



MARYLAND
ROBOTICS CENTER
THE INSTITUTE FOR SYSTEMS RESEARCH



Principles for Designing Compliant Multifunctional Wing Structures with Integrated Solar Cells for MAVs

Prof. Hugh A. Bruck

Prof. Sayandra K. Gupta

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Maryland

College Park, MD

This work is supported by Dr. Les Lee under AFOSR grant
FA95501210158

Start Date: April 15, 2012


Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE AUG 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Principles for Designing Compliant Multifunctional Wing Structures with Integrated Solar Cells for MAVs				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Maryland, Department of Mechanical Engineering, College Park, MD, 20742				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the 2nd ?Multifunctional Materials for Defense? Workshop in conjunction with the 2012 Annual Grantees?/Contractors? Meeting for AFOSR Program on ?Mechanics of Multifunctional Materials & Microsystems? Held 30 July ? 3 August 2012 in Arlington, VA. Sponsored by AFRL, AFOSR, ARO, NRL, ONR, and ARL. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 25	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Motivation:

Flapping Wing MAVs


- Flapping wing MAV platforms are expected to be highly maneuverable and quiet
- We have been designing and building Flapping Wing MAVs for 8 years
 - Increase payload capacity
 - Increase drive mechanism efficiency to reduce power consumption
 - Reduce weight to increase time-of-flight



*Micro Air Vehicles developed in
Advanced Manufacturing Lab, UMD*



Flapping Wing MAVs: *Previous Accomplishments at UMD*





Enabling Technology: *In-Mold Assembly*


- In-mold assembly process for realizing multi-material articulated structures
- Introduce multiple materials in the mold sequentially
 - Change mold cavity between different molding stages
- Perform assembly and fabrication inside the mold
 - Mold acts as fabrication tool and assembly device
- Eliminate post-molding assembly
 - Attractive in markets where labor cost is high



This two degree of freedom gimbal comes out of mold fully assembled (Work done in AML)

[Bejgerowski, Gupta, Bruck, *IJAMT* (2011)]

Sprue and Runner System



Multi-Material Drive Frame








Enabling Technology: Simulation Based Computational Synthesis for Design Space Exploration and Parametric Optimization

• Mechanism Design Analysis:

– Kinematic analysis (ADAMS)




– Finite element analysis (ProMechanica)



Component	Applied to	Unit	Value
X	A	N	-1.159
Y	A	N	-32.767
Z	A	N	-1.559
X	C	N	0.026
Y	C	N	31.141

Variable	Unit	Value
Rocker thickness	mm	2.1
Rocker breadth	mm	2.5
Wing arm thickness	mm	2.5
Wing arm breadth	mm	5.1
Hinge encapsulation thickness	mm	7.5
Hinge encapsulation breadth	mm	5.0

• Results:




Mechanism Design Optimization

[Bejgerowski, et al, JMD (2009), Bejgerowski, Gupta, Bruck, JMD (2009)]




Enabling Technology: *Wing Characterization*

- Advanced wing characterization for understanding forces and deformation



(top) Cyclic Variation of Lift and Thrust,
(bottom) Variation with Flapping Frequency



Test Stand for Measuring:
(a) Lift & (b) Thrust

[Mueller, Bruck, Gupta, *Exp. Mech.* (2010)]



Current Challenges

- Flapping Wing MAVs can not fly more than 40 minutes because of payload constraints
- Need platforms that can harvest energy while flying or resting to increase time-of-flight
- Energy harvesting technologies like *Solar Cells* can induce a tradeoff in power consumption due to increases in mass, stiffness, and wing area
- Effective integration requires understanding of mechanics principles that govern tradeoffs in order to enhance performance and increase time-of-flight



Solution:

Multifunctional Compliant Wings

- Energy harvesting
 - Technologies such as flexible Solar Cells (SCs) can be integrated into compliant MAV wings
- Energy storage
 - Energy from SCs can be directed to battery structures integrated into body of MAV
- Thrust production
 - Need to mitigate effects of SC integration on compliance
- Lift generation
 - Needs to be able to fold wings to increase static lift
- Maneuverability
 - Need to account for SC effects on control of wings



Research Goals & Anticipated Impact

Research Goals

- Develop new compliant multifunctional wing structures for flapping MAVs
- Improve the time-of-flight for flapping MAVs through integration of solar cells
- New models for effects of integrating solar cells on compliance and performance in order to define a new multifunctional performance index for optimizing wing design


Anticipated Impact

- Compliant multifunctional wing structures have the potential to provide flapping MAVs with infinite flight capability
- Characterizing and modeling the mechanics of these wing structures will enable general design principles to be developed for flapping MAVS



Proposed Research Approach


Experimental mechanics-based system design approach to integrating SCs into compliant wings for MAVs for improving time-of-flight through trade-off in energy harvesting and consumption





Solar Cell Integration into Compliant Wing Structures


- Use pre-packaged flexible Solar Cells (SCs) on existing wing structures
 - Parasitic Mass and Stiffness
- Size and distribution of SCs can be varied
- Mechanics of SC integration can vary with wing spar configurations and bird sizes






Experimental: *Lift and Thrust Measurements*


- A test stand was developed to measure lift and thrust forces generated by the MAV, as well as torque
- The MAV is mounted directly to a 6 DOF load cell from ATI in order to measure all forces and torques simultaneously
- Can compare measured with designed forces and torque to resolve any asymmetries due to wing construction or in the flapping motion



6 DOF Load Cell



Measured




Designed




Experimental: *3-D Digital Image Correlation (DIC)*

- Digital Image Correlation is an optical method [Bruck, Sutton, et al, *Exp. Mech.* (1989)] developed to measure 2D or 3D deformations on an object surface under real loading conditions, which can be viewed as an “Optical Finite Element Analysis”
- Actual displacement is continuously measured and the Lagrangian strain tensor is available at every point on the specimen’s surface





Wing w/speckle
pattern for DIC

Out-of-plane
Displacement





In-plane Strain






Comparison of 3-D DIC with Thrust Measurements



Transformed DIC strains along direction of spar correlated most directly with thrust measurements obtained from test stand



Compliant Front Spar Wing



- Composed Mylar® wing material
- Flexible spar section fixed between two carbon fiber rods
- Two additional carbon fiber rods support the wing



Solar Cell (SC) Integration into Wings



3 OEM Solar
Cell Modules

Compliant Front Spar




Stiff Front Spar

SCs can be aligned in parallel to maximize current, and coverage can be varied




Characterization of MF Wing Performance



Outdoor testing of integrated SCs on regular front spar wing (left) and compliant front spar wing (right)



Stiff vs. Compliant Front Spar: *3 Hz flapping frequency*



Stiff Front Spar




Compliant Front Spar


Significant differences in shapes during flapping for compliant front spar relative to stiff front spar are easily discerned from high speed videos



SC Performance while Flapping




Compliant MF wings exhibit more stable and enhanced energy harvesting capability






Load Cell Results



Stiff Front Spar



Compliant Front Spar


+z: thrust direction
-x: lift direction

Load cell measurements indicate very little asymmetry in flapping performance for both stiff and compliant front spars




Stiff vs. Compliant Front Spars: *Thrust and Lift*

Thrust



Lift



Avg. Thrust (lb)		Avg. Lift (lb)	
Stiff	Compliant	Stiff	Compliant
0.24	0.48	0.22	0.25


- **Compliant spar generates 100% more thrust**
- **Downward lift component is eliminated with compliant front spar with slight increase overall**




Effects of SC integration

Stiff Front Spars


Compliant Front Spars




Thrust



Avg. Thrust (lb)		Avg. Lift (lb)	
Stiff	Compliant	Stiff	Compliant
-0.10	0.22	0.21	0.28



Lift




- SC integration significantly decreases thrust/negligible lift change
- Compliant front spar has 3% more lift than stiff front spar




Mechanics of SC Integration into Compliant Wing Structures

- Integration of Solar Cells (SCs) can reduce thrust and lift generation
 - Increases inertial mass of wing
 - Stiffens wing
- Effects of SCs vary with mass of bird and size of wings
- Need to relate benefits of energy harvesting to detrimental effects on flapping performance of compliant wing structures to develop *multifunction performance index*




Effects of SCs on Flapping Performance



Variation of UMD MAV Characteristics with Mass

Multifunctional Performance Index

$$k_z = \frac{S_f}{P_o} = \frac{cQA(m)}{P_o(m)}$$



Multifunctional Performance Analysis



Conclusions

- Commercial SCs are being integrated into compliant MAV wings for energy harvesting
- Impact of SCs on MAV thrust generation is being characterized and related to shape constraint using 3D DIC
 - Can develop a new *multifunctional performance index* for optimizing performance
- The effects of compliant versus stiff front spars have been characterized use 6 DOF load cell
 - Can determine benefits of compliant front spars in generating more lift to offset weight of SCs
 - Can reduce power requirements by reducing flapping frequency



FY13 Efforts

- Characterize flapping frequency effects on MF wings
- Conduct extensive 3D DIC characterization of MF wings
- Develop mechanics model for MF wings
- Conduct time-of-flight tests
- Use mechanics model to modify design synthesis of MF wings
- Re-design MF wing to enhance time-of-flight

