

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188</i>	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)

PUBLICATION OR PRESENTATION RELEASE REQUEST

Pubkey: 8291

NRLINST 5600.2

1. REFERENCES AND ENCLOSURES	2. TYPE OF PUBLICATION OR PRESENTATION	3. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION
Ref: (a) NRL Instruction 5600.2 (b) NRL Instruction 5510.40D Encl: (1) Two copies of subject paper (or abstract)	☐ Abstract only, published ☐ Book ☐ Conference Proceedings (refereed) ☒ Invited speaker ☒ Journal article (refereed) ☐ Oral Presentation, published ☐ Other, explain ☐ Abstract only, not published ☐ Book chapter ☐ Conference Proceedings (not refereed) ☐ Multimedia report ☐ Journal article (not refereed) ☐ Oral Presentation, not published	STRN <u>NRLJA/7330-12-1266</u> Route Sheet No. <u>7330/</u> Job Order No. <u>73-6358-B2-5</u> Classification <u>X</u> U <u> </u> C Sponsor <u>ONR</u> approval obtained <u> </u> yes <u>X</u> no

4. AUTHOR

Title of Paper or Presentation

Water pCO₂ and CO₂ Exchange during High Bora Wind Events in the Coastal Northern Adriatic

Author(s) Name(s) (First, MI, Last), Code, Affiliation if not NRL

D. Turk Dalhousie Univ. - Canada Jeffrey W. Book 7332 W.R. McGillis Columbia Univ. - New York

It is intended to offer this paper to the

(Name of Conference)

(Date, Place and Classification of Conference)

and/or for publication in Geophysical Research Letters, Unclassified

(Name and Classification of Publication)

(Name of Publisher)

After presentation or publication, pertinent publication/presentation data will be entered in the publications data base, in accordance with reference (a).

It is the opinion of the author that the subject paper (is) (is not X) classified, in accordance with reference (b).This paper does not violate any disclosure of trade secrets or suggestions of outside individuals or concerns which have been communicated to the Laboratory in confidence. This paper (does) (does not X) contain any militarily critical technology.This subject paper (has) (has never X) been incorporated in an official NRL Report.

Jeffrey W. Book, 7332

Name and Code (Principal Author)

(Signature)

5. ROUTING/APPROVAL

CODE	SIGNATURE	DATE	COMMENTS
Author(s) <u>Book</u>	<u>Jeffrey W. Book</u>	<u>6-27-2012</u>	Need by <u>19 Jul 12</u> Publicly accessible sources used for this publication
Section Head <u>Teague</u>	<u>William J Teague</u>	<u>6/27/12</u>	
Branch Head <u>Robert A Arnone, 7330</u>	<u>Robert A Arnone</u>	<u>6/28/12</u>	
Division Head <u>Ruth H. Preller, 7300</u>	<u>Ruth H. Preller</u>	<u>6/28/12</u>	1. Release of this paper is approved. 2. To the best knowledge of this Division, the subject matter of this paper (has <u> </u>) (has never <u>X</u>) been classified.
Security, Code <u>1231</u>			1. Paper or abstract was released. 2. A copy is filed in this office.
Office of Counsel, Code <u>1008.3</u>	<u>NRNB code</u>	<u>7/6/12</u>	
ADOR/Director NCST <u>E. R. Franchi, 7000</u>			
Public Affairs (Unclassified/ Unlimited Only), Code <u>7030.4</u>	<u>Shannon Ireland</u>	<u>7-6-12</u>	
Division, Code			
Author, Code			

PUBLICATION OR PRESENTATION RELEASE REQUEST

N 12-1231-2360

Prokey: 829

Ref: (a) NRL Instruction 5600.2 (b) NRL Instruction 5510.40D	() Abstract only, published () Book () Conference Proceedings (refereed) () Invited speaker (X) Journal article (refereed) () Oral Presentation, published () Other, explain	() Abstract only, not published () Book chapter () Conference Proceedings (not refereed) () Multimedia report () Journal article (not refereed) () Oral Presentation, not published	STRN <u>NRLUA/7330-12-1266</u> Route Sheet No. <u>7330/</u> Job Order No. <u>73-6358-B2-5</u> Classification <u>X</u> <u>U</u> <u>C</u> Sponsor <u>ONR C6.2</u> approval obtained yes <u>X</u> no
---	--	--	--

Title of Paper or Presentation

Water pCO₂ and CO₂ Exchange during High Bora Wind Events in the Coastal Northern Adriatic

Author(s) Name(s) (First, MI, Last), Code, Affiliation (if not NRL)

D. Turk Dalhousie Univ. - Canada Jeffrey W. Book 7332 W.R. McGillis Columbia Univ. - New York

It is intended to offer this paper to the

(Name of Conference)

(Date, Place and Classification of Conference)

and/or for publication in Geophysical Research Letters, Unclassified

(Name and Classification of Publication)

(Name of Publisher)

After presentation or publication, pertinent publication/presentation data will be entered in the publications data base, in accordance with reference (a).

It is the opinion of the author that the subject paper (is) (is not X) classified, in accordance with reference (b).This paper does not violate any disclosure of trade secrets or suggestions of outside individuals or concerns which have been communicated to the Laboratory in confidence. This paper (does) (does not X) contain any militarily critical technology.This subject paper (has) (has never X) been incorporated in an official NRL Report.

Jeffrey W. Book, 7332

Name and Code (Principal Author)

(Signature)

CODE	SIGNATURE	DATE	COMMENTS
Author(s) <u>Book</u>	<u>Jeffrey W. Book</u>	<u>6-27-2012</u>	Need by <u>19 Jul 12</u> Publicly accessible sources used for this publication
Section Head <u>Teague</u>	<u>William J Teague</u>	<u>6/27/12</u>	This is a Final Security Review. Any changes made in the document after approved by Code 1226 nullify the Security Review
Branch Head Robert A. Arnone, 7330	<u>Arnone</u>	<u>6/28/12</u>	
Division Head Ruth H. Preller, 7300	<u>Ruth H. Preller</u>	<u>6/28/12</u>	1. Release of this paper is approved. 2. To the best knowledge of this Division, the subject matter of this paper (has <u> </u>) (has never <u>X</u>) been classified.
Security, Code 1231	<u>Smeton</u>	<u>6/29/12</u>	1. Paper or abstract was released. 2. A copy is filed in this office.
Office of Counsel, Code 1008.3	<u>ABeede</u>	<u>7/6/2012</u>	
ADOR/Director NCST E. R. Franchi, 7000			
Public Affairs (Unclassified/ Unlimited Only), Code 7030.4	<u>Shannon Ireland</u>	<u>7-6-12</u>	
Division, Code			
Author, Code			



$p\text{CO}_2$ and CO_2 exchange during high bora winds in the Northern Adriatic

D. Turk^{a,c,*}, J.W. Book^b, W.R. McGillis^c

^a Dept. of Oceanography, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada

^b Oceanography Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Stennis Space Center, MS, USA

^c Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, NY, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 August 2012

Received in revised form 20 February 2013

Accepted 23 February 2013

Available online 5 March 2013

Keywords:

Coastal oceanography

Carbon dioxide

Air–sea exchanges

Winds

ABSTRACT

Episodic high wind events have a potential for significantly mixing surface water partial pressure of CO_2 ($p\text{CO}_2$). Their effect on estimates of air–sea CO_2 flux, especially in the coastal ocean, has not been adequately assessed. Here we show the response of surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ and CO_2 fluxes during high bora wind in the Northern Adriatic for a range of conditions including: stratified and oversaturated with respect to atmospheric CO_2 , stratified and undersaturated, and non-stratified and undersaturated. Three representative bora cases of 1.5–2 day duration with wind speeds over 10 m s^{-1} indicate that in all three studied cases, regardless of pre-bora conditions, $p\text{CO}_2$ in the surface water increases by 30–50 μatm and CO_2 flux magnitudes peak up to 4 folds (-22.6 and $-24.1 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ day in the winter cases and $29 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ in the summer case) over the magnitude of the mean annual value. Oceanic data measured simultaneously to surface $p\text{CO}_2$ measurements suggest that the most likely responsible mechanisms for the observed $p\text{CO}_2$ increases were oceanic vertical mixing and/or oceanic horizontal advection. Our study contributes to a very limited set of observations currently available on the biogeochemical response to episodic high wind events in coastal areas and their role in CO_2 exchange. In such coastal environments the presence of shallow depths and short horizontal spatial scales of variation facilitate the exchange of $p\text{CO}_2$ both vertically within ocean layers and horizontally across ocean basins, which can then alter air–sea $p\text{CO}_2$ difference across the ocean surface during high wind events and affect gas exchange.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Previous studies have suggested that episodic high wind events have a potential for influencing surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ and air–sea CO_2 exchange (Bates 2007; Bates et al., 1998; Edson et al., 2011; Hood et al., 2001). These studies have mostly been performed in the open ocean and have reported an enhancement in air–sea flux due to high transfer velocity (k) and increase of surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ caused by upward mixing of water relatively enriched in CO_2 , and/or movement of water masses with different properties through the system. A very limited set of observations is currently available on the biogeochemical response to high wind events, such as bora in coastal areas and their subsequent role in air–sea CO_2 exchange.

The $p\text{CO}_2$ of surface seawater is controlled by thermodynamic changes, air–sea exchange, biological activity, vertical mixing, and horizontal advection. Temperature increases lead to higher $p\text{CO}_2$ and biological production to lower $p\text{CO}_2$, while gas exchange reduces (increases) water $p\text{CO}_2$ when water concentration is higher (lower) than atmospheric CO_2 . Vertical mixing and horizontal advection from areas where wind strength, stratification and water $p\text{CO}_2$ may be different could play particularly important roles in a coastal

environment due to the shallow depths and small horizontal spatial scale of variation respectively. For example, during high wind events, bottom water with higher $p\text{CO}_2$ can suddenly mix with surface water that has lower $p\text{CO}_2$ increasing surface and decreasing bottom $p\text{CO}_2$. In ocean CO_2 sink conditions (i.e. the ocean undersaturated with respect to atmospheric CO_2), this increase in surface $p\text{CO}_2$ can have a negative feedback by decreasing the amount of ocean $p\text{CO}_2$ undersaturation faster than would happen by gas exchange alone.

Bora is a cold katabatic wind prevailing from a northeasterly direction and is the most common wind in the Northern Adriatic. Bora forms thin sea surface wind jets that are spatially influenced by the local topography and are characterized by a sudden startup and a short duration (order of one to a few days in the summers and six to fourteen days in the winter), with mean speeds over 15 m s^{-1} and with gusts greater than 30 m s^{-1} (Stravisi, 2001). Bora winds normally occur more than 40 days per year. Bora is most common in autumn and winter, but can also be present during the summer period. The strength, mean positions and extension of bora jets vary considerably between different events (Pullen et al., 2007) and therefore the response of the system cannot be determined based on a single bora episode.

It has been well established that bora has a strong effect on the physical environment of the Northern Adriatic, such as its circulation, stratification, and heat and momentum fluxes (Dorman et al., 2006; Jeffries and Lee, 2007; Kuzmić et al., 2006; Malačič and Petelin,

* Corresponding author at: Oceanography Department, Dalhousie University, 1355 Oxford Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 4R2. Tel.: +1 902 494 4082; fax: +1 902 494 3877. E-mail address: daniela.turk@dal.ca (D. Turk).

2006; Pullen et al., 2007; Signell et al., 2010). It generates a wind-induced “double gyre” circulation, with a cyclonic gyre in the northern part of the basin and an anticyclonic gyre in front of the Southern Istrian coast (Kuzmić and Orlić, 1987; Kuzmić et al., 2006). In the Gulf of Trieste (GOT), the most northern semi-enclosed basin in the Northern Adriatic (Fig. 1), Malačič and Petelin (2009) show a generally cyclonic circulation in the deeper layer in all seasons with an inflow into the GOT which is enhanced during spring time. Tidal currents can exceed 20 cm s^{-1} in the GOT and are particularly enhanced along the Croatian/Slovenian coast at the southern entrance to the gulf (see Fig. 7 of Malačič et al., 2000). At the surface, the circulation of the gulf is predominantly anticyclonic during the stratified season due to the inertial plume of the Isonzo River, and there is an outflow

from the gulf (Malačič and Petelin, 2009; Zavatarelli and Pinardi, 2003). During the intense bora episodes in the winter, studies have suggested that bora winds drive flow downwind at the surface, forming an outflow from the gulf in the surface layer (Kuzmić et al., 2006; Malačič and Petelin, 2009), and compensating intensified inflow at depth.

It has also been suggested that pre-bora ambient stratification plays a role in the response of the Northern Adriatic to bora (Jeffries and Lee, 2007). Typically, the water column in the GOT during the winter period is well mixed (Bogunović and Malačič, 2009; Celio et al., 2006). In April, surface heating and fresh water input lead to stratification and the months between May and September are characterized by strong density stratification (Malačič et al., 2006). In October, convective and mechanical mixing of the surface and subsurface



Fig. 1. Map of the (top) Mediterranean with the northern Adriatic Sea Gulf of Trieste and (bottom) GOT with location of the coastal oceanographic buoy Vida.

layers begin and mixing of the water column continues through November and December.

Despite the large number of studies on the physical oceanographic response to the bora wind in the Northern Adriatic, only a few observations are available on the biogeochemical responses (Boldrin et al., 2009; Cantoni et al., 2012; Turk et al., 2010). Boldrin et al. (2009) show the effect of the bora on dissolved nutrients and oxygen, suspended matter, and phytoplankton in the northern Adriatic during September 2002 with stratified conditions. Their observations indicate that the bora caused complete vertical mixing to 20–25 m in the water column, and an influx of warm salty water from the south along the Croatian coast. During bora conditions, they also observed an increase in resuspension of bottom sediment which is an important source of nutrients to the water column, and an increase in phytoplankton biomass. Turk et al. (2010) discuss a bora wind event in the Gulf of Trieste on October 30th–November 2nd, 2007 with winds reaching approximately 15 m s^{-1} , and sustaining $10\text{--}15 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ for two days. They observed a sudden decrease of surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ by $25 \mu\text{atm}$ coinciding with the bora wind event. A possible reason for this could be that the $p\text{CO}_2$ decrease was due to a new water mass being advected to the measurement location. Cantoni et al. (2012) report enhanced air–sea CO_2 fluxes during bora events and measurable acidification (pH_T decrease) at station PALOMA in the central part of the Gulf of Trieste.

Here, we present three representative bora event cases during 2008 (Table 1); 21st–23rd July, 2008 (Case 1), 14th–16th November, 2008 (Case 2), and 25th–27th November, 2008 (Case 3) with the following objectives: 1) to describe the response of surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ and CO_2 fluxes during high bora wind events in the coastal Northern Adriatic and 2) to elucidate the relative importance of factors contributing to variation in $p\text{CO}_2$ and subsequent air–sea exchange. Specifically we consider the effect of temperature, vertical mixing, advection and air–sea gas exchange, while biological activity is not addressed due to lack of measurements and short time scale of events. We also examine the role of pre-bora ambient stratification in the response to bora by considering different pre-bora ambient conditions; (Case 1) stratified summer conditions with $p\text{CO}_2$ in the surface water above the atmospheric value, (Case 2) an autumn situation with a thermal inversion of bottom water temperature higher than sea-surface temperature (SST) and undersaturated water $p\text{CO}_2$, and (Case 3) a late autumn case when the temperature of the water column is nearly uniform and water $p\text{CO}_2$ is below the atmospheric value. All three bora cases are of 1.5–2 day duration with wind speeds exceeding 10 m s^{-1} .

2. Data and methods

Wind speed and direction, sea-surface temperature (SST) and sea-surface salinity (SSS), sea bottom temperature (T_b), and currents were all measured at the coastal buoy Vida located at $45^\circ 32' 55.68'' \text{ N}$, $13^\circ 33' 1.89'' \text{ E}$ in the entrance of the GOT (Fig. 1). Surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ was measured with an autonomous sensor SAMI- CO_2 (Sunburst Sensors LLC) and wind speed was measured by a Gill 3D sonic anemometer at a height of 5 m. Currents were measured by a Nortek AWAC

acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) at one meter depth intervals situated on the sea bed beneath the buoy. Due to the surface echo contamination zone, the upper 4 bins of ADCP data were removed. Data from 3–4 additional bins at mid-depth were also removed as a result of contamination of the ADCP record, although the causes of this contamination could not be determined. This contamination does not affect the analysis of this paper. For SSS, a Seabird Seacat CT probe was used.

A measure of the thermal forcing on surface ocean $p\text{CO}_2$ (Takahashi et al., 2002) is determined as:

$$p\text{CO}_{2\text{therm}} = p\text{CO}_{2\text{mean}} \exp[0.0423(T_{\text{obs}} - T_{\text{mean}})] \quad (1)$$

Where T_{obs} are the observed SST values, and $p\text{CO}_{2\text{mean}}$ and T_{mean} are the mean values of $p\text{CO}_2$ ($320 \mu\text{atm}$) and SST (18.2°C) as reported by Turk et al. (2010) during four deployments in 2007 and 2008 at the coastal oceanographic buoy Vida (Fig. 1). Air–sea CO_2 flux, F_{CO_2} , ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) is estimated as:

$$F_{\text{CO}_2} = kS(p\text{CO}_2 - p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}}) \quad (2)$$

where k (cm h^{-1}) is the gas transfer velocity and S ($\text{mol L}^{-1} \text{ atm}^{-1}$) is the dissolved gas solubility in seawater at in situ temperature and salinity. We use the gas transfer velocity parameterization from Wanninkhof [1992], SAMI- CO_2 measurements of water $p\text{CO}_2$, and atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$ values ($p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}}$) derived from the atmospheric $x\text{CO}_2$ from the Lampedusa site in Italy (<http://gaw.kishou.go.jp/wdcgg/wdcgg.html>), SST and SSS from Vida and barometric pressure from the nearby weather station Portorož. The wind speed used to calculate the gas transfer velocity, U_{10} , was estimated using 30 min average measurements at 5.0 m on the buoy and adjusted to 10 m.

To estimate the general level of $p\text{CO}_2$ increase that could be attributed to gas exchange alone, we used gas fluxes as calculated above, mixed layer depths of half the water column (12 m) for Cases 1–2 and the full water column (25 m) depth for Case 3 (based on the observed velocity shears), the CO2SYS program of Lewis and Wallace (1998), measured values of SST, SSS, and $p\text{CO}_2$, and a constant total alkalinity (A_T) value from Cantoni et al. (2012).

3. Results

Three representative bora episodes during 2008 (Table 1) of 1.5–2 day duration with ENE wind speeds exceeding 10 m s^{-1} , acting on different pre-bora ambient conditions are described in more detail below.

Case 1 (21st–23rd July, 2008): Case 1 represents summer conditions when the water column prior to bora is stratified with SST higher than T_b by about 5°C (Fig. 2a). $p\text{CO}_2$ in the surface water (Fig. 2b) is above the atmospheric value of $370.4 \mu\text{atm}$ reported at the Lampedusa site on 24th July, 2008. Early in the day of July 21st we observe the onset of ENE bora wind reaching speeds over 10 m s^{-1} (and up to 13 m s^{-1}) which persisted for 1.5 days (Fig. 2b). Shortly after the start of bora, $p\text{CO}_2$ and SSS increase by $40 \mu\text{atm}$ and 1 psu, respectively, and the

Table 1

Ambient pre-bora conditions and response during bora episode for three cases. Max refers to the maximum magnitude in columns 5–7.

Case no.	Date in 2008	Pre-bora water column conditions	Bora $p\text{CO}_{2w}$ response	Mean $\pm$ SD and max U_{10} (m s^{-1})	Mean $\pm$ SD and max $p\text{CO}_2 - p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}}$ (μatm)	Mean $\pm$ SD and max F_{CO_2} ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$)	Likely responsible mechanism $p\text{CO}_2$ increase
1	21st–23rd July	Stratified ($\text{SST} > T_b$) $p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}} < p\text{CO}_2$	Increase $\sim 40 \mu\text{atm}$	9.0 ± 2.8 13.3	38.4 ± 11.2 61.4	11.4 ± 7.6 29.0	Horizontal advection & vertical mixing
2	14th–16th November	Inversion ($T_b > \text{SST}$) $p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}} > p\text{CO}_2$	Increase $\sim 30 \mu\text{atm}$	10.9 ± 1.8 14.5	-39.1 ± 7.1 -49.2	-12.6 ± 4.1 -22.6	Vertical mixing
3	25th–27th November	Non-stratified $\text{SST} = T_b$ $p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}} > p\text{CO}_2$	Increase $\sim 50 \mu\text{atm}$	10.0 ± 4.2 17.0	-37.1 ± 15.5 -61.3	-8.8 ± 6.8 -24.1	Horizontal advection




Fig. 2. (Left) SST (black solid), T_b (black dashed), and SSS (green), and (right) wind speed/direction (blue), and $p\text{CO}_2$ (red) during 21st–23rd July (Case 1—top panel), 12th–16th November (Case 2—middle panel), and 25th–27th November (Case 3—bottom panel), 2008.

difference between the SST and T_b decreases (Fig. 2a). $p\text{CO}_{2\text{therm}}$ decreases over the event (not shown here) and as temperature decrease would lead to lower $p\text{CO}_2$, this indicates that the observed increase in $p\text{CO}_2$ was driven by changes in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and A_T , and not by temperature changes.

The ocean current response at Vida to this bora event is complex (Fig. 3, top row). Several hours after the onset of strong winds, a strong bottom-layer alongshore (eastwards) inflow is established, followed shortly after by a strong upper-layer offshore (northward) flow directed slightly into the GOT (Fig. 3, top row). The latter flow is qualitatively in agreement with what would be expected from Ekman dynamics since the bora are upwelling favorable winds for the Slovenian coast and surface-layer Ekman transport near the coast should be directed to the right of the wind, toward the center of the GOT. However, this surface pulse of current is short-lived and while SSS and surface $p\text{CO}_2$ notably increase during this time, the surface temperature change is much less remarkable. After the upper-layer current pulse has stopped, the bottom-layer alongshore inflow continues with some modulation by the semidiurnal tide (Book et al., 2009; Malačič et al., 2000) and with a steadily decreasing thickness (Fig. 3, top row). This latter process is suggestive of vertical mixing as there is also a decrease in the temperature stratification observed at Vida (Fig. 2a).

Without measurements of the vertical and horizontal distribution of $p\text{CO}_2$ there is not enough data to firmly establish the source of the increased $p\text{CO}_2$ for this case. Likely, both surface horizontal advection and vertical mixing play a role. Horizontal advection could be

responsible for the initial change, but waters coming from the bay south of Vida, after the initial upper-layer inflow pulse has stopped, are not expected to carry high SSS and high $p\text{CO}_2$. Upwelling could produce higher $p\text{CO}_2$ values but the temperature change of the surface waters is small and bottom waters are not directed onshore as might be expected from “classic” upwelling. Regardless, the later gradual increases in $p\text{CO}_2$ and SSS and the gradual decreases in stratification and bottom-layer thickness (Fig. 2ab) are all consistent with increased vertical mixing due to the continued action of the bora.

With increased U_{10} and air–water $p\text{CO}_2$ difference, the flux of CO_2 from the ocean into the atmosphere increases to a maximum of $29.0 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$. The mean value over the event (21st–23rd July, 2008) was $11.4 \pm 7.6 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$. The direct effect of gas exchange alone should have decreased water $p\text{CO}_2$ by 2.3 μatm over the event.

Case 2 (14th–16th November, 2008): Prior to the onset of bora, our data show a thermal inversion with bottom temperatures higher than the SST by about $1.5\text{--}2^\circ\text{C}$ (Fig. 2c). A similar thermal structure in the fall was reported in the coastal GOT region by previous studies (e.g., Sept.–Oct. 2002 by Boldrin et al. (2009)). Surface waters are undersaturated with $p\text{CO}_2$ compared with the atmosphere (379.9 μatm at the Lampedusa site on 13th November, 2008). At mid-day on November 13th, the start of a bora with wind speeds over 10 m s^{-1} is observed and these winds persist for about 2 days (Fig. 2d). After about 14 h, the T_b decreases abruptly and the water column becomes mixed with a nearly homogeneous temperature (Fig. 2c). Coincident to this, we observe a sudden increase in $p\text{CO}_2$ by $\sim 30 \text{ μatm}$ (Fig. 2d), while salinity is




Fig. 3. (Left) East/west currents (cm s^{-1}) and (right) north/south currents (cm s^{-1}) at buoy Vida during 21st–23rd July (Case 1—top row), 12th–16th November (Case 2—middle row), and 25th–27th November (Case 3—bottom row), 2008.

only slightly elevated (Fig. 2c). $p\text{CO}_{2\text{therm}}$ is nearly constant (not shown) and thus this increase in $p\text{CO}_2$ is not thermally forced.

These changes are strongly indicative of a bora enhanced vertical mixing process where warmer and saltier bottom water with higher $p\text{CO}_2$ suddenly mixes with colder and fresher surface water that has lower $p\text{CO}_2$. ADCP results (Fig. 3, middle row) support these findings as prior to the mixing event the surface flow is characterized by a strong anticyclonic oscillation absent from the bottom flow, but after the mixing event the flow becomes vertically uniform and directed alongshore (eastwards) in an inflowing direction (modulated by tides).

The air–sea CO_2 flux increases with the start of high wind and reaches up to a maximum of $22.6 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$. However, when the undersaturation of $p\text{CO}_2$ decreases due to increases in surface water $p\text{CO}_2$, the flux is lowered. Mean flux over the bora episode, 14th–16th November, is $-12.6 \pm 4.1 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ and should have increased water $p\text{CO}_2$ by about $3 \mu\text{atm}$ from gas exchange alone, representing 10% of the total observed increase of $p\text{CO}_2$ during the event (Table 1).

Case 3: (25th–27th November, 2008). Non-stratified conditions typical for late fall are observed (Fig. 2e) prior to the onset of bora and a weak NE to E current is present (Fig. 3, bottom row). Similarly as in Case 2, atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$ value at the Lampendusa site on 27th

November, 2008 ($379.7 \mu\text{atm}$) is higher than the surface waters. The onset of bora is observed early in the day on November 25th, reaching wind speeds over 10 m s^{-1} at about midday (Fig. 2f). After about 8 h of strong wind, sudden increases in $p\text{CO}_2$ ($\sim 50 \mu\text{atm}$), SST and T_b (0.5°C), and SSS are observed. $p\text{CO}_{2\text{therm}}$ (not shown) remains almost constant and therefore the increase of $p\text{CO}_2$ is not temperature related.

ADCP data show vertically uniform currents bursting in an along-shore direction to the NE and E (Fig. 3, bottom row) similar to the latter portion of the current response to Case 2 (Fig. 3, middle row). This barotropic alongshore inflow to the GOT qualitatively agrees with Navy Coastal Ocean Model simulations of strong bora induced flow during 2003 winter (i.e. non-stratified) conditions (see Fig. 14 of Martin et al., 2006). Thus, water flowing past Vida is expected to have been transported from the south along the edge of a cyclonic gyre occupying the northernmost 60 km of the Adriatic. Satellite SST data (not shown) do indicate that waters to the south along the northern Istrian coastline are warmer than waters at Vida and some northward spreading of warmer waters during the bora is observed. The coincident change seen in SSS and $p\text{CO}_2$ suggests that these waters are also saltier and carry higher $p\text{CO}_2$ and therefore the increase in $p\text{CO}_2$ is mainly due to a horizontal advective flux. The change in SSS and $p\text{CO}_2$ is more sustained than the change in temperature

because further temperature changes are likely offset by bora cooling of the surface waters.

Similarly as in Case 2, the flux of CO_2 into the ocean initially increases drastically (up to $24.1 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) with the start of high wind, but drops to about $5 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ when the increase of surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ decreases the level of undersaturation. Mean flux over the bora episode, 25th–27th November, is $-8.8 \pm 6.8 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ and should have increased water $p\text{CO}_2$ by about $1 \mu\text{atm}$ from gas exchange alone, representing 2% of the total observed increase of $p\text{CO}_2$ during the event (Table 1).

4. Discussion

Our results (Table 1) show that CO_2 flux magnitudes during bora episodes peak up to 4 folds ($22.6 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ to $29 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) over the magnitude of the mean annual value of $6 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ reported by Turk et al. (2010) and have magnitudes about 2 times greater than the annual mean magnitude when averaged over the duration of the bora events. Our average flux magnitude during the two winter events of $-10.7 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ is similar to values estimated by Cantoni et al. (2012) of -11.1 to $-11.9 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$. However, the time variability of $p\text{CO}_2$ measured during the storms in our 3 cases show that assumptions of single surface $p\text{CO}_2$ measurements being representative of whole wind events and that assumptions that wind mixing effects do not include horizontal water renewal are not generally true for bora in the Northern Adriatic.

In the summer time case, when surface waters were saturated with respect to the atmosphere, bora increased the CO_2 source potential of the GOT by increasing both ($p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}} - p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}}$) and transfer velocity. In the two winter cases, when surface waters were undersaturated, $p\text{CO}_2$ increased causing ($p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}} - p\text{CO}_{2\text{atm}}$) to decrease in magnitude in Eq. (2), but the increase in k was more than enough to compensate for this, leading to an increase in F_{CO_2} and enhancement of the ocean sink by the storm winds.

Supersaturated conditions in the summer persist only for about two months (Turk et al., 2010) and bora events are less frequent during the summer. With 40 days of bora per year and assuming that 10% occur over summer, this study implies that bora increases the flux from the atmosphere into the ocean on an annual time scale by 5%. As previous studies show that surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ can decrease during fall conditions (Turk et al., 2010) instead of increasing as is found for the cases considered here, the effect of bora wind events on CO_2 flux could possibly be larger for cases without decreasing delta $p\text{CO}_2$ through the event.

5. Conclusions

In all bora events studied here, $p\text{CO}_2$ increased in the surface water from pre-bora conditions, which is contrary to observations by Turk et al. (2010) that show a decrease in surface $p\text{CO}_2$ with the onset of bora. In the three cases studied here, $p\text{CO}_{2\text{therm}}$ values show that the large variations in $p\text{CO}_2$ are not predominantly temperature controlled. In the two winter bora cases the amount of absorbed CO_2 into the ocean due to gas exchange was also too small to account for the increase in $p\text{CO}_2$. Gas exchange represented less than 10% of the total $p\text{CO}_2$ increase, which is consistent with Cantoni et al. (2012) that report gas exchange led to changes in air–sea $p\text{CO}_2$ difference lower than 12%. In the summer case, gas exchange should decrease surface $p\text{CO}_2$ and therefore this mechanism certainly can't explain a $p\text{CO}_2$ increase. The SSS, temperature, and velocity data that were measured simultaneously to surface $p\text{CO}_2$ measurements all suggest that the most likely responsible mechanisms for the observed $p\text{CO}_2$ increases were vertical mixing and horizontal advection in varying degrees for the three cases.

In a shallow, semi-enclosed basin, such as the GOT, strong wind events can overcome stratification and efficiently mix bottom water

$p\text{CO}_2$ with the surface water $p\text{CO}_2$. Winds, especially in shallow coastal environments, also play a strong role in moving different water masses, with different $p\text{CO}_2$ values, around through the local region. Both of these effects highlight the need to better understand and measure horizontal and vertical spatial gradients of oceanic $p\text{CO}_2$ when considering the impact of strong winds on CO_2 flux, as the magnitude (or direction) of air–sea $p\text{CO}_2$ difference can rapidly change through an event and drastically affect the flux.

Bora was present 11.6% (around 40 days per year) during 2007 and 2008 and we estimate that these winds increased the flux of CO_2 from the atmosphere into the ocean on an annual time scale by 5%. Our study contributes to a very limited set of observations currently available on the biogeochemical response to episodic high wind events in coastal areas and their role in CO_2 exchange.

Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge Marine Biology Station Piran (MBS) personnel and V. Malacic and B. Petelin for help with data, logistics and instrument deployment. Support was provided, in part, by the Canada Excellence Research Chair (CERC) in Ocean Science and Technology and the Marie Curie FP7-PEOPLE-IRG no. 239465. The work of J. W. Book was supported by the Office of Naval Research. LDEO contribution #7666. Special thanks to M. DeGrandpre for help with SAMI- CO_2 sensor and $p\text{CO}_2$ data QC.

References

- Bates, N.R., 2007. Interannual variability of the oceanic CO_2 sink in the subtropical gyre of the North Atlantic Ocean over the last 2 decades. *J. Geophys. Res.* 112, C09013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JC003759>.
- Bates, N.R., Knap, A.H., Michaels, A.F., 1998. Contribution of hurricanes to local and global estimates of air–sea exchange of CO_2 . *Nature* 395, 58–61.
- Bogunović, B., Malačić, V., 2009. Circulation in the Gulf of Trieste: measurements and model results. *Il Nuovo Cimento*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1393/ncc/i2008-10310-9> (Online First).
- Boldrin, A., Carniel, S., Giani, M., Marini, M., Bernardi Aubry, F., Campanelli, A., Grilli, F., Russo, A., 2009. Effects of bora wind on physical and biogeochemical properties of stratified waters in the northern Adriatic. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114, C08S92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JC004837>.
- Book, J.W., Perkins, H., Wimbush, M., 2009. North Adriatic tides: observations, variational data assimilation modeling, and linear tide dynamics. *Geofizika* 26 (2), 115–143.
- Cantoni, C., Luchetta, A., Celio, M., Cozzi, S., Raicich, F., Catalano, G., 2012. Carbonate system variability in the Gulf of Trieste (North Adriatic Sea). *Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci.* 115. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jecss.2012.07.006>.
- Celio, M., Malačić, V., Bussani, A., Čermelj, B., Comici, C., Petelin, B., 2006. The coastal scale observing system component of ADRIACOSM: Gulf of Trieste network. *Acta Adriat.* 47 (Suppl.), 65–79 (ISSN: 0001-5113 AADRAY).
- Dorman, C.E., et al., 2006. February 2003 marine atmospheric conditions and the bora over the northern Adriatic. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, C03S03. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JC003134> (printed 112 (C3), 2007).
- Edson, J.B., et al., 2011. Direct covariance measurement of CO_2 gas transfer velocity during the 2008 Southern Ocean Gas Exchange Experiment: wind speed dependency. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116, C00F10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007022>.
- Hood, E.M., Wanninkhof, R., Merlivat, L., 2001. Short timescale variations of CO_2 in a North Atlantic warm-core eddy: results from the Gas-Ex 98 carbon interface ocean atmosphere (CARIOCA) buoy data. *J. Geophys. Res.* 106 (2561–2572), 2001.
- Jeffries, M.A., Lee, C.M., 2007. A climatology of the northern Adriatic Sea's response to bora and river forcing. *J. Geophys. Res.* 112, C03S02. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JC003664>.
- Kuzmić, M., Orlić, M., 1987. Wind inducing vertical shearing: Alpex/Metaplex data on modelling exercise. *Ann. Geophys. Ser. B* 5, 103–112.
- Kuzmić, M., Janeković, I., Book, J.W., Martin, P.J., Doyle, J.D., 2006. Modeling the northern Adriatic double-gyre response to intense bora wind: A revisit. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, C03S13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JC003377> (printed 112(C3), 2007).
- Lewis, E., Wallace, D.W.R., 1998. Program developed for CO_2 systems calculations. ORNL/CDIAC. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, p. 105.
- Malačić, V., Petelin, B., 2006. Numerical modeling of the winter circulation of the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic). *Acta Adriat.* 47 (Suppl.), 207–217.
- Malačić, V., Petelin, B., 2009. Climatic circulation in the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic). *J. Geophys. Res.* 114, C07002. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JC004904>.
- Malačić, V., Viezzoli, D., Cushman-Roisin, B., 2000. Tidal dynamics in the northern Adriatic Sea. *J. Geophys. Res.* 105, 26,265–26,280.

- Malačič, V., Celio, M., Čermelj, B., Bussani, A., Comici, C., 2006. Interannual evolution of seasonal thermohaline properties in the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic) 1991–2003. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, C08009. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JC003267>.
- Martin, P.J., Book, J.W., Doyle, J.D., 2006. Simulation of the northern Adriatic circulation during winter 2003. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, C03S12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JC003511> (printed 112(C3), 2007).
- Pullen, J., Doyle, J., Haack, T., Dorman, C., Signell, R., Lee, C., 2007. Bora event variability and the role of air–sea feedback. *J. Geophys. Res.* 112, C03S18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JC003726>.
- Signell, R.P., Chiggiato, J., Horstmann, J., Doyle, J.D., Pullen, J., Askari, F., 2010. High-resolution mapping of Bora winds in the northern Adriatic Sea using synthetic aperture radar. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, C04020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009JC005524>.
- Stravisi, F., 2001. La Bora a Trieste (The bora wind in Trieste). *Unione Meteorologica Friuli-Venezia Giulia, Atti I Conv.* pp. 23–34.
- Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S.C., Sweeney, C., Poisson, A., Metzl, N., Tilbrook, B., Bates, N., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R.A., Sabine, C., Olafsson, J., Nojiri, Y., 2002. Global sea air CO₂ flux based on climatological surface ocean pCO₂, and seasonal biological and temperature effects. *Deep Sea Res. Part II* 49, 1601–1622. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(02\)00003-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00003-6).
- Turk, D., Malačič, V., DeGrandpre, M.D., McGillis, W.R., 2010. Carbon dioxide variability and air–sea fluxes in the northern Adriatic Sea. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, C10043. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009JC006034>.
- Zavatarelli, M., Pinardi, N., 2003. The Adriatic Sea modeling system: a nested approach. *Ann. Geophys.* 21 (Part 2), 345–364.