

Inlet And Adjacent Shoreline Processes at Cascading Time Scales Using the Coastal Modeling System and GenCade



International Conference on Coastal Engineering
ICCE2012
Santander Spain July 1-6 2012

Tanya M. Beck


Research Physical Scientist
Coastal & Hydraulics Lab, ERDC
Vicksburg, MS

Kelly Legault

Coastal Engineer
HH&C, Jacksonville District
Jacksonville, FL




US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG®



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE JUL 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Inlet And Adjacent Shoreline Processes at Cascading Time Scales Using the Coastal Modeling System and GenCade				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Coastal and Hydraulics Laboratory, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, MS, 39180				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 20	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			




Overview



- Regional Sediment Management Principles
- Background Information & Historical Change of St. Augustine Inlet
- Recent Activity at Inlet & Present Conditions
- Problem Statement: Influence of Dredging on Inlet & Adjacent Beach Dynamics

CMS



- Application of the Coastal Modeling System (CMS) to Both Verify and Predict How Dredging Activities Affect the Inlet
- Application of GenCade to Determine Optimal Sediment Management Scenarios based upon Shoreline Response



Regional Sediment Management Approach



RSM Program

Regional Approaches Coordination Technology Data Gaps

Integrate Across Projects and Authorities

Navigation
O&M

Continuing
Authorities
Program

Dredge
Material
Mgmt Plans

Feasibility
Studies

Other

Construction



U.S. Army Corps of Engineer Projects at St. Johns County, Florida, U.S.




RSM Operating Principles



- Recognize sediment as a regional resource – connect beaches & inlets
- Evaluate use of all sediment sources & sinks
- Optimize operational efficiencies & natural exchange of sediments
- Balanced, economically viable, environmentally sustainable solutions
- Improve economic performance by linking multiple interacting projects
- Consider regional impacts
- Adaptively manage



The Navigation Project and Erosional Hotspot



**U.S. Army Corps of Engineer
Projects at St. Johns County,
Florida, U.S.**







Planned and Historic District Activity in Last Decade



St. Augustine Ebb-shoal Mining



1998 - Bathymetry



1998 – 2003 Difference

St. Augustine Beach Shore Protection Project

<i>Project</i>	<i>Volume Placed (CY)</i>	<i>Placement Area</i>	<i>Placement Length</i>
2003 Phase 1	4.2 mcy	R-145 to R-151	1.1 miles
Phase 2		T-132 to R-151	3.6 miles
2005	2.8 mcy	R-137A to R-151	2.6 miles

Legault et al., 2012

Vilano Beach Feasibility Study

<i>Project</i>	<i>Volume Placed (CY)</i>	<i>Placement Area</i>	<i>Placement Length</i>
Proposed Project	880 kcy	R-109 to R-120	2.0 miles



Problem Statement




Investigate optimal dredging volumes and intervals, and determine the beach placement volume and interval that will adequately supply sand to maintain two Shore Protection Projects in St. Johns County.

Questions:


What is the volumetric limit (cubic yards of sediment) that can be mined regularly from the ebb shoal in its present condition which does not cause a significant long-term effect on the morphology and volumetric recovery of the shoal?

How much sediment and what nourishment interval is required to maintain present volume of the active and planned Shore Protection Projects?






The Coastal Modeling System (CMS) at St. Augustine Inlet



❖ Analysis to Conduct:

- ❖ Volumetric change of ebb shoal
- ❖ Planform change of ebb shoal
- ❖ Shoreline position




Beck and Legault, 2012




Comparison to the 1.5 MCY Removed




Planform Extent



Volumetric Change




Beck and
Legault,
2012




Sediment
Transport
Pathways

Ebb Delta Mining Alternatives






Ebb Shoal Collapse in the 4.0 MCY Removed Scenario



- Certain conditions collapse the active shoal through disruption of natural sand pathways
 - by reducing its depth either through deflation or collapse
 - and/or reducing its planform area



- Functionality of the updrift channel margin shoal is crucial – needed to sustain channelized flow to maintain typical bypassing






Dredging Boundaries: CMS Results & Historical Data



Ebb Shoal Recovery:

- Cannot remove so much as to force the inlet out of “equilibrium”: <4MCY
- Account for inlet recovery by historical evidence for infilling: Rate of volume change (growth) determined from 2001-03 and 2005 mining events





Beck and
Legault,
2012

Legault et al., 2012





Defining Dredging Interval Alternatives for 50-YR Planning Horizon



Legault et al., 2012

Ebb Delta Growth (MCY) | 7 YR Interval




Dredging intensity scenarios considering equal or accretional status of the ebb-tidal delta.

Scenario	Dredged Volume	Dredging Interval	Beach Placement Volume	Beach Placement Location & Length
Alt A1	1.0 MCY	5 Years	1.0 MCY	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)
Alt A2	1.35 MCY	5 Years	1.35 MCY	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)
Alt A3	2.0 MCY	7 Years	2.0 MCY	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)
Alt A4	3.0 MCY	10 Years	3.0 MCY	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)



Refined Nourishment Intervals for 50-YR Planning Horizon



Optimized beach fill placement scenarios following the results of the Alternative A dredging scenarios.					
Scenario	Dredged Volume	Dredging Interval	Beach Placement Volume		Beach Placement Location & Length
Alt B1	1.35 MCY	5 Years	1.35 MCY	70 cy/lft	T132 – R151 (20,000 lft)
Alt B2	1.65 MCY (Includes Vilano Shoal ~300KCY)	5 Years	1.65 MCY	40 cy/lft	R109 – R120 (11,000 lft)
				80 cy/lft	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)
Alt C1	3.0 MCY	10 Years	3.0 MCY	50 cy/lft	R109 – R120 (11,000 lft)
				125 cy/lft	T132 – R151 (20,000 lft)
Alt C2	3.0 MCY	10 Years	3.0 MCY	100 cy/lft	R109 – R120 (11,000 lft)
				125 cy/lft	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)





The GenCade Model



GenCade is a one-dimensional (1-D) numerical model that calculates regional coastal change including inlet volumetric evolution.

The model is a combination of Genesis, a shoreline change model designed for project-scale engineering studies, and Cascade, a regional alongshore sediment transport model that includes barrier islands and the inlets that separate them.



The combination of the two models, with the addition of the Inlet Reservoir Model, which investigates the sediment sinks in inlets, result in a regional model capable of modeling shoreline change at the structure or project level, up to regional distances on the order of hundreds of kilometers.



GenCade Reaches of St. Johns Co., FL (R-Monument Profile Locations)



U.S. Army Corps of Engineer Projects at St. Johns County, Florida, U.S.



Location	Reach (R-Mon)
Ponte Vedra Beach	R1 – R109
S. Ponte Vedra & Vilano Beach	R109 – R122
St. Augustine Inlet	Ebb & Flood Tidal Deltas
Anastasia Island Headland	R123 – R128
St. Augustine Beach	R128 – R151
Crescent Beach to Matanzas Inlet	R151 – R195




RESULTS: Ebb-Tidal Delta Volume Change for Alternatives




U.S. Army Corps of Engineer Projects at St. Johns County, Florida, U.S.



Ebb-tidal Delta Volume Change



Ebb-tidal Delta Volume Change





RESULTS: Plotted Shoreline Position on GenCade Grid







Volumetric Results of Alternatives



U.S. Army Corps of Engineer Projects at St. Johns County, Florida, U.S.






Summary



- An analysis of the CMS model results determined that dredging scenarios under 4 MCY removed did not significantly modify the ebb-tidal delta through the 1) elevation and planform extent, 2) sediment transport patterns, or 3) volume flux provided to the adjacent beaches.
- The CMS modeling results provided crucial constructive bounds on the optimized scenarios modeled in GenCade.
- The benefits of coordinating and modifying dredging volumes and intervals can be explored in GenCade simultaneously with varying beach fill volumes and intervals to calculate how sediment sources and sinks evolve over time for future sediment budgets.
- An analysis of the GenCade results found that there is not a sustainable dredging amount and interval for St. Augustine Inlet that will meet the beach fill needs of St. Johns County. At least another 1 MCY/YR is necessary to sustain the present SPP.
- The greatest benefit of this methodology is in determining optimal dredging periods and coordinating regional efforts to save in mobilization and demobilization costs for dredging and beach fill placement.





Thank You!

Questions?

Three Part Technical Report Series Published by ERDC/CHL:

Legault K.R. Rosati J.D., Beck T.M., and Engle J. 2012. *St. Johns County, St. Augustine Inlet, FL Report 1: Historical Analysis and Sediment Budget*. Technical Report ERDC-TR-12-XX, U.S. Army Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.

Beck, T.M. and Legault K.R. 2012. *St. Augustine Inlet, Florida: Application of the Coastal Modeling System, Report 2*. Technical Report ERDC-TR-12-XX, U.S. Army Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.

Beck, T.M. and Legault K.R. 2012. *Optimization of Ebb Shoal Mining and Beach Nourishment at St. Johns County, St. Augustine Inlet, FL, Report 3*. Technical Report ERDC-TR-12-XX, U.S. Army Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.