

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b> May 2012		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Viewgraph		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b> May 2012- July 2012	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> MOMENT PRESERVING ADAPTIVE PARTICLE WEIGHTING SCHEME FOR PIC SIMULATIONS				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b> In-House	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b>  Jean-Luc Cambier and Robert Martin				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b> 23041057	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>  Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RQRS 1 Ara Drive. Edwards AFB CA 93524-7013				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/RQR 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>	
				<b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b> <b>AFRL-RZ-ED-VG-2012-209</b>	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Distribution A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited. PA#12550					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> Viewgraph for the 39th IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, Edinburgh, UK in 8-12 July 2012.					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b> The ratio of computational to physical particles is a key factor in determining the statistical scatter and accuracy in particle-based simulation. This is particularly true for problems characterized by wide ranges of number density such as those found in spacecraft electric propulsion plumes as well as ionizing discharges, where populations of electrons and excited states can grow exponentially. A particle management method must then be devised which balances statistical accuracy requirements with prevention of runaway computational costs. The standard approach of splitting and merging of particles [1], however, cannot guarantee simultaneous conservation of mass, momentum and energy using pair-wise coalescence (2:1 ratio), due to the insufficient degrees of freedom. As a result, various sophisticated models have been designed to minimize or internally store the error resulting from these merges (e.g. [2,3]). Some of these involve the interpolation of particle weights onto a grid, a procedure which can be costly and which may introduce diffusion. Instead, we have devised a simpler method [4] which relies on the generation of two particles, providing the required freedom to conserve all moments up to 2 <sup>nd</sup> order exactly. Thus, pair-wise reduction is obtained through an equivalent ratio of 4:2, but particle merges of arbitrary ratios (n+2):2 can be obtained with similar conservation properties. Furthermore, the method can be seen to conserve electrostatic energy using the additional available particle position degrees of freedom. The present work extends this exact moment-preserving merge through an octree-based adaptive mesh in velocity space to ensure that merging partners are relatively close in phase space. This mitigates artificial thermalization due to merging of particles with large opposite velocities such as those found in beam-beam interactions. An analogous particle split method is also described for re-populating depleted- VDFs that result from the particle merging. The combined fully-adaptive particle weighting scheme is then applied to several test-problems, e.g. collisionless thermal beams in a potential well, gas breakdown problem by an ionizing beam, etc., which are designed to characterize and test the limits of the method. Results are also compared to fixed particle weight and simple random 4:2 merging solutions. Extension of the method to higher-order moment conservation is also considered.					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b>					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b> Jean-Luc Cambier
<b>a. REPORT</b>  Unclassified	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b>  Unclassified	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b>  Unclassified			SAR

# MOMENT PRESERVING ADAPTIVE PARTICLE WEIGHTING SCHEME FOR PIC SIMULATIONS

Jean-Luc Cambier & Robert Martin

SPACECRAFT PROPULSION BRANCH  
AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY  
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CA USA



39th IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science






- 1 BACKGROUND
- 2 CONSERVATIVE PARTICLE MERGING
- 3 RESULTS
- 4 FUTURE EXTENSIONS




## Spacecraft Propulsion Relevant Plasmas:

- Plumes from hall thrusters
- Discharge and Breakdown in FRC
- Relevant Densities can Span 6+ Orders of Magnitude
- Good Statistics in Plume Requires Computationally Prohibitive Particle Numbers in Engine
- Tiny Early  $e^-$  Populations Critical to Ionization Induction Delay

## Electric Propulsion Plumes




Fife et. al., IEPC-2003




Brieda et. al.,  
AIAA-2006-5023

## Ionization Breakdown



Kapper & Cambier,  
J. Appl. Phys. 109, (2011)






## Spacecraft Propulsion Relevant Plasmas:

- Plumes from hall thrusters
- Discharge and Breakdown in FRC
- Relevant Densities can Span 6+ Orders of Magnitude
- Good Statistics in Plume Requires Computationally Prohibitive Particle Numbers in Engine
- Tiny Early  $e^-$  Populations Critical to Ionization Induction Delay


Solution?

Adaptive Physical:Computational Weights

## Electric Propulsion Plumes




Fife et. al., IEPC-2003




Brieda et. al., AIAA-2006-5023

## Ionization Breakdown



Kapper & Cambier, J. Appl. Phys. 109, (2011)






Numerous Previous Merge Methods:



## Numerous Previous Merge Methods:


- 2:1 - Cannot Conserve Energy  
(Lapenta & Brackbill, JCP 1994)





## Numerous Previous Merge Methods:


- 2:1 - Cannot Conserve Energy  
(Lapenta & Brackbill, JCP 1994)
- Complex Macro-particles with Internal Energy  
(Hewett, JCP 2003)





## Numerous Previous Merge Methods:

- 2:1 - Cannot Conserve Energy  
(Lapenta & Brackbill, JCP 1994)
- Complex Macro-particles with Internal Energy  
(Hewett, JCP 2003)
- Merge to Grid  
(Assous et al., JCP 2003, Welch et al., JCP 2007)






## Numerous Previous Merge Methods:

- 2:1 - Cannot Conserve Energy  
(Lapenta & Brackbill, JCP 1994)
- Complex Macro-particles with Internal Energy  
(Hewett, JCP 2003)
- Merge to Grid  
(Assous et al., JCP 2003, Welch et al., JCP 2007)

All Introduce Significant Error and/or Complexity






## Merge to Pair → DOF for Conservation:

- $(n+2):2$  yields Exact Mass, Momentum, and Kinetic Energy Conservation
- Applied Spatially also Shown to Conserve Electrostatic Energy
- Though Energy Conserving, Still Thermalizes VDF

(Cambier, AFOSR Review 2006)






## Merge to Pair → DOF for Conservation:

- $(n+2):2$  yields Exact Mass, Momentum, and Kinetic Energy Conservation
- Applied Spatially also Shown to Conserve Electrostatic Energy
- Though Energy Conserving, Still Thermalizes VDF

(Cambier, AFOSR Review 2006)

### Selection of Near Neighbors in VDF Limits Thermalization

(Like Near Neighbor Selection in Advanced 2:1 Merges to Limit Numerical Cooling)





## Advantages of Octree Sort:

- Octree Prevents Merge Across Distribution
- Limits Thermalization
- Conserves Entropy up to Octree Quadrature





FIGURE: Cell Index Particle Sorting Procedure



# 0D-MERGE EXAMPLES

Comparison of Random vs. Octree Merge Partner Selection  
(Note: Mass, Momentum, and Kinetic Energy Both Exactly Conserved)









## Collisionless Crossing Beams:

- Particles in Parabolic Potential Well
- Constant Potential
- Collisionless  $\rightarrow$  Known Trajectory,  $x(t)$
- Sinusoidal Path from Initial Velocity
- Analytical Solution for Density,  $n(x, t)$
- Crank-Nicolson Particle Simulations
- C-N is Stable and Non-Dissipative for  $\text{Re}(\lambda)=0$






- 6000 Unmerged Particles
- Reproduces 3-4 Orders of Magnitude






- 6000 Unmerged Particles
- Reproduces 3-4 Orders of Magnitude
- Random Merge -> Thermalization
- 3000 First Point, 1500 First Cross
- Bi-Maxwellian Specifically Difficult






- 6000 Unmerged Particles
- Reproduces 3-4 Orders of Magnitude
- Random Merge -> Thermalization
- 3000 First Point, 1500 First Cross
- Bi-Maxwellian Specifically Difficult
- Octree Merge Significantly Better






- 6000 Unmerged Particles
- Reproduces 3-4 Orders of Magnitude
- Random Merge -> Thermalization
- 3000 First Point, 1500 First Cross
- Bi-Maxwellian Specifically Difficult
- Octree Merge Significantly Better
- Merge & Split Adapts Particle Count





- 6000 Unmerged Particles
- Reproduces 3-4 Orders of Magnitude
- Random Merge -> Thermalization
- 3000 First Point, 1500 First Cross
- Bi-Maxwellian Specifically Difficult
- Octree Merge Significantly Better
- Merge & Split Adapts Particle Count
- Despite Continuous Weight Scaling, Similar Results over Several Bounces






- Initial Bi-Maxwellian Distribution in Potential Well




- Initial Bi-Maxwellian Distribution in Potential Well
- NTC Collisions Results in Beam Thermalization






- Initial Bi-Maxwellian Distribution in Potential Well
- NTC Collisions Results in Beam Thermalization
- Fractional-NTC Collisions Produce Same Behavior






- Initial Bi-Maxwellian Distribution in Potential Well
- NTC Collisions Results in Beam Thermalization
- Fractional-NTC Collisions Produce Same Behavior
- Particles/Cell Dramatically Different






- Initial Bi-Maxwellian Distribution in Potential Well
- NTC Collisions Results in Beam Thermalization
- Fractional-NTC Collisions Produce Same Behavior
- Particles/Cell Dramatically Different
- Fringe Extends to Lower Densities with Variable Weights





- Initial Bi-Maxwellian Distribution in Potential Well
- NTC Collisions Results in Beam Thermalization
- Fractional-NTC Collisions Produce Same Behavior
- Particles/Cell Dramatically Different
- Fringe Extends to Lower Densities with Variable Weights
- Relative 'Error' Unknown without Analytical Solution or High Fidelity Simulation





- Merge Needed w/ Exponential # Growth






- Merge Needed w/ Exponential # Growth
- Examples...

Chain Branching:  $\text{H}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow 2\text{H} + \text{M}$

Ionization:  $\text{Ar}^0 + e^- \rightarrow \text{Ar}^+ + e^- + e^-$




- Merge Needed w/ Exponential # Growth
- Examples...
  - Chain Branching:  $\text{H}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow 2\text{H} + \text{M}$
  - Ionization:  $\text{Ar}^0 + e^- \rightarrow \text{Ar}^+ + e^- + e^-$
- Ionizing Breakdown in 6kV Potential
- Electrons Flow from Cathode  $\rightarrow$  Anode
- Inelastic MCC with Background
- Potential Function of  $e^-$  and  $\text{Ar}^+$






- Merge Needed w/ Exponential # Growth
- Examples...  
Chain Branching:  $H_2 + M \rightarrow 2H + M$   
Ionization:  $Ar^0 + e^- \rightarrow Ar^+ + e^- + e^-$
- Ionizing Breakdown in 6kV Potential
- Electrons Flow from Cathode  $\rightarrow$  Anode
- Inelastic MCC with Background
- Potential Function of  $e^-$  and  $Ar^+$
- Merge Retains Features and Magnitude





- Merge Needed w/ Exponential # Growth
- Examples...  
Chain Branching:  $H_2 + M \rightarrow 2H + M$   
Ionization:  $Ar^0 + e^- \rightarrow Ar^+ + e^- + e^-$
- Ionizing Breakdown in 6kV Potential
- Electrons Flow from Cathode  $\rightarrow$  Anode
- Inelastic MCC with Background
- Potential Function of  $e^-$  and  $Ar^+$
- Merge Retains Features and Magnitude

While Controlling Computational Cost





- Moments defined as Integrals of VDF:  $\bar{Q} = \int Qnfdv_i$
- Discrete Version:  $nf \rightarrow w^{(p)} \delta(v^{(p)})$  such that  $\bar{Q} = \sum w^{(p)} Q / \sum w^{(p)}$
- Merged Particles have 4 DOF each:  $w, v_x, v_y, v_z$
- Number of Moments Conserved from Number of DOF

Moment	Order	

Cartesian Moments



- Moments defined as Integrals of VDF:  $\bar{Q} = \int Qnfdv_i$
- Discrete Version:  $nf \rightarrow w^{(p)} \delta(v^{(p)})$  such that  $\bar{Q} = \sum w^{(p)} Q / \sum w^{(p)}$
- Merged Particles have 4 DOF each:  $w, v_x, v_y, v_z$
- Number of Moments Conserved from Number of DOF

Moment	Order	
Mass	0 <sup>th</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} = \bar{w}$
Mass Flux	1 <sup>st</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} = \bar{w} \cdot \bar{v}_i$

Cartesian Moments

$$\bar{w} \text{ \& \ } \begin{bmatrix} \bar{v}_x \\ \bar{v}_y \\ \bar{v}_z \end{bmatrix}$$

1 Particle - **Mass & Momentum**



# HIGHER ORDER MOMENT CONSERVATION

- Moments defined as Integrals of VDF:  $\bar{Q} = \int Qnfdv_i$
- Discrete Version:  $nf \rightarrow w^{(p)}\delta(v^{(p)})$  such that  $\bar{Q} = \sum w^{(p)}Q / \sum w^{(p)}$
- Merged Particles have 4 DOF each:  $w, v_x, v_y, v_z$
- Number of Moments Conserved from Number of DOF

Moment	Order	
Mass	0 <sup>th</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} = \bar{w}$
Mass Flux	1 <sup>st</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} = \bar{w} \cdot \bar{v}_i$
Momentum Flux	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} = \bar{w} \cdot \bar{v}_i v_i$

## Cartesian Moments

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{v_x v_x} & \overline{v_x v_y} & \overline{v_x v_z} \\ \overline{v_x v_y} & \overline{v_y v_y} & \overline{v_y v_z} \\ \overline{v_x v_z} & \overline{v_y v_z} & \overline{v_z v_z} \end{bmatrix}$$

2 Particles - Mass, Momentum, and Diagonal 2<sup>nd</sup>:  $\bar{P}$



- Moments defined as Integrals of VDF:  $\bar{Q} = \int Q n f d v_i$
- Discrete Version:  $n f \rightarrow w^{(p)} \delta(v^{(p)})$  such that  $\bar{Q} = \sum w^{(p)} Q / \sum w^{(p)}$
- Merged Particles have 4 DOF each:  $w, v_x, v_y, v_z$
- Number of Moments Conserved from Number of DOF

Moment	Order	
Mass	0 <sup>th</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} = \bar{w}$
Mass Flux	1 <sup>st</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} = \bar{w} \cdot \bar{v}_i$
Momentum Flux	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} v_j^{(p)} = \bar{w} \cdot \bar{v}_i \bar{v}_j$

### Cartesian Moments

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{v_x v_x} & \overline{v_x v_y} & \overline{v_x v_z} \\ \overline{v_x v_y} & \overline{v_y v_y} & \overline{v_y v_z} \\ \overline{v_x v_z} & \overline{v_y v_z} & \overline{v_z v_z} \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Particles - Mass, Momentum, Full 2<sup>nd</sup>:  $\bar{\bar{P}}$  &  $\tau_{ij}$



# HIGHER ORDER MOMENT CONSERVATION

- Moments defined as Integrals of VDF:  $\bar{Q} = \int Qnfdv_i$
- Discrete Version:  $nf \rightarrow w^{(p)}\delta(v^{(p)})$  such that  $\bar{Q} = \sum w^{(p)}Q / \sum w^{(p)}$
- Merged Particles have 4 DOF each:  $w, v_x, v_y, v_z$
- Number of Moments Conserved from Number of DOF

Moment	Order	
Mass	0 <sup>th</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} = \bar{w}$
Mass Flux	1 <sup>st</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} = \bar{w} \cdot \bar{v}_i$
Momentum Flux	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} v_j^{(p)} = \bar{w} \cdot \bar{v}_i \bar{v}_j$
Energy Flux	3 <sup>rd</sup>	$\sum w^{(p)} v_i^{(p)} (v^{(p)})^2 = \bar{w} \cdot \overline{v_i v^2}$

Cartesian Moments


$$\begin{bmatrix} v^2 v_x \\ v^2 v_y \\ v^2 v_z \end{bmatrix}$$

4 Particles - Mass, Momentum, Full 2<sup>nd</sup>, Energy Flux:  $q_i$



## Merge Quantities Needed for Hybridization:

- Reconstructed VDF Natural extension to Fokker-Planck/Boltzmann Solvers
- Higher Moment Merges would Facilitate extension to Hybrid Euler, Navier-Stokes, 13-moment, and Beyond
- Reversal of VDF/Moments to Particles would Enable Particle Generation in Transition Zones





END



Thank You  
Questions?