



HOMER as a Marine Corps Pre-Deployment Tool To Evaluate Power Solutions

**Brandon Newell
Captain, USMC**

Advisor: Dr. Sherif Michael

Co-Advisor: Dr. Dan Nussbaum


Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2010		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE HOMER as a Marine Corps Pre-Deployment Tool To Evaluate Power Solutions				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Postgraduate School,Electrical & Computer Engineering,Monterey,CA,93943				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the NDIA Environment, Energy Security & Sustainability (E2S2) Symposium & Exhibition held 14-17 June 2010 in Denver, CO.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 32	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



- NPS - June '08
- NREL Internship – Summer '09
- Afghanistan MEAT – Sept '09
- Expeditionary Energy Office – Oct '10

Expeditionary Energy Office (*E²O*)


- Commandant's Vision: "Be the premier, self-sufficient expeditionary force, instilled with an ethos, that efficient use of vital resources equate to increased combat effectiveness."
- Reduce Fuel/Water Moved Around the Battlefield
- ExFOB



- Micropower Optimization Tool
 - Developed at NREL
 - Now privately owned
 - Simulation of micropower systems
 - Optimization driven by cost analysis



Schematic Diagram



Solution Space

Optimization Inputs

This table displays the values of each optimization variable. HOMER builds the search space, or set of all possible system configurations, from this table and then simulates the configurations and sorts them by net present cost. You can add and remove values in this table or in the Sizes to Consider table in the appropriate input window.

Hold the pointer over an element name or click Help for more information.

	PV Array (kW)	G1	G3	Dsl (kW)	Batteries	Converter (kW)
1	0.000	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
2	1.000	1	1	8.00	4	2.00
3	2.000	2	2		8	4.00
4	4.000	3	3		12	8.00
5	8.000		4		24	
6	12.000				48	
7	16.000				96	
8					128	
9						
10						


Average primary load: 50 kWh/d
Peak primary load: 7.79 kW

Help Cancel OK

- Thesis Question: Can HOMER be utilized as a pre-deployment tool to meet the Marine Corps' need to evaluate power solutions for unique locations?
- Answer: YES, If it is CALIBRATED



Company Power Profile Austere





Two Experiments – on campus

Objectives:


- Measure power production over one month and compare HOMER's modeled production.
- Calibrate HOMER's variables to the particular system.



Controlled Experiments

San
Francisco

Monterey




- Grid-tied-PV system
 - 11.48kW PV
 - Pacific Gas & Electric
- Wind-PV system
 - 60 W PV panel (Powerfilm)
 - 50 W PV panel (Kyocera)
 - 400 W wind turbine (AirX)





- Period – Apr 2 – May 1, 2010
- Equipment – (56) Kyocera 205W panels
 - (3) SunnyBoy SB3800U Inverters
 - Assembled in 2006.
- System Rated Power – 11.48kW
- Measured Energy:
 - 1270 kWh for the month

Critical Variables



Azimuth	231 degrees
Slope	15 degrees
Temp Effects	Ignored
Economics	Ignored
Solar Resource	NASA (monthly avg)
Converter Eff.	94.5%
Derating Factor	80%

	PV Usable Energy (kWh)	Accuracy
Measured Data	1270	
HOMER Model	1612	+27%

- Possible Sources of Inaccuracy:
 - Temperature Effects
 - Solar Irradiance Estimates
 - Performance of System

Kyocera KD205GX Data Sheet

Homer Inputs:

☒ Consider effect of temperature

Temperature coeff. of power (%/°C)

-0.92

{.}

Nominal operating cell temp. (°C)


49

{.}



Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)

16

{.}



	PV Usable Energy (kWh)	Accuracy
Measured Data	1270	
HOMER Model	1612	+27%
Add Temp Effects	1539	+21%



Compare HOMER's Estimated Irradiance to Actual Irradiance:

HOMER is 9% Higher.

	PV Usable Energy (kWh)	Accuracy
Measured Data	1270	
HOMER Model	1612	+27%
Add Temp Effects	1539	+21%
Add True Solar Irradiance	1483	+17%

Derating Factor (DF) in HOMER

- Accounts for:
 - Dust on the panels
 - Wiring losses
 - Deviation from optimal power point
- Default: 80%

Precise Calibration – Vary DF

- Outcome: 68.5%

	PV Usable Energy (kWh)	Accuracy
Measured Data	1270	
HOMER Model	1612	+27%
Add Temp Effects	1539	+21%
Add True Solar Irradiance	1483	+17%
Vary Derating Factor	1270	--

Experimental Forward Operating Base (ExFOB)

“Simulate forward deployed force energy and water demands and to test and evaluate alternative solutions to meet their needs.”

- Demand Reduction
- **Alternative Power**

Selected Power Equipment

PowerShade Solar Field Shelter



ZeroBase Energy Regenerator



GREENS Solar Power System



NEST Solar Light Trailer






COMPANY EQUIPMENT

	Rated Pwr	No. of Panels	Pwr/System	No. of Systems	Pwr/Company
PowerShade	1 kW	1	1 kW	2	2 kW
GREENS	200 W	8	1.6 kW	3	4.8 kW
ZeroBase	240 W	5	1.2 kW	3	3.6 kW
NEST	175 W	4	.7 kW	10	7 kW
Total					17.4 kW

United States Photovoltaic Solar Resource : Flat Plate Tilted at Latitude




Selection:
March

Quantico,
Virginia

Demonstration: May

Morocco


Photovoltaic Solar Electricity Potential in the Mediterranean Basin, Africa, and Southwest Asia






Deployment: October

Helmand
Province





Combine All 4 Systems

- Slope 0°
- No Temp Effects
- Inverter Efficiency (90%)

= LOW BOUND

ExFOB Results

	Quantico (kWh)	Morocco (kWh)	Afghanistan (kWh)
<u>PV Combined</u>	1645	2074	1984
Percent of Load	19%	24%	23%

Model Each PV System Separately:

	Slope	Temp Effects	Inverter Efficiency
Solar Shade	0°	N	Default
GREENS	30°	Y	92%
ZeroBase	45°	N	Default
NEST	55°	N	Default

ExFOB Results

	Quantico (kWh)	Morocco (kWh)	Afghanistan (kWh)
<u>PV Combined</u>	1645	2074	1984
Percent of Load	19%	24%	23%
<u>Individual</u>			
Solar Shade	191	223	240
GREENS	671	726	769
ZeroBase	430	449	501
NEST	822	839	940
	2114	2272	2484
Percent of Load	24%	26%	29%



ExFOB Results

Why Does It Matter?
23% vs 29% of the Monthly Ld?

Fuel

Afghanistan (kWh)

PV Combined

1984

Percent of Load

23%

Individual

Solar Shade

240

GREENS

769

ZeroBase

501

NEST

940

2484

Percent of Load

29%

Method	Fuel (L)
--------	----------

23%	3402
-----	------

23%	3402
-----	------

8.2% Increase in Fuel Demand

29%	3145
-----	------

29%	3145
-----	------

- Reduction in fuel demand = reduction in risk of IED
- Critical Information to Logisticians and Cost Estimators



- HOMER should be utilized as a pre-deployment tool
 - Calibration is the key
- Effective use of HOMER throughout the ExFOB process could have contributed to a more effective evaluation of equipment



Questions?