



Energy Harvesting on Spacecraft Using Electrodynamic Tethers

Sven G. Bilén and Jesse K. McTernan

The Pennsylvania State University

Brian E. Gilchrist, Iverson C. Bell, and David Liaw

The University of Michigan


Nestor R. Voronka and Robert P. Hoyt

Tethers Unlimited, Inc.


FA9550-09-1-0646

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE AUG 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Energy Harvesting on Spacecraft Using Electrodynamic Tethers				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) The Pennsylvania State University, 201 Old Main, University Park, PA, 16802				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the 2nd Multifunctional Materials for Defense Workshop in conjunction with the 2012 Annual Grantees'/Contractors' Meeting for AFOSR Program on Mechanics of Multifunctional Materials & Microsystems Held 30 July - 3 August 2012 in Arlington, VA. Sponsored by AFRL, AFOSR, ARO, NRL, ONR, and ARL. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 36	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Benefits of EDTs include ability to generate power and thrust



- ▶ Generate power onboard spacecraft
 - Up to *kilowatts*
- ▶ Same system can be used to provide propulsion
 - Change inclination, altitude, etc.
 - Reboost and deboost
 - No consumable propellant
- ▶ Generate significant power when other sources are not available
 - Dark side of the Earth
 - Thrust when power is available
- ▶ Uses orbital energy as the storage “battery”






EDT vs. Electric Propulsion

EDTs provide

- High thrust-to-power
- Extremely high specific impulse performance




ED Tether Architectures for “Orbital Battery” Operations

- Re-boost mode converts solar energy into orbital energy
- De-boost/Generation mode converts orbital energy into electrical power
- Requires capability to drive current both up and down tether


Dual-Plasma Generator

- Hollow Cathode Plasma Contactors or SOLEX devices generate plasma ‘ball’ to enable low-impedance electrical connection to ionospheric plasma
- High TRL, but requires small mass flow of expellant



Bare Wire Anode + FEACs

- Bare wire anodes used to collect electrons from ionosphere, and Field Emission Array Cathodes used to emit electrons
- No consumables, but lower TRL



Research Accomplishments

FY11–12


- ▶ Began experimental investigations on EDT components for CubeSat–class EHEDT systems
 - LEO plasma chamber set up and characterized
 - Component testing begun
- ▶ Performed femtosat–class trade studies and concept development
- ▶ Participated in PROPEL EDT mission design




Satellite Classification



“small” satellite
~100+ kg



“nano” satellite
1 to 10 kg



“pico” satellite
<1 kg

Ongoing laboratory experiments are evaluating key components




Figure 3. (a) Plasma source CAD model: 1—discharge chamber outer wall, 2—hollow cathode, 3—inner part of the magnetic filter, 4—neutral density grids, 5—Sm-Co magnets, 6—outer part of the magnetic filter, 7—coaxial plasma expansion region. (b) Photograph of the plasma source during operation.



Field Emitter



Tether Materials

Rationale for Experiments

- ▶ For small-scale systems, such as those used on CubeSats (<10 kg) and femtosatellites (<100 g), it may be difficult to make simplifying approximations such as assumption of thin or thick current collecting sheath
- ▶ Hence, experiments are necessary in order to properly characterize devices at this scale
- ▶ Our objective is to compare measured current-voltage characteristic to theoretical value giving upper bound, lower bound, and most exact current collection




Low Earth Orbit (LEO) Environment

Temperature (order of magnitude)

Electrons ~ 0.1 eV
Ions ~ 5 eV (streaming)

Density (order of magnitude)

10^{10} – 10^{12} m $^{-3}$




Plasma Source



Magnetic Filter Plasma Source (CSU)

Electron Temperature
0.1 to 0.5 eV

Ion Temperature
5 to 10 eV




Argon glow

What to Test and Why?

- ▶ Materials
 - Conductivity (I-V characteristics)
 - Dual purpose: shared resources on small satellites
- ▶ Geometries
 - Non-ideal geometries (e.g., built-up CubeSats)
 - Contactors with small volume (or low in mass) and high surface area
- ▶ Devices
 - Active or passive charge exchange
 - Mission-derived or hardware-limited



Test Articles




Aluminum
(Chromate Converted)

Langmuir Probe
(with guards)

ITO Coating
(Indium Tin Oxide)

ITO Testing




Alodine Aluminum
~5 Ω/sq

Indium Tin Oxide (ITO)
~15 Ω/sq

Comparison Between Alodined Aluminum and Indium Tin Oxide

Low Earth orbit plasma environment



Plasma Environment:

$$T_e \sim 0.2 \text{ eV}$$

$$1 < T_i < 5 \text{ eV}$$

$$n_e \sim 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-3}$$

$$n_i \sim 10^{12} \text{ m}^{-3}$$

$$V_{\text{plasma}} \sim 1.0 \text{ V}$$


— Al
— ITO



PENNSTATE



Lanthanum Hexaboride (LaB_6) Cathode Testing



Experimental setup: Plasma source
approximately 0.5 m away




Image from Kimball Physics

90° cone, 15-micron microflat

Space Charge and Thermionic Limits of a LaB_6 Cathode in a LEO-like Environment

Heater: 1.50 A, 2.2V (3.3 W)




Low Power Electron Emission from Lanthanum

Hexaboride (LaB_6) Cathode



Heater: 0.300 A, 0.577V (0.1731 W)

Low Earth orbit plasma environment




Space Charge and Thermal Limit of a Tungsten Wire


Diameter = .2794 mm , Length = 5 cm




Future Work: Electron Emitters



Field Emitters or Emitter Arrays



Thermionic Cathode




Hollow Cathode

Future Work: Tethers



Hoytether








Slotted Tape




50 micron diameter
monel (femtosat)

Future Work: Complex Geometries

From
Ideal Surface → Cube → Satellite Model



Hoberman Sphere




Koosh Ball

What is a *picosat* and a *femtosat*?

- ▶ Picosatellites (1 kg–100 g) and femtosatellites (<100 g) are the next steps in satellite miniaturization → think of flying your smartphone with highly capable, enhanced MEMS sensors

Sprite Chipsat
7.5 mg, 1×1×0.025 cm




Picosat 1 and 2
250 g, few cm length




PocketQub
~250 g, 5×5×5 cm




PCBSat
~300 g, 9×9.5×2.5 cm



PalmSat
Few 100 g, several cm length




CubeSat
1 kg, 10×10×10 cm



The Need for Propulsion


- Small size & mass enable large *swarms* or *fleets* to be launched
- Missions using “fleets” of pico- and femtosats would require coordination/maneuverability (propulsion)



A Rough Estimate of Satellite Lifetime due to Atmospheric Drag					
Parameters	3-kg CubeSat	8-g ChipSat		7.5-mg ChipSat	
Configuration	3-1000 cm ³ cubes, stacked upright	Low drag	High Drag	Low Drag	High Drag
Ballistic Coeff.	45	95	2.5	13.6	0.03
Alt = 300 km	a month	a month	hours	several days	~
Alt = 400 km	several months	several months	days	several weeks	hours
Alt = 500 km	~1 year	~1-2 years	weeks	several months	hours

Early concepts also have no propellant and a high area/mass ratio, so the orbital lifetime is *short*

Electrodynamic Tethers (EDTs) are Capable of Propellantless Thrusting



Concept of ED tethers with pairs of femtosats as a maneuverable and coordinated fleet


- Electrodynamic Tether (EDT)
 - ✓ A long conductor connected to a spacecraft
- EDT can provide propulsion
 - ✓ Change inclination, altitude, etc.
 - ✓ Reboost and deboost
 - ✓ No consumable propellant
- Additional benefits include:
 - ✓ Providing gravity gradient stability

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{EDT Thrust}} = \int_0^L (I_{\text{tether}} d\mathbf{L}) \times \mathbf{B}$$


Research questions:

Can electrodynamic tethers provide ultra small satellites with lifetime enhancement and maneuverability? Can it provide other capabilities?

Trade Study System Concept



Four satellites are considered in the trade study



Both satellites have


- solar panel
- power supply
- electron emitter
- capable of collecting electrons on the surface

System is capable of boost, deboost, and inclination change


Estimate of Power Needed and Available for Drag Make-up

- Estimated that solar cells provide $4.4 \text{ mW}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ for propulsion
- If more power is available than required for thrust, the EDT can boost
- Figures to the right show power needed for drag make-up at
 - 400 km (**black**)
 - 500 km (**green**)
 - 600 km (**blue**)as well as the power available for propulsion (**red**)



400-mg satellite



250-g satellite





Estimate of Forces on Dual 400-mg Satellites with ED tether



A 1-m EDT gives peak thrust for 400-mg femtosat at 500 km and 600 km. The gravity gradient force is also well below other forces.


Estimate of Forces on Dual 250-g Satellites with ED tether




A 12-m EDT gives peak thrust for 250-g picosat at 400 km, 500 km, and 600 km. The gravity gradient force is also comparable to other forces.

Potential of ED Tether to Enhance Communication

Possible ED Tether Architecture for Communication



Simulated ED Tether Radiation Pattern



HFSS was used to model the ED tether as an antenna. We have considered an off-center dipole configuration.


Conclusions

- ▶ Insulated EDTs only a few meters long show potential to be used for femtosat and picosat lifetime enhancement and maneuverability
 - Capable of nN to μ N thrust levels
- ▶ EDT is less able to overcome drag at lower altitudes
 - Due to increased neutral density and decreased plasma density-to-neutral density ratio

Parameter	400 mg	2 g	50 g	250 g
Satellite Dimensions	1 cm ×	1 cm ×	5 cm ×	5 cm ×
	1 cm ×	1 cm ×	5 cm ×	5 cm ×
	0.2 cm	1 cm	1 cm	5 cm
Tether	1 m long, 24 μ m diam.	4 m long, 70 μ m diam.	5 m long, 80 μ m diam.	12 m long, 200 μ m diam.
Mass	2 mg	12 mg	0.18 g	3 g
Thrust Power	9 mW	27 mW	318 mW	672 mW
Where is gravity gradient significant?	~600 km	~500 km, 600 km	~400 km, 500 km, 600 km	400 km, 500 km, 600 km



Emission Current vs. Emission Area



- ▶ Emission current cannot exceed space charge limit (J_{CL}), governed by $J_{CL} \propto T_0^{3/2}$

- T_0 being the initial electron energy

- ▶ Electron emitter types

- Cold cathode
 - Hot filament

- ▶ For all femtosatellite sizes and altitudes, necessary emission area is **<2%** of available emission area even for worst emission technology

- Smaller femtosatellites require larger percentage of available area for emission

FY13 EHEDT Research Directions

- ▶ Finalize EHEDT system and mission studies
 - Characterize overall “round-trip” efficiency of a boost/de-boost “orbital battery” EDT power generation system
 - Characterize performance of de-boost only “orbital energy scavenging” systems
 - Characterize performance and efficiency of orbital plane changes using a boost/de-boost tether system
- ▶ Laboratory investigation of tether system elements
- ▶ PROPEL mission design efforts






PROPEL Mission Goals

- **Demonstrate capability of ED tether technology to provide robust and safe, near-propellantless propulsion for orbit-raising, de-orbit, plane change, and station keeping, as well as perform orbital power harvesting and formation flight**
- **Fully characterize and validate the performance of an integrated ED tether propulsion system, qualifying it for infusion into future multiple satellite platforms and missions with minimum modification**

“Propulsion using Electrodynamics”



PROPEL Configuration Driven By Goals



- **Need for Bi-polar current flow**
 - Fully insulated conducting tether
 - Hollow Cathode Plasma Contactors (HCPCs) at each end as baseline
 - Plasma sensors at each end for
 - HCPC performance
 - End-Body-to-Ionosphere connection
- **Tether retraction capability at *both* ends for confidence of safety**
- **Bolt-on architecture to Host S/C**

PROPEL Delivers a Space Flight Demonstration of Electrodynamic Tether Propulsion for Rapid Infusion into Future Missions



EDT Questions Driving Mission Design

- What is predictable performance of hollow-cathode plasma contactor (HCPC) to collect current from and emit current to surrounding ionosphere in terms of:
 - Tether current,
 - HCPC parameters, and
 - Ionospheric conditions?
- How does ED tether performance change with increasing current (above 1 A)? How can the tether system be optimized for high current operation?
- What level of forecasting, real-time observation, performance prediction, and integrated simulation are required to enable safe ED tether system maneuvering?



HTV-based PROPEL Mission Design Effort



- ◆ The EDT Prop Demo Mission will operate an EDT propulsion system on a flight-proven Host bus in LEO (HTV post ISS mission) and has two goals:
 - ◆ Demonstrate EDT technology's capability to provide robust and safe near-propellant-less propulsion for orbit-raising, de-orbit, plane change, and station keeping, as well as perform orbital power harvesting and formation flight
 - ◆ Fully characterize and validate the performance of an integrated EDT propulsion system, qualifying it for infusion into future satellite platforms and missions

