

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.						
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 09-10-2009		2. REPORT TYPE Final Technical Report			3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 31/05/2008 - 30/04/2009	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Multi-mode External Photoemissive Detector for Sensing in the Earth's Atmospheric Windows				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b. GRANT NUMBER FA9550-06-1-0346		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Bates, Jr., Dr. Clayton W. and Zhang, Dr., Chichang				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Howard University 2300 6th Street NW, Room 1016 Washington, D.C. 20059					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Office of Scientific Research 875 North Randolph Street Room 3112 Arlington, VA 22203					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFOSR	
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-OSR-VA-TR-2012-0593	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT Room temperature quantum efficiencies of 2 micron thick Ag/n-Si composite films as a function of electric field were calculated for incident wavelengths of 3, 5, 8 and 14 microns, representing the first time such a calculation was made for a metal-semiconductor composite. With energies less than the Ag-Si Schottky barrier height, the signal current is carried by electrons tunneling through the barrier, for composites with Ag nanoparticles 5nm in size in an applied electric field of 2×10^6 V/cm, the quantum efficiencies are between 10% and 35% depending on the incident wavelength. They increase rapidly with electric field and asymptotically a large fraction of the radiation absorbed in the Ag particles.						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)	

Electric field dependence of quantum efficiencies of Ag/n-Si composites in the infrared at room temperature

Clayton W. Bates, Jr. and Chichang Zhang

CREST Center for Nanomaterials Characterization Science and Processing Technology
Department of Electrical Engineering, Howard University, Washington, DC 20059

Room temperature quantum efficiencies of Ag/n-Si composite films as a function of electric field are calculated for incident radiation wavelengths of 3, 5, 8 and 14 μ m using a previously derived formula. With energies smaller than the Ag-Si Schottky barrier height, the signal current is carried by electrons tunneling through the barrier. For composites with Ag particle sizes of 5nm, in an applied electric of 2×10^6 volts/cm, the quantum efficiencies are between 10 and 35%, depending upon the wavelength. They increase rapidly with electric field and asymptotically approach a large fraction of the absorbed incident radiation in the Ag particles.

An expression was previously derived for the quantum efficiency of Schottky barrier metal-semiconductor composite photon detectors as

$$\eta(\nu) = \dot{\eta}(\nu)P_{\text{esp}}(E)P_t(E)/\{1 - [1 - P_{\text{esp}}(E)]\dot{P}_t(E)\}^1. \quad (1)$$

In these detectors metal particles, nanometers in size, are embedded in a semiconductor matrix. Photons at frequency ν are absorbed in the metal particles producing free photoexcited electrons, which cross the metal-semiconductor interface into the semiconductor conduction band, giving rise to a signal current. Examples of such buried Schottky barrier detectors for sensing at $1\mu\text{m}$ are CoSi_2 particles 10nm in size and larger in p-type silicon² and 3nm As precipitates in undoped GaAs³. The quantum efficiency of the former at liquid nitrogen temperature (LNT) of 1.3%, was approximately six times higher than the corresponding planar structure. The latter had a room temperature quantum efficiency of 2-2.5%. For detecting radiation in the $8 - 14\mu\text{m}$ range, Ag nanoparticles in CuInSe_2 were used⁴. The device had a voltage responsivity of 10^2 volts/watt at LNT with a $D^* = 10^6 \text{cm Hz}^{1/2}\text{W}^{-1}$. As modest as these values may appear, the composite film was only 500nm thick. The enhanced sensitivities these buried Schottky barriers have over their planar counterparts come from increased surface areas and geometrical factors, producing measured increases of an order of magnitude^{1,5}. Films used here had Ag particles, treated as spherical in shape, embedded in an n-type silicon matrix (Ag/n-Si). Unlike the composites above, this study involved incident radiant energies smaller than the Ag-Si Schottky barrier.

$\dot{\eta}(\nu)$ is the fraction of incident flux at frequency ν that is absorbed in the Ag particles, and the remaining portion is the probability for a photoexcited electron to escape from a spherical Ag particle. This latter expression, derived in an earlier publication⁵, contains three terms. $P_{\text{esp}}(E)$ is the probability that a photoexcited electron hits the inner boundary of the Ag particle with energy E and escapes. $\dot{P}_t(E)$ is the probability that an electron can survive any inelastic scattering between reflections averaged throughout a sphere. $P_t(E)$ is the average probability for a photoexcited electron at $\mathbf{r}$ in a spherical particle centered at $\mathbf{r} = 0$ to reach the particle boundary without inelastic scattering. $\dot{P}_t(E)$ and $P_t(E)$ are given by⁵

$$\dot{P}_t(E) = l/2R[1 - \exp(-2R/l)] \quad (2)$$

$$P_t(E) = 3/2(l/R)^4 \{ 2(R/l) - 3 + \exp(-2R/l)[2(R/l)^2 + 4(R/l) + 3] \}. \quad (3)$$

R is the radius of the spherical Ag particle and $l(E)$ is the mean free path of the electron in the particle at energy E . If a photoexcited electron with kinetic energy E greater than the Ag-Si Schottky barrier height hits the inner boundary of the Ag at such an angle that the perpendicular kinetic energy is still greater than this height and can thus escape, then $P_{\text{esp}}(E)$ is given by⁵

$$P_{\text{esp}}(E) = 1/2[1 - (\Phi/E)^{1/2}] \quad (4)$$

where Φ is the measured Ag-Si Schottky barrier height of 0.6 eV ⁶. If however, the kinetic energy E is smaller than 0.6eV then this expression must be replaced with the transmission probability for electrons to tunnel through the barrier.

Figure 1 shows an energy band diagram at the interface between a Ag particle and the Si matrix. This is the usual triangular approximation for the energy band diagram as a function of distance for this interface and the transmission probability for this barrier is given by⁷

$$T(E_{\text{avg}\perp}) = \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3} \frac{\sqrt{2m^*}}{\hbar q} \frac{W^{3/2}}{E_{\text{field}}}\right), \quad (5)$$

where $\hbar$ is Planck's constant divided by 2π , m^* is the effective mass of the electrons in silicon, q is the electronic charge and E_{field} is the applied electric field across the film.

When an electron strikes the Ag-Si interface, it is only the energy component perpendicular to this boundary that is effective in transmitting the electron through the barrier. At a given energy E , this perpendicular component is a function of the angle θ between the $\mathbf{k}$ vector of the electron wave and the normal to the surface by⁵

$E_{\perp} = E \cos^2 \theta$. Since θ is not known for a given energy E , we used the volume average of $E_{\perp}$ throughout the sphere, resulting in $E_{avg \perp} = E/3$. Equation (5) does not take into consideration the lowering of the barrier due to the field. This lowering is given by⁸

$$\Delta\phi = \sqrt{\frac{qE_{field}}{4\pi\epsilon_{Silicon}}}, \quad (6)$$

where $\epsilon_{silicon}$ is the permittivity of silicon at room temperature ($12\epsilon_0$). At each electric field $\Delta\phi$ was subtracted from the barrier height of 0.6eV.

Composite films of Ag/n-Si, 2 μ m thick, were deposited by magnetron co-sputtering on high resistivity ($\rho > 10^3 \Omega\text{-cm}$) 3-in. diameter Si (111) substrates, held at 400 °C. Preparation at this low temperature produces small Ag particles which have been shown to have higher photoelectronic sensitivities than larger ones¹. The particles were equi-axed and mostly 5nm in size⁹. We approximated them by spheres, in the usual effective medium approximation, when the incident wavelengths are much larger than the particle sizes¹⁰. From XRD measurements the silicon was found to be completely amorphous. 5nm was used in calculating the probabilities given in equations (2) and (3). Room temperature mean free paths for Ag at 3, 5, 8 and 14 μ m were taken from experimental data in B. Zijsa et al¹¹. The measured absorption at these wavelengths gave fractions of incident radiation absorbed of 0.60, 0.45, 0.42 and 0.52 respectively¹². The films contained 20 at% Ag and 80 at% Si as determined from Rutherford Backscattering Analyses. Thus, the system to which we applied equation (1), consisted of 2 μ m thick Ag/n-Si composite films, with 20 at% Ag having a uniform distribution of 5nm Ag particles. The silicon can be heavily doped with phosphorous ($\sim 10^{19}/\text{cm}^3$) to produce a narrow depletion region at the Ag-Si interfaces and large electric fields in the depletion regions for increased tunneling¹³, though such a model is unnecessary for the calculation of $\eta(v)$. The absorption coefficient was determined from the fraction of incident power remaining for transmission. At 14 μ m this fraction was 0.48 and is equal to $\exp(-\alpha x)$. With $x = 2\mu\text{m}$, $\alpha = 3.67 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. α for 3, 5 and 8 μ m may similarly be obtained. Spitzer and Fan¹⁴ measured the room temperature absorption coefficient for free electrons in arsenic, antimony and phosphorus doped silicon over the wavelength range from 1 to 50 μ m. We interpolated their results to a phosphorus doping density of $10^{19}/\text{cm}^3$. The interpolated absorption coefficients at 3, 5, 8 and 14 μ m were 50, 75, 150 and 350 cm^{-1} respectively. For a 2 μ m thick film they corresponded to attenuated fractions of only 0.01, 0.02, 0.03 and 0.068 respectively, very small compared to the absorption in the Ag particles. These values were subtracted from the total attenuation to obtain the values for those due to the Ag particles, giving $\eta(v)$ values of 0.59, 0.43, 0.39 and 0.45 at 3, 5, 8 and 14 μ m. An effective mass m^* of $0.3m_0$ was used in the calculation for $\eta(v)$ that is near the middle range of (0.07 - 0.7) m_0 of the published values for amorphous silicon¹⁵. $\eta(v)$ is plotted in Figure 2 below using the measured values for the absorbed radiation at the four wavelengths. Experiments are currently underway to validate these results in the Ag/n-Si system. It is to be noted that in the As precipitates in GaAs³ where the fraction of radiation absorbed was 3%, the room temperature quantum efficiency was 2-2.5%, in good agreement with the results here. At an electric field of 2×10^6 volts/cm, the quantum

efficiency varies between 10 and 35% depending on the wavelength, which are quite large values for room temperature. The efficiency increases rapidly with electric field beyond this value and asymptotically approaches $\eta(\nu)T(E_{\text{avg}} \perp)P_t(E)$ as $T(E_{\text{avg}} \perp)$ approaches unity. When both $T(E_{\text{avg}} \perp)$ and $P_t(E)$ are unity, the quantum efficiency $\eta(\nu)$, is equal to $\dot{\eta}(\nu)$, i.e., the absorbed radiation is completely converted into generating an electrical signal which would be expected under these conditions.

For operation as an infrared detector using a heavily doped model, some degree of cooling would be necessary, as for phosphorous doped silicon with a dopant density of $10^{19}/\text{cm}^3$, there will be $2.2 \times 10^{18}/\text{cm}^3$ free electrons in the conduction band of silicon at room temperature that will contribute to the noise in such a device. As noted above the quantum efficiency is not a function of the doping density in the silicon, but mainly of the microstructure of the Ag/n-Si composite. This is an important distinction and will be examined in detail in future work where other models are discussed.

Facilities at the Howard University CREST Center for Nanomaterials Characterization Science and Processing Technology were used in this investigation. The research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, the Army Research Office and the National Science Foundation.

References

1. C.W. Bates, Jr. and A.J. Hendricks, Appl. Phys. Lett., **86**, 223510 (2005)
2. R.W. Fathauer, J.M. Lannelli, C.W. Nieh and S. Hashimoto, App. Phys. Lett. **57**, 1419 (1990)
3. A.C. Warren, J.H. Burroughes, J.M. Woodall, D.T. McInturff, R.T. Hodgson and M.R. Melloch, IEEE electron Device Lett. **12**, 527 (1991)
4. C.W. Bates, Jr., Mater. Letts. **29**, 63 (1996)
5. Q.Y. Chen and C.W. Bates, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2737 (1986)
6. S.M. Sze, "Physics of Semiconductor Devices", John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1981) (Second Edition) page 274
7. S.M. Sze, "Physics of Semiconductor Devices", John Wiley & Sons, New York, Chap. 9, page 522, equation (4)
8. S.M. Sze, "Physics of Semiconductor Devices", John Wiley & Sons, New York, Chap. 5, page 252, equation (15a)
9. C.W. Bates, Jr., J.C. White and C. Ekeocha, "Transmission electron microscopy study of Ag/n-Si composites grown on Si (111) substrates", Materials Science and Engineering B **143**, 38 (2007)
10. C.W. Bates, Jr., "Optical Properties of Metal-Semiconductor Composites", Key Engineering Materials **108-110**, 355 (1995)
11. B. Ziaja, R.A. London and J. Hajdu, J. Appl. Phys. **99**, 033514 (2006)
12. M. Ndoye and C.W. Bates, Jr., unpublished results
13. S.M. Sze, "Physics of Semiconductor Devices", John Wiley & Sons, New York, Chap. 5, page 248, paragraph 5.22
14. W. Spitzer and H.Y. Fan, Phys. Rev. **108**(2), 268 (1957)
15. K. Aflatooni, R. Hornsey and A. Nathan, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **46**(7), 1417 (1999)

Figure Captions




Figure 1. Energy band diagram at the Ag-Si interface. The horizontal axis represents the direction of the perpendicular component of the energy E .




Figure 2. Quantum efficiency vs. electric field for 3,5,8 and 14 μm incident wavelengths