



MSTV

MODELING AND SIMULATION, TESTING AND VALIDATION



*Analysis Comparison between CFD and FEA of an Idealized Concept
V- Hull Floor Configuration in Two Dimensions*

Dr. Bijan Khatib-Shahidi & Rob E. Smith

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 05 AUG 2010		2. REPORT TYPE Briefing Charts		3. DATES COVERED 11-02-2010 to 18-07-2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Analysis Comparison between CFD and FEA of an Idealized Concept V-Hull Floor Configuration in Two Dimensions				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W911NF-07-D0001	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Rob Smith; Bijan Khatib-Shahidi				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army TARDEC, 6501 East Eleven Mile Rd, Warren, Mi, 48397-5000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER #21081	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army TARDEC, 6501 East Eleven Mile Rd, Warren, Mi, 48397-5000				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) TARDEC	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) #21081	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES For 2010 GROUND VEHICLE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY SYMPOSIUM (GVSETS) AUGUST 17-19					
14. ABSTRACT Several possibilities have been investigated that include studies with Courant number setting, Supersonic Static Pressure setting that shows Finite Volume and Finite Element results can be equivalent The Effect of Mesh Density on Force prediction has been demonstrated A 2-D type of analysis has been demonstrated to mimic that of a full 3-D analysis and are effective for what-if scenarios					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Public Release	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 25	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



- ***Outline***
- ***Introduction***
- ***Model***
- ***Analysis***
- ***Results Comparison***
- ***Conclusion***



- ***Outline:***

> An idealized concept of a V-hull vehicle design for blast analysis has been studied in two different commercial software packages StarCCM+ & LS-DYNA


StarCCM+ is : Eulerian ; Finite Volume

LS-DYNA is: Lagrangian /ALE; Finite Element



- ***Introduction:***


A section cut from a generic 3-D model is used study the pressure, velocity and temperature distribution due to the blast effect under the vehicle






- ***Model:***

A 2-D vertical cut has been made from the 3-D vehicle and the following Initial and Boundary Conditions have been applied to the model







- **Model:**
 - StarCCM+
 - *Initial Conditions*



**Static Supersonic
Pressure Pulse**



Total Pressure Pulse



Temperature Pulse



- **Model:**
 - **LS-DYNA Initial Conditions**
 - Initial loading condition required a conversion from total pressure to the relative volume using the ideal gas law

***V(Stands for Relative Volume) = Initial density / density.
And***

Density = Pressure / (Cp-Cv) * T (stands for Temperature)

Cp = Specific heat under constant pressure

Cv = Specific heat under constant volume

***For Air during 1 ms to 10 ms, the value gets computed by
a Load Curve input :***

Density = 100 Bar / 286 * 800 Kelvin

- ***Mesh:***


**3 levels of mesh were created for both
StarCCM+ and LS-DYNA models:**

- > 45,000 element (Cell)**
- > 145,000 element (Cell)**
- > 1,145,000 element (Cell)**




- **Analysis:**

- Explicit-Unsteady-Non-Turbulent Analysis
- Both StarCCM+ and LS-DYNA
- Courant number of about 0.5 on both cases
- At 3 mesh levels






- ***Analysis Cont':***
 - Line segments on the Floor and the Roof were used to compute the resulting forces for comparison between cases






- **Results:**

- Lift forces on the Floor and the Roof were compared
- Qualitatively the results are comparable
- Quantitatively the peak and residual forces are distinct





Floor Force




Roof Force




- StarCCM+ Results:**




Pressure Distribution StarCCM+



Velocity Distribution StarCCM+




Temperature Distribution StarCCM +




Mach No. Distribution StarCCM+




- Result Comparison:**




Star-CCM+ Pressure Distribution



LS-Dyna Pressure Distribution



Star-CCM+ Velocity Fluctuations




LS-Dyna Velocity Fluctuations

- ***The Effect of Courant Number:***
 - ***Few different time steps have been tried to investigate the effect of the Courant Number***




- Courant Number Effect: LS-DYNA**



Floor Force vs. Time







- **Courant Number Effect: StarCCM+**



Floor Force Vs. Time

- Courant Number: StarCCM+ vs LS-DYNA**





Floor

Roof



- **Initial Condition Effect:**


- **The static supersonic pressure was reduced from 12.7 Bar to 1 Bar in StarCCM+ to match the Total pressure of 100 Bar in both StarCCM+ and LS-DYNA as input**




- Supersonic Static Pressure :**

LS-DYNA vs StarCCM+

Floor force Vs. Time when Supersonic Static Pressure is set to 1.0 Bar




Floor Force



Roof Force



- **Mesh Density Study:**
 - **StarCCM+**




Floor Force Comparisons




- **Mesh Density Study:**

- **LS-DYNA**

Courant = 2.0





Courant = 0.5



Floor Force Comparisons



- **Full FSI Analysis:**
Conducted only with LS-DYNA



Flow interaction with the structure



- Full FSI Analysis :

LS-DYNA computation





Possible Future Direction:

- *Investigate Blast Analysis in different Media (Water/ soil)*
- *Compare Other FSI software against the current one*
- *Import Pressure from STARCCM+ as a 1-way Couple to LS-DYNA*

Conclusion:

Several possibilities have been investigated that include studies with Courant number setting, Supersonic Static Pressure setting that shows Finite Volume and Finite Element results can be equivalent

The Effect of Mesh Density on Force prediction has been demonstrated

A 2-D type of analysis has been demonstrated to mimic that of a full 3-D analysis and are effective for what-if scenarios