



Engineered Resilient Systems - DoD Science and Technology Priority

Scott Lucero

Deputy Director, Strategic Initiatives

**Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
Systems Engineering**

5 October 2011

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 05 OCT 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Engineered Resilient Systems - DoD Science and Technology Priority				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense Systems Engineering, Strategic Initiatives, 3030 Defense Pentagon, Room 3C167, Washington, DC, 20301-3030				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Engineered Resilient Systems (ERS): A DoD Perspective



Resilience: Effective in a wide range of situations, readily adaptable to others through reconfiguration or replacement, with graceful degradation of function

ERS: a DoD-wide science and technology priority

- Established to guide FY13-17 defense investments across DoD Services and Agencies
- Ten year science and technology roadmap under development
- Five technology enablers identified

“...our record of predicting where we will use military force since Vietnam is perfect. We have never once gotten it right.

There isn't a single instance ... where we knew and planned for such a conflict six months in advance, or knew that we would be involved as early as six months ahead of time.

... we need to have in mind the greatest possible flexibility and versatility for the broadest range of conflict...”

**The Honorable Dr. Robert M. Gates
22nd Secretary of Defense
24 May 2011**



Engineered Resilient Systems Goals



Transform the engineering design and development of defense systems by providing the technical methods, processes, technologies & tools to:

- Reinvigorate **engineering science and technology** to enable timely, affordable delivery of complex and adaptable systems
- Develop **advanced engineering tools** for efficient, integrated design and development across the full range of product life cycles
 - From rapid fielding to traditional acquisitions
- Advance **collaborative design and engineering** capabilities
 - Geographically dispersed engineers across a diverse set of technical specialties
- Increase the **efficiency and effectiveness** of system design, test and transition to production of trustworthy systems

Meet the challenges of today's dynamic, uncertain defense environment with advanced engineering




Example Engineering Challenges and Opportunities



- Dynamic threats and missions outstripping our ability to specify, design and build responsive systems
- New concepts of operations not discovered until late in design, or until operational test
- “Small” engineering changes with unintended consequences
- Suboptimal trades in performance, reliability, affordability, schedule
- Late discovery of defects
- Mismatched engineering tools
- Building trusted systems with untrusted components

F/A-18 – Changes from USN to Swiss Versions



Significant research opportunities to improve engineering productivity



Engineered Resilient Systems Key Thrust Areas



- **Systems Representation and Modeling**
 - Physical and logical structures, behavior, interaction with the environment, interoperability with other systems
- **Characterizing Changing Operational Contexts**
 - Deeper understanding of warfighter needs, directly gathering operational data, better understanding operational impacts of alternative designs.
- **Cross-Domain Coupling**
 - Better interchange between “incommensurate” models. Resolve temporal, multi-scale, multi-physics issues across engineering disciplines.
- **Data-driven Tradespace Exploration and Analysis**
 - Generating and selecting among alternative designs, evaluating options in multi-dimensional tradespace.
- **Collaborative Design and Decision Support**
 - Enables more-informed design decisionmaking among engineers, warfighters, other stakeholders. Human-system interaction, collaboration, visualization, virtual environments.



What Constitutes Success?

- **Adaptable (and thus robust) designs**
 - Diverse system models, easily accessed and modified
 - Potential for modular design, re-use, replacement, interoperability
 - Continuous analysis of performance, vulnerabilities, trust
- **Faster, more efficient engineering iterations**
 - Virtual design – integration of 3D geometry, electronics, software
 - Find problems early:
 - Shorter risk reduction phases with prototypes
 - Fewer, easier redesigns
 - Accelerated design/test/build cycles
- **Decisions informed by mission needs**
 - More options considered deeply, broader trade space analysis
 - Interaction and iterative design among collaborative groups
 - Ability to simulate and experiment in synthetic operational environments



ERS Panel Discussion



• Questions:

- What are challenging systems application domains?
- How does practice diverge from theory, and how do we connect?
- Where are relevant technologies to be found?
- What would be the most critical tools and products?

• Panelists:

- Mr. Terry Edwards, Director, Office of the Chief Systems Engineer, U.S. Army
- Mr. Robert Keane, former Chief Architect, U.S. Navy
- Dr. Janos Sztipanovits, Vanderbilt University
- Dr. Robert Hummel, Vice President of Research, Potomac Institute