



## **In-Situ Remediation Strategy Applied to Military Firing Positions Contaminated with Energetic Materials**

Isabelle Poulin, Defence R&D Canada - Valcartier

33<sup>rd</sup> AMOP Technical Seminar on Environmental Contamination and Response

June 7, 2010



Defence Research and  
Development Canada

Recherche et développement  
pour la défense Canada

Canada

## Report Documentation Page

*Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE <b>07 JUN 2010</b>	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010</b>			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>In-Situ Remediation Strategy Applied to Military Firing Positions Contaminated with Energetic Materials</b>		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Defence R&amp;D Canada - Valcartier, 2459 Pie-XI Blvd North, Quebec (Quebec) G3J 1X5 Canada,</b>		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>	<b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	<b>19</b>	



## Outline

- Soil contamination
  - Firing Position
  - Target Area
  - Problematic
  - Decontamination techniques
- Goal of this research project
- Tests
  - Heat penetration tests
  - Small scale tests (laboratory)
  - Large-scale test (CFB Gagetown)
- Conclusion



## Soil Contamination

- All military personnel have the responsibility to maintain a high standard of training, including weapons with live munitions
- Training on relatively small sites
- Contamination with residues of explosive materials accumulate :
  - Firing positions : incomplete combustion of gun propellant (70% of overall contamination in the first 20 cm of soil)
  - Target areas : dispersion of the explosive filling



# Contamination at Firing Positions

- Example : Carl Gustav 84 mm antitank weapon

Deposition studies : **14%** (w/w) of **unburned NG** deposited behind the gunner





# Contamination at Firing Positions

- Example : Legacy sites

After 25 years of inactivity :  
> 4 000 mg/kg NG on soil surface.  
Detection of NG up to 1 m deep.





## Contamination at Target Areas

- Example : Unexploded ordnances (cracking, corrosion) and low-order detonations





## Problematic

- Some compounds :
  - Toxic
  - Persistent
  - Affect soil and water resources (fate and transport)
- Massachusetts Military Reservation (MMR) **closed** in 1999 by an order of the US EPA because of **RDX contamination in the drinking water** of the neighbourhood
- Regular maintenance of decontamination of the surface soils could reduce the environmental impacts



## Decontamination Techniques\* (Non-Exhaustive)

- Biological treatments
  - Aqueous phase bioreactor treatment
  - Composting
  - Landfarming
  - Phytoremediation
  - White rot fungus treatment
- Thermal treatment
  - Hot gas decontamination
  - Incineration (rotary kiln, deactivation furnace)
- Other techniques
  - Soil washing
  - Alkaline hydrolysis
  - Fire ecology (management of vegetation), prescribed burnings

Defence R&D Canada • R & D pour la défense Canada

\*Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (2008), Remediation Technologies Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Version 4.0, ([http://www.frtr.gov/matrix2/top\\_page.html](http://www.frtr.gov/matrix2/top_page.html)).



## Goal of the Research Project

- To develop a technique that would allow the remediation of contaminated soils by energetic materials at firing positions.
- Should be:
  - Simple
  - Applicable in-situ (i.e. without the removal of the soil for treatment)
  - Inexpensive
- Facts
  - Explosives are organic compounds, they have a relatively low decomposition temperature
  - No vegetation at firing positions



## Destruction of Explosive Compounds

- Heat the soil beyond the thermal decomposition temperature of energetic materials
  - Combustion of a fuel which is :
    - Non-toxic (wildlife and plants)
    - Easy to manipulate
    - Possible to spread out and ignite




# Heat Penetration Tests

Fuel tested :

- Ethanol
- Methanol
- Isopropanol
- Wood shavings
- Wood shavings soaked with ethanol
- Gelled methanol
- Gelled ethanol (home-made and commercial)

## Gelled ethanol (commercial)





## Maximum Temperature Reached during the Burning of Various Fuels on Sand

Fuel	Maximum temperature at various depths (°C)			
	1 cm	2 cm	5 cm	8 cm
Gelled ethanol (1.25 cm thick)	131	63	50	49
Gelled ethanol (1.25 cm thick) (duplicate)	106	72	52	51
Gelled ethanol (2.5 cm thick)	80	68	61	57
Gelled methanol (1 cm thick)	90	57	53	53
Wood shavings (3.8 cm thick)	25	22	22	22
Wood shavings (3.8 cm thick) soaked with ethanol	80	57	54	52
Ethanol (500 mL)	63	50	38	36
Isopropyl alcohol (500 mL)	33	29	27	33
Methanol (500 mL)	28	28	27	33
1 <sup>st</sup> cm of sand mixed with gelled ethanol (~ 1:1 v/v)	191	92	65	62
Home-made ethanol gel (with calcium acetate) (1.25 cm thick)	65	58	31	21
Home-made ethanol gel (with calcium acetate) (1.25 cm thick) (duplicate)	53	43	22	20
1 <sup>st</sup> cm of sand mixed with home-made ethanol gel (with calcium acetate) (~ 1:1 v/v)	85	69	48	28
Activated carbon (50 mL) mixed with home-made gel (500 mL)	80	63	54	----



# Laboratory Tests : Burning of Selected Fuels on Contaminated Dry Soil

## NG concentration in surface (0-1 cm deep) soil samples

Thermal process	[NG] (mg/kg) before	[NG] (mg/kg) after	Reduction (%)
2.5 cm gelled ethanol (commercial)	2365	557	76
Mix 1:1 ethanol (commercial) + contaminated soil	2548	152	94
5 min of propane burning	2480	1547	38
2.5 cm methanol gel	2075	1847	11



## Large-Scale Burning

- Canadian Forces Base Gagetown
- Wellington range : active antitank range
- Gel spread and mixed with soil
- Samples taken before and after the burning
- Analyses by HPLC (in-house method based on EPA 8330b)





# Burning Test at Wellington Range





## Efficiency of the Thermal Treatment at Wellington Firing Position

Setup	Reduction % of the NG concentration		
	%	Average	Standard deviation
<i>Surface (0-1 cm deep) samples</i>			
Gel poured directly on soil	26	50	30
	25		
	80		
	60		
Soil mixed (5 cm deep) before the gel was added and mixed in the softened soil	89	87	3
	85		
Soil mixed (5 cm) deep. Gel was poured on top	83	84	2
	86		
<i>Subsurface (2 cm deep) samples</i>			
Gel poured directly on soil	56	20	30
	1		
	49		
	-14*		
Soil mixed (5 cm deep) before the gel was added and mixed in the softened soil	31	40	10
	51		
Soil mixed (5 cm) deep. Gel was poured on top	21	21	3
	25		



## Results and Future Work

- Results : A gel-type fuel based on ethanol is efficient : more than 80% reduction of NG in the first 2 cm of soil
- Most of the heat is directed upwards
- Need to determine :
  - Gaseous emissions
  - Effects of: soil humidity, organic content, soil size fraction, presence of winds, temperature, etc.
- Procedure could be used on a regular basis to avoid build-up and transport in the deeper layers of soil
- NOT a decontamination procedure for a large site and deep contamination



## Acknowledgements

- Canadian Forces
- Director Land Environment
- CFB Gagetown : R. Melancon, K. Keys, S. Downe, Corp. Legaarden, Corp. Cummings
- DRDC Valcartier : G. Nadeau, S. Trudel, H. Gagnon

DEFENCE



DÉFENSE