

SRI International
(Sensei) Technical Report: Distribution A

Sensei: A Multi-Modal Framework for Assessing Stress Resiliency

(March 1-31, 2013)

From:

Ajay Divakaran, Technical Leader
Jeffrey Lubin, Senior Research Scientist
Joe Ferraro, Program Manager

Sensei (SRI #P21103)
Contract # N00014-12-C-0288

1 Update: Technical Progress and Accomplishments for Period 15
(March 2013):

Task 3.1: Capture Behavioral Stress Markers in Real-Time in Lab Environment
with graded exposure to ICT's scenarios **MAC 1-6**

During this reporting period, we collected pilot data verifying that our stressful and relaxing tasks were having the desired effects on subjects. Figure 1 below shows some typical measurements from the Equivital GSR module for two different subjects. In each of these plots, the green shaded areas indicate those times during the experiment within which the relaxing Caribbean beach video was being shown to the subject, while the red shaded areas indicate the time during which the subject was engaged in the Stroop + peripheral detection task, with increasing task difficulty as time moves on (to the right).




Figure 1. Typical GSR responses for two different subjects. Green areas show relaxation phases of the experiment; red shows stressful test phase. Subject in plot on left is female; plot on right, male.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE APR 2013		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2013 to 00-00-2013	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Sensei: A Multi-Modal Framework for Assessing Stress Resiliency				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SRI International, 201 Washington Rd , Princeton,NJ,08540				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 4	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

SRI International (Sensei) Technical Report: Distribution A

As these plots indicate, subjects appear to have strong reactions to the different experimental periods. Moreover, differences between subjects also show some interesting patterns. For example, in the subject on the left, a strong GSR response at the start of the Stroop test was followed by equilibration towards the end, while for the subject on the right, the GSR response increased throughout the task. Also of interest for the second subject is the upturn in the GSR response before the start of the Stroop test, possibly indicating some anticipatory stress.




Figure 2. Facial region mapping for thermal measures

To assess the sensitivity of facial thermal measures to these changes in subject state between the experimental phases, we have also implemented during this period a facial region mapping for use in collecting local thermal averages, as shown in Figure 2. This mapping is based on the MPEG-4 FBA standard, but connects triples of points from this standard to tile the face in triangles, within each of which a local thermal average is being computed during facial feature tracking. FBA location numbers are shown in blue, our own region numbering in red. So for example, the breathing response shown in the last monthly report is based on the thermal averages in regions numbered 56 and 60. We have successfully tested the thermal average computation within these tracked regions and will be using it for all subsequent data collection.

During this period, we also made a relatively simple but useful change in the experimental paradigm, to assist in subsequent analyses. In this change, we are now running the complete set of Stroop/PDT trials twice, with the exact same speed up in tempo over the course of the task, and the only difference being that the first time

SRI International
(Sensei) Technical Report: Distribution A

through, all Stroop trials are consistent (color word and its font color are the same) while during the second set, they are inconsistent on random trials, for stress maximization. We implemented this change so that we could control for subject motion (e.g. during responses to each trial), while manipulating stress level.

Also during this reporting period, we modified, resubmitted, and were approved by our IRB for the experimental protocols going forward. We anticipate running approximately 12 subjects under this new protocol during this current reporting month.

Task 3.2: Administer Scenarios and Verify Hypothesis	MAC 6-12
Not yet at this stage.	
Task 3.3: Program Management	MAC 1-12

2. Issues:

- No current issues.

END OF FILE