limitation of classical linear systems when used to control quantum systems.



Achievements so Far and Need for More Research



- **Need for new paradigms for quantum stochastics:** non-commutative probability models and a non-commutative stochastic calculus that are not generally studied in the classical setting
- **Need for new paradigms and techniques for feedback control of quantum systems,** as the classical theory cannot handle the uniquely quantum phenomena (e.g. entanglement)
- This new area in the portfolio started with one grant (Australia), and has continued with a YIP project and a new one in 2011.
- 2011 ARO MURI project. Still there is need for support of more core projects, since the area has the potential of impacting both theory and applications
 - New methods for using direct physical couplings in optimal coherent feedback system design.
 - Differential evolution optimization methods in coherent control of linear quantum systems.
 - Decentralized coherent robust H-infinity quantum control.
 - Approximation of linear quantum system models.
 - Single photon processing by linear quantum systems.



Summary



- Dynamics and Control is the key enabler in the science of autonomy. There is need for more collaboration with other disciplines: cognitive science, network science, communication, information science
 - New MURI and AFOSR Basic Research Initiative (BRI) topics in V&V, Human-Machine interaction, Control and Synthetic Biology
- Close collaboration and sharing of information with other agencies: ONR, ARO and NSF
- In addition to the core theme of distributed control of multi-agents, the program has solid efforts in control of MAVs, hypersonic vehicles, smart materials and biological systems.
- Support of fundamental research in Control and Dynamics while considering challenging and relevant application areas is what distinguishes this portfolio.