

Measurement and Analysis of Sound Speed Dispersion During SAX04

John C. Osler and Paul C. Hines

Defence R&D Canada–Atlantic

P.O. Box 1012, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, B2Y 3Z7

phone: (902) 426-3100 fax: (902) 426-9654 email: john.osler@drdc-rddc.gc.ca

Award Number: N000140310883

LONG-TERM GOALS

Results from a previous ONR funded experiment, SAX99, suggested that the speed of sound travelling through marine sediments might depend on the frequency of ensonification when the seabed is principally composed of sand (Williams *et al.*, 2002). This dispersion behaviour contradicts a long-standing assumption, based on earlier compilations of experimental evidence (*eg.* Hamilton, 1980), that the compressional sound speed is independent of frequency. Sound speed dispersion measurements provide a fundamental metric for evaluating competing theories, some new and some revived (as summarized in Williams *et al.*, 2002), that seek to explain the physics of how sound propagates in marine sediments as they predict different sound speed dispersion relationships.

OBJECTIVES

This project is developing equipment and techniques to measure sound speed dispersion in the 1 to 10 kHz frequency band. Historically, it has been difficult to make these measurements below 10 kHz. As a result, there is a paucity of experimental results with large uncertainties. Measurements in this frequency band are critical as this is where the most pronounced sound speed dispersion is expected and the various model predictions differ significantly.

APPROACH

The objectives of this project are being achieved by pursuing complementary approaches to making the sound speed dispersion measurements (Osler *et al.*, 2005a). The experimental equipment that has been developed (Fig. 1) permitted data to be collected to pursue five different approaches to measure the sound speed dispersion. These approaches are: a) measuring the angle of refraction of an acoustic pulse into the seabed that was transmitted from a projector at known source positions in the water column, and solving for sediment sound speed using Snell's Law (Osler *et al.*, 2005b); b) similar to the first approach, but using ship radiated noise below 1 kHz as the acoustic source (Lyons *et al.*, 2005); c) measuring the energy lost when acoustic pulses undergo a specular reflection from the seabed at different grazing angles, and then looking for a frequency dependent critical angle; d) measuring the time-of-flight between sources and receivers buried in the seabed at fixed separations, repeating the measurement at different frequencies (Hines *et al.*, 2005a and 2005b); and e) measuring acoustic impedance at vertical incidence as a function of frequency.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 30 SEP 2005		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2005 to 00-00-2005	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Measurement and Analysis of Sound Speed Dispersion During SAX04				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defence R&D Canada?Atlantic,P.O. Box 1012, Dartmouth,Nova Scotia, Canada, B2Y 3Z7, ,				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES code 1 only					
14. ABSTRACT Results from a previous ONR funded experiment, SAX99, suggested that the speed of sound travelling through marine sediments might depend on the frequency of ensonification when the seabed is principally composed of sand (Williams et al., 2002). This dispersion behaviour contradicts a long-standing assumption, based on earlier compilations of experimental evidence (eg. Hamilton, 1980), that the compressional sound speed is independent of frequency. Sound speed dispersion measurements provide a fundamental metric for evaluating competing theories, some new and some revived (as summarized in Williams et al., 2002), that seek to explain the physics of how sound propagates in marine sediments as they predict different sound speed dispersion relationships					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

WORK COMPLETED

1) *Participation in SAX04 experiment*: The equipment developed to measure sound speed dispersion during SAX04 was shipped to NSWC Panama City to be loaded onto the *R/V Seward Johnson*. All of the equipment was packed into a 20 foot long sea container that also served as a portable laboratory onboard the research vessel. DRDC Atlantic personnel made three trips to Florida: a) from 20 to 24 September, 2004 to unload the container, re-configure it as a portable laboratory, and assemble equipment such as the sensor burial jig on the dock; b) from 10 to 15 October, 2004 to bury vector sensor receivers and acoustic sources into the seabed; c) from 24 October, 2004 to 5 November, 2004 to conduct experiments and then pack equipment for shipping back to DRDC Atlantic. The cruise report of DRDC participation in SAX04 contains a daily summary of activities and measurements (Osler, 2005). Technical details regarding the experimental techniques, equipment developed or procured, and deployment techniques are described in Osler *et al.*, 2005a.




Figure 1: The experimental geometry to measure sound speed dispersion. Acoustic arrivals are received by sensors buried in the seabed and moored in the water column. There are acoustic sources buried in the seabed and in three point moorings (one of two is shown) that can adjust the angle of incidence.

2) *Numerical simulations*: A Mathematica notebook has been developed at DRDC Atlantic to support analysis and interpretation of the SAX04 data (Chapman *et al.*, 2005). It calculates the acoustic field in a (fluid) seabed from a point source in the water (Fig. 2). A CW source is assumed, and the calculations are performed by numerically computing the Weyl-Sommerfeld integral, adapted from electro-magnetics to acoustics by Brekhovskikh. All components of the field are included, including homogeneous and inhomogeneous plane waves. The geometric ray path between source and receiver is computed for reference purposes, but there is no "geometric acoustics" approximation applied. Effects often attributed to a distinct "lateral wave" are included as a matter of course. Both the pressure and the particle velocity (time and space derivatives of the acoustic potential, respectively) are calculated allowing direct comparison to be made with measurements using the Wilcoxon vector sensors. One can individually compute the received sound level (pressure), the particle displacements, and the energy flux (product of pressure and velocity), and the impedance (ratio of pressure and velocity).




Figure 2: Energy flux streamlines into the seabed (black lines) for an acoustic arrival at 5 kHz just above the critical grazing angle (blue line). One application of this simulation is to determine the sensor positions (blue dots), frequencies and grazing angles at which Snell's Law (red ray path) is valid and when it is not because of the influence of inhomogeneous waves.

3) *Source and receiver locations*: An accurate determination of source and receiver locations is critical to the application of the experimental techniques. For the sources and receivers in the water column, this has been determined using a regularized inversion of travel time information (Dosso *et al.*, 2004). For the buried receivers, their orientation is being determined by measuring the angle arrival of acoustic pulses arriving at the sensors from three orthogonal directions (inset in Fig. 1) using two sources buried in the seabed and one overhead in the water column (Osler *et al.*, 2005c). The horizontal position of the buried receivers is fixed by the burial jig. The deployment technique can allow a vertical offset of the receivers from their intended burial depths. This is being investigated

using the consistency between time-of-flight data from three orthogonal directions (Hines *et al.*, 2005b) and time differences between the direct arrivals and reflections from sediment-water interface.

4) *Post sea-trial calibrations*: Following SAX04, the seven Wilcoxon TV-001 vector sensors were re-calibrated to confirm that their frequency response had not changed due to deployment and handling during the sea-trial. In addition, beam pattern calibrations were made at 0.8 and 1.2 kHz, two frequencies at which measurements were made, but pre-trial calibrations were not conducted. Transmitting voltage response curves were also obtained for the ITC-1032 transducers that served as the buried sources during SAX04.

5) *Data analysis*: Two approaches to analyze the time-of-flight data have been developed. The first uses a frequency domain correlation between received arrivals and a replica pulse (the source monitor or another data channel). The second measures the time-of-flight between the onset time of the acoustic projector (monitored via transducer current and voltage) and the onset time of an acoustic pulse received on one or more of the buried receivers. This has been applied to different travel time paths between the buried sources and buried receivers (Hines *et al.*, 2005a) as well as between the source in the water column and the buried receivers (Hines *et al.*, 2005b). For the determination of angle of arrival using the vector sensors, two analysis techniques have been developed (Osler *et al.*, 2005c). The first determines the tilt angle of the semi-major axis of the elliptical particle motion that one observes if two of the acceleration components are plotted against each other. The second technique determines the arrival angle by computing the acoustic intensity (pressure times acceleration) for two vector sensor components (assuming a 2-D geometry initially). These algorithms have been tested with synthetic data, including noise, to determine the signal to noise ratio required to obtain the angular resolution that is necessary for the sound speed dispersion measurements. They have been used to conduct a preliminary analysis of the SAX04 data set.

6) *Sensitivity and error analysis*: Different potential sources of error are being identified and quantified. Thus far, this has included determining the position and orientation of the buried vector sensors using the transmissions from the sources situated in three orthogonal directions (Hines *et al.*, 2005b, Osler *et al.*, 2005c). For the time-of-flight measurements, the data analysis techniques have been applied to acoustic arrivals transmitted from the source in the water to receivers in the water to quantify the error in estimating the speed of sound. This analysis indicates that the sound speed dispersion observed in the seabed is far greater than the uncertainty in the data processing technique (Hines *et al.*, 2005b). For the angle of arrival measurements, a theoretical sensitivity study has been conducted. Assuming conditions under which Snell's Law is valid, the uncertainty in the angular resolution is being converted to an uncertainty in sound speed for the different experimental geometries that were used for the SAX04 measurements (Osler, 2005).

RESULTS

Preliminary results obtained using the Snell's Law and time-of-flight techniques indicate that sound speed dispersion is being observed (most recent results in Hines *et al.*, 2005b). The results in SAX04 differ from those in SAX99 as the frequency band with the most rapid increase in sound speed is at a higher frequency (Fig. 3). Three possible explanations are offered and will be evaluated as results and supporting environmental information from other researchers become available. The explanations are: a) the sediment composition is different due to the effects of Hurricane Ivan; b) the sediment composition is different because the SAX04 location is closer to the coast line than SAX99; or c) the

relative sound speed measurements in SAX99 from 1 to 10 kHz (open diamonds in Fig. 3) should have been combined with the absolute measurements above 10 kHz using a different reference value for the sediment to water sound speed ratio. Collaboration between DRDC Atlantic and Dr. Tony Lyons at the Applied Research Laboratory at The Pennsylvania State University (ARL/PSU) is ongoing. The ARL/PSU results obtained by using ship radiated noise below 1 kHz and the Kramers-Kronig relations between attenuation and sound speed are consistent with the initial results being obtained by DRDC Atlantic (Lyons *et al.*, 2005).




Figure 3: A comparison of sound speed measurement during SAX04 (solid symbols) using the time-of-flight technique (Hines *et al.*, 2005b), including vertical and horizontal propagation paths between sources and receivers, with the results from SAX99 (hollow symbols) (Williams *et al.*, 2002).

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

A frequency dependence in sediment sound speed has implications for the operation of naval sonars and systems that predict sonar performance. For example, geological sampling techniques that have been used extensively to provide “ground truth” measurements of sediment sound speed are done at much higher frequencies than those of interest for anti-submarine operations. A further example is the use of a mine hunting sonar to detect buried mines—it must operate above the critical grazing angle with the swath width and area coverage rates depending on the water/sediment sound speed ratio. With regards to the project in which DRDC Atlantic is directly involved, the measurements of sound speed

dispersion will provide a fundamental metric that is used to evaluate competing theories that seek to explain the physics of how sound propagates in marine sediments.

REFERENCES

Chapman, D.M.F., Hines, P.C., and Osler, J.C., “Frequency dependence of elliptical particle motion of acoustic waves transmitted into the seabed from a point source in water”, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **117**, 2503 (2005, Abstract).

Dosso S.E., Collison N. E. B., Heard G. J., and Verrall R. I., Experimental validation of regularized array element localization, *J. Acous. Soc. Am.* **115**, 2129–2137 (2004).

Lyons, A.P., Osler, J.C., and Hines, P.C., “Estimating Sound Speed Dispersion Using Ship Noise Measurements”, In *Underwater Acoustic Measurements: Technologies & Results*, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, ed. John S. Papadakis and Leif Bjorno, 28 June - 1 July 2005, 317-324 (2005).

Williams K. L., Jackson D. R., Thorsos, E. I., Tang D., and Schock S., Comparison of sound speed and attenuation measured in a sandy sediment to predictions based on the Biot theory of porous media, *IEEE J. Ocean Eng.* **27**, 413-428 (2002).

PUBLICATIONS

Hines, P.C., Osler, J.C., Haya, I.B., and Lyons, A.P., “Measuring the Acoustic Wave Speed in Sandy Sediment Using Buried Sources and Receivers,” In *Underwater Acoustic Measurements: Technologies & Results*, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, ed. John S. Papadakis and Leif Bjorno, 28 June - 1 July 2005, 71-78 (2005a). [Published].

Hines, P.C., Osler, J.C., Scrutton, J., and Lyons, A.P., “Time-of-flight measurements of acoustic wave speed in sandy sediments from 0.6 to 20 kHz”, In *Proceedings of Boundary influences in high frequency, shallow water acoustics*, Bath, UK, ed. Nicholas Pace, 5 - 9 September 2005, 49-56 (2005b). [Published].

Osler, J. C. and Lyons A. P., Using buried directional receivers in high-frequency seafloor studies. In *Proc. of the High Frequency Acoustics Conference 2004, La Jolla, California*, 32-39, (2005). [Published, refereed].

Osler, J.C., “Cruise Report SAX04, 10 October to 5 November, 2004, Ocean Sensing and Modelling Group of DRDC Atlantic”, 19 pp. (2005). [Published, limited distribution].

Osler, J.C., Lyons, A.P., Hines, P.C., Scrutton, J., Pouliquen, E., Jones, D., Chapman, D.M.F., O’Connor, M., Caldwell, D., MacKenzie, M., Haya, I.B., and Nesbitt, D., “Measuring Sound Speed Dispersion at Mid to Low Frequency in Sandy Sediments: An Overview of Complementary Experimental Techniques Developed for SAX04,” In *Underwater Acoustic Measurements: Technologies & Results*, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, ed. John S. Papadakis and Leif Bjorno, 28 June - 1 July 2005, 277-284 (2005a). [Published].

Osler, J.C., Lyons, A.P., and Hines, P.C., “Using Snell’s Law to Measure Sound Speed Dispersion,” In Underwater Acoustic Measurements: Technologies & Results, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, ed. John S. Papadakis and Leif Bjorno, 28 June - 1 July 2005, 79-88 (2005b). [Published].

Osler, J.C., Hines, P.C., Chapman, D.M.F., and Lyons, A.P., “In situ assessment of the orientation and performance of buried directional receivers for use in sediment acoustic studies”, In Proceedings of Boundary influences in high frequency, shallow water acoustics, Bath, UK, ed. Nicholas Pace, 5 - 9 September 2005, 39-47 (2005c). [Published].