

Biotransformation of Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine Catalyzed by a NAD(P)H: Nitrate Oxidoreductase from *Aspergillus niger*

BHARAT BHUSHAN,[†]
ANNAMARIA HALASZ,[†] JIM SPAIN,[‡]
SONIA THIBOUTOT,[§]
GUY AMPLEMAN,[§] AND JALAL HAWARI*,[†]
Biotechnology Research Institute, National Research
Council of Canada, 6100 Royalmount Avenue, Montreal,
Quebec H4P 2R2, Canada, U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory,
139 Barnes Drive, Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403, and
Defence Research Establishment, Valcartier, DND,
Quebec G3J 1X5, Canada

Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX) can be efficiently mineralized with anaerobic domestic sludge, but the initial enzymatic processes involved in its transformation are unknown. To test the hypothesis that the initial reaction involves reduction of nitro group(s), we designed experiments to test the ability of a nitrate reductase (EC 1.6.6.2) to catalyze the initial reaction leading to ring cleavage and subsequent decomposition. A nitrate reductase from *Aspergillus niger* catalyzed the biotransformation of RDX most effectively at pH 7.0 and 30 °C under anaerobic conditions using NADPH as electron donor. LC/MS (ES⁻) chromatograms showed the formation of hexahydro-1-nitroso-3,5-dinitro-1,3,5-triazine (MNX) and methylenedinitramine as key initial products of RDX, but neither the dinitroso neither (DNX) nor trinitroso (TNX) derivatives were observed. None of the above detected products persisted, and their disappearance was accompanied by the accumulation of nitrous oxide (N₂O), formaldehyde (HCHO), and ammonium ion (NH₄⁺). Stoichiometric studies showed that three NADPH molecules were consumed, and one molecule of methylenedinitramine was produced per RDX molecule. The carbon and nitrogen mass balances were 96.14% and 82.10%, respectively. The stoichiometries and mass balance measurements supported a mechanism involving initial transformation of RDX to MNX via a two-electron reduction mechanism. Subsequent reduction of MNX followed by rapid ring cleavage gave methylenedinitramine which in turn decomposed in water to produce quantitatively N₂O and HCHO. The results clearly indicate that an initial reduction of a nitro group by nitrate reductase is sufficient for the decomposition of RDX.

Introduction

Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX) is widely used for military and commercial purposes due to its high explosive

properties. The large scale manufacturing, use, and disposal of RDX has resulted in elevated levels of soil and groundwater contamination (1, 2). RDX and its degradation products are toxic, mutagenic, and carcinogenic to humans and other biological systems (3, 4). Hence there is an urgent need to remove it from the contaminated sites. Extensive literature is available for the biotransformation of RDX under anaerobic (5–9) and aerobic conditions (10–13), but little information is available regarding enzymatic degradation. Nitroreductases, largely from bacterial strains belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family (14–16), are identified to play a role in the anaerobic bacterial transformation of RDX. So far little is known about the initial enzymatic processes involved in the transformation of RDX and its products.

RDX is a highly oxidized compound, and, therefore, a successful initial enzymatic attack on its nitro group(s) might destabilize the inner C–N bonds and lead to ring cleavage and spontaneous decomposition as has been demonstrated for its chemical decomposition (17). In our previous studies (9), we hypothesized that methylenedinitramine, a key RDX ring cleavage product in anaerobic sludge, may have been produced by three different routes, i.e. initial hydrolytic cleavage of a C–N bond, hydroxylation at C–H bond, and/or via formation of hexahydro-1-nitroso-3,5-dinitro-1,3,5-triazine (MNX). However, the nature of enzyme(s) involved was not clear. Kitts et al. (16) have demonstrated the reduction of RDX by a type I nitroreductase from *Enterobacter cloacae*, but the mechanism and degradation products were not described. The present study is a continuation of our previous work and is carried out as a model system in order to understand the mechanism of initial enzymatic attack on RDX and its subsequent degradation as catalyzed by a single purified nitroreductase.

We selected a nitrate reductase (EC 1.6.6.2) from a fungus *Aspergillus niger* to transform RDX under anaerobic conditions because nitrate reductases are ubiquitous enzymes in diverse groups of microorganisms, especially denitrifying bacteria, and their physiological role is to reduce nitrate to nitrite via a two-electron transfer (18–21). Hence there is a great possibility that either this or similar enzyme may be one of the key enzymes responsible for RDX transformation in anaerobic sludge. So far, no information is available regarding nitrate reductase reaction with cyclic nitramine compounds such as RDX. In the present study, we employed this enzyme to degrade RDX and to gain a new insight into the initial enzymatic processes involved in the transformation of this energetic chemical.

Experimental Section

Chemicals. Commercial grade RDX (purity > 99%) was provided by Defence Research Establishment, Valcartier, Quebec, Canada (6). MNX (95% purity) was synthesized according to the method described by Brockman et al. (22). For the experiment describing MNX biotransformation with nitrate reductase the chemical (>99% purity) was obtained from SRI International (Menlo Park, CA). *Aspergillus niger* NAD(P)H: nitrate oxidoreductase (EC 1.6.6.2), NADPH, and formaldehyde were obtained from Sigma chemicals, Canada. Methylenedinitramine was obtained from the rare chemical department of Aldrich, Oakville, ON, Canada. Hydrazine and formamide were purchased from Aldrich, Canada. Standard nitrous oxide (N₂O, 980 ppm by mole) was obtained from Scott specialty gases, Sarnia, ON, Canada.

Enzyme Preparation and Assays. Lyophilized enzyme was suspended in potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM) at pH 7.0 and washed thrice with 2.5 mL of buffer using Biomax-

* Corresponding author phone: (514)496-6267; fax: (514)496-6265; e-mail: jalal.hawari@nrc.ca.

[†] National Research Council of Canada.

[‡] U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory.

[§] Defence Research Establishment.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 2002		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2002 to 00-00-2002	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Biotransformation of Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine Catalyzed by a NAD(P)H: Nitrate Oxidoreductase from Aspergillus niger				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory, 139 Barnes Drive, Tyndall AFB, FL, 32403				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Environ. Sci. Technol. 2002, 36, 3104-3108					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

5K membrane centrifuge filter units (Sigma chemicals). The washed enzyme was then suspended in 0.5 mL of buffer, and the protein concentration was measured by bicinchoninic acid (BCA) kit (Sigma chemicals) using bovine serum albumin as standard. The native enzyme activity was estimated spectrophotometrically at 340 nm as the rate of oxidation of NADPH in the presence of nitrate.

Nitrate reductase catalyzed RDX transformation was performed under anaerobic conditions in 6 mL glass vials containing 1 mL of reaction mixture sealed under an atmosphere of argon. The above assay mixture contained RDX (or MNX) (100 μ M), NADPH (300 μ M), and 1 mg of enzyme (equivalent to 0.25 native units) in potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM) at pH 7.0 and 30 °C. Three different controls were prepared as follows: (1) RDX and NADPH in buffer (i.e. without enzyme); (2) RDX and enzyme in buffer (i.e. in the absence of NADPH); and (3) RDX only in buffer. The samples from liquid and gas phases were withdrawn periodically to analyze for RDX and transformation products as described below. The enzymatic transformation rate [V] of RDX was expressed as μ mol of RDX transformed $\text{h}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein.

To study the fate of formamide and hydrazine in the reaction mixture, each chemical (50 μ M) was incubated separately with nitrate reductase and NADPH for 1 h at pH 7.0 and 30 °C under the same conditions as described above. The residual compounds and their products were analyzed as stated below.

Analytical Procedures. RDX was analyzed by a reversed phase-high-pressure liquid chromatograph (RP-HPLC) connected to photodiode array (PDA) detector ($\lambda_{254 \text{ nm}}$). Samples (50 μ L) were injected into a Supelcosil LC-CN column (4.6 mm ID $\times$ 25 cm) (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA), and the analytes were eluted using methanol/water gradient at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min (12). The MNX and methylenedinitramine were analyzed by a Micromass benchtop single quadrupole mass detector attached to a Hewlett-Packard 1100 series HPLC system equipped with a photodiode array detector (9). Ionization was carried out in a negative electrospray ionization mode ES(−) giving mainly deprotonated molecular mass ion $[\text{M} - \text{H}]$. Their identities were also confirmed by comparison with reference standards.

Formaldehyde (HCHO), nitrous oxide (N_2O), and ammonium (NH_4^+) were analyzed as described in our previous studies (13).

Hydrazine was analyzed by a HPLC system equipped with a Waters model 600 pump (Waters Associates, Milford, MA), a 717 plus autosampler, a Hamilton RPL-X200 analytical cation exchange column (250 mm $\times$ 4.1 mm), a Waters postcolumn reaction module with a Waters reagent manager pump, a Waters model 464 electrochemical detector with a gold-working electrode, and a base resistant Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The eluent was 6% v/v acetonitrile in 0.005 M KH_2PO_4 solution in deionized water. The eluent was degassed by continuous helium sparging before and during use. The postcolumn reaction solution was 0.1 M NaOH solution in deionized water. The operating parameters for the system were: eluent flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; temperature, 30 °C; injection volume, 25 μ L; flow-rate of postcolumn reaction solution, 250 μ L/min; working electrode cleaning potential, 500 mV (0.333 s); pretreatment potential, −350 mV (0.333 s) and measuring potential, 100 mV in DC mode.

Formamide was analyzed by a Micromass benchtop single quadrupole mass detector attached to an HPLC system equipped with a photodiode array detector and a synergistic polar-RP column (4.6 mm ID $\times$ 15 cm) (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) at 25 °C. The solvent system was a methanol/water gradient (10–90% v/v) at a flow rate of 0.75 mL/min. For mass analysis, the ionization was carried out in a positive electrospray ionization mode ES(+) producing mainly the




FIGURE 1. LC/MS (ES−) chromatogram of RDX and MNX transformation by nitrate reductase at pH 7. A and C: RDX and MNX, respectively, at 0 min. B and D: RDX and MNX, respectively, after 60 min showing the formation of methylene dinitramine.

protonated molecular mass ion $[\text{M} + \text{H}]$. The electrospray probe tip potential was set at 3.5 kV with a cone voltage of 35 V at an ion source temperature of 150 °C.

Results and Discussion

Biotransformation Conditions and Product Identification.

We found that *A. niger* nitrate reductase catalyzed the transformation of RDX in the presence of NADPH as electron donor. The transformation was optimal under anaerobic conditions at pH 7.0 and 30 °C (data not shown). The disappearance of RDX was accompanied by the formation of MNX and the ring cleavage product methylenedinitramine (Figure 1 A&B). In control experiments, no degradation was observed except in control number 1 which contained NADPH and RDX (see Experimental Section) where a negligible RDX loss (<3% of total RDX degradation) was observed in 1 h of reaction time.

To strengthen our hypothesis that RDX is first reduced to MNX and the latter undergoes further reduction to finally produce methylene dinitramine, $(\text{O}_2\text{NNH})_2\text{CH}_2$, we incubated the standard MNX with nitrate reductase under similar reaction conditions as those of RDX biotransformation (see Experimental Section). As a result, we observed the formation of $(\text{O}_2\text{NNH})_2\text{CH}_2$ from MNX (Figure 1 C,D). Figure 1 is a typical LC/MS (ES−) chromatogram obtained after incubating RDX and MNX in separate vials with nitrate reductase for 60 min under the optimal reaction conditions. Methylenedinitramine and MNX were identified by comparing their chromatographic retention times and LC/MS (ES−) spectra with those of reference standards. MNX exhibited a retention time of 20.25 min and produced characteristic mass fragments at 46 and 251 Da, representing NO_2 and an unidentified adduct (205 Da from $[\text{M} - \text{H}]$ of MNX and 46 Da), respectively. We were unable to detect the $[\text{M} - \text{H}]$ for MNX. Whereas, methylenedinitramine exhibited a characteristic mass data




FIGURE 2. A time course of nitrate reductase catalyzed RDX transformation: ■ RDX; □ methylenedinitramine ○ N₂O; ● NADPH; ▼ HCHO. Relative standard deviations were within 7%.

TABLE 1. Carbon and Nitrogen Mass Balance and Stoichiometry of Metabolites Produced during RDX Transformation Catalyzed by Nitrate Reductase from *Aspergillus niger* after 150 min of Reaction

reactants/metabolites	concn of reactants/metabolites (μmol)	% carbon recovery ^a	% nitrogen recovery ^a
1. RDX	86	100	100
2. NADPH	280	NA	NA
3. methylenedinitramine (MDNA)	10	3.87	7.75
4. formaldehyde (HCHO)	238	92.27	NA
5. nitrous oxide (N ₂ O)	148	NA	57.37
6. ammonium ion (NH ₄ ⁺)	88	NA	16.98
total % mass recovery		96.14	82.10

^a Calculated from the total carbon and nitrogen mass in the transformed RDX (86 μmol); NA, not applicable. Standard deviations were within 7% of the mean absolute value ($n = 3$).

at 61 and 135 Da, representing NHNO₂ group and the [M - H] mass ion of the parent compound as we have reported previously (9). A significant observation in Figure 1 is the absence of the dinitroso (DNX) and trinitroso (TNX) products of RDX.

None of the products in Figure 1 persisted, and their disappearance was accompanied by the accumulation of formaldehyde (HCHO), ammonium ion (NH₄⁺), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). The product distribution was similar to that obtained during biodegradation of RDX with domestic anaerobic sludge (9). The main difference between the two systems is the subsequent mineralization of HCHO in the presence of sludge (9). The latter is expected to contain a complex consortium of microbes with enzyme(s) for the biodegradation of HCHO.

Stoichiometry and Pathway. In the time course study, methylenedinitramine was observed as the prime intermediate, and N₂O and HCHO were the major end products (Figure 2). Throughout the reaction course the concentration of methylenedinitramine was low and did not exceed 10 μmol because it is quite unstable at pH 7.0 and 30 °C and rapidly decomposed into N₂O and HCHO. The time-course and stoichiometry of abiotic decomposition of methylenedinitramine in water has been reported in one of our previous works (9). The phenomenon of quantitative decomposition of methylenedinitramine into two molecules of N₂O and one molecule of HCHO was used in the present study to calculate the stoichiometry of RDX biotransformation with the enzyme. Table 1 shows that 86 μmol of RDX produced 148 μmol of N₂O and 10 μmol of methylenedinitramine (equivalent to 20 μmol of N₂O). Hence we concluded that for each reacted molecule of RDX only one molecule of methylenedinitramine, containing two N-NO₂ groups, was produced.

A part of the remaining N-NO₂ group in RDX apparently leads to the formation of ammonium ion (88 μmol). The

total nitrogen mass balance was 82.10% and was distributed between N₂O (57.37%), methylenedinitramine (7.75%), and ammonium ion (16.98%) (Table 1). The carbon mass balance was 96.13% and was distributed between HCHO (92.27%) and methylenedinitramine (3.87%) which indicated that all the recovered carbon was in the form of HCHO. As a result, it was concluded that one RDX molecule produced three HCHO molecules (Figure 3).

It was difficult to quantify MNX since it existed only in trace amounts throughout the RDX reaction course. It was assumed that only MNX (with two N-NO₂) can produce methylenedinitramine (with two N-NO₂), and neither DNX (with only one N-NO₂) nor TNX (with no N-NO₂) can act as a precursor to methylenedinitramine. In addition as we described previously none of the two compounds was detected. Finally we found that for each reacted RDX molecule, roughly three molecules of NADPH, representing six electrons, were consumed (Table 1).

Based on the above stoichiometry, we proposed a degradation pathway for RDX as shown in Figure 3. RDX was presumed to undergo reduction in three sequential steps to first produce MNX, followed by the formation of the hydroxylamine derivative RDX-NHOH (I), which then reduced further to the amine metabolite RDX-NH₂ (II). None of the two hypothetical compounds (I and II) was detected. If formed both I and II are expected to be unstable in water and might undergo rapid ring cleavage to produce an unstable hydroxyalkylnitramine product (III) (Figure 3). Alkylhydroxylamines are reportedly unstable in water (23), and thus III should undergo rapid decomposition to produce methylenedinitramine, HCHO, and another hypothetical compound possibly methanolhydrazine (VI) (NH₂NHCH₂OH). The latter being a form of α-hydroxylamine should also decompose in water since we could not detect it. The decomposition of VI was presumed to produce HCHO and NH₄⁺ possibly by two routes, i.e. via formation of formamide and/or hydrazine (Figure 3). However, we did not detect either of the two compounds probably because they did not accumulate. For instance, when either formamide (50 μM) or hydrazine (50 μM) was incubated with nitrate reductase under similar reaction conditions to those described for RDX, roughly 50% of the formamide was converted to HCHO and NH₄⁺ and 40% of the hydrazine to NH₄⁺. The unaccounted starting materials could not be traced probably because of their reactivity with reaction mixture components such as protein, buffer, and/or NADPH.

We were able to account for 82.10% of the total nitrogen mass content of RDX; we speculated that the missing 18% nitrogen mass existed in form of an unidentified compound that might have reacted with other components as mentioned above. In particular, hydrazine is a very reactive compound, and it is known to polymerize with HCHO (24, 25). Hydrazine formation was first proposed by McCormick et al. (5) during RDX degradation by an anaerobic sludge, and since then there has been no other report that describes its formation from the biodegradation of cyclic nitramine explosives. We excluded DNX and TNX from the RDX biotransformation pathway (Figure 3) based on our observation that none could be detected by LC/MS (ES-). Furthermore, neither of the two nitroso products can produce methylenedinitramine. In contrast, the stoichiometric formation of methylenedinitramine (one μmol of RDX produced 1 μmol of methylenedinitramine) confirmed that ring cleavage proceeded via MNX (Figure 3). In support of this when we incubated MNX with nitrate reductase under the same conditions used previously with RDX we observed the formation of methylene dinitramine (Figures 1C,D) as a key ring cleavage product which also decomposed to HCHO and N₂O. Once again neither DNX nor TNX was observed during MNX incubation with nitrate reductase. However, DNX and TNX have been

The results discussed above have clearly demonstrated that MNX is the first reduced product of RDX formed by a two-electron reduction catalyzed by nitrate reductase. MNX underwent further reduction followed by the ring cleavage and subsequent decomposition to produce HCHO, NH_4^+ , N_2O . This study strongly supported our previous hypothesis (9) of the involvement of MNX as an initial RDX metabolite prior to ring cleavage. However, it remains to be explored whether nitrate reductase or similar enzymes are present in the microorganisms inhabiting the anaerobic sludge.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely acknowledge Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) and the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada for a fellowship to B.B., and Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP), USA for funding this project (CU/1213). We thank Department of National Defence, Canada for their support. We also thank L. Paquet, C. Groom, C. Beaulieu, A. Corriveau, and S. Deschamps for their analytical and technical support and J. S. Zhao for helpful discussions. This is NRCC Publication No. 44658.

Literature Cited

- (1) Haas, R.; Schreiber, E. v. Löw; Stork, G. *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.* **1990**, 338, 41–45.
- (2) Myler, C. A.; Sisk, W. In *Environmental Biotechnology for Waste Treatment: Bioremediation of Explosives Contaminated Soils (Scientific Questions/Engineering Realities)*; Sayler, G. S., Fox, R., Blackburn, J. W., Eds.; Plenum Press: New York, 1991; pp 137–146.
- (3) Yinon, J. *Toxicity and metabolism of explosives*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1990.
- (4) Talmage, S. S.; Opreko, D. M.; Maxwell, C. J.; Welsh, C. J. E.; Cretella, F. M.; Reno, P. H.; Daniel, F. B. *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **1999**, 161, 1–156.
- (5) McCormick, N. G.; Cornell, J. H.; Kaplan, A. M. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1981**, 42, 817–823.
- (6) Hawari, J. A.; Halasz, A.; Beaudet, S.; Groom, C.; Paquet, L.; Rhofir, C.; Ampleman, G.; Thiboutot, S. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2000**, 66, 2652–2657.
- (7) Adrian, N. R.; Chow, T. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2001**, 20, 1874–1877.
- (8) Oh, B.-T.; Just, C. L.; Alvarez, P. J. J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, 35, 4341–4346.
- (9) Halasz, A.; Spain, J.; Paquet, L.; Beaulieu, C.; Hawari, J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2002**, 36, 633–638.
- (10) Binks, P. R.; Nicklin, S.; Bruce, N. C. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1995**, 61, 1318–1322.
- (11) Coleman, N. V.; Nelson, D. R.; Duxbury, T. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **1998**, 30, 1159–1167.
- (12) Sheremata, T. W.; Hawari, J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, 34, 3384–3388.
- (13) Fournier, D.; Halasz, A.; Spain, J. C.; Fiurasek, P.; Hawari, J. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2002**, 68, 166–172.
- (14) Kitts, C. L.; Cunningham, D. P.; Unkefer, P. J. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1994**, 60, 4608–4611.
- (15) Young, D. M.; Unkefer, P. J.; Ogden, K. L. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **1997**, 53, 515–522.
- (16) Kitts, C. L.; Green, C. E.; Otley, R. A.; Alvarez, M. A.; Unkefer, P. J. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **2000**, 46, 278–282.
- (17) Melius, C. F. In *Chemistry and Physics of Energetic Materials: Thermochemical Modeling: I Applications to Decomposition of Energetic Materials*; Bulusu, S. N., Ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1990; pp 21–49.
- (18) Trimboli, A. J.; Barber, M. J. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1994**, 315, 48–53.
- (19) Campbell, W. H. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **1999**, 50, 277–303.
- (20) Hall, N.; Tomsett, A. B. *Microbiol.* **2000**, 146, 1399–1406.
- (21) Campbell, W. H. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2001**, 58, 194–204.
- (22) Brockman, F. J.; Downing, D. C.; Wright, G. F. *Can. J. Res.* **1949**, 27B, 469–474.
- (23) Corbett, M. D.; Corbett, B. R. In *Biodegradation of Nitroaromatic Compounds: Bioorganic chemistry of the arylhydroxylamine and nitrosoarene functional groups*; Spain, J. C., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1995; pp 151–182.
- (24) Mashima, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1966**, 39, 504–506.
- (25) Kamachi, M.; Murahashi, S. *Polym. J.* **1974**, 6, 295–301.
- (26) Shen, C. F.; Hawari, J.; Ampleman, G.; Thiboutot, S.; Guiot, S. R. *Biorem. J.* **2000**, 4, 27–39.
- (27) Sheremata, T. W.; Halasz, A.; Paquet, L.; Thiboutot, S.; Ampleman, G.; Hawari, J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, 35, 1037–1040.
- (28) Young, D. M.; Kitts, C. L.; Unkefer, P. J.; Ogden, K. L. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **1997**, 56, 258–267.
- (29) Riley, R. J.; Workman, P. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1992**, 43, 1657–1669.
- (30) Knox, R. J.; Friedlos, F.; Bolland, M. P. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* **1993**, 12, 195–212.
- (31) Peterson, F. J.; Mason, R. P.; Hovsepian, J.; Holtzman, J. L. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1979**, 254, 4009–4014.
- (32) Bryant, C.; DeLuca, M. J. *Biol. Chem.* **1991**, 266, 4119–4125.
- (33) Koder, R. L.; Miller, A.-F. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1998**, 1387, 395–405.
- (34) Nivinskas, H.; Koder, R. L.; Anusevicius, Z.; Sarlauskas, J.; Miller, A.-F.; Cenas, N. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2001**, 385, 170–178.
- (35) Wenzhong, L.; Ping, Y.; Uanxi, Y. *ACTA Microbiol. Sin.* **1987**, 27, 257–263.
- (36) Kinouchi, T.; Ohnishi, Y. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1983**, 46, 596–604.
- (37) Averill, B. A. In *Biodegradation of Nitroaromatic Compounds: Transformation of Inorganic N-Oxides by Denitrifying and Nitrifying Bacteria*; Spain, J. C., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1995; pp 183–197.

Received for review December 6, 2001. Revised manuscript received April 15, 2002. Accepted May 13, 2002.

ES011460A