

Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA)

2011 EMDQ Workshop

Arlington, VA

March 28 – April 1, 2011



Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 28 MAR 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA)				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA), 755 West Big Beaver Road Suite 1325, Troy, MI, 48084				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the 2011 DoD Environmental Monitoring & Data Quality Workshop (EMDQ 2011), 28 Mar ? 1 Apr, Arlington, VA. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

About PJLA

- Private Accreditation Body, established in 1999, located in Troy, Michigan
- Current Accreditation Programs—ISO/IEC 17025:2005 and DoD ELAP, EPA NLLAP
- Upcoming Accreditation Programs—Field Site Sampling & Measurement Organizations (FSMO)—TNI Volume 1 and 2, Reference Material Producers—ISO Guide 34
- PJLA is a MRA Signatory of the ILAC and APLAC MRA for both testing and calibration
- Nationally recognized by the following: EPA—Lead Testing Program and Energy Star Program, Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Navy—Calibration Program, EDQW-DoD ELAP



About PJLA

- Total Accredited Labs 326–Testing/Calibration
 - 17025-Testing–120
 - 17025-Calibration–191
 - 17025 & DoD ELAP–14 (5 Pending)
 - 17025 and EPA NLLAP–1
 - Pending Accreditations–59 (due to recent assessment, pending corrective action, etc.)


PJLA 2010–2011 Activities

- Continue to accredit new DoD ELAP laboratories
- Conduct surveillance assessments on laboratories accredited in 2009
 - Review of previous findings
 - Review of LOD/LOQ Data
 - Review of PT activities/data
 - General review of laboratory mgt. system
- Conduct reassessments on laboratories expiring this year
 - Full system assessment similar to accreditation assessment

DoD ELAP Assessment Findings 2010

Based on Accreditation and Surveillance Assessments

Total Number of Findings-88 (117 various categories)



Analysis of Findings 2009 vs. 2010

- 17025 Requirements
 - Section 4.0—decrease of overall findings from 2009–2010
 - Section 5.0–5.4 and 5.5 continue to be the most common areas for a finding
- Gray Box Requirements
 - Slight increase in findings from 2009. Gray Boxes 13, 32, and 34 continue to be the most common areas for a finding
- Appendix (C–G)
 - Appendix D continues to be the most common area for a finding



DoD ELAP Assessment Feedback

- Laboratories are improving in regards to quality management system activities from last year. However, it is recommended that laboratories should focus more on ensuring corrective action taken from prior assessments are being followed through. These are followed-up on every year.
- Ensure LOD/LOQ(s) are completed quarterly.
- Ensure PT studies are occurring as required.
- Ensure corrective action responses are sufficient.
- Submit corrective action in enough time to allow your assessment team time to respond and provide feedback.



Who to Contact at PJLA

Primary Contact(s)

Accreditation Inquiries

Primary-Tracy Szerszen, President/Operations Manager

tszerszen@pjlabs.com

Alternate-Brett McMillen, National Project Manager

bmcmlen@pjlabs.com

Technical Questions-Douglas Berg, Testing Program Manager

dberg@pjlabs.com

Ph- (877) 369-5227/(248)-219-2603

Website- www.pjlabs.com

