

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.						
PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.						
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 04-03-2012		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report			3. DATES COVERED (From - To) January 1, 2008 - November 30, 2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Coordinated Multi-Disciplinary Design of Complex Human Machine Systems				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b. GRANT NUMBER #FA9550-08-1-0375		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Shamma, J.S. (PI) Feron, E. Gassser, L. von Thaden, T.				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Georgia Institute of Technology University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Office of Scientific Research 875 N Randolph St Arlington, VA 22203				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFOSR		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-OSR-VA-TR-2012-0964		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution A: Approved for Public Release						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT This project addresses two challenges in complex human-machine systems: i) predicting system responses that emerge from the combined actions of multiple networked agents and ii) designing components to establish desirable system operations from collective agent behaviors. In complex human machine systems, the "agents" are comprised of both programmable components and human decision makers. An ultimate objective is to enable effectively controlled coordination of this combination through the development of computational algorithms and human incentives supported by analysis, simulation, fielded surveys, and operational performance feedback. Work has continued on two complementary directions towards our objective. First is the analysis and simulation of agent coordination. Second is understanding the emergence of patterns and interactions among organizational cultural norms, through the use of fielded surveys and operational performance feedback, specifically in the area of organizational safety for high-risk, high-consequence systems.						
15. SUBJECT TERMS complex systems, human-machine systems, multiagent systems, game theory						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT U	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Dr Robert Bonneau	
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 703-696-8485	

Grant Title: Coordinated Multi-Disciplinary Design of Complex Human Machine Systems

Grant #: FA9550-08-1-0375

Program Manager: Robert J. Bonneau (robert.bonneau@afosr.af.mil)

Reporting Period: January 1, 2008 – November 30, 2011

Abstract: This project addresses two challenges in complex human-machine systems: i) predicting system responses that emerge from the combined actions of multiple networked agents and ii) designing components to establish desirable system operations from collective agent behaviors. In complex human machine systems, the “agents” are comprised of both programmable components and human decision makers. An ultimate objective is to enable effectively controlled coordination of this combination through the development of computational algorithms and human incentives supported by analysis, simulation, fielded surveys, and operational performance feedback. Work has continued on two complementary directions towards our objective. First is the analysis and simulation of agent coordination. Second is understanding the emergence of patterns and interactions among organizational cultural norms, through the use of fielded surveys and operational performance feedback, specifically in the area of organizational safety for high-risk, high-consequence systems.

Summary of Accomplishments

Our work has focused on three complementary directions towards our objective. First is modeling, analysis and simulation of agent coordination. Second is understanding the emergence of patterns and interactions among organizational cultural norms, through the use of fielded surveys and operational performance feedback, specifically in the area of organizational safety for high-risk, high-consequence systems. Third is exploring how this empirical data exemplifies and challenge the principles developed in modeling, analysis and simulation work.

Multiagent coordination: Distributed multiagent systems consist of a collection of decision making components with limited processing capabilities, locally sensed information, and limited inter-component communications, seeking to achieve interdependent (and sometimes global) objectives. This requires coordination. The distributed nature of information processing, sensing, and actuation makes these systems a significant departure from traditional centralized decision architectures and more aligned with the frameworks of game theory (i.e., the study of interactions between decision makers) and information dynamics (i.e., the study of flow and differential interpretation of information in populations). Of particular relevance are:

- Game theoretic learning, in which the focus shifts away from equilibrium solution concepts and towards the dynamics of how decision makers reach equilibrium. Recent work explores the role of game theoretic learning as an approach to design online learning algorithms for multiagent coordination.
- Distributed optimal agreement, which builds a general model of stochastic agreement dynamics in large, structured decision spaces, under limited information and structured interaction regimes.

Specific work done in these areas includes:

- *Cooperative control & potential games:* Recent years have seen significant interest in multiagent coordination from the viewpoint of “cooperative control”. Typical work in this year derives steering algorithms for coordination of motion of mobile vehicles and sensors. Our recent work establishes the strong connection between cooperative control and game theoretic learning, specifically for so-called “potential” and “weakly acyclic” games. Indeed, several cooperative control problems such as consensus and dynamic sensor coverage can be formulated in these settings. Motivated by this connection, we build upon game theoretic concepts to better accommodate a broader class of cooperative control problems. In particular, we extend existing learning algorithms to accommodate (i) restricted action sets caused by limitations in agent capabilities and (ii) group based decision making. Furthermore, we also introduce a new class of games, called sometimes weakly acyclic games, for time-varying objective functions and action sets, and provide distributed algorithms for convergence to an equilibrium.
- *Log-linear learning:* Log-linear learning is a specific algorithm for multiagent coordination motivated by statistical physics. In log-linear learning, agents use stochastic decision rules in which are myopically optimal with high probability while exploring suboptimal possibilities with low probability. The traditional analysis of log-linear learning has centered around explicitly computing probabilities of the collective actions of agents. This analysis relied on a highly structured setting: i) players’ utility functions constitute an exact potential game, ii) players update their strategies one at a time, which we refer to as asynchrony, iii) at any stage, a player can select any action in the action set, which we refer to as completeness, and iv) each player is endowed with the ability to assess the utility he would have received for any alternative action provided that the actions of all other players remain fixed.

Since the appeal of log-linear learning is not solely the explicit form of the stationary distribution, we seek to address to what degree one can relax the structural assumptions while maintaining that only potential function maximizers are the stochastically stable action profiles. In this work, we introduce slight variants of log-linear learning to include both synchronous updates and incomplete action sets. In both settings, we prove that only potential function maximizers are stochastically stable. Furthermore, we introduce a “payoff-based” version of log-linear learning, in which players are only aware of the utility they received and the action that they played. In payoff-based log-linear learning, we also prove that only potential maximizers are stochastically stable. The key enabler for these results is to employ methods of “stochastic stability”.

- *Efficient network formation*: This work considered the coordination problem of network formation, where agents can form and sever unidirectional links and derive direct and indirect benefits from these links. We formulate and analyze an evolutionary model in which each agents choices depend on its own previous links and benefits, and link selections are subject to random perturbations. Agents reinforce the establishment of a link if it was beneficial in the past, and suppress it otherwise. We illustrate the flexibility of the model to incorporate various design criteria, including dynamic cost functions that reflect link establishment and maintenance, and distance-dependent benefit functions. We show that the evolutionary process assigns positive probability to the emergence of multiple stable configurations (i.e., strict Nash networks), which need not emerge under alternative processes such as best-reply dynamics. We analyze the specific case of so-called frictionless benefit flow, and show that a single agent can reinforce the emergence of an efficient network through an enhanced evolutionary process known as dynamic reinforcement.
- *Self assembly*: Self assembly is a particular kind of multiagent coordination problem in which the “agents” are individual components/pieces (e.g., atoms) of a complete assembly (e.g., molecule) and are severely constrained in terms of their communication and processing capabilities. This work presents methods for distributed self-assembly that utilize simple rule of thumb control and communication schemes providing probabilistic performance guarantees. This approach represents a staunch departure from existing approaches that require more sophisticated control and communication, but provide deterministic guarantees. In particular, we show that even under severe communication restrictions, any assembly described by an acyclic weighted graph can be assembled with agent memory and computation complexities that are linear in the number of nodes contained in the desired assembly graph. We introduce the concept of stochastic stability to the self-assembly problem and show that stochastic stability of desirable configurations can be exploited to provide probabilistic performance guarantees for the process. Relaxation of the communication restrictions allows simple approaches giving deterministic guarantees. We establish a clear relationship between availability of communication and convergence properties. Self-assembly tasks are considered for the cases of many and few agents as well as large and small assembly goals. Sensitivity of the presented process to communication errors as well as ill-intentioned agents is considered.
- *Agreement Dynamics*: Agreement is a fundamental class of coordination problems that appears ubiquitously in distributed systems. Using a mix of simulation studies and analytical modes we study three issues: which critical factors impact the ability to reach consensus in a distributed population; how do those factors influence the type or quality of solutions reached; and how do those factors impact convergence time? We largely study these issues in the domain of evolving communication and cultural regimes for multi-agent systems. Our basic findings are that a) key factors include *agreement space size*, *agreement space structure*, and *network-biased information propagation*; b) network topologies influence convergence time and quality by structuring information propagation, and c) some network structures yield performance characteristics with such high *variance* (over multiple runs) as to be

effectively unpredictable in time or quality; networks are sometimes uncertainty multipliers.

- *Information Theory of Networks*: This work studies the relationship between network structure/topology and the ability to accumulate information. We have developed the basics of an information theoretic model that can help explain why certain information dynamics processes (say, consensus/agreement processes, opinion dynamics models like Voter models, load-balancing protocols, or innovation-diffusion) occur differently under different network topologies. Briefly, local estimates of global states are critical for coherent consensus decisionmaking—for example when agents are trying to reach agreement on some information state or some collective action plan, by locally converging to it. Incomplete networks limit propagation of global information to incomplete samples at any step, (e.g., an agent only gets information from its network neighbors, not from all other agents, hence it collects only samples of the global state). If these samples inaccurately reflect global statistics, (e.g., violate mean-field assumptions) then local decisions can be globally incoherent. Network topology is thus a sampling structure, and agents must trade off time (multi-step flows of information) for accuracy when making local estimates of global states in a networked information flow. In terms of dynamics, network states that are changing faster than information can propagate these estimates will be outdated and decisions will be bad.
- *Distributed constraint agreement (DCA)*. Individual cognitive states may be complex systems of constraints that need solving dynamically as situations evolve. If such “internal” constraint networks are underconstrained, many potential solutions exist per agent. In many agreement and coordination problems (e.g., agreeing on common communication protocols using complex languages) *populations* of agents must align their individual constraint solutions, using completely decentralized, and sometimes limited, information. We have developed algorithms for DCA and demonstrated them on the problems of “vowel shifting.” Our approach explains how dynamic vowel systems can maintain internal consistency (e.g., ability to accurately differentiate vowel sounds in real time, which depends on individual inter-vowel constraints) and population-wide coherence in practical time.

Mechanism design: The prior discussion on multiagent coordination deals with agents that are programmable components. As the terminology implies, complex human-machine systems involve human decision makers who cannot be “programmed” to execute a desired algorithm. Rather, one must develop rules that incentivize rational decision makers to taking desirable actions that contribute to collective performance, which need not be exactly aligned with individual welfare. This concern has been investigated in the economics literature under the framework of “mechanism design”. A “mechanism” is a policy that maps distributed agent messages to centralized policy decisions. Mechanism design seeks to derive rules that incentivize distributed agents to report “truthful” messages, and consequentially result in effective policy decisions.

Our work in mechanism design explored two directions motivated by feedback control considerations, namely, model uncertainty and dynamics.

- *Robust mechanism design*: The traditional setup for mechanism design admits uncertainty in the models of agents. However, this uncertainty must conform to a specific and restrictive structure. An typical example is in auctions, where agent valuations of an auctioned item are unknown, but assumed to conform to a specified and known probability distribution. We show optimal auctions are “fragile” in the following sense. The slightest deviation from the assumed probability distribution can result in agents not participating in the auction. In other words, auctions efficiency is discontinuous with respect to the assumed setup. We are currently exploring methods to derive alternative “robust” auctions that trade-off idealized efficiency with robustness.

- *Dynamic mechanism design:* Traditional mechanism design deals with a “one shot” setup, i.e., the mechanism is executed only once. An emerging topic is so-called “dynamic” mechanism design, in which the mechanism is implemented as part of an ongoing dynamic process. An example is a continuing flow of agents that bid to utilize limited resources. The mechanism seeks to accommodate current agents while hedging for the presence or absence of future agents. The resulting mechanism then takes the form of a feedback control policy. This work is exploring the use of feedback control methods, such as dissipation inequalities, to construct effective dynamic mechanisms.
- *Workload model optimization:* Despite the existence of several automated air traffic conflict resolution algorithms, there is a need for formulations that account for air traffic controller workload. This work presents such an algorithm with controller workload constraints modeled parametrically. To this end, we first develop an integer programming model for general conflict resolution, which emphasizes the minimization of fuel costs, and runs in near real-time. A parametric procedure based on this model is then developed to consider controller workload limitations. Two versions of the parametric approach are described, along with computational results. It is demonstrated that both formulations can be used to capture a broad range of possible controller actions.

Simulation testbed for general information dynamics problems: We have constructed a modular Java-based simulation system for modeling general information dynamics problems. The testbed includes modeling facilities for agents with their cognitive architectures and encode knowledge; three types of networks including geographic networks (physical layout relations), communication networks (information flows, messages), and social networks (ties, affiliations, kinship); Worlds populated with objects, and sensors/effectors for agents; Measurement and experimentation facilities. This testbed is running in prototype form and is being used in many experiments underpinning the research above.

Simulation model of information dynamics in safety-critical systems. We studied the effects of information flow and interpretation (mediated by networks, culture, etc.) on levels of safety in safety-critical high-confidence systems. We have a preliminary model of how information flow affects safety at population levels. We have explored mechanism design for safety critical organizational processes based on agents adaptively learning to propagate information to the right consumers, and collectively developing robust common interpretive frames for message content over time.

Personnel Supported by and/or Associated with Project

Faculty:

- Eric Feron, Georgia Institute of Technology
- Les Gasser, University of Illinois
- Amy Pritchett (on leave), Georgia Institute of Technology
- Jeff S. Shamma, Georgia Institute of Technology
- Terry von Thaden, University of Illinois

Students:

- Nicolas Dudebout, Georgia Institute of Technology

- Michael J. Fox, Georgia Institute of Technology
- Adam Kehoe, University of Illinois
- Kiran Lakkaraju, University of Illinois
- Seth Spain, University of Illinois
- Adan Vela, Georgia Institute of Technology
- Sang Eun Woo, University of Illinois

Postdocs:

- Ola Ayaso, Georgia Institute of Technology
- Georgios Chasparis, Georgia Institute of Technology
- Georgios Kotsalis, Georgia Institute of Technology

Publications:

- H. Augris, A.E. Vela, E. Salaun, M. Gariel, E. Feron, J.-P. Clarke. “A Conflict Resolution Algorithm for Reduced Controller Workload,” in AIAA Infotech@Aerospace, April 2010.
- G. Chasparis and J.S. Shamma, “Efficient network formation by distributed reinforcement”, *Proceedings of the 47th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, December 2008, Cancun, Mexico.
- G. Chasparis and J.S. Shamma, “Distributed Dynamic Reinforcement of Efficient Outcomes in Multi-agent Coordination and Network Formation”, *Dynamic Games and Applications*, pp. 18–50, 2012.
- Z. Fagyal, S. Swarup, A.M. Escobar, L. Gasser, and K. Lakkaraju, “Centers and Peripheries: Network Roles in Language Change.” *Lingua* 120 (8), pp. 2061-2079, 2010.
- M.J. Fox and J.S. Shamma, “Communication, convergence, and stochastic stability in self-assembly”, *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Atlanta, December 2010.
- G. Kotsalis and J.S. Shamma, “Robust synthesis in mechanism design”, *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Atlanta, December 2010.
- A. Jadhav, N. Neogi, and T. von Thaden, “Impact of critical hub airport configuration in the next generation air transportation system.” 28th Digital Avionics Systems Conference, Orlando, FL. 25-29 October 2009.
- K. Lakkaraju, S. Swarup, and L. Gasser, “Consensus under Constraints: Modeling the Great English Vowel Shift.” IJCAI Workshop on Social Simulation, Pasadena, CA, July, 2009.
- K. Lakkaraju, “Agreement, information, and time in multi-agent systems.” Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (forthcoming, 8/2009).
- K. Lakkaraju and L. Gasser. “Minimizing information-centric convergence cost in multi-agent agreement problems.” *Proceedings of the Adaptive Learning Agents Workshop at AA-MAS 2009*, 2009.
- K. Lakkaraju and L. Gasser, “Improving performance in multi-agent agreement problems with scale-free networks,” *Proceedings of the Workshop on Emergent Intelligence of Networked Agents*, AAMAS-2009.

- K. Lakkaraju, S. Swarup, and L. Gasser. "Consensus under constraints: Modeling the great english vowel shift." *Proceedings of the 1st IJCAI Social Simulation Workshop at the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2009.
- N. Li, J.R. Marden, and J.S. Shamma, "Learning Approaches to the Witsenhouse Counterexample From a View of Potential Games", *48th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, December 2009.
- J.R. Marden, G. Arslan, and J.S. Shamma, "Cooperative control and potential games, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, December 2009, pp. 1393-1407.
- J.R. Marden and J.S. Shamma, "Revisiting log-linear learning: asynchrony, completeness and payoff-based implementation", accepted in *Games and Economic Behavior*, 2012.
- V. Popescu, J.-P. Clarke, K.M. Feigh, and E. Feron, "ATC Taskload Inherent to the Geometry of Stochastic 4-D Trajectory Flows with Flight Technical Errors. *USA/Europe Seminar on Air Traffic Management Research & Development*, Berlin, June 2011.
- T. Suzuki and T.L. Thaden, "Influence of time pressure on behaviors of aircraft maintenance technicians", *15th International Symposium on Aviation Psychology*, Wright State University, Dayton, OH, April 27–30, 2009.
- S. Swarup and L. Gasser, "The Iterated Classification Game: A New Model of the Cultural Transmission of Language." *Adaptive Behavior* 17:3, March 2009.
- S. Swarup and L. Gasser, "The Iterated Classification Game: A New Model of the Cultural Transmission of Language" *Adaptive Behavior* 17(3), 213-235, June 2009.
- S. Swarup and L. Gasser, "The Classification Game: Combining Supervised Learning and Language Evolution." *Connection Science* 22(1), pp. 1-24, March 2010.
- A. Vela, E. Salaun, E. Feron, W. Singhose, and J.-P. Clarke, "Bounds on Controller Taskload Rates at an Intersection for Dense Traffic, *American Control Conference*, San Francisco, June 2011 (awarded best paper in session).
- A. Vela, E. Salaun, E. Feron, W. Singhose and J.-P. Clarke, "Maximizing Throughput at an Intersection under Constrained Maneuvers," *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Atlanta, December 2010.
- A. Vela, E. Feron, W. Singhose, and J.-P. Clarke, "Control of Holding Patterns for Increased Throughput and Recovery of Operations," *AIAA/IEEE Digital Avionics Systems Conference*, October 2010.
- A. Vela, P. Vela, G. Ogunmakin, "Topologically Based Decision Support Tools for Aircraft Routing," *AIAA/IEEE Digital Avionics Systems Conference*, October 2010 (First Place, Best Graduate Student Paper).
- A. Vela, E. Salaun, S. Solak, K. Feigh, E. Feron, W. Singhose, J.-P. Clarke. "A Fuel Optimal and Reduced Controller Workload Optimization Model for Conflict Resolution," in *Digital Avionics Systems Conference*, Orlando, FL, October 2009.
- A. Vela, J.-P. Clarke, N. Durand, E. Feron, and W. Singhose, "Determining the Value of Information for Minimizing Controller Taskload: A Graph-Based Approach", *USA/Europe Seminar on Air Traffic Management Research & Development*, Berlin, June 2011.

- T.L. von Thaden, S.E. Woo, and S.M. Spain, “Investigating national differences in commercial aviation safety culture: a comparison of flight operations at a European and an American Airline”, *15th International Symposium on Aviation Psychology*, Wright State University, Dayton, OH, April 27–30, 2009.
- T.L. von Thaden, S.E. Woo, S.M. Spain, “Validating a four-factor model of safety culture in commercial flight operations”, *15th International Symposium on Aviation Psychology*, Wright State University, Dayton, OH, April 27–30, 2009.
- T.L. von Thaden, S.E. Woo, S.M. Spain, “Comparing organizational and national cultures of safety in commercial flight operations: a case of United States and Europe”, *80th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Aerospace Medical Association*, Los Angeles, CA, May 3–7, 2009.
- T.L. von Thaden, “Safety Culture and EMS Operations”, EMS Operations Safety Conference. Vail, CO. June 23, 2009.
- T.L. von Thaden, “Human Factors and the Culture of Safety in Emergency Medical Transport Operations: Are we sharing the right information?” Medical Transport Leadership Conference, Wheeling, WV. April 29, 2010.
- T.L. von Thaden, “Safety Culture and Coordination in Aviation: Whats the meaning of this?” 13th Annual Bombardier Safety Standdown, Wichita, KS. October 1, 2009.
- T.L. von Thaden, “Organizational Process: Establishing and Promoting a Coordinated Safety Culture”, Aviation Safety Flight Standards Conference, Washington, DC. August 14, 2009.
- T.L. von Thaden, “System Safety in Maintenance Operations: What information do we need for a shared culture of safety?” 13th Annual Maintenance Mega Conference, Honolulu, HI. January 20-21, 2010.