

Improving Energy Efficiency at the Watervliet Arsenal

Kurt Blemel & Andy Vitolins
Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.
June 16, 2010



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 16 JUN 2010		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Improving Energy Efficiency at the Watervliet Arsenal				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.,630 Plaza Drive, Suite 200 ,Highlands Ranch,CO,80129				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the NDIA Environment, Energy Security & Sustainability (E2S2) Symposium & Exhibition held 14-17 June 2010 in Denver, CO. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 14	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Background

- Watervliet Arsenal
 - Nation's oldest continually operating cannon production facility
 - 120-acre facility containing manufacturing, laboratory, and administrative buildings
 - More than 1,000,000 sq. ft. of manufacturing space
 - Energy-using systems
 - Cannon production equipment
 - HVAC: Steam and air handling equipment
 - Lighting
 - Compressed Air
 - Primary energy use: electricity, natural gas, fuel oil

Project Goals and Challenges

- Goals
 - Optimize energy use while preserving capacity to meet mission requirements and needs of private tenants
- Challenges
 - Facility systems designed for year-round 24/7 operations
 - Integrating needs of operations, public works, and IH directorates
- Drivers
 - Executive Order
 - Production Efficiency
 - Cost

Approach

- Cost offset: Facilitated award of grant for 50% of project cost from New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA)
- Phased approach
 - Implementation of priority energy-savings projects while completing assessments at remainder of facility
 - Full audit of energy using systems
 - Monitoring: Lighting, HVAC, compressed air
 - Energy baseline model
 - Phase I: Manufacturing buildings
 - 5 buildings: 100,000 to 360,000 sq. ft.
 - Phase II: Laboratory, office, operations buildings
 - 9 buildings: 30,000 to 180,000 sq. ft.

Audit and Inventory

- How the space is used
- Where energy is used
 - Lighting
 - Heating
 - Ventilation
 - Controls
 - Compressed Air
 - Air Quality Requirements
 - Equipment Heat Loads
- How the building systems are controlled
- The space requirements, including indoor air quality



Specific Systems Evaluation

- Lighting Efficiency and Optimization
 - Current lighting has high Energy Intensity (EI)
 - New systems can provide better lighting and reduce EI
- Heating and Ventilation Optimization
 - Current control systems
 - Occupied vs. unoccupied control sequences
 - Air Requirements
- Compressed Air System efficiency and optimization
 - Overall system production and efficiency
 - Operation and control sequences
- Cost benefit analysis

Lighting

- Space Issues:
 - Total square footage is large at ~1,000,000
 - Maintaining lighting levels from ceilings heights of (30-90 feet)
- Lighting savings from efficiency and controls:
 - Utilize existing high bay fluorescent technology
 - Provide better operational controls
 - Total annual savings for manufacturing spaces will be approximately 1.3 Million kWh if implemented, with a cost savings of \$115,000

Lighting

- What can a good paint job do for you?



- Do you think the lighting was changed?

Heating and Ventilation

- Space Issues:
 - Total volume of space is large at ~30,000,000 cubic feet
 - Proper ventilation rates based on process and IH
- Heating and Ventilation savings from efficiency upgrades:
 - Utilize Controls for occupied and unoccupied periods
 - Separate Heating and Ventilation
 - Utilize Controls for matching air requirements
 - Total annual savings for manufacturing spaces will be approximately 750,000 kWh and 21,000 MMBtu if implemented, with a cost savings of \$377,000

Compressed Air

- Issues:
 - Distance between compressed air uses extremely large
 - System redundancy necessity (1000 HP connected)
- Monitoring of Entire Compressed Air System:
 - Monitored all compressors within the system for 2 months to show operational sequencing
 - On/Off cycling of compressors
 - Operation of Compressors with VFDs not optimal
 - Total energy usage of the electrical compressed air system

Compressed Air

- Compressed Air savings from system optimization:
 - Remove full system redundancy, but have compressor redundancy
 - Run Compressors at their optimal efficiency levels
 - Shutdown unnecessary compressed air generation during unoccupied periods
 - Run compressors closer to end use at higher levels
 - Operate the compressors with VFD in a load following mode
 - Total annual savings for manufacturing spaces will be approximately 600,000 kWh and 2,600 MMBtu if implemented, with a cost savings of \$84,000

Total Energy Savings

- Electrical Savings:
 - Lighting: 1,300,000 kWh
 - Heating and Ventilation: 750,000 kWh
 - Compressed Air: 600,000 kWh
- Fossil Fuel:
 - Heating and Ventilation: 21,000 MMBtu
 - Compressed Air: 2,600 MMBtu
- Total Approximate Electrical Savings: 2,650,000 kWh
- Total Approximate Fossil Fuel Savings: 23,600 MMBtu
- Total Approximate Annual Cost Savings: \$576,000

Energy Efficiency Funding

- State Energy Program Funding:
 - Feasibility Funding \$140,000
 - Lighting Rebate (Scheduled Re-Lamp): \$30,000
 - Potential Rebate from Feasible Projects:\$318,000
- State Energy Programs Available:
 - www.dsireusa.org

Acknowledgements

- Vanessa Duenas and JoAnn Kellogg – WVA
- Emily Schiffmacher and Steve Wood – USACE Baltimore
- New York State Energy and Research Development Authority – Flexible Technology Program