



Integrity ★ Service ★ Excellence

Optimization and Discrete Mathematics

6 Mar 2012

**Don Hearn
Program Manager
AFOSR/RSL**

Air Force Research Laboratory

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 06 MAR 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Optimization and Discrete Mathematics				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR/RSL), Air Force Research Laboratory, 875 North Randolph Street Suite 325, Arlington, VA, 22203-1768				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) Spring Review, Arlington, VA, 5-9 March, 2012.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 48	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Optimization and Discrete Mathematics



PM: Don Hearn

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PORTFOLIO:

Development of optimization and discrete mathematics for solving large, complex problems in support of future Air Force science and engineering, command and control systems, logistics, and battlefield management

LIST SUB-AREAS IN PORTFOLIO:

- Analysis based optimization
- Continuous and Discrete Search methods
- Dynamic, Stochastic and Simulation Optimization
- Combinatorial Optimization



Outline



- **Portfolio Overview**
- **Optimization Research**
- **Program Goals and Strategies**
- **AF Future Applications Identified**
- **Program Trends**
- **Transitions**
- **Scientific Opportunities and Transformation Opportunities; Relation of Other Agency Funding**
- **Examples from the portfolio**
- **Summary**



Optimization Research



- Starts with an important hard problem
- Optimization models are proposed
- Theoretical properties – existence and uniqueness of solutions, computational complexity, approximations, duality, ...
- Solution methods – convergence theory, error analysis, bounds, ...
- If successful, generalize!



Optimization Models



Maximize (or Minimize) $f(x)$

Subject to

$$g(x) \leq 0$$

$$h(x) = 0$$

**where x is an n -dimensional vector and g, h are vector functions.
Data can be deterministic or stochastic and time-dependent.**

The simplest (in terms of functional form) is the Linear Program:

Minimize $3x + 5y + z + 6u + \dots$

Subject to

$$3x + y + 2z + \dots \leq 152$$

$$2x + 7y + 4z + u \dots \geq 130$$

$$12x + 33y + 2u \dots = 217$$

etc



Textbook Nonlinear Example



- Local optima are a problem:




Figure 4: 2-D Pinter function, where $-10 \leq x_i \leq 10$, $i = 1, 2$.



Program Goals & Strategies



- **Goals –**
 - **Cutting edge optimization research**
 - **Of future use to the Air Force**
- **Strategies –**
 - **Stress rigorous models and algorithms , especially for environments with rapidly evolving and uncertain data**
 - **Engage more recognized optimization researchers, including more young PIs**
 - **Foster collaborations –**
 - **Optimizers, engineers and scientists**
 - **Between PIs and AF labs**
 - **With other AFOSR programs**
 - **With NSF, ONR, ARO**



Future AF Applications Identified



- **Engineering design, including peta-scale methods**
- **Risk Management and Defend-Attack-Defend models**
- **Real-time logistics**
- **Optimal learning/machine learning**
- **Optimal photonic material design (meta-materials)**
- **Satellite and target tracking**
- **Embedded optimization for control**
- **Network data mining**
- **..... (to be continued)**



Scientific Challenges and Potential Impacts



Some Scientific Challenges

- *Non-differentiable Optimization*
- *Nonlinear Optimization for Integer and Combinatorial Models*
- *Simulation Optimization*
- *Optimization for analysis of Biological and Social Networks*
- *Real Time Logistics*
- *Risk and Uncertainty in Engineering Design*

Potential Transformational Impacts

- *Meta-material design*
- *Engineering optimization*
- *AI, Machine Learning, Reinforcement Learning*
- *Air Force Logistics*



Program Trends



Analysis based optimization – Emphasis on theoretical results to exploit problem structure and establish convergence properties supporting the development of algorithms

Search based optimization – New methods to address very large continuous or discrete problems, but with an emphasis on the mathematical underpinnings, provable bounds, etc

Dynamic, stochastic and simulation optimization – New algorithms that address data dynamics and uncertainty and include optimization of simulation parameters

Combinatorial optimization – New algorithms that address fundamental problems in networks and graphs such as identifying substructures





Recent Transition: Model Adaptive Search for detoxification process design



Dennis (Rice), Audet (École Polytechnique de Montréal), Abramson (Boeing)

- The MADS algorithm, based on (Clarke) generalized derivatives, reduced the solution times by 37% on this problem in which expensive simulations were needed to evaluate the objective function.
- Series of publications on the theory (*SIAM Optimization* + others)
- Numerous transitions







New Meta Algorithms for Engineering Design Using Surrogate Functions


Dennis (Rice), Audet (École Polytechnique de Montréal), Abramson (Boeing)




Helicopter rotor tilt design at Boeing



Optimization of angle and graft size for bypass surgery



Snow water equivalent estimation



Highly fragmented domain

Feasible domain represented by the dark pixels.







Recent Transition




RESILIENCE REPORT: ELECTRIC POWER INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORTING MISSION ASSURANCE AT VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE

Javier Salmerón
David L. Alderson
Gerald G. Brown

NPS Center for Infrastructure Defense

December 2011





Other Organizations That Fund Related Work



- **NSF**

Several projects jointly funded in the 4 subareas

- **ONR**

- 3 projects (5 PIs) jointly funded – in D-A-D modeling, military logistics and stochastic optimization

- **DOE**

- No joint grants. One PI has additional funding in energy management

- **ARO**

- No joint project funding. One PI is on Army MURI



International Collaborations



- *Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal*
 - Claude Audet (with US researchers at Rice, Boeing, AFIT)
- *University of Waterloo*
 - S. Vavasis & H. Wolkowicz
- *SOARD*
 - Claudia Sagastizabal, RJ Brazil (with R. Mifflin, Wash. St.)

(plus many unsponsored collaborations)



Examples from the Portfolio



Discrete Search - Theory and Methods



– Jacobson, Howe and Whitley

- *Study of landscapes in combinatorial problems*
- *Applications in radar scheduling, routing, general NP Hard problems such as TSP, Air Fleet assignment, homeland security, ...*






Landscape Analysis and Algorithm Development for Plateau Plagued Search Spaces

Colorado State University, Howe & Whitley



Origin - tough scheduling problems
Led to new research on landscapes
and TSPs

Traveling Salesman Problem
(e.g., supply chain, logistics, circuit
designs, vehicle routing)





Optimal Dynamic Asset Allocation Problems: Addressing the Impact of Information Uncertainty & Quality

University of Illinois, Sheldon Jacobson




Goal: Create a general theoretical framework for addressing optimal dynamic asset allocation problems in the presence of information uncertainty and limited information quality.

Technical Approaches:

- Sequential Stochastic Assignment
- Surrogate Model Formulation and Analysis
- Dynamic Programming Algorithm Design


Applications



Assignment Problems



Screening and Protection



Scheduling Problems

Payoff to Stakeholders:

- **Theory:** New fundamental understanding of how **data deficiencies and uncertainties** impact sequential stochastic assignment problem solutions.
- Long-term payoff: Ability to solve problems that more closely mimic real-world systems driven by real-time data.



Analysis-Based Optimization Theory and Methods



- **Mifflin and Sagastizabal**

- *New theory leading to rapid convergence in non-differentiable optimization*

- **Freund and Peraire**

- *SDP for meta-material design*

- **Srikant**

- *Wireless Network Operation*




Breakthrough: Super-linear convergence for certain non-smooth problems




**Bob Mifflin (Washington State) and
Claudia Sagastizabal (CEPEL, Rio de Janeiro)**
jointly supported with NSF and SOARD

0.1 Ideal iteration



Superlinear Convergence for MAXQUAD



Main ref.: A VU algorithm for convex minimization R. Mifflin and C. Sagastizábal. Math. Program. 104(2-3), pp. 583-608, 2005.

Future work: quasi-Newton, μ -adjustment, lower C^2 functions



Band-gap optimization for wave propagation in periodic media


MIT, Freund, Parrilo & Peraire



Applications include design of elastic waveguides, beam splitters, acoustic lasers, perfect acoustic mirrors, vibration protection devices

Design of materials that give very precise control of light or sound waves


New in this work is the use of **Semi-Definite Optimization** for band structure calculation and optimization in phononic crystals





Optimization of photonic band gaps

MIT, Freund & Peraire



Goal: Design of photonic crystals with multiple and combined band gaps

Approach: *Solution Strategies*




- Pixel-based topology optimization
- Large-scale non-convex eigenvalue optimization problem
- Relaxation and linearization

Methods: *Numerical optimization tools*

- Semi-definite programming
- Subspace approximation method
- Discrete system via Finite Element discretization and Adaptive mesh refinement

Results: *Square and triangular lattices*

- Very large absolute band gaps
- Multiple complete band gaps
- Much larger than existing results.





Dynamic and Stochastic Methods



- **Stochastic Optimization –**
 - **Marcus and Fu**
 - *Simulation-based optimization*
- **Dynamic Optimization**
 - **Powell, Sen, Magnanti and Levi**
 - *Fleet scheduling, Refueling, ADP, Learning methods, Logistics*



The research challenge



- **Solve**

$$\max_{\pi} E^{\pi} \left\{ \sum_t \gamma^t C(S_t, X^{\pi}(S_t)) \right\}$$

given a *system model* (transition function)

$$S_{t+1} = S^M(S_t, x_t, W_{t+1}(\omega))$$

- **Goal: a method that reliably finds near-optimal policies for the broadest class of problems, with an absolute minimum of tunable parameters.**

A lush, green tropical forest scene with sunlight filtering through the dense canopy of various plants and trees. The text is overlaid on this background.

Stochastic programming

Stochastic search

Model predictive control

Optimal control

Reinforcement learning

Q-learning

On-policy learning

Off-policy learning

Markov decision processes

Simulation optimization

Policy search



The Knowledge Gradient for Optimal Learning In Expensive Information Collection Problems

Princeton University, Warren B. Powell




Challenge


- Finding the best material, technology, operating policy or design when field or laboratory experiments are expensive.

Breakthrough

- The knowledge gradient for correlated beliefs (KGCB) is a powerful method for guiding the efficient collection of information. Proving to be robust across broad problem classes.



A knowledge gradient surface



DISTRIBUTION A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited..

Technical challenge

- Optimal information collection policies are computationally intractable.
- Efficient algorithm for capturing correlated beliefs, making it possible to solve problems with thousands of choices on small budgets.

Impact

- Used to find new drugs, improve manufacturing processes, and optimize policies for stochastic simulators.





Ongoing (high-risk) research: Simulation-Based Methodologies for Estimation and Control

UMD (with NSF), S. Marcus & M. Fu



Convergence of Distribution to Optimum in Simulation-Based Optimization



ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Simulation-based optimization algorithms with probable convergence & efficient performance
- Evolutionary and sampling-based methods for Markov Decision processes




An Optimization Framework for Air Force Logistics of the Future

MIT, Magnanti & Levi



- **Air Force Logistics** –
 - 20 new initiatives to revolutionize supply chains and logistics
- **DOD logistics + inventory costs**
 - \$130 + \$80 billion
- **Magnanti and Levi (MIT) - fast real-time algorithms for**
 - Depot to base supply and joint replenishment
- **Future war space example - sensor asset allocation**
- **Workshop in 2010 on identification of specific AF models for the future**





ASYNCHRONOUS DISTRIBUTED OPTIMIZATION: COOPERATION WITH MINIMAL COMMUNICATION

Cassandras, Boston U.




OPTIMAL COVERAGE PROBLEM:

Deploy vehicles/sensors to maximize “event” detection probability

- unknown event locations
- event sources may be mobile


Theoretical results suggest that asynchronous communication is best.



Perceived event density over given region (mission space)




SYNCHRONOUS v ASYNCHRONOUS OPTIMIZATION PERFORMANCE



SYNCHRONOUS v ASYNCHRONOUS:

No. of communication events
for a problem with *nonsmooth* gradients



SYNCHRONOUS v ASYNCHRONOUS:

Achieving optimality
in a problem with *nonsmooth* gradients



Combinatorial Optimization



**Butenko (Texas A&M), Vavasis (U. Waterloo),
Prokopyev (U. Pittsburgh), Krokhmal (U. Iowa)**

*Underlying structures are often graphs; analysis is
increasingly continuous non-linear optimization*



- Data set generated by a certain complex system –
Represent using graphs or networks:
Nodes = agents, Links = interactions
- Interested in detecting cohesive (tightly knit) groups of nodes representing clusters of the system's components
- Earliest mathematical models of cohesive subgroups were based on the graph-theoretic concept of a **clique**
- Other subgroups include **k-clubs**



Applications



- Acquaintance networks - criminal network analysis
- Wire transfer database networks - detecting money laundering
- Call networks - organized crime detection
- Protein interaction networks - predicting protein complexes
- Gene co-expression networks - detecting network motifs
- Internet graphs - information search and retrieval



Finding hidden structures with convex optimization


U. Waterloo, S. Vavasis & H. Wolkowicz



The following random graph contains a subset of 5 nodes all mutually interconnected:

Recent data mining discoveries from Waterloo:


- Finding hidden cliques and bi-cliques in large graphs (see example)
- Finding features in images from an image database
- Clustering data in the presence of significant background noise using convex optimization



*5-clique found
via **convex**
optimization*



Another complex network




Protein-protein interaction map of H. Pylori

DISTRIBUTION A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited..







Find substructures via fractional optimization – S. Butenko, Texas A&M



**Yeast –
largest 2-club**



**H. Pylori –
largest 2-club**



**Yeast –
largest 3-club**



Polyomino Tiling

U. Pittsburgh, O. Prokopyev



- **Application:**
 - Time delay control of phased array systems
- **Jointly supported with Dr. Nachman of AFOSR/RSE, motivated by work at the Sensors Directorate:**
 - *Irregular Polyomino-Shaped Subarrays for Space-Based Active Arrays* (R.J. Mailloux, S.G. Santarelli, T.M. Roberts, D. Luu)



Motivation



- Introducing time delay into phased array systems causes significant quantization side lobes which severely degrade obtained pattern.
- Mailloux et al. [2006] have shown empirically that an array of **`irregularly` packed polyomino-shaped subarrays** has **peak side lobes suppressed more than 14db** when compared to an array with rectangular subarrays.




Figure 1: Subarray applications to LFOV and time-delayed wide-band arrays.




FIGURE 2: Irregular and rectangular subarrays.




FIGURE 3: Radiation patterns at $f/f_0 = 1.3$ for an array with time delay at element level (a), at rectangular subarray inputs (b) and at L-octomino subarray inputs (c).




Initial Approach



- The aim is to **mathematically model and solve** the problem of designing and arranging polyomino shaped subarrays using optimization.
- From **information theory** it is know that **entropy** is a measure of disorder or irregularity.
- How to `measure' irregularity of a given tiling of the board? Start from the concept of `center of gravity'. Every polyomino is thought as a solid and its center of gravity is found.
- Then, measure irregularity of the tiling by `irregularity of the centers of gravity' on the board.
- So the model **maximizes information entropy**.



16x16 Board Tiled with Tetrominoes



Maximize entropy.

50 x 50 in 1 hr

4 Tetrominoes - 18 rotations and reflections

Minimize entropy.

(Observe how centers of gravity
are aligned: order = less entropy)



Summary






- **Goals –**
 - **Cutting edge optimization research**
 - **Of future use to the Air Force**
- **The primary strategy is to identify future AF applications that will require important developments in optimization theory and new algorithms.**

Questions?



Ongoing research: Search Algorithms for Scheduling Under Uncertainty

Colorado State University, Howe & Whitley



Approach/Technical Challenges

- Disconnect between theory and practice in search.
- Current theory needs to be extended to account for complex structures of real world applications.
- Uncertainty and dynamism are not well captured by current theory.


Accomplishments

- Algorithms and complexity proof for a scheduling
- Proofs about plateaus in Elementary Landscapes
- Predictive models of plateau size for some classes of landscapes based on percolation theory
- **Recent results on next slide...**



Distributed Scheduling

UIUC, R. Srikant



- **Wireless Networks:** Links may not be able to transmit simultaneously due to interference.
- **Collision:** Two interfering users cannot transmit at the same time
- **Medium Access Control (MAC) Protocol:** Determines which links should be scheduled to transmit at each time instant.
- *(Relates to RSL Complex Networks portfolio)*

Main Result: The first, fully distributed algorithm which maximizes network throughput and explicitly takes interference into account.

Theoretical Contribution: An optimality proof that blends results from convex optimization, stochastic networks and mixing times of Markov chains.