



DoD Architects' Competency Framework

Con Kenney
DoD EA Conference
April 30, 2012




Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 30 APR 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE DoD Architects' Competency Framework				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Defense University, Fort McNair, DC, 20319				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the 2012 DoD Enterprise Architecture. MIAMI, FL, APRIL 30 - MAY 3, 2012					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 56	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

- **Public Value, Decision-making, and the Benefits of Enterprise Architecture**
- **Maturity of Enterprise Architecture in Knowledge Terms**
- **Steps to Increase Maturity of Enterprise Architecture**
- **DoD Architects' Competency Framework**
- **National Defense University iCollege EA Offerings**

Public Value, Decision-making, and the Benefits of Enterprise Architecture

How Government Agencies Create Stakeholder Value



Source: Model of Co-production by John Alford
from **IT Governance** by Weill and Ross

Agencies Choose Mixes of Strategies

General Decision Strategy

➤ **Top-line Value Strategies**

- Create New Offering
- Change Existing Offering
- Establish New Customer, Beneficiary, or Partner for Existing Offering

Increase
Success Rate

➤ **Bottom-line Value Strategies**


- Reduce Cycle Time
- Reduce Time to Market
- Reduce Lifecycle Cost
 - Fewer Inputs
 - Less Costly Inputs
 - Less Waste

Decrease
Failure Rate

Decision Lifecycle



Public Value and Decision-Making



The problem is that there are too many strategic options for the agency resources available.

Decision-makers must choose which strategies should be allocated agency resources and lack information to do so.

Decision-makers Use Information from Many Different Sources

Information Domains	Sources	Examples
Strategic	Congress, President, Agency Head, Senior Leaders, Partners	Authorization, Appropriations, Directives, Stakeholder Feedback
Political & Competitive	Office of Management and Budget, Other Agencies, Partners, Other Stakeholders	Budget and Management Guidance, Stakeholder Feedback
Financial	Chief Financial Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Congress	Budget and Passback, Financial Reports, Financial Controls
Operational	Mission/Lines of Business, Staff Offices, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Information Officer	Business Plans, Program Charters, Business Processes, Information Packages, OPS Controls
Technical	CIO, CISO, Program Managers, IT Managers	Configurations, standards, IT assets, Tech Controls

Decision-makers Depend on Different Types of Information

- **Facts**
- **Intentions**
- **Impressions**
- **Narratives**
- **Constraints**


Constraints are Really Important to Decision-makers

- A decision option that violates a binding constraint is infeasible
- A decision option is much more likely to fail if it violates one or more non-binding constraints
- Earlier decisions impose constraints on current decision situations
- Agencies cannot go back and remake decisions – the time is past and the money is spent

Why Decision-Makers Need EA Now

- For US businesses, 50% of all capital expenditure goes to information and communications technology (ICTs)
- For knowledge-centered organizations like agencies, the percentage of capital expenditures for ICTs is even higher; up to 80% of effort is supported by ICTs
- As agency dependence on ICTs has increased over the past thirty years, constraints relating to ICTs have had ever greater impact on decision options
- EA captures operational and technical constraints, including information on ICTs, and integrates them with information from the strategic, political/competitive, and financial domains

EA Helps Answer Some Key Business Questions



Type of Benefit	Decision Context	Examples
Lower information search cost	All	Constraints limiting decision options
Lower compliance cost	All except Strategy Making	Strategic goal alignment, accurate Exhibit 300
Lower non-compliance cost	Program Management, Solution Making	IT Standards Enforcement
Less unnecessary and/or redundant IT investment	Organizational Planning, Portfolio Management, Program Management, Solution Making	Lower integration and testing effort, Smaller project scope
Greater reuse of organizational capabilities, assets, resources, and effort	All	Shared services, enterprise infrastructure

Also Regulations and Guidance Require Many Agencies to Develop and Use EA

- **Clinger-Cohen Act**
- **E-Government Act**
- **2005 Defense Authorization Act**
- **2010 Intelligence Authorization Act**
- **Office of Management and Budget Circulars A-11 and A-130**

Maturity of Enterprise Architecture in Knowledge Terms

Fads, Good Ideas, Practices, Disciplines, Professions, and Paradigms

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited

Fads, Good Ideas, Practices, Disciplines, Professions, and Paradigms

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited

Astronomy	Scientist	Repeatable Experiments	Centuries	Verified
-----------	-----------	------------------------	-----------	----------

Fads, **Good Ideas**, Practices, Disciplines, Professions, and Paradigms

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited
BPR	True Believer	Promising Cases	5-10 years	Fatal Flaw

Astronomy Scientist Repeatable Experiments Centuries Verified

Fads, Good Ideas, Practices, Disciplines, **Professions**, and Paradigms

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited
BPR	True Believer	Promising Cases	5-10 years	Fatal Flaw

Medicine	Educator	Trusted Standards	Generations	Codified
Astronomy	Scientist	Repeatable Experiments	Centuries	Verified

Fads, Good Ideas, **Practices**, Disciplines, Professions, and Paradigms

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited
BPR	True Believer	Promising Cases	5-10 years	Fatal Flaw
Entrepreneurship	Trainer	Practitioner Experience	10-20 years	Unverified Claims
Medicine	Educator	Trusted Standards	Generations	Codified
Astronomy	Scientist	Repeatable Experiments	Centuries	Verified

Fads, Good Ideas, Practices, Disciplines, Professions, and Paradigms

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited
BPR	True Believer	Promising Cases	5-10 years	Fatal Flaw
Entrepreneurship	Trainer	Practitioner Experience	10-20 years	Unverified Claims
Statistics	Specialist	Accepted Methodology	Decades	Useful for Research
Medicine	Educator	Trusted Standards	Generations	Codified
Astronomy	Scientist	Repeatable Experiments	Centuries	Verified

EA – Where Do You Think We Are Now?

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited
BPR	True Believer	Promising Cases	5-10 years	Fatal Flaw
Entrepreneurship	Trainer	Practitioner Experience	10-20 years	Unverified Claims
Statistics	Specialist	Accepted Methodology	Decades	Useful for Research
Medicine	Educator	Trusted Standards	Generations	Codified
Astronomy	Scientist	Repeatable Experiments	Centuries	Verified

As Is EA - Good Idea?

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited
BPR	True Believer	Promising Cases	5-10 years	Fatal Flaw
Entrepreneurship	Trainer	Practitioner Experience	10-20 years	Unverified Claims
Statistics	Specialist	Accepted Methodology	Decades	Useful for Research
Medicine	Educator	Trusted Standards	Generations	Codified
Astronomy	Scientist	Repeatable Experiments	Centuries	Verified

To Be EA – Discipline?

Example of Undertaking	Nature of Actor	Source of Knowledge	Duration of Use	Cause of Outcome
T-Groups	Huckster	Individual Experience	2-4 years	Discredited
BPR	True Believer	Promising Cases	5-10 years	Fatal Flaw
Entrepreneurship	Trainer	Practitioner Experience	10-20 years	Unverified Claims
Statistics	Specialist	Accepted Methodology	Decades	Useful for Research
Medicine	Educator	Trusted Standards	Generations	Codified
Astronomy	Scientist	Repeatable Experiments	Centuries	Verified

What's the Transition Strategy for EA?

- **My sense is that EA has passed beyond being a management fad but has not achieved the status of a practice such as entrepreneurship studies**
- **I love doing EA, but I'm concerned about its longevity**
 - Absent standards
 - Aging practitioners
 - Stalling momentum
 - Funder fatigue
- **We need to codify the EA practice and train a new generation of practitioners**
- **We also need to fund and conduct EA research to inform education and training of architects**

Steps to Increase Maturity of Enterprise Architecture

- **According to Ross and Weill: “Top-performing enterprises had more than 20% higher profits than similar firms without governance and Enterprise Architecture.”**
- **However, there are high barriers to EA becoming a trusted part of management**
 - Difficulty in measuring contribution of EA, much less ROI
 - Process not repeatable, partly due to multiple frameworks, methodologies, and tools
 - Absence of standards for evaluating the quality of an EA or an EA practitioner

Hallmarks of Enduring Practices and Professions

- Valuable, consistent, repeatable offerings
- Established standards, frameworks, models, and competencies
- Certification of education and training programs and providers
- Certification of practitioners at different levels of proficiency
- Liability for incompetent practice
- Formal licensure

Steps to Increase the Maturity of EA

- **Identity:** new ideas about ourselves and what we do
- **Value Proposition:** new applications of EA practices
- **Research:** deeper understanding of our discipline
- **Frameworks, Methodologies, and Tools:** better support for new identities, value propositions, roles, and knowledge
- **Practitioner Development:** investments in ourselves and our successors

- **In my opinion most enterprise architects have defined their identities in terms of**
 - Complying to an alphabet soup of guidance
 - Inventorying IT stuff – applications, infrastructure, standards
- **Our experience has lead us to some assumptions we need to question**
 - Business and IT strategy is someone else's problem
 - Don't get distracted with solutions,
 - There's no opportunity in IT operations management
- **If we look around, we can see opportunities to add value**


- **Education, Training, and Career Development for**
 - Current practitioners
 - Future practitioners
- **Common competencies**
- **Standardized career paths and position descriptions**
- **Certification by international standards authorities of**
 - Education and training programs
 - Practitioner competencies

DoD Architects' Competency Framework


- **Over the past 5 years 4 working groups with members from DoD, industry, and academia have defined competencies for systems/enterprise architects**
- **Common Goals across Working Groups**
 - Complete DoD competency standards development
 - Leverage framework for civilian agency use
 - Broadly promote and evolve the framework standards with industry
- **The DoD Architects' Competency Framework will be implemented this year through the Defense Competency Assessment Tool (DCAT) for career planning and workforce development**
- **The DoD Architects' Competency Framework Guide is available now**

DoD Architects' Competency Framework Approach

- Identify architect tasks and required competencies
- Define competencies in terms of knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSAs)
- Test and refine the mappings with the help of EA practitioners and the academic community
- Link education and training offerings to KSAs



DOD Architects' Competency Framework Overview



- **Employee** – someone who is performing or considering an EA job
- **Supervisor** – someone who supervises an EA practitioner
- **Hiring Manager/HR Specialist** – someone responsible for filling a position for an EA job
- **Program Manager** – someone writing a statement of work for an acquisition that includes EA activities
- **Education/Training Provider** – someone who creates and delivers offerings to help an employee acquire KSAs that support his or her professional objectives

- **Career planning** – reduces time and effort for employee by organizing information about EA-related activities, jobs, job families, training, and experience
- **Appraisal** – reduces time and effort for supervisor and employee by clarifying expectations
- **Hiring** – reduces time and effort for hiring manager and HR specialist in specifying KSAs for new job descriptions
- **Contracting** – reduces time and effort for program manager in specifying EA activities and KSAs for new acquisitions
- **Educating and training** – reduces time and effort to develop a instructional program for architects

DoD Architects' Competencies (11 of 86, Technical)


Acquisition Process: Knowledge of DoD lifecycle acquisition program milestones, policies, procedures, and processes (e.g., Analysis of Alternatives (AoA), Capabilities Based Assessment (CBA)).	√		√
Architecture Frameworks: Knowledge of the current Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF) and other architecture frameworks to include an understanding of the foundational framework for developing and representing architecture descriptions that ensure a common denominator for understanding, comparing, and integrating architectures across organizational, Joint, and multinational boundaries.	√		√
Capacity Management: Knowledge of the principles and methods for monitoring, estimating, or reporting performance and capability of information systems/components.			
Capital Planning and Investment Control: Knowledge of the principles and methods of capital investment analysis or business case analysis, including return on investment analysis and portfolio management.			
Configuration Management: Knowledge of the principles and methods for planning or managing the implementation, update, or integration of systems components.	√		√
Contracting/ Procurement: Knowledge of various types of contracts, techniques or requirements (e.g., firm fixed price, cost plus award fee, Federal Acquisitions Regulations).			
Cost Benefit Analysis: Knowledge of the principles and methods of cost benefit analysis, including the time, value of money, present value concepts, and quantifying tangible and intangible benefits.			
Current Infrastructure: Knowledge of current Global Information Grid (GIG) and organizational infrastructure elements and how they impact implementation plans.	√		√
Data Management: Knowledge of the principles, procedures, and tools of data management, such as modeling techniques, data backup, data recovery, data dictionaries, data warehousing, data mining, data disposal, and data standardization processes.	√		√
Database Management Systems: Knowledge of the uses of database management systems and software to control the organization, storage, retrieval, security, and integrity of data.			
Enterprise Architecture: Knowledge of principles, concepts, and methods of enterprise architecture to align strategy, plans, and systems with the mission, goals, structure, and processes of the organization.	√	√	√

DoD Architects' Tasks (10 of 141)

#	Task	Critical Tasks
1	Attends or participates in formal training, workshops, or seminars (e.g., classroom, on-line, or computer-based).	√
2	Searches for and extracts information (e.g., from data repositories, file servers, Internet, reports, publications).	√
3	Uses information systems to access, create, edit, print, send, retrieve, or manipulate data, files, or other information.	√
4	Conducts training sessions, classes, workshops, or seminars to develop or maintain technical proficiency.	
5	Supports policy dissemination across the organization.	√
6	Designs training courses or develops instructional materials or activities.	
7	Reviews work products of others to provide feedback.	√
8	Participates in recruitment activities for prospective employees (e.g., job fairs, college/university sponsored events, professional associations).	
9	Recommends recognition and rewards for effective or outstanding performance.	
10	Schedules work assignments to coordinate the work of team.	√

- Develop and publish guide to DoD Architects' Framework April 30, 2012
- Load DoD Architects' Framework Competencies and Tasks in DCAT Q4FY12
- Develop Proficiency Level Illustrations for Architects in DCAT Q4FY12
- Work with Scott Bernard of OMB to get feedback from the chief architects of other federal agencies Q1FY13

Proficiency Level Illustrations Will Help Define EA Career Paths



- **Engaging civilian agencies**
 - Department of Homeland Security
 - Department of Health and Human Services
 - Department of Interior
- **Connecting with the broader practitioner community**
 - Collaboration with OMB and the Chief Architect's Forum
 - Continued EA SIG engagement
 - Federation of EA Professional Organizations (FEAPO)
- **Supporting and stimulating research**
 - Penn State


National Defense University iCollege EA Offerings

- **The NDU iCollege offers several programs to help architecture practitioners develop their management and leadership competencies**
 - Individual courses for professional development or graduate credit
 - A 3-level EA Certificate program
 - Master's of Science in Government Information Strategic Leader with concentration in EA
- **Our students come from across DoD and the federal government and include military officers and civil as well as international students and contractors**
- **Courses are available in a five-day classroom format or a twelve-week distance learning format. Average class size is 16 students**
- **There is no incremental cost for a DoD employee, but travel costs must be covered by the employee's component**

Preliminary Mapping of iCollege Courses to Technical Competencies

Competency	CC	CS	DS	IS	MS	OS	PS	SS	TS	US	VS	WS	XS	YS	ZS
Architecture Frameworks: Knowledge of the current Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DDAF) and other architecture frameworks to include an understanding of the foundational framework for developing and representing architecture descriptions that ensure a common structure for understanding, representing, and managing information across organizational, time, and technical boundaries.															
Systems Management: Knowledge of the principles and methods for monitoring, measuring, or reporting performance and capability of information systems components.															
Agile Planning and Incremental Control: Knowledge of the principles and methods of agile-incremental analysis to business case analysis, including value to investment analysis and portfolio management.															
Configuration Management: Knowledge of the principles and methods for planning or managing the implementation, update, or termination of systems components.															
Enterprise Performance: Knowledge of strategies of enterprise performance measurement (e.g., Best-Practice, Cost-plus, or the Digital Transformation Readiness).															
Cost-Benefit Analysis: Knowledge of the principles and methods of cost-benefit analysis, including the time, value of money, present value concepts, and quantifying weights and strengths benefits.															
Service Performance: Knowledge of current Global Information Grid (GIG) and organizational infrastructure elements and how they impact implementation plans.															
Data Management: Knowledge of the principles, procedures, and tools of data management, such as modeling techniques, data backup, data recovery, data discovery, data archiving, data mining, data disposal, and data reclassification processes.															
Database Management Systems: Knowledge of the uses of database management systems and software to control the organization, storage, retrieval, security, and integrity of data.															
Enterprise Architecture: Knowledge of principles, concepts, and methods of enterprise architecture to design strategy, plans, and systems with the mission, goals, interests and processes of the organization.															
Enterprise Architecture Administration: Knowledge of and ability to apply the principles, methods, and techniques for assessing, developing, implementing, maintaining, or administering EA tools, database systems, and other EA management systems.															
Software: Knowledge of applications, uses, and types of software technology (for example, computers, tablets, servers).															
Architecture: Knowledge of methods and procedures to create information systems, and this by ensuring that reliability, availability, confidentiality, non-repudiation, and integrity.															
Information Systems Strategy and Planning: Knowledge of the principles, methods, and techniques of information technology (IT) systems, planning, management, measuring, and evaluation.															
Information Systems/Network Security: Knowledge of methods, tools, and procedures, including development of information security plans, to protect information systems, confidentiality, and provide or ensure security of information systems and network services.															
Information Technology Architecture: Knowledge of architectural methodologies used in the design and development of information systems, including the physical structure of a system's internal operation and interaction with other systems.															
Information Technology Requirements Analysis: Knowledge of the principles and methods to identify, analyze, specify, design, and manage functional and non-functional (for example, reliability, maintainability, supportability) requirements, includes translating functional requirements into technical requirements used for logical design or providing alternative technologies or approaches.															
Information Technology Standards: Knowledge of the processes associated with development, adoption, specification, certification and enforcement of IT standards. Knowledge of current and emerging standards that are being evaluated and approved by standards bodies.															
Infrastructure Design: Knowledge of the architecture and topology of software, hardware, and networks, including LANs, WANs, operating systems, and telecommunication systems, their components and associated protocols and standards, and how they operate and integrate with one another.															
Modeling and Simulation: Knowledge of modeling and simulation tools and techniques, planning and supporting test and evaluation programs, describing systems, support decision modeling requirements, evaluating design alternatives or supporting operational programs.															
Resource Concepts: Knowledge of techniques for implementing a threat resources strategy for identifying and sharing information.															
Network Management: Knowledge of the operation and management of network and telecommunications systems and related systems and protocols.															
Performance Support: Knowledge of procedures to ensure production or delivery of products and services, including tools and techniques for the development of technical software.															
Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Execution (PPBE): Knowledge of DOD policies, procedures, and processes for expenditure of funds (e.g., appropriations, authorizations, allocations, obligations).															
Resource Control: Knowledge of the principles, methods, and procedures used for the sustained control of a process, including the design, development, and maintenance of automated software, hardware, and systems.															
System Improvement: Knowledge of methods, metrics, tools, and techniques of process improvement (for example, Business Process Reengineering, Lean Six Sigma, Capability Maturity Model for Integration).															
System IT Operations: Knowledge of methods for monitoring and analyzing system problems to determine their potential for meeting organizational standards and business needs.															
Quality Assurance: Knowledge of principles, methods, and tools of quality assurance and quality control used to ensure a product fulfills functional requirements and standards.															
System Performance: Knowledge of data requirements capability gap, key performance parameters, key system attributes, policies, procedures, and processes (e.g., Joint Capability Integration Development Process (JCIDS)).															
Research: Knowledge of the scientific principles, methods, and processes used to conduct a systematic and objective inquiry including study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, and the reporting of results.															
Software Applications Security: Knowledge of methods, tools, and procedures used to design and build security measures into software applications to protect confidentiality, integrity, or ensure security of information systems, and defend against unauthorized access to software applications and data.															
Software Development: Knowledge of the principles, methods, and tools for designing, developing, and testing software in a given environment.															
Software Engineering: Knowledge of software engineering design and development methodologies, principles, and tools for software life cycle software reliability and software reliability metrics (e.g., agile development, waterfall, object-oriented).															
Software Testing and Evaluation: Knowledge of the principles, methods, and tools for analyzing and developing software test and evaluation procedures.															
System Engineering: Knowledge of systems engineering design and development methodologies, principles, tools, and metrics used for the design.															
System Integration: Knowledge of principles, methods, and procedures for modeling, integrating, and optimizing information systems components.															
System Life Cycle: Knowledge of systems life cycle management concepts used in plan, develop, implement, maintain, and dispose of information systems.															
System Testing and Evaluation: Knowledge of the principles, methods, and tools for analyzing and developing, systems test and evaluation procedures and technical characteristics of IT systems, including identifying critical system elements.															

Opportunities for EA



➤ **White-space EA**

- Strategy-making and testing (Executive participation)
- Organizational Change (Clarity of message rated by employees)
- External Information Sharing and Collaboration (Cost of integration)

➤ **Investment Context EA**

- Compliance with CPIC and EA Guidance (IT Investment Dashboard)
- Performance of IT Investments (Portfolio ROI)

➤ **Solution-focused EA**

- EA-enabled Solution Lifecycle (Time to quality)
- Business process Improvement (Process cost)
- Service-enablement of information systems (Reuse)

➤ **IT Operations Management EA**

- Cost and efficiency of IT infrastructure (TCO)
- Migration to Cloud Computing Platform (Accessibility of service)
- Enterprise Software Suite Integration (% Effort for integration and testing)

Cost/Value of EA Value Propositions

Cost

Strategy-making and testing
Organizational Change
Information Sharing
Performance of IT Investments
Service-enablement
EA-enabled Solution Lifecycle
Enterprise Integration
Cloud Computing
Business process Improvement
IT Infra Efficiency
Compliance

Value

➤ Engagement with scholars

- Describe and refine EA substance and syntax
- Identify knowledge and skills to be taught
- Establish and follow certification standards
- Develop and deliver courses
- Conduct and publish research for practitioners

➤ Exciting Research Topics

- Real-time information for decision-making
- What does a “good” EA look like?
- Useful new ways of describing industry and business models
- Federated governance of real-time, mission-critical process

- Frameworks for integrating with other management disciplines
- Ways of describing sector, industry, and agency business models
- Quick turnaround and lightweight EA methods
- Creation, presentation, and management of dynamic information
- Crowd sourcing with Wiki-based EA updating
- More accessible and inexpensive modeling and simulation tools

- Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business. Report of the AACSB International Impact of Research Task Force. 2007.
- Carey, Dennis. A Discipline Development Model for Peace Studies. Peace & Change. Winter 80, Volume 6, Issue 1.
- Hardaway, Don, Mathieu, Richard G., and Will, Richard. A New Mission for the Information Systems Discipline. Computer. May 2008.
- Henze, Brent R. Emergent Genres in Young Disciplines: The Case of Ethnological Science. Technical Communication Quarterly. Volume 14, Issue 4. Autumn 2004.
- Hunter, Patti Wilger. An Unofficial Community: American Mathematical Statisticians before 1935. Annals of Science, Volume 56, 1999.
- Ross, Jeanne and Weill, Peter. IT Governance. 2005

Engagement between scholars and practitioners

- Define the “substantive structure” – conceptual linkages and research topics
- Define the “syntactic structure” – validate the substantive structure through research methodology
- Identify knowledge and skills to be taught
- Establish certification standards
- Develop curricula
- Obtain funding for research
- Publish in refereed journals

- **Real-time information for decision-making**
- **Development of an organization-specific taxonomy/ontology for sense-making**
- **What does a “good” EA look like?**
- **Underlying cognitive processes essential to EA practices**
- **Useful new ways of describing industry and business models**
- **Federated governance of real-time, mission-critical process**